

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	624
Project Name	15 MW Biomass Residue Based Power Project at Ghazipur, India
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	January 1, 2012, to December 31, 2017
Project Proponent	Sukhbir Agro Energy Limited
Methodology	ACM0006, v6.2 and ACM0002, v8.0
Sectoral Scope(s)	01 - Energy industries (renewable / non-renewable sources)
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.2, ACM0006
Date of First Issue	June 14, 2022
Review Conclusion	July 04, 2022
Date of Final Issue	July 07, 2022

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	Heading: Implementation Status of the Project The VVB must ensure that the MR provides clear information on the implementation of the project activity. <i>The relevant implementation dates (e.g., dates of construction, commissioning, and continued operation periods).</i> Program rule: Section 1.1 of the VCS MR Template v4.0. Section 3.4.3 of the VCS Program Standard v4.1	Round1: VVB Response: Latest MR version 1.3 provides information in a table in section 1.1. on the project implementation which is found appropriate. The same information is now updated in section 4.1 of VR version 03. Verra Review: The relevant implementation dates have been included in Section 1.1 of the revised MR and Section 4.1 of the Verification Report.	Closed
2	Other Programs a) MR Section 1.9: The project is also registered under CDM (2708) b) MR Section 1.10: The project is also registered under CDM (2708) Program rule: Section 1.9/1.10 of the VCS MR Template v4.0. Section 3.4.3 of the VCS Program Standard v4.1	Round 1: VVB Response: The current project is registered as CDM project and section 1.9 and 1.10 of revised MR. The assessment of the same is already provided in VR section 3.1 Verra Review: The MR has been revised to include the registration under the CDM. However, the monitoring period indicated in Section 1.9 shall be corrected from 01/12/2012 to 31/12/2017) to (01/01/2012 to 31/12/2017) VVB Response: The date is now corrected in section 1.9 of MR which is checked and confirmed. Verra Review: Corrected accordingly	Closed

3	Heading: Implementation Status of the Project VR Section 2.2: Not completed with information required by the verification report template v4.0 Program rule: Section 2.2 of the VCS MR Template v4.0. Section 4.1.14 of the VCS Program Standard v4.1	Round 1: VVB Response: Section 2.2 and appendix 1 of VR is now revised as per the requirements in verification report template v4.0. Verra Review: Section 2.2 has been completed in line with the VR template requirements. The Appendix I in the VR is updated accordingly	Closed
4	Editorials a) MR Section 1.8: The additionality tool is v2.2 as per the registered CDM PDD b) VR Summary Section & Section 1.1/1.2: Please clarify the purpose of the CDM Project Cycle Procedure c) VR Section 4.2.2: VVB states it checked grievance register: Reference not included in Appendix I d) VR Section 5: Achieved ER for this MP is indicated as 403,554 tCO₂e. But it is 403,634 tCO₂e. Editorial needs to be corrected e) ER spreadsheet shall be submitted to Verra for review Program Rule: N/A	Round 1: VVB Response: a) Revised MR section 1.8 correctly mentions additionality tool version in line with registered CDM PDD which is checked and confirmed. OK b) CDM Project Cycle Procedure is not applicable and hence is now removed from revised VR summary section 1.1 and 1.2. OK c) Appendix 1 of revised VR is now updated to include grievance register as a reference document. OK d) The achieved ER value is correctly mentioned in section 5. To maintain clarity the sentence is now revised as, 'The estimated emission reduction for the current monitoring period is 403,554 tCO₂e, whereas actual emission reductions achieved are 403,634 tCO₂e, which is almost the same as the estimated emission reductions.'. OK e) ER spreadsheet is now submitted to VERRA. OK Verra Review:	Closed