



**Gold Standard**<sup>®</sup>  
for the **Global Goals**

# **VERIFICATION AND CERTIFICATION REPORT OF**

## **ALIAGA WIND FARM**

### **(GS735)**



**Prepared by BUREAU VERITAS (INDIA) PVT. LTD.**

**MONITORING PERIOD: 09/04/2024 to 31/08/2025**

<b>Title of the Project Activity</b>	Aliaga Wind Farm
<b>GS Reference Number of the Project Activity</b>	GS735
<b>Version Number of the Verification Report</b>	04
<b>Completion Date of the Verification Report</b>	17/02/2026
<b>Monitoring Period Number</b>	6 <sup>th</sup> MP (1 <sup>st</sup> MP of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> CP)
<b>Duration of the Monitoring Period</b>	09/04/2024 - 31/08/2025
<b>Current Monitoring Report Version</b>	v02
<b>Project Participant(s)</b>	Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.
<b>Host Party</b>	Turkey
<b>Sectoral Scope(s)</b>	01
<b>Applied Methodology(ies)</b>	ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 21.0
<b>Verified Emission Reductions</b>	267,147 tCO <sub>2e</sub>
<b>Name of the GS VVB</b>	Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd.
<b>Team Leader of the Verification</b>	Ms. Öykü YAKUPOĞLU
<b>Independent Technical Reviewer of the Verification</b>	Mr. Sanjay PATANKAR – ITR 1 Mr. Samuel ONSONGO – ITR 2 (Final)



---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Objective .....	4
1.2	Scope.....	4
1.3	Verification Stages .....	5
1.4	Description of the Project Activity .....	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Verification Process .....</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Appointment of the Verification Team.....	13
2.2	List of Documents Reviewed.....	16
2.3	Site Visits .....	18
2.4	Verification Protocol .....	22
2.5	Remaining Forward Action Requests (FARs) .....	23
2.6	Internal Quality Control .....	23
<b>3</b>	<b>VERIFICATION Findings .....</b>	<b>24</b>
3.1	Project Implementation .....	24
3.2	Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Parameters.....	25
3.3	Calculation of GHG Emission Reductions .....	33
3.4	Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC Procedures).....	35
3.5	Post Registration Changes .....	35
<b>4</b>	<b>Verification OPINION.....</b>	<b>36</b>
	<b>APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL.....</b>	<b>40</b>

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Objective

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. has been appointed by “Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” to perform the 6<sup>th</sup> verification process (1<sup>st</sup> MP of the 3<sup>rd</sup> CP) of “Aliaga Wind Farm”. The objective of this verification activity is to assess, with objective evidences:

- Whether the implementation and operation of the project activity is compatible with the information provided in the registered GS4GG PDD (v09, dated 16/12/2024)
- Whether all physical features of the project activity indicated in the registered GS4GG PDD (v09, dated 16/12/2024) (e.g. installed technology, monitoring equipment and so on) are in place
- Whether the quantifications of the emission reductions and supporting documents are accurate, complete, transparent, and free of material error and consistent with the project activity’s monitoring plan and the requirements set out in the applied methodology, the applied methodological tools, and Gold Standard for Global Goals (GS4GG) Principles and Requirements, v2.1.
- Whether the monitoring systems and processes in place are in accordance with the monitoring systems and procedures outlined in the approved methodology and the monitoring plan in the registered GS4GG PDD (v09, dated 16/12/2024)
- Whether the necessary ex-ante and ex-post data are chosen correctly and monitored in accordance with the applied methodology, tools and GS requirements

## 1.2 Scope

The scope of this verification activity which conducted by Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. is the independent and objective review of the project activity and relevant achieved GHG emission reductions. In this regard, the scope is set by:

- GS4GG Principles and Requirements, v2.1

- GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard, v2.0
- GS4GG Safeguarding Principles and Requirements, v2.1
- Requirements of GS4GG-MR-FORM, v.1.1
- ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 21.0
- All associated methodological tools
- Environmental and social issues related to the Sectoral Scope 01: Energy industries (renewable -/ non renewable sources)
- ISO 14064-3:2019 Greenhouse Gases – Part 3: Specification with guidance for the verification validation of greenhouse gas statements

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. cannot be held liable by any party for decisions made or not made based on the verification, beyond the purpose of the issuance process of the project activity as part of the GS4GG project cycle.

### 1.3 Verification Stages

The verification process included the following independent and objective activities:

- Select a verification team. The verification team was selected according to Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd.'s GHG Verification Policies & Procedures to ensure team members are qualified to perform verification activities pertaining to the Project. The verification team consisted of the following individuals:

Team Leader: Ms. Öykü YAKUPOĞLU

Trainee Verifier: Ms. Dilay DURMUŞ

Trainee Verifier: Ms. Özge ŞAHİNKAYA

- Perform a conflict of interest assessment. There were no conflicts of interest identified between Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. and Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.

- Conduct a kick-off meeting with Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş. to introduce the Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş. and Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. teams, review the verification objectives, process, GS requirements, and confirm the auditing schedule
- Develop a verification plan to be used throughout the verification process.
- Review the current MR (v02, dated 16/02/2026) against GS Standard requirements and applied Methodology requirements. Information in the current MR (v02, dated 16/02/2026) was the primary focus of the verification process. Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. cross-checked information in the MR against supporting evidence and documents to confirm the project start date, location, selection and continuity of baseline scenario, ownership, and monitoring plan.
- Conduct a physical site visit (dated 12/09/2025) to selected project activity instances. Details about the selection of project activity instances selected and the site visit are discussed in more detail in section 2.4 of this Verification report below.
- Review the accuracy and reasonableness of the achieved emission reductions for the 6<sup>th</sup> Monitoring Period (1<sup>st</sup> Monitoring Period of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Crediting Period).
- Issue corrective action requests (CARs), clarification requests (CLs), and forward action requests (FARs) as necessary
- Issue a Verification report
- Hold an exit meeting with Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.

The key milestones of the verification activity are as follows:

Verification Milestone	Date
Kick-off Meeting	08/09/2025
Desk Review	08/09/2025 – 21/09/2025
On-site Visit	12/09/2025
Preparation of the Draft Verification Report	21/09/2025
Independent Technical Review	DD/MM/YYYY
Preparation of the Final Verification Report	DD/MM/YYYY

#### 1.4 Description of the Project Activity

“Aliaga Wind Farm” project activity has been firstly implemented by “Bergama RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” as per the commissioning certificates of the wind. The company later changed its name to Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş., and the relevant Official Gazette evidencing this name change has been shared with the VVB.

The purpose of the project is to produce renewable electricity and to contribute to Turkey’s growing electricity demand through a sustainable and low carbon technology. The project activity is located in Aliaga District, İzmir Province, Turkey. An important point to note is that while the current installed capacity of the project is 120 MWe, the GS-registered capacity is 90 MWe, and emission reductions are claimed only for this capacity in line with the approved PDD.

The commissioning dates of the wind turbines have been confirmed by the verification team via the commissioning certificates of the turbines. As per these documents, the commissioning dates, installed capacities and types of the turbines are as follows:

Wind Turbines	Commissioning Dates	Installed Capacities	Turbine Type
T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T11, T12, T13, T14, T15, T16, T17, T18, T19, T20, T21	09/04/2010	21 x 2.5 MW = 52.5 MW	N90/2500
T22, T23, T24, T25, T26, T27, T28, T29, T30, T31, T32, T33, T34, T35, T36	16/06/2010	15 x 2.5 MW = 37.5 MW	N90/2500
T42, T43 (not included in the GS4GG project)	01/07/2016	2 x 3 MW = 6 MW	N117/3000
T37, T39, T40, T41, T44, T45 (not included in the GS4GG project)	12/08/2016	6 x 3 MW = 18 MW	N117/3000
T38, T46 (not included in the GS4GG project)	02/09/2016	2 x 3 MW = 6 MW	N117/3000

Since the capacity of the project activity approved by GS4GG is 90 MWe, newly added turbines (30 MWe) have not been taken into account for emission reduction calculations in the current monitoring period.

The project coordinates of the wind turbines have been confirmed via the generation license. Also, the KMZ file of the wind turbines were provided by the project proponent.

