



One Thomas Circle, NW
Suite 1050
Washington, DC 20005
www.verra.org

06 August 2021

Manish Dabkara
Managing Director
EKI Energy Services Limited

48, EnKing Embassy,
Office no. 201, Scheme 78 P2
Indore-452010, India

Dear Manish Dabkara,

This letter is in reference to your exemption request submitted to Verra on 19 April 2021. It is our understanding that EKI Energy Services (the Project Developer), is requesting an exemption from section 4.1.20(2) of the *VCS Standard, v4.1* for projects *2.45 MW Wind Power Project in the state of Rajasthan, India by Yamuna Power and Infrastructure Ltd.* (Project 308) and *12.52 MW Bundled Wind Power Project in India* (Project 828). The exemption requested by the Project Developer is to provide an exemption to the rule that a validation/verification body (VVB) may not verify more than six consecutive years of a project's GHG emission reductions or removals.

Specifically, the Project Developer is requesting an exemption to use the same VVB to verify the following monitoring periods:

- 1) VCS Project 308, *2.45 MW Wind Power Project in the state of Rajasthan, India by Yamuna Power and Infrastructure Ltd.*, monitoring period: 16 July 2009 to 27 March 2016; AND
- 2) VCS Project 828, *12.25 MW Bundled Wind Power Project in India*, monitoring period: 01 January 2010 to 30 March 2016.

Based on the information provided to Verra, it is understood that it is not economic for the Project Developer to appoint a different VVB for the remaining monitoring period that exceeds the six consecutive years.

Verra analyzed this exemption based on Section 4.1.20(2) of the *VCS Standard, v4.1* which states, "A validation/verification body may not verify more than six consecutive years of a project's GHG emission reductions or removals. The validation/verification body may undertake further verification for the project only when at least three years of the project's GHG emission reductions or removals have been verified by a different validation/verification body. Additionally, where a validation/verification body verifies the final six consecutive years of a project crediting period, the project crediting period renewal validation shall be undertaken by a different validation/verification body. Notwithstanding these rules, where AFOLU projects have verification periods longer than six years, a validation/verification body is permitted to verify more than six consecutive years of a project's GHG emission reductions or removals, and the subsequent verification shall be undertaken by a different validation/verification body."

Considering the facts and background information provided during this analysis, Verra is able to grant an exemption from Section 4.1.20(2) of the *VCS Standard, v4.1*, to the Project Developer for Projects 308 (monitoring period 16 July 2009 to 27 March 2016) and 828 (monitoring period 01 January 2010 to 30 March 2016). Please submit this letter to the Verra Registry when requesting for verification approval.



One Thomas Circle, NW
Suite 1050
Washington, DC 20005
www.verra.org

Please note, exemptions are granted by Verra on a case-by-case basis and do not form the basis of, or set a precedent for, future exemption request approvals or denials.

This letter will be uploaded to the Verra Registry as a public document.

Sincerely,

Tanushree Bagh Mukherjee

Tanushree Bagh Mukherjee
Senior Program Manager, Verra Programs
Verra