

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	2307
Project Name	Ambatolampy 20 MW solar PV
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	1st May 2020 to 31st December 2021
Project Proponent	GreenYellow Madagascar
Methodology	Methodology ID, name and version number
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Limited
Assessment Criteria	VCS Version 4
Date of First Issue	28 th June 2022
Review Conclusion	Closed
Date of Final Issue	22 nd November 2022

FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p>Lack of evidence and support regarding double counting</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The CDM project record page indicates that the project requested issuance under this program for 01/06/2019 to 30/04/2020. This duration coincides with the previous monitoring period. A The VVB has also not obtained any double counting declaration from the project proponent <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must describe how the project will avoid double counting and submit the evidence for the same for review.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Standard V4.2, Section 3.21</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has clarified that the monitoring report was webhosted with CDM, however, the issuance request has not been submitted for the same. PP has also provided a declaration to confirm that double counting does not take place during the monitoring period.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	Closed
2	<p>Missing information on implementation status of the project</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 1.1 of the MR does not include the relevant implementation dates (e.g., dates of construction, commissioning, and continued operation periods).'</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has included the relevant implementation dates in the section 1.1 of the MR.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	Closed

The VVB must ensure that section 1.1 is updated as per the instructional text in the VCS Monitoring Report Template

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 1.1

2 Missing Information on ongoing stakeholder communication and grievance mechanism

Issue

- No information about the method and mode of ongoing stakeholder communication has been provided in section 2.2 of the MR.
- The VR mentions that a continuous grievance mechanism is in place. However, the list of documents reviewed as mentioned in appendix 1 does not indicate the same.
- No Stakeholders were interviewed neither any questions related to the ongoing feedback mechanism/ stakeholder issues were raised during the remote audit as per section 2.3 of the VR.

Action item

The VVB must describe how was the status of the ongoing communication with the stakeholders assessed and update the relevant sections of the VR accordingly.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Standard V4.2, Section 3.21

Round1:

VVB Response: The project activity has a process of continuous grievance mechanism process for the project activity. The details for the project proponent and the environmental assessment practitioner have been provided for the continuous grievance mechanism. CL03 was raised in this regard and PP had provided the information in response to CL03.

PP has provided a copy of grievance register as part of Environmental and social report 2021 and it has been listed in the documents list.

VVB did not interview any local stakeholders as no comments were received during the monitoring period. The Topic was discussed during the remote audit and has been listed in the section 2.3 of the VR.

Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.

Round 2:

Closed

<p>3 Missing ex-ante parameters</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The MR shall include all fixed parameters as indicated in Section B.6.2 of the registered CDM PDD.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that section 4.1 of the MR is updated in line with the CDM PD.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p><i>Monitoring Report: VCS v 4.1, Section 4.1</i></p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has listed all the fixed parameters in the section B.6.2 of the CDM PDD.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>4. Missing details of parameter EG_{facility,y}</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In section 4.2 of the MR, the 5th row for 'Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied ' is not completed as required by the template <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that section 4.2 of the MR is updated with the relevant information..</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p><i>Monitoring Report: VCS Version 4.1, Section 4.2</i></p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has provided details in the row 'Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied' of the section 4.2 of the MR.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>5 Incorrect reference of the applied methodology</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The current monitoring period has applied ACM0002, v20.0, which is not in line with the version applied in the approved CDM-PD i.e. ACM0002 v19.0. 	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has revised the methodology to version 19 in accordance with the CDM PDD. However, version 20 of the methodology was referred in the registered VCS PD and was clarified in the response to CLO2 raised in the VR. In response to the Issue raised by Verra, the methodology reference is being updated to version 19 of the methodology in the MR and VR.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p>	<p>Closed</p>

- The methodology has been referred to as ACM002 in section 4.3 instead of ACM0002.
- Section 1.8 of the MR does not contain the reference to the applied CDM methodology.

Round 2:

Action item

- The VVB must ensure that the name and version of the applied methodology is consistent throughout all the documents.
- The VVB must ensure that the reference of the applied CDM methodology is included in this section as a footnote.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1,

VCS Verification Report Template V4.1

*VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1,
Section 1.8*

6 Missing details on the nature of the crediting period

Issue

- Section 1.6 of the MR does not indicate if the crediting period type is fixed or renewable.

