PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised inVerra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval.The documentwill be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	482
Project Name	2.5 MW Bundled Wind Power Project in Maharashtra (India)
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	02 July 2011 – 24 April 2017
Project Proponent	Raj Infrastructures Development, (India) Pvt. Ltd.
Methodology	AMS-I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation – Version 13
Sectoral Scope(s)	1 Energy industries
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A.(Applus+ Certification)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Version 4
Date of First Issue	20 June 2022
Review Conclusion	Verification Approved
Date of Final Issue	14 March 2023

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	**Start Date:** The start date of the project activity should be the same as the start date of the crediting period. The VVB is required to request the PP to justify why the start date of the project is not the same as the start of the crediting period. **Programrule:** VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.7	**Round1:** VVB Response: This was also pointed out during the VCS first Verification, with reference to VCS verification report[1] section 3.1 that the VCUs can be registered back to the project start date provisions in VCS 2007.1 Clause 5.2.1. It was found that the date of completion of validation is 24/04/2009 which is the date of validation report submitted for request for registration, thus two years prior to the completion of validation is 25/04/2007. With reference to the verification report, an appropriate justification was found. Therefore, the crediting period start date is not matching with the start date. Verra Review: Information provided is sufficient, no further action is required.	Closed
2	**Crediting period start:** The monitoring report states that the start of the crediting period is on 12 June 2009, however, there was a previous issuance from 25.04.2007 to 31.05.2009 under the VCS. The VVB is required to justify why the abovementioned date is not stated as the crediting period start date.	**Round1:** VVB Response: The crediting start date is now been corrected as 25/04/2007 in the MR. Verra Review: Information provided is sufficient, no further action is required.	Closed

[1] https://registry.verra.org/mymodule/ProjectDoc/Project_ViewFile.asp?FileID=2679&IDKEY=f98klasmf8jflkasf8098afnasfkj98f0a9sfsakjflsakjf8da3694341

	Program rule: VCS Standard v4.2,Section 3.8		
3	**Monitoring periods:** There is a gap in the issuances from 1 to 11 June 2009. Monitoring periods should be contiguous. The VVB is required to verify that there is no negative issuance during the gap period. **Program rule:** VCS Standard v4.2,Section 3.4.4	**Round1**: VVB Response: PP clarified and added a deviation that due to ease of data calculation, VCS first verification selected monitoring end date was 31/05/2009 and second verification concluded in CDM with verification start date 12/06/2009 i.e. the registration date. The PP is not willing to confiscate credits from 1 to 11 June 2009, therefore there is no negative issuance during the gap period. Verra Review: Information provided is sufficient, no further action is required.	Closed
4	**Emission reductions calculations:** The MR states that the electricity supplied to the grid is 20,491 MWh and the electricity drawn from the grid is 100 MWh, then, net electricity delivered to the grid is 20,433 MWh. The VVB is required to explain how the Net electricity delivered to the grid value was determined. **Program rule:** VCS Standard v4.2, Section 2.2, Conservativeness principle	**Round1**: VVB Response: As per the ER sheet the net electricity delivered to the grid is now corrected as 20,433 MWh. The FVR section 3.3 has been updated with inclusion of deviation. Verra Review: Information provided is sufficient, no further action is required.	Closed
5	**Calibration:** The calibration information provided in Appendix 1 of the MR is not clear. The VVB must request the PP to provide clear information on the calibration dates for each meter.	**Round1**: VVB Response: The Calibration information provided in appendix 1 of the MR updated in order to make clear understanding. The FVR section 4.5 has been updated accordingly. Verra Review: Information provided is sufficient, no further action is required.	Closed

	Program rule: VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.15.5		
6	**Project description deviations:** The VVB is required to provide further information on how the apportioning procedure will be applied following the formula described in the monitoring report page 22, when the parameters required to apply it are not monitored anymore, as required by the deviation. **Program rule:** VCS Standard,Section 3.19	**Round1:** VVB Response: As per section 4.3 of the monitoring report, the apportioning approach has been defined for the parameters $EG_{JMR,Raj\ ,export}$ - $EG_{JMR,Raj\ ,import}$. In the project scenario, breakup sheets have been provided which clearly states the Export and import values of the WTGs involved in the project activity and thus the following parameters have been removed: • Total Electricity Generated from the other wind turbines attached to the common MSEDCL meter at the sub-station • Electricity supplied to the grid from SEL wind farm from all wind turbines (including project activity) attached to the common MSEDCL meter at the substation and metered jointly by SEL representative and MSEDCL • Electricity drawn from the grid by SEL wind farm for all wind turbines (including project activity) attached to the common MSEDCL meter at the substation and metered jointly by SEL representative and MSEDCL Also the apportioning procedure has been updated in section 4.3 of the monitoring report. The same has been mentioned in section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report. The apportioning in this monitoring period has been done due to mismatch of the JMR billing cycle and the monitoring period. The apportioning procedure has now been mentioned in section 4.3 “monitoring plan” and the same has now been mentioned in section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report. The apportioning has been done in the first month and last month of the monitoring period for both the WTGs.	Closed

		Verra Review: Information provided is sufficient, no further action is required.	
7	**Start Date:** The VVB is required to explain why the following sentence was included in the VR given that it was also stated that there is no delay in calibration. "Hence it can be concluded that the approach followed by the PP is conservative and in line with the guidelines provided under paragraph 366(a) of VVS version 03". **Program rule:** VCS Standard v4.2, Section 2.2, Consistency principle	**Round1**: VVB Response: There has been no delay in the calibration and hence the irrelevant information has now been removed from the Verification Report. Verra Review: Information provided is sufficient, no further action is required.	Closed