As per this document, the project coordinates of the wind turbines are as follows:

Wind Turbine No.	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	Wind Turbine No.	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
1	516854	4309160	24	515669	4306476
2	516953	4308893	25	515835	4306262
3	517100	4308549	26	515950	4306014
4	516777	4308033	27	514933	4308637
5	517103	4308152	28	516297	4305555
6	517212	4307907	29	516528	4305390
7	517358	4307663	30	516743	4305219
8	517587	4307526	31	516990	4305106
9	517830	4307424	32	517178	4304868

<b>10</b>	518094	4307362	<b>33</b>	517246	4304337
<b>11</b>	518278	4307172	<b>34</b>	517456	4304162
<b>12</b>	515612	4308895	<b>35</b>	517579	4303920
<b>13</b>	515727	4308637	<b>36</b>	517704	4303679
<b>14</b>	515222	4308540	<b>37<sup>1</sup></b>	514721	4307670
<b>15</b>	515437	4308354	<b>38<sup>1</sup></b>	514981	4307520
<b>16</b>	515657	4308197	<b>39<sup>1</sup></b>	515301	4307433
<b>17</b>	515859	4308019	<b>40<sup>1</sup></b>	518301	4307697
<b>18</b>	516073	4307830	<b>41<sup>1</sup></b>	516118	4308483
<b>19</b>	516218	4307602	<b>42<sup>1</sup></b>	516451	4308050
<b>20</b>	516225	4307149	<b>43<sup>1</sup></b>	516713	4309419
<b>21</b>	516487	4306972	<b>44<sup>1</sup></b>	518244	4308429
<b>22</b>	515358	4306901	<b>45<sup>1</sup></b>	518263	4308120
<b>23</b>	515490	4306677	<b>46<sup>1</sup></b>	518575	4307200

---

<sup>1</sup> These turbines were installed after the initial Gold Standard registration and are not included in the GS-registered project boundary. The electricity generation from these turbines is excluded from emission reduction claims.

---

The chronology timeline of the project activity is as follows:

Date	Milestone
02/06/2008	Investment decision Date
17/07/2008	Generation License Date
08/10/2008	Signing of VERPA Agreement
07/11/2008	Local Stakeholder Meeting
07/04/2009	Revision of Generation License
08/05/2009	Turbine Supply and Installation Agreement
20/05/2009	Agreement with the VVB
17/07/2009	Construction/ Recruitment Start Date
25/08/2009	Site Visit Conducted
03/09/2009	LSC Report Uploaded to GS Registry
15/10/2009	LSC Feedback report uploaded
09/04/2010	Start Date of First Crediting Period
09/04/2010	Partial Commissioning Date
16/06/2010	Full Commissioning Date
09/04/2010 – 31/12/2011	First Monitoring Period
01/01/2012 – 30/06/2012	Second Monitoring Period
01/07/2012 – 31/12/2012	Third Monitoring Period
13/03/2013	Revision of the Generation License for 120 MW
26/08/2013	Date of “EIA is not Required” Certificate
01/07/2016	Operation Start date of two additional turbines
02/09/2016	Operation Start date of two additional turbines
08/04/2017	End Date of the First Crediting Period
09/04/2017	Start Date of the Second Crediting Period
12/08/2016	Operation Start date of six additional turbines
19/07/2022 – 30/06/2023	Fourth Monitoring Period

01/07/2023 – 08/04/2024	2 <sup>nd</sup> Monitoring Period of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Crediting Period (5 <sup>th</sup> Monitoring Period)
08/04/2024	End Date of the Second Crediting Period
09/04/2024	Start Date of the Third Crediting Period
09/04/2024 – 31/08/2025	1 <sup>st</sup> Monitoring Period of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Crediting Period
08/04/2031	End Date of the Third Crediting Period

The relevant official documents of the project activity were provided by the project proponent during this verification process.

The key parameters about the technical design of the wind turbines are as follows:

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Nordex N90/2500</b>	<b>Nordex N117/3000</b>
Rated Power	kW	2,500	3,000
Rotor Diameter	m	90	116,8
Hub Height	m	80	91
Num. of Blades	-	3	3
Swept Area	m <sup>2</sup>	6,362	10,715
Cut-out wind speed	m/s	25	25

The technical details have been confirmed by the VVB via the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines and on-site visit observations.

This is the third crediting period of the project activity. The current monitoring period is the first monitoring period (sixth monitoring period in total) of the third crediting period and the period is between 09/04/2024 - 31/08/2025 (both days included). The achieved total electricity generation of this monitoring period is 421,036.17 MWh (with considering 90 MWe registered installed capacity) and the achieved total emission reduction of this monitoring period is 267,147 tCO<sub>2</sub>e.

The vintage values of the emission reductions of this monitoring period are as follows:

09/04/2024 – 31/12/2024	147,245 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	119,902 tCO <sub>2</sub> e

## 2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

### 2.1 Appointment of the Verification Team

The appointment process of the verification team takes into account the technical area(s), sectoral scope(s), and relevant host country experience required amongst team members for the verification of the emission reductions achieved by the project activity in the relevant monitoring period for this verification. The relevant GS verification and previous ITR experiences are also assessed during the selection of the team members and Independent Technical Reviewer (ITR), respectively.

The members of the verification process and their roles are as follows:

Name	Role	Involvement
Öykü YAKUPOĞLU	Team Leader	Desk Review, On-site Visit, Reporting
Dilay DURMUŞ	Trainee Verifier	Desk Review, On-site Visit, Reporting
Özge ŞAHİNKAYA	Trainee Verifier	Desk Review, Reporting
Sanjay PATANKAR (ITR 1)	Independent Technical Reviewer	Independent Technical Review
Samuel ONSONGO (ITR 2)		

The competence of team members and technical reviewer is as follows:

- Ms. Öykü Yakupoğlu is qualified Team Leader and auditor for scope 1.2 and 13.1-2 for validation and verification of GHG projects. She is actively working in the climate change domain for about 4 years and involved in validation/verification of around 180 projects under Gold Standard/ VERRA/ GCC and ICR. Ms. Öykü is graduate in Environmental Engineering from Middle East Technical University and in Chemistry (M.Sc.) from Gazi University and currently pursuing her PhD in Energy Systems Engineering from Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University.
- Mr. Sanjay Patankar B.E. (Mech.) M.E. (Mech.). He has over 30 years of experience in various fields such as engineering manufacturing industry covering various functions like enterprise management, product design, engineering, tool & die design, improvements in the production shop, quality assurance & control and systems planning and implementation, including ISO 9001 based quality management systems. He has conducted numerous verification assignments in carbon accounting, CDM and Sustainability and certification. He has worked for 15+ years in Bureau Veritas Certification (India) Pvt. Ltd. in various functions such as Lead Auditor for ISO 9001, ISO 50001, ISO 14064-1 standards and was responsible for technical reviews, accreditation and quality management of sustainability schemes such as ISO 50001, GHG and CDM within Bureau Veritas India.

- 
- Mr. Samuel Onsongo: He holds a B.Sc. in Physics, with over 16 years of experience in renewable energy, energy efficiency and climate change. He has been trained on ISO 14064, CDM verification, ISO 9001 and ISO 14001, as Lead auditor. He has been involved in validation and verification of CDM and Gold Standard projects covering scopes in renewable energy (T.A 1.2), energy efficiency (T.A 3.1) and energy distribution (T.A 2.1).

---

## 2.2 List of Documents Reviewed

The following actions were involved in the desk review:

- A review of the data and information presented to verify completeness
- A review of the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions

The list of documents which were reviewed during the verification process are as follows:

<b>Document Name</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>
Registered PDD	09	16/12/2024
ACM0002	21.0	02/11/2022
Monitoring Report	v00	28/07/2025
Monitoring Report	v01	16/09/2025
Monitoring Report	v02	16/02/2026
ER Calculation Excel Sheet	v00	14/08/2025
ER Calculation Excel Sheet	v01	16/09/2025
GS4GG Principles and Requirements	2.1	31/01/2025
GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard	2.0	12/11/2024
GS4GG Safeguarding Principles and Requirements	2.1	19/06/2023
Requirements of GS4GG-MR-FORM	1.1	14/10/2020
EPIAS Screenshots	-	04/2024 – 08/2025
SCADA data	-	04/2024 – 08/2025
Social Security Records of employees	-	2024
Training Records	-	07/11/2024 12/05/2025 27/05/2025
Hazardous Waste Disposal Records	-	2024 2025
First Index Protocols of the Current Electricity Meters	-	24/11/2019
Electricity Meter Tests	-	28/05/2025

Photographic Evidences of the Grievance Book	-	-
Evidence Documents of Donations	-	2024 2025
Generation License	-	17/07/2008
Official Trading Gazette (related to the name change of the PO)	-	20/09/2024
Commissioning Certificates	-	09/04/2010 16/06/2010 01/07/2016 12/08/2016 02/09/2016
KMZ file of the Project Activity	-	-
EIA Not Required Decision	-	26/08/2013
Signed and Sealed Declaration by the PP about double counting and legal contest		25/03/2022
CDM Tool 07	07.0	31/08/2018
CDM Tool 01	07.0	23/11/2012
CDM Tool 10	01	16/10/2009
CDM Tool 11	03.0.1	02/03/2012
SDG Impact Tool	v01	20/01/2026

### 2.3 Site Visits

During the verification period, follow-up interviews were performed by the VVB to further analyse the correctness and accurateness of the information provided. The main topics covered during the interview are as follows:

- 
- Installed technology and monitoring equipment (i.e. electricity meters) of the project activity
  - Project implementation and operation
  - Assessment of baseline scenario
  - Staff training procedures
  - Calibration procedures of the monitoring equipment
  - Monitoring system
  - Data collection, recording and reporting procedure
  - QA/QC procedures
  - Eligibility criteria of GS4GG
  - Emission reduction calculations
  - Evaluation of values of ex-post parameters
  - Comments from local stakeholders about the implementation of the project activity

