

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

Project ID	349
Project Name	8.35 MW Wind Power Project at Guddarangavana Halli, District Chitradurga, State Karnataka, India
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	01 October 2011 to 27 March 2016
Project Proponent	MSPL Limited
Methodology	AMS-I.D., Grid connected renewable electricity generation, Version 13.0
Sectoral Scope(s)	Sectoral Scope 1, Energy (renewable/non-renewable)
Validation/Verification Body (VVB)	LGAI Technological Center S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.0, AMS-I.D., v13.0
Date of First Issue	22 April 2021
Date of Final Issue	10 June 2021

Summary:

An accuracy review of the 8.35 MW Wind Power Project at Guddarangavana Halli, District Chitradurga, State Karnataka verification approval request has been conducted by Verra in accordance with Section 4.3 of the *Registration and Issuance Process*.

The accuracy review has raised six assessment findings and no minor findings, detailed below. The VVB, in coordination with the project proponent, is hereby required to provide a response to the assessment findings presented in Section 1. The six assessment findings must be addressed to the satisfaction of Verra.

This project review report will be made publicly available. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

1. ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

Finding 1

Section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the project proponent shall use the VCS Monitoring Report Template...and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 1.4 of the *VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0* requests the project proponent to provide contact information in the table about other entities involved in the project.

Section 1.4 of the monitoring report stated that project proponent, MSPL Limited (MSPL), worked with Ramgad Minerals and Mining Limited (RMMPL) on the project. However, no contact information was provided using the table.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 1.4 to provide the contact information of the other entity involved in the project. The VVB is requested to assess the information provided.

VVB Response:

Contact information table has been added for RMML along with MSPL. Also, section 1.4 has been revised as the communication agreement stated EKI Energy as an authorised representative.

Verra Response:

Section 1.3 has been updated to include contact information for MSPL and RMMPL. Section 1.4 has now been updated to include EKI Energy Services Limited as an entity involved in the project.

Since this is a deviation to the validated project description, the project proponent is requested to update Section 3.2.2 of the project description accordingly. The VVB is requested to assess this deviation in Section 3.3 of the verification report accordingly.

Furthermore, the project proponent is requested to share the updated communications agreement.

VVB Response:

Section 3.2.2 of MR V2 has been updated as per requirements, the same has been assess and included in the verification report.

Declaration of Agency and Communication Agreement document dated 04-March-2021 is being shared.

Verra Response:

Section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report and Section 3.3 of the verification report have been updated to describe the project description deviation. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 2

Section 1.6 of the monitoring report stated that the project crediting period start date is 28-Mar-2006. However, the start date of the first monitoring period is 01-Apr-2006.

The project proponent is requested to clarify why the project’s first monitoring period start date is not the start date of the project crediting period. The VVB is requested to assess the information provided.

VVB Response:

The monitoring report is revised with mention of 01/04/2006 as start date of crediting period. However the first monitoring date start with 01-April-2006. Also second monitoring report has mentioned crediting period as 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2016. VCS MR is revised with consistent crediting period.

Verra Response:

Section 1.6 of the monitoring report has been updated to clarify the project crediting period, which has been changed in the second monitoring report. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 3

Section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the project proponent shall use the VCS Monitoring Report Template...and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 1.10 of the *VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0* requests the project proponent to include information about emission trading programs and other binding limits.

Section 1.10 of the monitoring report did not mention if the project used net GHG emission reductions or removals generated during this monitoring period under emission trading programs or binding limits. Furthermore, Section 4.1 of the verification report did not assess whether the project is participating under an emission trading program or binding limit.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 1.10 of the monitoring report to include information about emission trading programs and other binding limits. The VVB is requested to assess this information and update Section 4.1 of the verification report accordingly.

VVB Response:

This is to confirm neither the project is a result of any binding limit nor participated in any other emission trading platform. VVB has cross checked the information with the available national or International project registries.

Verra Response:

Section 1.10 of the monitoring report has been updated to include information about whether the project is participating in any emission trading programs or binding limits. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 4

Section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the project proponent shall use the VCS Monitoring Report Template...and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 3.1 of the *VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0* requests the project proponent to include information about the events that may impact the GHG emission reductions or removals and monitoring.

Section 3.1 of the monitoring report mentioned that the project underwent scheduled maintenance but did not provide information about the events.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 3.1 of the monitoring report to include information about any events that may impact the GHG emission reductions or removals and

monitoring.

VVB Response:

Section 3.1 is updated to confirm that Apart from maintenance activities, there are no any such events that may impact the GHG emission reductions or removals and monitoring. Major maintenance activities are added in the Appendix 3 of the MR V02

Verra Response:

Section 3.1 of the monitoring report has been updated to include information about major maintenance events during the monitoring period. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 5

Section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the project proponent shall use the VCS Monitoring Report Template...and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 4.3 of the *VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0* requests the project proponent to include information about the organizational structure, responsibilities and competencies of the personnel that carried out the monitoring activities.

Section 4.3 of the monitoring report did not include information about the organizational structure, responsibilities and competencies of the personnel that carried out the monitoring activities.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 4.3 accordingly. The VVB may assess the information provided.

VVB Response:

The organisational working structures with responsibilities are now mentioned in section 4.3 of the MR V2. This information was verified during site visit.

Verra Response:

Section 3.4.3 of the monitoring report has been updated to include information about the organizational structure, responsibilities and competencies of the personnel carrying out the monitoring activities. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 6

Section 4.1.14 of the *VCS Standard, v4.0* states that “the validation/verification body shall use the VCS Verification Report Template... and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 5 of the *VCS Verification Report Template, v4.0* provides a template table for the GHG emission reductions and removals.

While Section 5 of the verification report included table for the GHG emission reductions and removals, it is not the same table provided in the template.

The VVB is requested to update Section 5 accordingly,

VVB Response:

Table in the section 5 has been revised as per the *VCS Verification Report Template V4.0*

Verra Response:

Section 5 of the verification report has been updated to include the GHG emission reductions and removals table per the report template. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

2. MINOR FINDINGS

No minor findings were raised.

3. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

On 22 April 2021, Verra conducted a review of the verification approval request for project ID 349, 8.35 MW Wind Power Project at Guddarangavana Halli, District Chitradurga, State Karnataka, India, the results of which can be found above. The project review report was sent to LGAI Technological Center, S.A. and MSPL Limited.

On 13 May 2021, Verra closed all review findings, except Finding 1 above. The project review report was sent to LGAI Technological Center, S.A., MSPL Limited, Ramgad Minerals and Mining Limited and EKI Energy Services Limited.

On 10 June 2021, Verra closed the review and no further response is required.