

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	784
Project Name	1.6 MW Bundled Rice Husk Based Cogeneration Plant by M/s Milk food Limited (MFL) in Patiala (Punjab) & Moradabad (U.P) Districts
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	01 January 2021 – 31 December 2021
Project Proponent	M/s Milk Food Limited
Methodology	AMS-I.C, Thermal energy production with or without electricity, Version 21.0
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.2, AMS-I.C, v21.0
Date of First Issue	09 September 2022
Review Conclusion	On 27 September 2022 Verra completed the review of the Project Review Report. The updates were sufficient to close all findings. The review is now closed.
Date of Final Issue	27 September 2022

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p>Inconsistent GHG ERRs in project documents:</p> <p>Issue:</p> <p>Section 1.1 of the monitoring report and the cover page of the verification report states that the total net GHG ERRs generated during this monitoring period is 67,743 tCO₂e.</p> <p>Then the net GHG ERRs reported in section 5.4 of the monitoring report and Section 5 of the verification report state that the total net GHG ERRs generated during this monitoring period is 67,742 tCO₂e.</p> <p>Action required:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The VVB must ensure the GHG ERRs reported are consistent in the project documents. Please ensure the GHG ERRs are reflected in all the documents submitted to Verra. Thus, ensure the project proponent (PP) updates the relevant sections in the monitoring report. 2. The VVB is requested to clarify and assess the differences between the sections. <p>Program rule:</p> <p>Section 1.1 and 5.4 of the <i>VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0</i>. Cover page and section 5 of the <i>VCS Verification Report Template, v4.0</i></p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PP has corrected the ERs value in section 1.1 of MR as revised to 67,742 tCO₂e. The same was consistently reflected in all documents. 2. There is no difference between the sections. <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>Sections 1.1 and 5.4 of the monitoring report have been updated to reflect the GHG ERRs reported in section 5 of the verification report; no further action is required.</p>	Closed

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS		
<p>2</p> <p>Project description deviation:</p> <p>Issue:</p> <p>The VVB has mentioned a new project proponent has joined the project without demonstrating how this project deviation was assessed.</p> <p>Action required:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The project proponent is requested to update section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report given a new project proponent has joined the project and report this project description deviation. 2. The VVB must ensure to assess this project description deviation in section 3.3 of the verification report. <p>Program rule:</p> <p>Section 3.2.2 of the <i>VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0</i> and section 3.3 of the <i>VCS Verification Report Template, v4.0</i></p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no new Project Proponent has joined during current monitoring period. The “Enen Green Services Private Limited” is already PP for this project and this was confirmed by the PP and they inform that A deed of accession has already been uploaded on Verra Registry on 28/03/2018 under the heading "other documents" with the status “ Approved”. 2. There is NO deviation with respect to inclusion New PP. Therefore the section 3.3 is not applicable for current monitoring period. <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The PP submitted a <i>VCS Deed of Accession</i> on 28 March 2018; no further action is required.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>3</p> <p>Missing accuracy class and QA/QC procedures applied:</p> <p>Issue:</p> <p>The PP does not provide the accuracy class and QA/QC procedures for all data/parameters starting from $Q_{fossil,i,y}$ in Section 4.2 of monitoring report. Furthermore, the VVB assesses the data/parameters but does not state the accuracy in Section 4.5 of verification report.</p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The PP has mentioned the accuracy class/range in Appendix 1 of MR and QA/QC procedures has now been added in the MR under respective tables in Section 4.2. 2. The accuracy /range of the data/parameters is updated in the section 4.5 of the verification report. 	<p>Closed</p>

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS**Action required:**

1. The PP must provide the accuracy classes and QA/QC procedures for all data/parameters applied to the project.
2. The VVB must clearly state the accuracy of the data/parameters and update section 4.5 of the verification report.

Program rule:

Section 4.2 of the *VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0* and section 4.5 of the *VCS Verification Report Template, v4.0*

Verra Review:

The PP has provided the accuracy classes in Appendix 1 of the monitoring report. The QA/QC procedures have been updated in section 4.2 of the monitoring report as requested. No further action is required.

The VVB has updated section 4.5 of the verification report; no further action required.