

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	676
Project Name	33.9 Mw Bundled Wind Power Project in States of Karnataka and Tamil Nadu
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	21 December 2010 – 20 December 2016
Project Proponent	Simran Wind Project Private Limited
Methodology	ACM0002, Consolidated baseline methodology for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version-10.
VVB	LGAI Technological Center S.A.
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.2
Date of First Issue	10 June 2022
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	04 August 2022

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p>Project Description Deviation</p> <p>The VVB is requested to ask the project proponent to explain on whether the project description (PD) deviation impacts the applicability of the methodology, additionality, or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario and provide an explanation of the outcome.</p> <p>Program rule:</p> <p><i>VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.19; VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.0, Section 3.2.2</i></p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has updated section 3.2.2 of MR and mentioned that the requested deviation would not impact applicability of the methodology, additionality, or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario of the project activity. VVB found the same correct thus accepted.</p> <p>Verra Review: The project proponent updated Section 3.2.2. of the monitoring report to discuss any implications of the PD deviation. The VVB also updated Section 3.3 of the verification report to reflect the same. This finding is now closed.</p>	Closed
2	<p>Addition of other entity</p> <p>The VVB is requested to assess the addition of an “other entity” (i.e., EKI Energy Services Limited) as a PD deviation in Section 3.3 of the verification report. Additionally, the VVB is requested to ask the project proponent to report this addition as a PD deviation under Section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report.</p> <p>Program rule:</p> <p><i>VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.19; VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0, Section 3.2.2; VCS Verification Report, v4.0, Section 3.3</i></p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response: In section 3.2.2 of revised MR, PP has requested deviation related to addition of “EKI energy Services Limited” as other entity under section 1.4 of MR. Same is assessed by VVB and found accepted.</p> <p>Verra Review: The project proponent updated Section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report to mention the PD deviation of adding an “other entity”. The VVB also updated Section 3.3 of the verification report to reflect the same. This finding is now closed.</p>	Closed

3 Delayed meter calibration

Closed

The VVB is requested to ask the project proponent to describe the meter calibration delays in Section 3.1 of the monitoring report. The delayed meter calibration is mentioned in Section 4.5 of the verification report but not in the monitoring report.

Program rule:

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.0, Section 3.1;

Round 1:

VVB Response: Section 3.1 of monitoring report has been revised by PP and mentioned details about the delay in calibration of monitoring meters of the project activity. Details Information related meters and its calibration referred to appendix 1 of revised MR. Same is verified by VVB during verification and found accepted.

Verra Review: The project proponent updated Section 3.1 of the monitoring report with information about the delayed meter calibration. This finding is now closed.