

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

Project ID	1437
Project Name	<i>Alaçati Wind Power Project, Turkey</i>
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	<i>03-Jun-2016 to 28-February-2021</i>
Project Proponent	<i>Egenda Ege Enerji Üretim A.Ş.</i>
Methodology	<i>ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation for renewable sources - Version 16.0</i>
Sectoral Scope(s)	<i>01 – Energy Industries (renewables / non-renewables)</i>
Validation/Verification Body (VVB)	<i>Re Carbon Ltd.</i>
Assessment Criteria	<i>VCS Standard, v4.1</i>
Date of First Issue	21 September 2021
Date of Final Issue	<pending>

Summary:

An accuracy review of the Alaçati Wind Power Project, Turkey Ltd. verification approval request has been conducted by Verra in accordance with Section 4.3 of the Registration and Issuance Process.

The accuracy review has raised two assessment findings and no minor findings, detailed below. The project proponent is hereby required to provide a response to the assessment findings presented in Section 1. The assessment findings must be addressed to the satisfaction of Verra.

This project review report will be made publicly available. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

1. ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

Finding 1

Section 5.4 of the *Monitoring Report: VCS Version 4.0 template* requires the PP to quantify the net GHG emission reductions and removals, summarizing the key results using the table below.

Section 5.4 of the Monitoring report doesn't describe how the net GHG emission reductions and removals were quantified.

The PP is requested to define under Section 5.4 of the monitoring report how the net GHG emissions reductions and removals are quantified.

VVB Response:

In Section 5.1 of the MR, it has already been summarized how the net GHG emission reductions and removals were quantified. All formulas and calculations exist under this section of the MR and ER Calculation Excel spreadsheet. Net GHG emissions reductions and removals were summarized under Section 5.4 of the MR as in all other recently completed and issued VSC projects.

Section 5.4 of the monitoring report has been updated to define (with a formula) how net GHG ERRs are quantified.

Verra Response:

Section 5.1 of the monitoring report summarises how baseline emissions are quantified but does not summarise how net GHG emission reductions and removals are quantified.

Section 5.4 of the *Monitoring Report: VCS Version 4.0 template* requires the PP to quantify the net GHG emission reductions and removals, summarizing the key results using the table.

Section 5.4 of the monitoring report summarizes the net emission reductions and removals using a table but does not define how net GHG emission reductions and removals are derived.

The PP is requested to update section 5.4 of the monitoring report to define (with a formula) how net GHG ERRs are quantified.

VVB Response:

Although such details are available in the Section 5.1 of the MR and ER Calculation Excel spreadsheet, Section 5.4 of the MR has been revised by PP accordingly. Please see the Section 5.4 of the revised MR.

Verra Response:

Section 5.4 of the monitoring report has been updated and now defines how the net GHG emissions reductions and removals are quantified. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

Finding 2

Section 3.3 of the *Verification Report: VCS Version 4.0 template* requires the PP to provide an assessment of whether the deviation is appropriately described and justified, and whether the project remains in compliance with the VCS rules.

Section 3.3 of the Verification report doesn't provide an assessment of whether the deviation is appropriately described and justified, and whether the project remains in compliance with the VCS rules.

The VVB is requested to provide an assessment of whether the deviation is appropriately described and justified, and whether the project remains in compliance with the VCS rules under Section 3.3 of the Verification report.

VVB Response:

Actually, there is no deviation but there is change in other entity involved in the project as carbon consultant during this verification process and this has no impact on the project to be in compliance with the VCS rules and requirements. Please also see the Section 3.3 of the revised verification report.

The communication agreement was also submitted to Verra in line with information by PP.

Verra Response:

Section 3.3 of the verification report has been updated to confirm that the deviation is appropriately described and justified, and the project remains in compliance with the VCS rules. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.

2. MINOR FINDINGS

No minor findings were raised.

3. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

On 14 September 2021, Verra completed an accuracy review of project ID 1437, Alaçati Wind Power Project, Turkey, the results of which can be found above.

On 14 September 2021, Verra sent the findings report to Egenda Ege Enerji Üretim A.Ş. and Re Carbon Ltd.

On 21 September 2021, Verra closed all findings, and no further response is required