

# PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

<b>Project ID</b>	1437
<b>Project Name</b>	Alaçatı Wind Power Project, Turkey
<b>Review Type</b>	Verification Approval
<b>Program(s)</b>	VCS Program
<b>Verification Period</b>	01 March 2021 –29 February 2024
<b>Project Proponent</b>	Egenda Ege Enerji Üretim A.Ş.
<b>Methodology</b>	ACM 0002 , Grid connected electricity Generation from renewable sources, Version 16
<b>VVB</b>	RINA Services S.p.A.
<b>Assessment Criteria</b>	VCS Standard v 4.5
<b>Date of First Issue</b>	02 October 2024
<b>Review Conclusion</b>	Approved
<b>Date of Final Issue</b>	09 October 2024

## FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
<b>1</b>	<b>Data and parameters available at validation</b>		
	<p><u>Issue</u> The “Data and Parameters Available at Validation” in section 4.1 of the MR is not consistent with the registered Project Description section 4.1.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u> VVB shall ensure that the “Data and Parameters Available at Validation” in section 4.1 of the MR are consistent with the registered Project Description section 4.1.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Monitoring Report v 4.3, Section 4.1 VCS Registered PD, v 1, date 24/02/2015, Section 4.1 VCS Verification Report v 4.3, Section 4.3</p>	<p><b>Round 1</b></p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> Section 4.1. has been revised in accordance with the registered PD.</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> Data and Parameters Available at Validation” in section 4.1 of the MR are now consistent with the registered Project Description. This issue is closed now.</p> <p><b>Round 2</b></p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><b>Round 3</b></p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p>	Closed
<b>2</b>	<b>Percentage difference of achieved ERRs and its impact on additionality</b>		
	<p><u>Issue</u> In Section 5.4 of the Monitoring Report and Section 5.3 of the Verification Report, it has been observed that in the year 2021, estimated emission reductions are 50.3% higher than the ex-ante emission reductions. VVB has not properly justified the difference and its impact on additionality.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u> VVB must justify the difference and provide an assessment of the higher energy yield’s impact on additionality, including the sensitivity analysis.</p>	<p><b>Round 1</b></p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> Calculation of total days in Comp.of Baseline Emissions were kept less by mistake. As a result of revision, the energy yield is overall 0.1 % less. ER sheet and the reports have been revised accordingly.</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> The ERR calculation sheet now corrects an error in counting applicable days in 2021 when calculating a value for Ex–ante emission reductions. PP has corrected the error in section 5.4 of</p>	Closed

	<p><u>Program Rule(s)</u>  <i>VCS Monitoring Report, v4.3, Section 5.4</i>  <i>VCS Verification Report v4.3, Section 5.3</i>  <i>VCS Registered PD, v 1, date 24/02/2015, Section 4.1</i></p>	<p>the MR and in the ERR calculation sheet. Section 5.3 of the VR is also now corrected. The percentage difference is now 0.1%, which is accepted. No further action is required. This issue is closed now.</p>	
		<p><b>Round 2</b></p>	
		<p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p>	
		<p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p>	
		<p><b>Round 3</b></p>	
		<p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p>	
		<p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p>	