

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

Project ID	1985
Project Name	100 MW Bundled Wind Power Project in Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	04 October 2019 – 31 December 2020
Project Proponent	Guttaseema Wind Energy Company Private Limited; Vaayuputra Energy Private Ltd
Methodology	ACM0002, Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 19.0
Sectoral Scope(s)	Sectoral Scope 01. Energy (renewable/non-renewable)
Validation/Verification Body (VVB)	TUV SUD South Asia Pvt. Ltd.
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.0, ACM0002, v19.0
Date of First Issue	21 June 2021
Date of Final Issue	30 July 2021

Summary:

An accuracy review of the 100 MW Bundled Wind Power Project in Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh verification approval request has been conducted by Verra in accordance with Section 4.3 of the *Registration and Issuance Process*.

The accuracy review has raised five assessment findings and one minor findings, detailed below. The VVB, in coordination with the project proponent, is hereby required to provide a response to the assessment findings presented in Section 1. The five assessment findings must be addressed to the satisfaction of Verra. The VVB need not address the minor findings during this review. Please note, however, that where Verra finds consistent minor findings by the VVB in future reviews, minor findings shall be escalated to assessment findings.

This project review report will be made publicly available. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

1. ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

Finding 1

Section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the project proponent shall use the VCS Monitoring Report Template...and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 1.1 of the *VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0* instructs the project proponent to provide the relevant implementation dates.

No implementation dates were mentioned in Section 1.1 of the monitoring report.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 1.1 of the monitoring report. The VVB is requested to assess the updates appropriately.

PP Response:

The section 1.1 of the monitoring report has been reported the implementation dates of the project activity. Hence the project is in compliance with VCS Standard version 4.0 section 3.4.3.

The current status of the remaining 60 MW (30WTGs) of the project activity to be commissioned by: -

Project proponent	No. of WTGs	Capacity	Yet to be Commissioned WTGs – Current status
Guttaseema Wind Energy Company Private Ltd.	10	20 MW	The 20 MW (10WTGs) of the project activity has already been installed on the project site and is ready for commissioning but due to COVID 19 pandemic it was a delayed. So now within 2-3 months it will be commissioned.
Guttaseema Wind Energy Company Private Ltd.	20	40 MW	The 40 MW (20 WTGs) of the project activity are under the construction phase. Due to COVID 19 pandemic, it was also delayed. So now within a year it will be commissioned.
Total	30	60 MW	

VVB Response:

The PP has corrected the section 1.1 of the monitoring report in line with the requirements of section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard v4.0*. Commissioning certificates has been assessed for implementation of 40MW out of 100MW.

Verra Response:

Section 1.1 of the monitoring report has been updated to include commission dates of the project activity.

Since only part of 40 MW has been commissioned and the validated project description states that the project capacity is 100 MW, please clarify when or if the remaining project capacity will be commissioned.

VVB response:

PP has updated the relevant section of the MR and now they have included the approximate time of commissioning of remaining WTGs.

Verra Response:

Section 1.1 of the monitoring report has been updated to include information about the approximate time frame for the commissioning of the remaining WTGs. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 2

Section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the project proponent shall use the VCS Monitoring Report Template...and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 3.1 of the *VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0* instructs the project proponent to include information on the operation of the project activity during this monitoring period, including any information on events that may impact the GHG emission reductions or removals and monitoring.

Section 1.1 of the monitoring report states a zero-generation value in October 2019. However, no further explanation in the monitoring report was provided for this occurrence.

The project proponent is requested to provide an explanation for the zero-generation value in October 2019 in Section 3.1 and other relevant sections of the monitoring report. The VVB is requested to assess the updates accordingly.

PP Response:

The PP has reported the explanation for the zero generation value in October 2019 in the section 3.1 of the reporting period. Hence Revised Monitoring Period Version 3.0.

VVB Response:-

PP has explained the reason of zero generation in the section 3.1 of the monitoring report. VVB has found it valid and correct. Same has been cross verified with the JMR and Invoice.