Action item

The VVB must ensure that the type of crediting period is clearly stated in section 1.6 of the MR.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

Round1:

VVB Response: Section 1.6 of the MR has been revised to indicate that the crediting period type is renewable.

Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.

Round 2:

Closed

<p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 1.6</p> <p>VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.8.1</p>		
<p>7</p> <p>VR Template version</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The header indicates different VR template versions throughout the document The content section of the MR is not in line with the VCS Monitoring Report Template. <p><u>Action item</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The VVB must ensure that the correct template version is applied throughout the document. The VVB must ensure that the content section is updated as per the applied VCS monitoring Report Template. <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1</p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: Verra reviewer is requested to check the blank template of the Verification Report provided on the Verra interface. The header has been used as it is from the template available on the Verra interface. The template header has not been altered from the original.</p> <p>PP has revised the content section of the MR in accordance with the VCS Monitoring Report Template.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>8</p> <p>Incorrect verification period stated</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The verification period has been indicated as 01/01/2021 to 31/12/2021 in section 1.1 of the VR.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must describe the correct verification period.</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: The incorrect monitoring period has been revised in the section 1.1 of the VR.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 1.1

9 Contradictory information given on project being registered under any other GHG program

Issue

- Section 1.9 of the MR clearly states that the project activity is also registered under CDM. However, the VVB has not taken cognizance of this and has not reported the same.
- Section 4.1 of does not clearly indicate if the double counting of emissions were assessed.

Action item

The VVB must ensure that the correct information is being reported in the relevant sections of VR. Further, they must describe the steps taken to assess the double counting of the ERs.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 1.9

VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 3.1

VCS Standard V4.2, Section 3.20

Round1:

VVB Response: VVB has revised the statement in the section 1.9 of the MR to consider registration of the project activity under other GHG programs.

VVB has clarified in the section 4.1 of the VR to indicate clearly on the double counting assessment.

Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.

Round 2:

Closed

<p>10 Missing rationale behind application of correction factor</p> <p>Issue</p> <p>As per CAR 01, the PP states clearly that the main meters worked well throughout the monitoring period. It was only the calibration of back up meters which had unspecific periodicity.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must describe rationale behind the application of the correction factor to the project ERs.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p><i>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 5.1</i></p> <p><i>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 2.5</i></p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP had clarified in response to CAR01 that the calibration frequency for the backup meters was not available on the manufacturer’s website and thus voluntarily chose to apply a conservative deduction of the net electricity generation value. The approach has been accepted as it leads to conservative claim on emission reductions. This is in accordance with the §2.2.1 of the VCS Standard, version 4.3.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>11 Inconsistency reporting in the VR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The value of electricity displaced by the project activity stated in the summary section of the VR is inconsistent with the MR the spreadsheet. Section 1.1 states that this is the 4th Monitoring period, whereas this is the 2nd monitoring period <p>Action item</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The VVB must ensure that a consistent value of the amount of electricity displaced is reported in all the project documents. 	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: The value of electricity displaced by the project activity has been revised in the summary section of the VR.</p> <p>The monitoring period number has been corrected in the section 1.1 of the MR.</p> <p>Verra Review: Section 3.1 of the MR still states that this is the third monitoring period. The VVB must ensure that the correct MP count is reported across all documents.</p> <p>Round 2:</p> <p>VVB Response: PP has revised the incorrect reference to third monitoring period in the section 3.1 of the MR. Revised MR is being submitted with the response.</p> <p>Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.</p>	<p>Closed</p>

- The VVB must describe the correct monitoring period in section 1.1 of the VR

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Verification Report Template v4.1

VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 1.1

12 Inconsistency in the date of commissioning **Round1:** Closed

Issue

The commissioning certificate dated 20/07/2017, as indicated in Appendix I is in contradiction to the given commissioning and start date of 10/07/2018.

VVB Response: The date of the commissioning certificate has been revised in the Appendix 1 to 10/07/2018. The document referred has also been clarified.

Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and requires no further action.

Action item

The VVB must describe the rationale behind accepting this discrepancy

Round 2:

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 1.5

VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.7