The list of people who were interviewed during the physical verification site visit handled on 12/09/2025:

Reference Number	Means of Interview	Full Name	Title	Organization	Team Member
I01	Site Visit	Ali Rıza Yıldız	Mukhtar	Atçılar Village	Öykü Yakupoğlu
I02	Site Visit	Ayşe Akdeniz	Local Stakeholder	Atçılar Village	Öykü Yakupoğlu
I03	Site Visit	İsmail Önder	Local Stakeholder	Atçılar Village	Öykü Yakupoğlu
I04	Site Visit	Ali Gökhan Akçıl	Business Manager	Bilgin Enerji	Öykü Yakupoğlu
I05	Site Visit	Havva Öztürk	Carbon Portfolio Manager	Bilgin Enerji	Öykü Yakupoğlu

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Source of Information</b>
Checking technical specifications of the Installed Technology and Monitoring Equipment	Physical site visit observations and interview with the business manager
Assessing continuity of Baseline Scenario	Physical site visit observations, interview with the local stakeholders
Location of the Wind Turbines	Physical site visit observations and GPS
Assessing monitoring approaches (e.g. SCADA system)	Physical site visit observations, interview with the business manager and the employees
Receiving comments from Local Stakeholders	Interviews with the local stakeholders
Review of information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters	Document review, physical site visit observations, interviews with the project proponent representatives
Cross-check between information provided in the MR and data from other sources such as plant log books, purchase records or similar data sources	Physical site visit observations, document review
Identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters	Document review, physical site visit observations, interviews with the project proponent representatives

All the monitoring parameters furnished by the project proponent have been cross-checked with the electronic records and operational logbooks.

During the on-site visit, local stakeholders confirmed that they were informed about the project activity before the implementation.

Furthermore, there had not been any complaint raised by the interviewed local stakeholders during the on-site visit dated 12/09/2025. The local stakeholders as stated above were interviewed about the following issues and there had not been any complaint by the interviewed local stakeholders during the on-site visit:

- Noise and shadow problems due to the project activity
- Methods of on-going communication with the employees
- Sufficiency of local employment (The interviewed local stakeholders were pleased about the provided local employment opportunities by the PP)

It was also concluded that the grievance mechanism is in place and this was also confirmed by the interviewed local stakeholders during the on-site visit dated 12/09/2025. Also, the photographic evidences of the grievance book were provided to the VVB. So far, no complaints have been recorded in the grievance book.

## 2.4 Verification Protocol

The verification process of this GS project activity includes the following steps:

- Assessment of the conformity of the actual project activity and its operation with the GS4GG MR version 02 and dated 16/02/2026
- A site visit was conducted on 12/09/2025 to assess that all physical features of the project activity are in place and that the project participants has operated the project activity as per the registered PDD (v09, dated 16/12/2024) and current MR (v02, dated 16/02/2026)
- Assessment of the compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology ACM0002, v21.0
- Assessment of the compliance of monitoring with the monitoring plan
- Assessment of data and calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions
- Issuance of the Verification Report
- Independent technical review
- Approval of the Verification Report and Request of Issuance

- To ensure transparency a verification protocol, which shows in a transparent manner criteria (requirements), means of verification and VVB findings and final VVB conclusions, is customised for the project activity. All findings and outstanding issues, identified during the desk review and discussed during the site observation, are included in a list of CARs, CLs and FARs.
- During this verification activity, 10 Corrective Action Requests (CARs), and 06 Clarification Request (CL) were raised all of which were successfully closed. All CARs/CLs which are organized in Appendix A, have been resolved by the project proponent via additional supporting evidence and appropriate revision of the GS4GG MR. No FAR has been issued during this verification process. For the purpose of completeness, the completed Verification Protocol is also enclosed to the Verification Report in Appendix A.

## 2.5 Remaining Forward Action Requests (FARs)

There is one FAR raised in the Design Renewal Review:

**FAR #1:** In-line with GS4GG Principles and Requirements, VVB and PP shall consider the rule below for future monitoring activities: An annual update report shall be provided to GS for each monitoring year by the end of next calendar year for which verification is not completed.

**Response to FAR #1:** The requirement has been acknowledged, and in accordance with the GS4GG Principles and Requirements, the Project Participant confirms adherence to this rule. The Annual Update Report for 2023 was duly submitted to Gold Standard by the end of 2024.

During the current verification activity, no FAR has been issued.

## 2.6 Internal Quality Control

As the final verification step, the comprehensive documentation, including the verification report and its appendixes, undergoes internal quality control through the Independent Technical Review process. Once the Team Leader completes the Verification Report, it is forwarded to an Independent Technical Reviewer. At this stage, not only the report but also all supporting documents, such as emission reduction calculations and relevant excel sheets, undergo a thorough review. The Independent Technical Reviewer may issue Corrective Action Requests (CARs) and Clarification Requests (CLs) as needed for further clarification.

Upon closure of all CARs and CLs, the request for issuance, aligned with the positive verification opinion and accompanied by all relevant documents, is submitted to GS4GG.

### 3 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

#### 3.1 Project Implementation

The registered capacity as the GS project activity is 90 MWe for “Aliaga Wind Farm” project activity. The current electricity meters measure the electricity generated from 120 MWe capacity. The electricity generation and the emission reduction of the last added 10 turbines (i.e. 30 MWe) are ignored since the registered capacity of this GS project activity is 90 MWe. The following method is followed to find the amount of electricity generation of 90 MWe capacity out of the total 120 MWe capacity:

$$\text{Adjusted net electricity supplied to the grid} = \text{EG}_{\text{PJ, facility, y}} - \text{Generation of Added Capacity taken from the SCADA System}$$

The project has been successfully executed the 46 wind turbines with the total capacity of 120 MWe in alignment with the specifications outlined in the registered PDD. However, as stated above, only the electricity which produced from 90 MWe capacity has been considered for the current monitoring period. All physical components of the project have been implemented during the on-site visit, dated 12/09/2025. Additionally, electricity meters were observed during the on-site visit.

The commissioning dates of the wind turbines have been confirmed by the verification team via the commissioning certificates of the turbines. As per these documents, the commissioning dates, installed capacities and types of the turbines are as follows:

Wind Turbines	Commissioning Dates	Installed Capacities	Turbine Type
T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T11, T12, T13, T14, T15, T16, T17, T18, T19, T20, T21	09/04/2010	21 x 2.5 MW = 52.5 MW	N90/2500
T22, T23, T24, T25, T26, T27, T28, T29, T30, T31, T32, T33, T34, T35, T36	16/06/2010	15 x 2.5 MW = 37.5 MW	N90/2500
T42, T43 (not included in the GS4GG project)	01/07/2016	2 x 3 MW = 6 MW	N117/3000
T37, T39, T40, T41, T44, T45 (not included in the GS4GG project)	12/08/2016	6 x 3 MW = 18 MW	N117/3000
T38, T46 (not included in the GS4GG project)	02/09/2016	2 x 3 MW = 6 MW	N117/3000

As per the registered PDD (v09, dated 16/12/2024), the estimated annual emission reduction is 187,114 tCO<sub>2</sub>e, with a corresponding total estimated amount of 261,960 tCO<sub>2</sub>e for the current monitoring period. The achieved values for the current monitoring period is 267,147 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. This reflects an actual emission reduction that is 1.98% higher than the estimated amount. This marginal spread from the estimation is probably due to weather conditions. This difference is considered acceptable. The variance in the values does not result in a significant increase in the Emission Reduction (ER) during this period when compared to the estimates provided in the registered PDD.

### 3.2 Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Parameters

The monitoring plan is in accordance with the registered monitoring plan indicated in the registered PDD (v09 dated 16/12/2024) applied methodology “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, version 21.0. According to the methodology and the registered monitoring plan, the parameters to be monitored are “net electricity generation”, “emission reductions”, “number of employments provided”, “health safety trainings”, “livelihood of the poor”, “public health and safety”, “water quality and quantity”, “solid waste”, “noise pollution” and “biodiversity”. All monitoring parameters have been included in the monitoring plan for the current monitoring period.

The continuous measurement of net electricity is conducted through the main electricity meter at the grid interface, with monthly recording. A backup meter is also in place. The latest meter test date of the meters is 28/05/2025. This meter test document has been provided to the VVB. The technical details of the electricity meters have been confirmed by the verification team via the calibration documents of the meters. Also, during the on-site visit dated 12/09/2025, the current electricity meters were observed.

The technical details of the current electricity meters (available during the current monitoring period) are as follows:

	Main Meter 1	Main Meter 2	Back-up Meter 1	Back-up Meter 2
<b>Brand</b>	EMH	EMH	EMH	EMH
<b>Type</b>	LZQJ-XC	LZQJ-XC	LZQJ-XC	LZQJ-XC
<b>Serial Number</b>	8923685	8923715	8923686	8923684
<b>Accuracy Class</b>	0.2S	0.2S	0.2S	0.2S

The grid owner has been responsible for the monitoring and maintenance of the electricity meters. The calculation of net electricity delivered to the grid relies on records provided by TEIAS through the EPIAS system, the financial settlement center of TEIAS. All readings and billings are processed via the EPIAS system, a legal database of the Ministry.

