

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	1823
Project Name	21.8 MW Wind Power Project at Jangi Vandhiya, Gujarat by Powerica Limited
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	01-January-2021 to 31-December-2021
Project Proponent	Powerica Limited
Methodology	ACM0002 “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources.” Version 15.0.
VVB	LGAI Technological Center S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.2, VCS Standard V4.1 ACM0002 v.15
Date of First Issue	27 th August 2022
Review Conclusion	Closed
Date of Final Issue	22 nd November 2022

FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
<u>1</u>	<p>Missing details of GHG credits issued under other GHG programs</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 1.9 of the MR does not provide details of the credits issued under this program as also raised in finding CAR 02.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that section 1.9 of the MR includes the details of the credits issued under the CDM.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.20</p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 1.9</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: Project Participant has incorporated the details of the credits issued under the CDM in section 1.9 of the monitoring report. VVB confirmed that there is no Credits issued under CDM.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	Closed
<u>2</u>	<p>Previous and new deviations</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 3.2.2 of the MR does not distinguish between previously approved project deviations, and new deviations.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB is required to ensure that section 3.2.2 of the MR reports correct details of the previous and current deviations. Further, Section 3.3 of the VR shall be updated accordingly.</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has now clearly indicated the deviation which has been sought in previous monitoring period and requested during the current monitoring period. Deviation 1 was taken during previous monitoring period 12-June-2014 to 30-September-2018. Deviation 2 and deviation 3 were taken during monitoring period 01-January-2020 to 31-December-2020. The same has been updated in in section 3.2.2 of the MR. There is no deviation applied by the PP during the current monitoring period.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	Closed

<p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Standard v.4.2, Section 3.19</p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 3.2.2</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 3.3</p>		
<p>3</p> <p>Missing monitoring plan details</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 4.3 of the MR does not discuss emergency preparedness implemented as prescribed in the registered VCS PD.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that section 4.3 of the MR includes details on emergency preparedness and add information on how it verified the same.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 4.3</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: Details on emergency preparedness have been now added in section 4.3 of the monitoring report.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>4</p> <p>VCS start date not reported</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 1.1 of the MR does not report the project start date as per the VCS project start date definition.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that the VCS start date is reported as per the VCS definition in section 1.1 of the MR.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Standard v.4.2, Section 3.7</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has incorporated the VCS project start date has been added in section 1.1 of the MR.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1,
Section 1.1

<p>5</p> <p>Incorrect CDM crediting period</p> <p>Issue</p> <p>Section 1.6 of the MR reports incorrect crediting period.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must ensure that section 1.6 of the MR reports the correct CDM crediting period.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1 Section 1.6</p> <p>Background</p> <p>The crediting period mentioned on the CDM webpage of the project is '17 Oct 15 - 16 Sep 25'. The MR states this CP to be 17 Oct 14 - 16 Sep 24.</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has corrected the CDM crediting period in section 1.6 of the MR.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>Round 2: No further action is required.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>6</p> <p>Missing signoff from appropriate VVB office</p> <p>Issue</p> <p>The VR does not include a signoff from the appropriate VVB office corresponding with accreditation.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must ensure that VR includes a signoff from the appropriate VVB office corresponding with accreditation.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: In VR signoff from the appropriate VVB office corresponding with accreditation has been included.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>

<p>7</p>	<p>Insufficient details of remote site visit</p>	<p>Round1:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p><u>Issue</u> As per the contents of section 2.3 and 2.4 of the VR, it is unclear if a remote site visit (video conferencing) was conducted or the VVB solely relied on secondary evidence</p>		<p>VVB Response: VVB has conducted the remote audit through videos conferencing. The same is now confirmed in sections 2.3. VVB has also updated the Section 2.4 of the VR described the mode through which remote site visit was conducted and WTGs were assessed.</p>	
<p><u>Action item</u> The VVB must describe clearly if a remote site visit (video conferencing) was actually conducted at site. If yes, then they must describe the mode through which it was conducted, which WTGs they assessed and how were those WTGs selected.</p>		<p>Round 2:</p>	
<p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u> <i>VCS Standard v4.1, Section 4.1.2</i> <i>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 2.1, 2.3, 2.4</i></p>		<p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p>	
<p>8</p>	<p>Missing process of resolution of findings</p>	<p>Round1:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p><u>Issue</u> Section 2.5 of the VR does not describe the process for the resolution of findings</p>		<p>VVB Response: VVB has amended the section 2.5 which described the process the resolution of findings. Also, it is indicated in section 2.5 that response of each findings and resolution is provided in Appendix 2 of this report.</p>	
<p><u>Action item</u> The VVB must describe the process they adopt to resolve each type of finding in this section</p>		<p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p>	
<p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u> <i>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 2.5</i></p>		<p>Round 2:</p>	

9 **Inconsistent assessment on change in project design** **Round1:** Closed

Issue

Section 4.1 of the VR states that there is a change in the contact details of the PP in during this MP. Whereas there is a change in the accuracy class of the meters at the substation instead.

Action item

The VVB must ensure that their assessment is reported consistently in all sections of the VR.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 4.1

VVB Response: VVB has confirmed that contact details of PP changed during the current monitoring period. The accuracy class of meter was changed during monitoring period:01-janaury-2020 to 31-Decemeber-2020 and deviation was also sought during the same period. Moreover, PP has updated the section 4.1 and incorporated the changes of accuracy class of meters in Section 4.1 of VR.

Verra Review: No further action is required.

Round 2:

10 **Incorrect methodology title** **Round1:** Closed

Issue

Section 1.8 and all other relevant sections of the MR and VR state the methodology title as “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”. Whereas the correct title of ACM0002 v.15 is “Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources.”

Action item

The VVB must ensure that section 1.8 and all other relevant sections MR and VR are updated with the correct methodology title.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1
VCS Verification Report Template v4.1

VVB Response: The title of applied methodology ACM0002- Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources (version 15) has been updated in MR and VR. VVB apologies for the error.

Verra Review: No further action is required.

Round 2:

<p>11 Missing information on the procedure for document review</p> <p>Issue</p> <p>Section 2.2 of the VR does not describe how the verification was performed as an audit where the project description, monitoring report and any supporting documents were reviewed, cross checked and compared with identified and stated requirements.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must ensure that section 2.2 of the VR is updated in line with the VCS VR template requirements.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Standard v4.2, Section 4.1.13</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 2.2</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: Section 2.2 of the VR has been updated as per the VCS VR template requirements. The verification was performed through remote audit and the project description, monitoring report and supporting documents were reviewed, cross checked and compared with identified and stated requirements during the verification process. The details of the document reviewed/checked observed during the verification process are listed below in Appendix 1 VR.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>12 Incorrect project URL</p> <p>Issue</p> <p>The Verra URL included in section 4.1 of the VR is for project ID 891 and not 1823.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must ensure that they include the correct project URL in section 4.1 of the VR.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 4.1</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: The project URL https://registry.verra.org/app/projectDetail/VCS/1823 has been corrected in Section 4.1 of VR.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>