

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

Project ID	927
Project Name	13.75 MW wind power project in Davangere, Karnataka, India
Program(s)	VCS
Project Proponent	Sargam Retails Private Limited
Methodology	AMS-I.D, Grid connected renewable energy generation, v18.0
Sectoral Scope(s)	1. Energy(renewable/non-renewable)
Validation/Verification Body (VVB)	TUV Sud
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.0
Date of First Issue	03 August 2021
Date of Final Issue	13 October 2021

Summary:

An accuracy review of the 13.75 MW wind power project in Davangere, Karnataka, India crediting period renewal request has been conducted by Verra in accordance with Section 4.3 of the *Registration and Issuance Process*.

The accuracy review has raised five assessment findings and no minor findings, detailed below. The VVB, in coordination with the project proponent, is hereby required to provide a response to the assessment findings presented in Section 1. The five assessment findings must be addressed to the satisfaction of Verra.

This project review report will be made publicly available. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

1. ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

Finding 1

Section 3.4.1 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that project proponents shall use the relevant *Project Description Template* and adhere to all instructional text within the template.

Section 3.1 of the *Project Description Template* requires the project proponent to list all the tools and methodologies used by the project.

Section 3.1 of the project description does not list the tool “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period” v3.0.1.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 3.1 to include the tool.

VVB Response:

Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period” v3.0.1 has been updated in section 3.1 of the revised PD.

Verra Response:

Finding 2

Section 3.8.9 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that at a crediting period renewal “regulatory surplus shall be demonstrated in accordance with the requirements set out in the VCS Program rules and the project description shall be updated accordingly.”

Section 3.5 of the project description does not discuss or assess regulatory surplus.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 3.5 of the project description to discuss and assess for regulatory surplus.

VVB Response:

Section 3.5 of VCS PD is updated with below information

In India, there is no any regulation to install the wind projects and the project activity is a voluntary step taken by PP. In India, the fossil fuel based thermal power generation is dominant over the renewable based power generation, thus baseline scenario remains same as original. As discussed in section 3.4 of VCS PD, there is no any Impact of the national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances upon the baseline scenario of the project activity and project activity is additional as per CDM Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality and as per VCS Program rules.

Verra Response:

Section 3.5 of the project description has been updated to discuss and assess for regulatory surplus.

Finding 3

Section 4.1.13 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that the VVB shall use the relevant Validation Report

Template and adhere to all instructional text within the template.

Section 2.5 of the *Validation Report Template* states: “provide a summary of each finding, including the issue raised, the response(s) provided by the project proponent, and the final conclusion and any resulting changes to project documents. Unless this fits on one page, put all findings in an appendix.”

Section 2.5 includes findings tables that do not fit on one page.

The VVB is requested to put the findings tables in an appendix.

VVB Response:

The findings are included in Appendix 1

Verra Response:

The findings tables have been moved to an Appendix of the validation report. This finding is closed.

Finding 4

Section 4.1.13 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that the VVB shall use the relevant Validation Report Template and adhere to all instructional text within the template.

Section 3.1 of the *Validation Report Template* requires the VVB to assess the pre-project scenario and provide an overall conclusion about the project.

Section 3.1 of the validation report does not assess the pre-project scenario and does not provide an overall conclusion about the project.

The VVB is requested to update Section 3.1 of the validation report to include an assessment of the pre-project scenario and an overall conclusion about the project.

VVB Response:

Section 3.1 has been updated in the Validation report.

Verra Response:

Section 3.1 of the validation report has been updated to include an assessment of the pre-project scenario and an overall conclusion about the project. This finding is closed.

Finding 5

Section 4.1.13 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that the VVB shall use the relevant Validation Report Template and adhere to all instructional text within the template.

Section 3.3.5 of the *Validation Report Template* requires the VVB to assess the additionality of the project, and for a crediting period, the regulatory surplus assessment in the project description.

Section 3.3.5 is omitted from the validation report.

The VVB is requested to update the validation report to include Section 3.3.5.

VVB Response:

Section 3.3.5 has been updated.

Verra Response:

The validation report includes Section 3.3.5. This finding is closed.

2. MINOR FINDINGS

No minor findings were raised.

3. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

On 3 August 2021 Verra concluded an accuracy review of the crediting period renewal request of Bundled Wind Power Project by M/s. D. J. Malpani and raised the five assessment findings detailed above.

On 3 August 2021 Verra submitted findings to the project proponent M/s D.J. Malpani and the VVB Tuv Sud.

On 19 August 2021 the VVB Tuv Sud submitted responses to the five assessment findings detailed above.

On 13 October 2021 Verra determined the updates were sufficient. Verra closed the review.