The periodical calibration or maintenance is under the responsibility of TEIAS and is fixed as once in 10 years<sup>2</sup>. Since TEIAS meters are sealed by TEIAS, the project proponent cannot intervene with the devices. The meter tests are carried out every two years. According to these periods, there was no delay in the calibration or testing period during the current monitoring period. The latest meter test date of the meters is 28/05/2025. According to this test, the meters work within their accuracy limits. No error was specified in the meter test document.

Internal reviews of the metered data are consistently performed. A SCADA system is in place, generating daily reports. The data collected daily is stored in the plant manager's

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.resmigazete.gov.tr/eskiler/2016/06/20160629-22.htm>

computer and backed up. SCADA values were reviewed, revealing no discrepancies in the data.

All data collected as part of monitoring will be archived electronically by the project owner and be kept at least for 2 years after the end of the last crediting period.

Maintenance and downtime management are essential for ensuring the efficient and continuous operation of a wind power plant. Maintenance tasks include periodic planned maintenance to sustain turbine performance over the long term and reactive maintenance to address potential faults. Planned maintenance helps reduce unexpected downtimes by proactively addressing potential issues. Downtime may result from predictable factors like equipment replacement, adverse weather conditions, or temporary technical issues. During the physical site visit, it was learned that to mitigate the impact of such outages, plant management implements measures such as regular monitoring, early warning systems, and rapid response teams to ensure prompt action and minimize disruptions.

The registered capacity as the GS project activity is 90 MWe for “Aliaga Wind Farm” project activity. The current electricity meters measure the electricity generated from 120 MWe capacity. The electricity generation and the emission reduction of the last added 10 turbines (i.e. 30 MWe) are ignored since the registered capacity of this GS project activity is 90 MWe. The following method is followed to find the amount of electricity generation of 90 MWe capacity out of the total 120 MWe capacity:

$$\text{Adjusted net electricity supplied to the grid} = \text{EG}_{\text{PJ, facility, y}} - \text{Generation of Added Capacity taken from the SCADA System}$$

The monitoring parameters are selected in line with the applied methodology and the registered PDD (v09, dated 16/12/2024):

- $\text{EG}_{\text{PJ, y}}$ : Net electricity generation (MWh)
- $\text{ER}_y$ : Baseline emissions correspond to emission (tons of  $\text{CO}_2\text{e}$ )
- Quantitative employment and income generation
- Quality of employment
- Livelihood of the poor
- Public Health and Safety
- Water quality and quantity
- Solid waste
- Noise Pollution

- Biodiversity

The applied methodology and tools refer these monitoring parameters. Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. has checked Data Unit, Description, Source of Data, Description of the Measurement Method, Frequency of Monitoring, Value Applied, Monitoring Equipment, QA/QC Procedures, and Calculation Method of these parameters in the applied methodology and tools. All information for the monitoring parameters has been indicated correctly in the GS4GG MR, version 02 dated 16/02/2026:

- For  $EG_{PI,y}$  monitoring parameter, there are four electricity meters at the project site. The electricity meters were checked during the on-site visit dated 12/09/2025. Two of them are the main meters and the other ones are the back-up meters. The electricity generation/consumption values read on the meters constitute the EPIAS records. EPIAS records have been used as the main source. All EPIAS records between 04/2024 – 08/2025 were provided to the VVB at the time of the verification. For the cross-check purposes SCADA data have been used. The periodical calibration or maintenance is under the responsibility of TEIAS and is fixed as once in 10 years. The meter tests are carried out every two years. The latest meter test date of the meters is 28/05/2025. The relevant documents were provided to the VVB.
- For  $ER_y$  monitoring parameter, the electricity generation values are multiplied by the registered emission factor indicated in the registered PDD (v09 dated 16/12/2024). For the electricity generation values, EPIAS records and SCADA records have been checked by the verification team.
- For Number of Employment monitoring parameter, social security records are used to monitor the number of employees. Social security records were provided by the project owner.
- For Number of Trainings monitoring parameter, training records are used to monitor the contribution of the project to quality of employment. All training records which were carried out during the current monitoring period were provided to the VVB. The details of the trainings are as follows:

<b>Date</b>	<b>Training</b>	<b>Number of Participants</b>
2024	Occupational Health and Safety <sup>3</sup>	23 employees

---

<sup>3</sup> The “Occupational Health and Safety” training was carried out at the power plant site.

2025	Occupational Health and Safety	23 employees
------	--------------------------------	--------------

Occupational health and safety is taken by all employees in accordance with the “Regulation on the Procedures and Principles of Occupational Health and Safety Training of Employees”<sup>4</sup>.

- For Livelihood of the poor monitoring parameter, the project reports direct community contributions in the form of food supply or the financial needs of the village coffee house. These contributions are simple but tangible, aligning with the indicator’s purpose of demonstrating social co-benefits beyond employment creation. Monitoring is carried out with donation records presented to the VVB as verification evidence.
- For Public Health and Safety monitoring parameter, during the site visit, the VVB observed and verified physical measures including fencing around all turbines, grounding of fences, restricted access to the control chamber and substation, and 24/7 site security. These measures are consistent with the monitoring plan, which requires annual checks to ensure that the project does not pose risks to public health and safety.
- For Water Quality and Quantity monitoring parameter, as the project is a wind farm, no wastewater is directly generated from operations apart from small volumes of domestic effluent, which are managed via septic tanks and municipal removal, ensuring that the net avoidance value remains valid. Relevant waste water disposal records were provided to the VVB.
- For Solid Waste monitoring parameter, during the monitoring period, household waste was properly collected in designated bins, with disposal confirmed through on-site inspection by the VVB. Hazardous wastes such as gearbox oil, oil filters, and other waste oils were stored securely and removed by licensed contractors in line with national regulations, with disposal records from 2024, and 2025 provided to the VVB as supporting evidence.
- For Noise Pollution monitoring parameter, monitoring was conducted through direct stakeholder interviews with residents in nearby villages during the site visit, and no negative feedback or complaints were reported regarding turbine noise during the monitoring period.

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/mevzuat?MevzuatNo=18371&MevzuatTur=7&MevzuatTertip=5>

- For Biodiversity monitoring parameter, monitoring is carried out by appointed on-site personnel who records observations at the end of each monitoring period, complemented by stakeholder interviews during the site visit. Both the staff statements and stakeholder feedback indicated that no bird strikes or traces of collisions were observed around the project site during the monitoring period.

VVB also confirms that the grid emission factor taken is 0.6345 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/MWh and the value is same as fixed ex-ante in the registered PDD (v09, dated 16/12/2024). This grid emission factor has been taken from the most recent publicly available combined margin grid emission factor for Turkey published on Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources website<sup>5</sup> at the time of re-validation of the project activity. The verification team confirmed that the emission factor has been selected appropriately.

There are no data which were not available during the current monitoring period.

The project makes a positive impact on SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy) through the generation of 421,036.17 MWh (with considering 90 MWe registered installed capacity) of net electricity. It also contributes to SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) by employing a total of 23 staff members during the most recent year of operation. Furthermore, the project supports SDG 13 (Climate Action) by achieving an emission reduction of 267,147 tCO<sub>2</sub>e throughout the monitoring period.

A signed declaration dated 25/03/2022 was provided by the project proponent to the VVB about double counting. Also, a signed declaration dated 25/03/2022 about legal contest was provided. Moreover, the verification team also checked the I-REC Registry (<https://evident.services/device-register>) and this project is not available within I-REC Registry database. Similarly, VCS project database (<http://vcsprojectdatabase.org/#/home>), ICR project database (<https://www.carbonregistry.com/explore/projects>), BCR project database (<https://biocarbonregistry.com/en/projects/>) and GCC project database ([https://projects.globalcarboncouncil.com/pages/submitted\\_projects](https://projects.globalcarboncouncil.com/pages/submitted_projects)) were checked and this project is not available within VCS, ICR, BCR and GCC projects' databases, either. The 30 MW capacity-addition component falls under the GCC scheme (with the Project ID: S00161). However, this portion does not carry any risk of double counting, as it is not registered under this GS project.

---

<sup>5</sup> [https://enerji.gov.tr/Media/Dizin/BHIM/tr/Duyurular//Bilgi\\_Formu\\_Web\\_Sitesi\\_2019\\_202110071443.pdf](https://enerji.gov.tr/Media/Dizin/BHIM/tr/Duyurular//Bilgi_Formu_Web_Sitesi_2019_202110071443.pdf)

Given that CDM projects are not applicable in Turkey and the registered GS project does not appear on domestic REC scheme, I-REC, VCS, ICR, BCR and GCC registries, it could be confirmed that no RECs and other VER carbon credits are being issued for the project at the time of this verification.