Verra Response:

Section 3.1 of the monitoring report has been updated to include information about the zero generation for the month of October 2019. This findings is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 3

Section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the project proponent shall use the VCS Monitoring Report Template...and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 4.3 of the *VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0* instructs the project proponent to include details on the organizational structure, responsibilities and competencies of the personnel that carried out the monitoring activities. Furthermore, the template instructs the project proponent to provide any internal auditing and QA/QC procedures.

Section 4.3 of the monitoring report does not describe any organizational structure, responsibilities, and competencies of the personnel who carried out the monitoring activities and any internal auditing and QA/QC procedures.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 4.3 of the monitoring report to include the information above. The VVB is requested to assess the updates accordingly.

PP Response:-

The Section 4.3 of the monitoring report has been reported the organizational structure, responsibilities and competencies of the personnel , internal auditing & QA/QC procedures inline with the VCS Standard version 4.0 section 3.4.3.

VVB Response:

PP has updated the section 4.3 inline with the section 3.4.3 of the VCS Standard v4.0.

Verra Response:

Section 4.3 of the monitoring report has been updated to include information about the organizational structure, responsibilities, and competencies of personnel. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 4

Section 4.1.14 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the validation/verification body shall use the VCS Verification Report Template... and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 4.1 of the *VCS Verification Report Template, v4.0* instructs the VVB to assess whether the project has participated or been rejected under any other GHG programs, has received or sought any other form of environmental credit or has become eligible to do so, and whether the GHG emission reductions or removals generated by the project have become included in an emission trading program or other mechanisms. Furthermore, the VVB is instructed to assess the sustainable development contributions of the project.

Section 4.1 of the verification report does not include this information.

The VVB is requested to update Section 4.1 of the verification report to include this information.

VVB Response:

Section 4.1 of the verification report has been revised as per VCS Verification Report Template.

Verra Response:

Section 4.1 of the verification report has been updated to include information about whether the project has participated or been rejected under any other GHG programs, has received or sought any other form of environmental credit or has become eligible to do so, and whether the GHG emission reductions or removals generated by the project have become included in an emission trading program or other mechanisms. The VVB also assessed the sustainable development contributions of the project. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 5

Section 4.1.14 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the validation/verification body shall use the VCS Verification Report Template... and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 5 of the *VCS Verification Report Template, v4.0* instructs the VVB to clearly state whether the project complies with the verification criteria for projects and their GHG emission reductions or removals set out in *VCS Version 4*.

Section 5 of the verification report does not clearly state that the project complies with the verification criteria set out in *VCS Version 4*.

The VVB is requested to update Section 5 of the verification report accordingly.

VVB Response:

Section 5 of the verification report has been revised as per VCS Verification Report Template.

Verra Response:

Section 5 of the verification report has been updated to include a statement about the VCS Standard. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

2. MINOR FINDINGS

Finding 1

Section 1.3 of the monitoring report states that one of the project proponents is “Vaayuputra Energy Private Ltd.”

Section 1.4 of the verification report states that one of the project proponents is “Vayuputhra Energy Private Limited.”

The project proponent and VVB are requested to update the monitoring and verification reports so that the project proponent names are consistent.

PP Response:-

The Section 1.3 & 1.4 of the monitoring report has been made consistent throughout the monitoring report .Hence Revised Monitoring report Version 3.0.

VVB Response:-

VVB has revised the verification report and made consistent with the revised monitoring report version 3.0.

3. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

On 21 June 2021, Verra conducted a review of the verification approval request for Project ID 1985, 100 MW Bundled Wind Power Project in Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh, the results of which can be found above. The project review report was sent to TUV SUD South Asia Pvt Ltd with five assessment findings and no minor findings.

On 15 July 2021, Verra closed all findings except for Finding 1. The updated project review report was sent to TUV SUD South Asia Pvt Ltd for further response.

On 30 July 2021, Verra closed all findings, and no further response is required.