In summary, Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. confirms that all necessary monitoring parameters are monitored in line with the registered Monitoring Plan and the applied methodology.

The VVB checked all data set (EPIAS records from 09/04/2024 to 31/08/2025) and each day of production is included in these readings. These readings are exact and are the basis for billing. They are recorded and saved automatically by the relevant government authority and there is no base for any option of material information.

Level of materiality is ensured by application of “GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard” version 02.0. As per para. 9.6.3 of this document:

An omission, misstatement, or erroneous reporting of information is material if it might lead, at an aggregated level, to an overestimation of the total emission reductions/removals achieved by a registered project equal to or higher than the following thresholds:

- a. 0.5 per cent of the emission reductions/removals for project achieving a total emission reduction equal to or more than 500,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year
- b. 1 per cent of the emission reductions/removals for projects achieving a total emission reduction between 300,000 and 500,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year
- c. 2 per cent of the emission reductions/removals for large-scale project activities achieving a total emission reduction of 300,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year or less
- d. 10 per cent of the emission reductions/removals for microscale projects

The vintage values of the emission reductions of this monitoring period are as follows:

09/04/2024 – 31/12/2024	147,245 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	119,902 tCO <sub>2</sub> e

Therefore, the project activity is a large-scale project activity achieving a total emission reduction of 300,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year or less (option c). The materiality threshold is 2%.

The relevant data set (EPIAS records from 09/04/2024 to 31/08/2025) has been checked by the verification team. These readings are exact and are the basis for billing. They are recorded and saved automatically by the relevant government authority and there is no base for any option of material information. Similarly, there have not been any observed omission, misstatement, or material errors among the reviewed documents as checked and confirmed by the verification team and applied materiality threshold is 2% in line with vintage values of the emission reductions during the monitoring period.

Level of materiality is ensured by application of “Guideline on the Application of Materiality in Verifications” version 02 and GS Validation and Verification Standard version 02.0. To guarantee this level of assurance all data that is used in the GHG emission reduction calculations have been reviewed without any sampling. Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. hereby confirms that the level of assurance of this verification report is reasonable, with respect to material errors, omissions and misrepresentations.

### 3.3 Calculation of GHG Emission Reductions

The project owner provided the EPIAS records for every month throughout the monitoring period (09/04/2024 - 31/08/2025). The emission reductions values have been verified against the EPIAS records, with EPIAS serving as the primary data source. SCADA values have been applied as an additional data source for cross-checking purposes.

The registered capacity as the GS project activity is 90 MWe for “Aliaga Wind Farm” project activity. The current electricity meters measure the electricity generated from 120 MWe capacity. The electricity generation and the emission reduction of the last added 10 turbines (i.e. 30 MWe) are ignored since the registered capacity of this GS project activity is 90 MWe. The following method is followed to find the amount of electricity generation of 90 MWe capacity out of the total 120 MWe capacity:

$$\text{Adjusted net electricity supplied to the grid} = \text{EG}_{\text{PJ, facility, y}} - \text{Generation of Added Capacity taken from the SCADA System}$$

As per the EPIAS records, the electricity generation values of the current monitoring period are as follows:

<b>Period</b>	<b>Export Electricity to the Grid (MWh)</b>	<b>Import Electricity from the Grid (MWh)</b>	<b>Net Electricity Generation Supplied to the Grid (120 MWe) (MWh)</b>	<b>Sum of the Electricity Generation provided by the additional turbines (30 MWe) (MWh)</b>	<b>Adjusted Net Electricity Generation Supplied to the Grid (90 MWe) (MWh)</b>
09/04/2024 – 31/12/2024	312,844.85	332.92	312,511.93	80,446.76	232,065.17
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	258,065.63	335.02	257,730.61	68,759.61	188,971.01
<b>Total (09/04/2024 – 31/08/2025)</b>	<b>570,910.48</b>	<b>667.94</b>	<b>570,242.54</b>	<b>149,206.37</b>	<b>421,036.17</b>

The net electricity generation is multiplied with the grid emission factor (i.e. 0.6345 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/MWh) to obtain the baseline emissions:

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ, facility, y} * EF_{grid, CM, y}$$

<b>Period</b>	<b>Baseline Emissions (tCO<sub>2</sub>e)</b>
09/04/2024 – 31/12/2024	147,245
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	119,902
<b>Total (09/04/2024 – 31/08/2025)</b>	<b>267,147</b>

As per the applied methodology, projects emissions and leakage emissions are taken as zero for the project activity. Since,

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y = BE_y$$

The emission reduction values of the current monitoring period are as follows:

<b>Period</b>	<b>Emission Reduction Value (tCO<sub>2</sub>e)</b>
09/04/2024 – 31/12/2024	147,245
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	119,902
<b>Total (09/04/2024 – 31/08/2025)</b>	<b>267,147</b>

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. confirms that electricity generation values are stated correctly in the GS4GG MR and in the ER Calculation Excel sheet as per the EPIAS records and calculations to obtain the emission reductions are applied correctly.

### 3.4 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC Procedures)

The wind power plant is equipped with four electricity meters, two of them serving as the main meter and the others as a back-up, to measure the generated electricity. These meters, installed in the power house, adhere to the requirements set by the Energy Market Regulatory Authority (EMRA) for electricity meters. All meters are designed to measure energy in two directions—consumption and production. If a measuring difference arises between these meters and either TEIAS or the Project Proponent requests calibration, the meters will undergo calibration promptly without waiting for the regular check (i.e. 10 years). The calibration process is conducted by an accredited entity under the supervision of TEIAS, and the PO is not responsible for the calibration of meters in Turkey, following local standards and requirements.

### 3.5 Post Registration Changes

- There are no project or methodology deviations applied during this monitoring period.

- According to the national regulation “Çalışanların İş Sağlığı ve Güvenliği Eğitimlerinin Usul ve Esasları Hakkında Yönetmelik” (Official Gazette 15/05/2013 – 28648, as amended 24/05/2018 – 30430 and 05/03/2025 – 32832), the project site is categorized as a “very hazardous workplace.” Therefore, Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) trainings must be provided at least once per year. Furthermore, the registered PDD specifies EPIAS records as the primary data source for electricity generation. However, in the initial version of this Monitoring Report, “monthly electricity meter readings” were incorrectly listed as the primary source. This has now been corrected in Section D.2 to align with the registered PDD. On-site meter readings, recorded and archived within the SCADA system, are explicitly identified as the cross-check method.
- There are no changes applied to the start date of the crediting period during this monitoring period.
- There are no permanent changes from the Design Certified monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline
- There are no changes to project design of approved project.

## 4 VERIFICATION OPINION

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. has performed the verification activity of “Aliaga Wind Farm” which is a GS4GG project with the reference number “GS735” for the 6<sup>th</sup> verification (1<sup>st</sup> verification of the 3<sup>rd</sup> crediting period) between 09/04/2024 - 31/08/2025 (both days included). The scope of the activities covers the verification and certification of GHG emissions reductions reported in the GS4GG MR version 02 dated 16/02/2026.

Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş. is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final GS4GG MR, version 02 dated 16/02/2026. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the Responsibility Party. The development and maintenance of the records and the related monitoring procedures are in accordance with the GS4GG MR, version 02 dated 16/02/2026.

A signed declaration dated 25/03/2022 was provided by the project proponent to the VVB about double counting. Also, a signed declaration dated 25/03/2022 about legal contest was provided. Moreover, the verification team also checked the I-REC Registry (<https://evident.services/device-register>) and this project is not available within I-REC Registry database. Similarly, VCS project database (<http://vcsprojectdatabase.org/#/home>), ICR project database (<https://www.carbonregistry.com/explore/projects>), BCR project database (<https://biocarbonregistry.com/en/projects/>) and GCC project database ([https://projects.globalcarboncouncil.com/pages/submitted\\_projects](https://projects.globalcarboncouncil.com/pages/submitted_projects)) were checked and this project is not available within VCS, ICR, BCR and GCC projects' databases, either. The 30 MW capacity-addition component falls under the GCC scheme (with the Project ID: S00161). However, this portion does not carry any risk of double counting, as it is not registered under this GS project.

Given that CDM projects are not applicable in Turkey and the registered GS project does not appear on domestic REC scheme, I-REC, VCS, ICR, BCR and GCC registries, it could be confirmed that no RECs and other VER carbon credits are being issued for the project at the time of this verification.

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. concludes that the GHG assertion is free of material misstatement. Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. confirms that the level of assurance of this verification report is reasonable, with respect to material errors, omissions and misrepresentations.

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. concludes that the GHG assertion is free of material misstatement. The emission reductions calculated can be considered in conformance with the:

- GS4GG Principles and Requirements, v2.1
- GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard, v2.0
- GS4GG Safeguarding Principles and Requirements, v2.1
- Requirements of GS4GG-MR-FORM, v.1.1
- ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, v21.0

- All associated methodological tools
- Environmental and social issues related to the Sectoral Scope 01: Energy industries (renewable -/ non renewable sources)
- ISO 14064-3:2019 Greenhouse Gases – Part 3: Specification with guidance for the verification validation of greenhouse gas statements

The verification has been performed by a verification team consisting of “Öykü YAKUPOĞLU” as the Team Leader, “Dilay DURMUŞ” as the Trainee Verifier and “Özge ŞAHİNKAYA” as the Trainee Verifier. The Independent Technical Review was performed by “Sanjay PATANKAR” – ITR 1 and “Samuel ONSONGO” – ITR 2 and the project activity was checked against the applicable rules and regulations of GS4GG Principles and Requirements, v2.1.

The verification activity can confirm that:

- the project is implemented and operated as per the registered capacity (i.e. 90 MWe) indicated in the PDD (version 09 dated 16/12/2024)
- the monitoring complies with the monitoring plan in the registered PDD (version 09 dated 16/12/2024)
- the GS4GG MR (version 02 dated 16/02/2026) and other supporting documents provided are complete and verifiable and in accordance with the applicable GS4GG requirements
- the installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately
- the monitoring system is in place and generates GHG emission reductions data
- the emission factor is taken correctly as per the registered PDD (v09 dated 16/12/2024)
- the GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. hereby confirms that the project activity “Aliaga Wind Farm” in Turkey, is implemented as per the registered capacity (i.e. 90 MWe) indicated in the PDD version 09 dated 16/12/2024. The monitoring system is in place and the emission reductions are achieved without material misstatements as per the applied approved methodology, which is “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, v21.0.

Period	Baseline Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Project Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Leakage Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Net GHG Emission Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
09/04/2024 – 31/12/2024	147,245	0	0	147,245
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	119,902	0	0	119,902
Total (09/04/2024 – 31/08/2025)	267,147	0	0	267,147

Therefore, during this current monitoring period (09/04/2024 to 31/08/2025), 267,147 GS VERs are calculated to be certified.

**Team Leader Signature**

Ms. Öykü YAKUPOĞLU



**Independent Technical Reviewer Signature**

Mr. Sanjay PATANKAR – ITR 1

Mr. Samuel ONSONGO – ITR 2<sup>6</sup>



<sup>6</sup> Based on GS Clarification request comments, the 2nd ITR 's opinion has been obtained.

## APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL

**Table 1. Verification requirements based on GS4GG Principles and Requirements (v2.1), GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard (v2.0) and GS4GG Safeguarding Principles and Requirements (v2.1) and Requirements of GS4GG-MR-FORM (v.1.1)**

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
<b>Part I Cover Page</b>					
(a) Is the GS ID of Project provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “GS735”.	OK	OK
(b) Is the title of the Project provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “Aliaga Wind Farm”.	OK	OK
(c) Is the version number of the PDD applicable to this monitoring report provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “v09 (dated 16/12/2024)”.	OK	OK
(d) Is the version number of the monitoring period provided?	GS4G G-MR-		a) Please correct the title of the row on the cover page: “Version	CL-1	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1		number of the monitoring reportv" is stated. b) Please remove future tense sentences from the MR since this process is a verification (i.e. One should always proceed based on events that have already occurred.)		
(e) Is the completion date of the monitoring report provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as "28/07/2025" for the first submission.	OK	OK
(f) Is the date of the Project Design Certification provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		On the GS AP, the date of the design certification is "03/04/2012". Please correct this date on the cover page.	CAR-1	OK
(g) Is the date of the last Annual Report provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please include the date of the Last Annual Report on the cover page (i.e. 04/12/2024).	CAR-2	OK
(h) Is the Monitoring Period number provided?	GS4G G-MR-		Please include the total Monitoring Period number as well in the	CL-2	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1		“Monitoring period number” row of the cover page (i.e. 6 <sup>th</sup> in total).		
(i) Is the duration of the Monitoring Period provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		From 09/04/2024 to 31/08/2025	OK	OK
(j) Are the Project Representatives provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		As per the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines, the Project Owner is “Bergama RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.”. Please explain this situation as a footnote in the report.	CL-3	OK
(k) Is the Host Country provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “Türkiye”.	OK	OK
(l) Are Activity Requirements applied indicated correctly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “Renewable Energy Activities”.	OK	OK
(m) Are the applied methodology and its version chosen correctly?	GS4G G-MR-		The applied methodology is “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1		generation from renewable sources --- Version 21.0”		
(n) Are Product Requirements applied indicated correctly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “GHG Emissions Reduction & Sequestration”.	OK	OK
(o) Are Sustainable Development Contributions Achieved provided in Table-1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The SDG contributions are included in Table 1.	OK	OK
(p) Are the Product Vintages provided in Table-2?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please remove un-used columns from Table 2 on the cover page. Also, “Product A” shall be stated as “GS VERs”.	CL-4	OK
<b>Part II MR</b>					
<b>A. Description of Project</b>					
<b>A.1 General Description of Project</b>					
A.1.1 Is a brief description of the project activity provided, including a summary of the scope of activities/ measures that are to be implemented within the project activity?	GS4G G-MR-		a) The title of Section A.1 is repeated twice. Please delete one of them.	CAR-3	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1		<p>b) The estimated electricity generation is stated as both 294,900 MWh and 354.79 GWh in Section A.1. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>c) Last commissioning date of the latest wind turbines is indicated as 12/08/2018 in Section A.1. However, as per the commissioning certificate, the relevant date is 12/08/2016. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>d) Since emission reductions of 36 MWe are calculated while there are currently 46 MW, please specify the calculation approach in Section A.1 (and in Section B.1.).</p> <p>e) Since the Project Representative is stated as “Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” on the cover page, please provide the relationship between this company and “Bergama RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” by providing a reference link in Section A.1.</p> <p>f) Please include the achieved electricity generation and emission reduction of the current monitoring period in</p>		

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
			Section A.1 with indicating the start and end dates of the period.		
A.1.2 Does it explain how the project activity reduces GHG emissions or increase GHG removals?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		It is explained how the project reduces GHG emissions in Section A.1.	OK	OK
A.1.3 Is the estimated/achieved of annual average and total GHG emission reductions for the chosen crediting period provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-3.	CAR-3	OK
A.1.4 Is the purpose of the Project Activity provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The purpose of the project is provided in Section A.1.	OK	OK
A.1.5 Is the installed technology of the Project Activity briefly explained?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The installed technology is briefly explained in Section A.1.	OK	OK
A.1.6 Are the milestones of the Project Activity included?	GS4G G-MR-		The milestones are included in Section B.1.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1				
A.1.7 If the proposed GS project activity involves the alteration of an existing installation or process, does the project description state the differences resulting from the project activity compared to the pre-project situation?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
<b>A.2 Location of project</b>					
A.2.1 Is the host party(ies) indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Turkey	OK	OK
A.2.2 Is region/state/province etc. indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please include the province and the district of the project in Section A.2.	CAR-4	OK
A.2.3 Is City/Town/Community etc. indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-4.	CAR-4	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
A.2.4 Are the details of physical location of the project activity provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The coordinates of the turbines are included in Section A.2.	OK	OK
<b>A.3 Reference of applied methodology</b>					
A.3.1 Are a complete reference of the methodology and applied tools including the version numbers and titles provided in Section A.3	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please correct the reference link in the footnote 2 in Section A.3.	CAR-5	OK
<b>A.4 Crediting period of project</b>					
A.4.1 Are crediting period start and end dates, and the duration of the crediting period provided correctly in Section A.4?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		2 <sup>nd</sup> CP: from 09/04/2024 to 08/04/2031	OK	OK
<b>B. Implementation of project</b>					
<b>B.1 Description of implemented project</b>					
B.1.1 Are the installed technology, equipment, diagrams, where appropriate, provided in Section B.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The installed technology is included in Section B.1.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
B.1.2 Are the milestones of the project activity provided in Section B.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The milestones of the project activity are included in Section B.1.	OK	OK
B.1.3 Do the actual project and its implementation comply with the registered PDD?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The actual project and its implementation comply with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
B.1.4 Is the lifetime of the project activity included in Section B.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please include the lifetime of the project activity in Section B.1.	CL-5	OK
B.1.5 Are there any remaining FARs from the validation and/or previous verification activities? If yes, are they included in Section B.1.1 and are their current status indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The FAR included in Section B.1.1 is related to the 1 <sup>st</sup> MP of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> CP, not the current MP. Therefore, please remove this FAR from Section B.1.1.	CAR-6	OK
<b>B.2 Post-Design Certification changes</b>					
B.2.1 Is it indicated whether any temporary deviations have been applied during this monitoring period?	GS4G G-MR- FORM		N/A	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	v1.1				
B.2.2 Has it been indicated whether there are any corrections related to project information or parameters fixed at the registration?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
B.2.3 Is it indicated whether any changes to the start date of the crediting period?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
B.2.4 Is it indicated whether any permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan or applied methodologies?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
B.2.5 Are there proposed or actual changes to the project design of the approved project?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
<b>C. Description of monitoring system applied by the project</b>					
C.1 Is a description of the monitoring plan provided in Section C and does it comply with the registered PDD?	GS4G G-MR-		a) It is stated in different parts of Section C that there are both 2 electricity meters and 4	CAR-7	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1		<p>electricity meters in total. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>b) Please correct the statement “Four calibrated meters back-up each other.” in Section C. It is not a right approach.</p>		
C.2 Are the information flow including data aggregation, data recording, data calculation, and data reporting provided in Section C?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The information flow including data aggregation, data recording, data calculation, and data reporting are provided in Section C.	OK	OK
C.3 Are the organizational structure and the roles and responsibilities of the employees provided in Section C?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The organizational structure and roles and responsibilities are included in Section C.	OK	OK
C.4 Are the QA/QC procedures included in Section C?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		QA/QC procedures are included in Section C.	OK	OK
C.5 Are all parameters indicated in the monitoring plan sufficiently monitored?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All monitoring parameters are monitored sufficiently.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
C.6 Are monitored data properly recorded and stored?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The monitored data are properly recorded and stored.	OK	OK
<b>D. Data and Parameters</b>					
<b>D.1 Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period</b>					
D.1.1 Are all the data that is determined only once for the crediting period but are used after registration of the project, listed in Section D.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All data that is determined only once for the crediting period but are used after registration of the project are listed in Section D.1.	OK	OK
D.1.2 Does the listed data include all the parameters used to calculate baseline, project and leakage emissions as well as other relevant parameters required by the approved methodology and the monitoring plan?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.3 Is each SDG Indicator given in Section D.1 for the ex-ante parameters in accordance with the approved PDD?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.1.4 For each data is the name of data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.5 For each data is the unit of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.6 For each data is the description of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.7 For each data is the source of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.8 For each data are the values applied of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.1.9 For each data is it indicated what measurement methods and procedures have been used?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.10 For each data is it indicated what the data/parameters are used for (baseline/project/leakage emission calculations)?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
<b>D.2 Data and parameters monitored</b>					
D.2.1 Are all the data that are monitored listed under section D.2 of the MR?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) In the “Source of Data” row of the “EG<sub>PJ,y</sub>”, it is stated that “During performance certification monthly meter reading will be used as source of data”. However, this readings are indicated as the cross-checked method in Section C. This information shall be revised in Section D.2 and also, since this is a correction as per the registered PDD, this information shall be included in Section B.2.2 of the MR.</p> <p>b) 2 electricity meters are indicated in the “Measurement methods</p>	CAR-8	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
			<p>and procedures” row of the “EG<sub>PJ,y</sub>” monitoring parameter in Section D.2. However, there are 4 electricity meters at the project site. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>c) Details of trainings (i.e. topics and dates) which were conducted during the current monitoring period shall be included in the “Value(s) applied” row of the “Quality of employment” monitoring parameter in Section D.2.</p> <p>d) Please include “Quantitative employment and income generation” monitoring parameter in Section D.2.</p> <p>e) Please remove “GS4GG Template” terms in the upper headers of Section D.2.</p> <p>f) Please correct the link in Footnote 19 in Section D.2 (it is not working).</p>		
D.2.2 For each data is the name of data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.2.3 For each data is the unit of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
D.2.4 For each data is the description of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
D.2.5 For each data is the source of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
D.2.6 For each data are the values applied of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
D.2.7 For each data are the QA/QC procedures applied of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.2.8 For each data has it been indicated what types of equipment are used to monitor each parameter?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
D.2.9 For each data is the measurement and recording frequency indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
D.2.10 Is the calibration frequency for measuring equipment specified in the monitoring methodology or in the monitoring plan?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
D.2.11 Does the listed data include all parameters used to calculate baseline, project and leakage emissions as well as other relevant parameters required by the approved methodology and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline and the monitoring plan?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
<b>D.3 Comparison of monitored parameters with last monitoring period</b>					
D.3.1 In case of community service activities, are the monitored parameters stated and	GS4G G-MR-		N/A	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
compared with the previous monitoring period?	FORM v1.1				
<b>D.4 Implementation of sampling plan</b>					
D.4.1 If data and parameters monitored described in section D.2 of the MR are determined by a sampling approach, is the description on how PPs implemented the sampling for those data and parameters according to the sampling plan provided in Section D.4?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
<b>E. Calculation of SDG Impacts</b>					
<b>E.1 Calculation of baseline value or estimation of baseline situation of each SDG Impact</b>					
E.1.1 Are all necessary equations used to calculate the baseline emissions provided in Section E.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All necessary equations to calculate baseline emissions are included in Section E.1.	OK	OK
E.1.2 Are sample calculations for the equations provided in Section E.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Sample calculations are included in Section E.1.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
E.1.3 Are all necessary electronic spreadsheets provided properly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		ER Calculation Excel sheet is provided.	OK	OK
<b>E.2 Calculation of project value or estimation of project situation of each SDG Impact</b>					
E.2.1 Are all necessary equations used to calculate the project emissions provided in Section E.2?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Project emissions are taken into consideration as 0 according to the applied methodology.	OK	OK
E.2.2 Are sample calculations for the equations provided in Section E.2?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Project emissions are taken into consideration as 0 according to the applied methodology.	OK	OK
E.2.3 Are all necessary electronic spreadsheets provided properly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		ER Calculation Excel sheet is provided.	OK	OK
<b>E.3 Calculation of leakage</b>					

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
E.3.1 Are all necessary equations used to calculate the leakage emissions provided in Section E.3?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Leakage emissions are taken into consideration as 0 according to the applied methodology.	OK	OK
E.3.2 Are sample calculations for the equations provided in Section E.3?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Leakage emissions are taken into consideration as 0 according to the applied methodology.	OK	OK
E.3.3 Are all necessary electronic spreadsheets provided properly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		ER Calculation Excel sheet is provided.	OK	OK
<b>E.4 Calculation of net benefits or direct calculation for each SDG Impact</b>					
E.4.1 Are all SDG indicators, SDG impacts, baseline estimates, project estimates and net benefits provided correctly in Section E.4?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) It is indicated that “Trainings at least once in two years.” in Section E.4. However, in Section E.2, it is indicated as three years. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>b) For SDG 13 contribution, the emission reduction value shall be under “Baseline estimate”</p>	CAR-9	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
			column, not “Project estimate”, in Section E.4.		
<b>E.5 Comparison of actual SDG Impacts with estimates in approved PDD</b>					
E.5.1 Is a comparison of actual values of the SDG impact of the project achieved during the monitoring period with the estimations in the approved PDD given in Section E.5?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The comparison between estimated values and achieved values are included in Section E.5.	OK	OK
E.5.2 Is an explanation of calculation of value estimated ex ante calculation of approved PDD for this monitoring period provided in Section E.5.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The explanation is included in Section E.5.1.	OK	OK
<b>E.6 Remarks on increase in achieved SDG Impacts from estimated value in approved PDD</b>					
E.6.1 Is an explanation of the cause of any increase in the actual values achieved during the current monitoring period, including all information that is different from that stated in the approved PDD, provided in Section E.6?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The percentage differences are included in Section E.6.	OK	OK
<b>F. Safeguards Reporting</b>					

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
F.1.1 Is the report on the safeguarding principles that were available the in monitoring plan provided including the following?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		a) Please provide the donation records for the current monitoring period. b) Please provide the waste water disposal records for the current monitoring period.	CL-6	OK
<b>G. Stakeholder Inputs and Legal Disputes</b>					
<b>G.1 List all Inputs and Grievances which have been received via the Continuous Input and Grievance Mechanism together with their respective responses/mitigations</b>					
G.1.1 Is the list of all inputs/grievances which have been received for the project during the monitoring period together with their respective answers/actions provided in section G.1 of the MR?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please include the date of the stakeholder consultation in Section G.1.	CAR- 10	OK
<b>G.2 Report on any stakeholder mitigations that were agreed to be monitored</b>					
G.2.1 If there any remaining inputs/grievances from previous monitoring period where follow up action/mitigation measure is to be verified in this monitoring period from the validation and/or previous verification activities, are the PPs addressed these in section G.2 of the MR?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Section G.2 is completed.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
<b>G.3 Provide details of any legal contest that has arisen with the project during the monitoring period</b>					
G.3.1 Is there any legal contest or dispute that has arisen with the project during the monitoring period and are such details and resolution provided in section G.3 of the MR?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Section G.3 is completed.	OK	OK

**Table 2 – Resolution of Corrective Action Requests (CARs), Clarification Requests (CLs) and Forward Action Requests (FARs)**

<b>Number of CARs, CLs and FARs</b>	<b>Description of CARs, CLs and FARs</b>	<b>Relevant Sections of the Protocol</b>	<b>Response-1 of the Project Developer</b>	<b>Review-1 of the Lead Verifier</b>	<b>Response-2 of the Project Developer</b>	<b>Final Review of the Lead Verifier</b>
CAR-1	On the GS AP, the date of the design certification is “03/04/2012”. Please correct this date on the cover page.	(f)	The date of the design certification on the cover page has been corrected to 03/04/2012.	Ok Closed (The design certification date was corrected on the cover page.)		
CAR-2	Please include the date of the Last Annual Report on the cover page (i.e. 04/12/2024).	(g)	The date of the Last Annual Report (04/12/2024) has been added to the cover page.	Ok Closed (The date of the last annual report was included on the cover page.)		
CAR-3	a) The title of Section A.1 is repeated twice. Please delete one of them.	A.1.1	a) The repeated title of Section A.1 has been deleted. b) The estimated electricity	a) Ok Closed (The repeated title was removed from the MR.)		

	<p>b) The estimated electricity generation is stated as both 294,900 MWh and 354.79 GWh in Section A.1. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>c) Last commissioning date of the latest wind turbines is indicated as 12/08/2018 in Section A.1. However, as per the commissioning certificate, the relevant date is 12/08/2016. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>d) Since emission reductions of 36 MWe are calculated while there are currently 46 MW, please specify the calculation</p>		<p>generation figures have been harmonized as 294,900 MWh (294.9 GWh).</p> <p>c) The last commissioning date has been corrected to 12/08/2016 as per the commissioning certificate.</p> <p>d) The calculation approach has been clarified in Sections A.1 and B.1: emission reductions are calculated for the 36 registered turbines (90 MW) only; the additional 10 turbines are</p>	<p>b) Ok Closed (The contradiction was corrected.)</p> <p>c) Ok Closed (The commissioning date was corrected in Section A.1.)</p> <p>d) Ok Closed (The calculation approach was included in Section A.1.)</p> <p>e) Ok Closed (The explanation was included in Section A.1.)</p> <p>f) Ok Closed (The achieved</p>		
--	---	--	---	--	--	--

	<p>approach in Section A.1 (and in Section B.1.).</p> <p>e) Since the Project Representative is stated as “Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” on the cover page, please provide the relationship between this company and “Bergama RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” by providing a reference link in Section A.1.</p> <p>f) Please include the achieved electricity generation and emission reduction of the current monitoring period in Section A.1 with indicating the start and end dates of the period.</p>		<p>excluded based on SCADA records.</p> <p>e) The relationship between Bergama RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş. and Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş. has been explained in a footnote, with the relevant Official Gazette shared with the VVB.</p> <p>f) The achieved electricity generation (421,036.17 MWh) and emission reduction (267,147 tCO<sub>2</sub>e) for the current MP (13/08/2024 – 31/07/2025) have</p>	<p>values were included in Section A.1.)</p>		
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

			been added in Section A.1.			
CAR-4	Please include the province and the district of the project in Section A.2.	A.2.2	Section A.2 now includes the province and district: İzmir Province, Bergama/Aliğa Districts, Turkey.	Ok Closed (The location was included in Section A.2.)		
CAR-5	Please correct the reference link in the footnote 2 in Section A.3.	A.3.1	The reference link in Footnote 2 of Section A.3 has been corrected.	Ok Closed (The reference link was corrected in Section A.3.)		
CAR-6	The FAR included in Section B.1.1 is related to the 1 <sup>st</sup> MP of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> CP, not the current MP. Therefore, please remove this FAR from Section B.1.1.	B.1.5	The FAR unrelated to this MP has been removed from Section B.1.1.	Ok Closed (Section B.1.1 was revised accordingly.)		
CAR-7	a) It is stated in different parts of	C.1	a) The inconsistency in	a) Ok Closed (The statement		

	<p>Section C that there are both 2 electricity meters and 4 electricity meters in total. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>b) Please correct the statement “Four calibrated meters back-up each other.” in Section C. It is not a right approach.</p>		<p>Section C has been corrected; there are four meters in total (two main and two backup).</p> <p>b) The statement has been corrected to: “There are four calibrated meters; two are main and two are backup.”</p>	<p>was corrected in Section C.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (The statement was corrected in Section C.)</p>		
CAR-8	<p>a) In the “Source of Data” row of the “EG<sub>PI,y</sub>”, it is stated that “During performance certification monthly meter reading will be used as source of data”. However, these readings are indicated as the cross-checked method in Section C.</p>	D.2.1	<p>a) Section D.2 revised: EPIAŞ records are indicated as the primary data source; on-site meter readings archived in SCADA are used for cross-check. This correction is</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The source of data of the monitoring parameter was corrected in Section D.2.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (The statement was corrected in Section D.2.)</p>		

	<p>This information shall be revised in Section D.2 and also, since this is a correction as per the registered PDD, this information shall be included in Section B.2.2 of the MR.</p> <p>b) 2 electricity meters are indicated in the “Measurement methods and procedures” row of the “EG<sub>PJ,y</sub>” monitoring parameter in Section D.2. However, there are 4 electricity meters at the project site. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>c) Details of trainings (i.e. topics and dates) which were conducted</p>		<p>also reflected in Section B.2.2.</p> <p>b) The number of meters in Section D.2 has been corrected to four.</p> <p>c) Details of trainings (topics and dates) for the current MP have been included in Section D.2.</p> <p>d) The parameter “Quantitative employment and income generation” has been added to Section D.2.</p> <p>e) The unnecessary “GS4GG Template” terms have been removed</p>	<p>c) Ok Closed (Details of trainings were included in Section D.2.)</p> <p>d) Ok Closed (The missing parameter was included in Section D.2.)</p> <p>e) Ok Closed (The relevant term was removed from the MR.)</p> <p>f) Ok Closed (The link was corrected in Section D.2.)</p>		
--	--	--	---	---	--	--

	<p>during the current monitoring period shall be included in the “Value(s) applied” row of the “Quality of employment” monitoring parameter in Section D.2.</p> <p>d) Please include “Quantitative employment and income generation” monitoring parameter in Section D.2.</p> <p>e) Please remove “GS4GG Template” terms in the upper headers of Section D.2.</p> <p>f) Please correct the link in Footnote 19 in Section D.2 (it is not working).</p>		<p>from Section D.2 headers.</p> <p>f) The broken link in Footnote 19 of Section D.2 has been corrected.</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

<p>CAR-9</p>	<p>a) It is indicated that “Trainings at least once in two years.” in Section E.4. However, in Section E.2, it is indicated as three years. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>b) For SDG 13 contribution, the emission reduction value shall be under “Baseline estimate” column, not “Project estimate”, in Section E.4.</p>	<p>E.4.1</p>	<p>a) The contradiction regarding training frequency has been corrected; it is consistently stated as at least once every two years.</p> <p>b) For SDG 13, the emission reduction value has been placed under the Baseline estimate column in Section E.4.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The frequency was corrected throughout the MR.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (The value was indicated under Baseline Estimate column.)</p>		
<p>CAR-10</p>	<p>Please include the date of the stakeholder consultation in Section G.1.</p>	<p>G.1.1</p>	<p>The date of stakeholder consultation has been added in Section G.1.</p>	<p>Ok Closed (The date was included in Section G.1.)</p>		

CL-1	<p>a) Please correct the title of the row on the cover page: "Version number of the monitoring reportv" is stated.</p> <p>b) Please remove future tense sentences from the MR since this process is a verification (i.e. One should always proceed based on events that have already occurred.)</p>	(d)	<p>a) The title on the cover page has been corrected to "Version number of the monitoring report".</p> <p>b) All future tense sentences have been removed; the MR is now written entirely in past tense.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The typo error was corrected.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (Future tense sentences were removed from the MR.)</p>		
CL-2	Please include the total Monitoring Period number as well in the "Monitoring period number" row of the cover page (i.e. 6 <sup>th</sup> in total).	(h)	The total monitoring period number has been added on the cover page: 6th in total	Ok Closed (The total number of the MP was included on the cover page.)		

CL-3	As per the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines, the Project Owner is “Bergama RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş.”. Please explain this situation as a footnote in the report.	(j)	A footnote has been included to explain that the Project Owner was formerly Bergama RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş. according to commissioning certificates and later changed its name to Bilgin RES Enerji Üretim A.Ş. (Official Gazette provided to the VVB).	Ok Closed (The explanation was included in Section A.1.)		
CL-4	Please remove un-used columns from Table 2 on the cover page. Also, “Product A” shall be stated as “GS VERs”.	(p)	Unused columns in Table 2 on the cover page have been removed; Product A is now stated as GS VERs.	Ok Closed (Unused columns were removed from Table 2.)		

CL-5	Please include the lifetime of the project activity in Section B.1.	B.1.4	The project lifetime has been added in Section B.1 as 25 years.	Ok Closed (The lifetime was included in Section B.1.)		
CL-6	<p>a) Please provide the donation records for the current monitoring period.</p> <p>b) Please provide the waste water disposal records for the current monitoring period.</p>	F.1.1	<p>a) Donation records for the current MP have been provided to the VVB.</p> <p>b) Wastewater disposal records for the current MP have been provided to the VVB.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The donation records were provided.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (Waste water disposal records were provided.)</p>		