

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	0917
Project Name	Aslancık Hydro Power Plant Project
Review Type	Verification Approval
Program(s)	VCS Program
Verification Period	01 January 2020 –30 April 2022
Project Proponent	Aslancık Elektrik Üretim A.Ş.
Methodology	ACM0002: Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 12.3.0
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.2
Date of First Issue	28 November 2022
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	19 December 2022

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	Editorials in the MR		
	<u>Issue</u> The following editorial errors have been noted in the MR: - a) Section 1.1: In table three, the output power per turbine shall be specified as 60MWm/49MWe or simply 49MWe. 60MW alone is misleading b) Section 1.8: The CDM Methodological TOOL07 applied by the registered VCS PD is v2.2.1. Why is the version 7.0.0 also included in this section, yet this is still the first CP? c) Section 3.1: The end date of the second monitoring period (this monitoring period) shall be revised from 31/03/2022 to 30/04/2022 d) Section 2.1.2: States that 'However, project got its provisional acceptance protocol on 07/03/2017 and started operating on 08/03/2014'. From Section 3.2.2 of the MPI MR, the provisional acceptance protocol date is indicated as 07/03/2014 <u>Action Required</u> The VVB must ensure that the PP has carried out the required corrections. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Monitoring Report, v4.1, Sections 1.1, 1.8, 3.1, and 2.1.2	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> The following editorial errors is corrected in the MR: - a) Section 1.1: In table three, the output power per turbine now specified as 60MWm/49MWe. b) Section 1.8: The CDM Methodological TOOL07 version 7.0.0 is removed. c) Section 3.1: The end date of the second monitoring period (this monitoring period) is revised from 31/03/2022 to 30/04/2022. d) Section 2.1.2: The provisional acceptance protocol date is made consistent to 07/03/2014. <u>Verra Response</u> The monitoring report has been updated in section 1.1, section 1.8, section 3.1, and section 2.1.2 accordingly. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.	Closed
2	Editorials in the VR		
	<u>Issue</u> The following editorial errors have been noted in the VR: - a) Summary Section: The emission reductions for MPII are twice wrongly indicated as 618,874 tCO₂e b) Section 1.4, Section 4.1, Section 4.4, & Section 5: The emission reductions for MPII are wrongly indicated as 618,874 tCO₂e	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> The following editorial errors have been corrected in the VR: - a) Summary Section: The emission reductions for MPII is corrected b) Section 1.4, Section 4.1, Section 4.4, & Section 5: The emission reductions for MPII is corrected	Closed

	<u>Action Required</u> The VVB must carry out the required corrections in the verification report.		
	<u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Program Guide, v4.2, Section 2.5.3(1)	<u>Verra Response</u> The verification report has been updated to confirm that the ERRs for this monitoring period are 329,859 tCO _{2e} . This finding is closed, and no further action is required.	

3 Monitoring parameter A _{PJ}			
	<u>Issue</u> In Section 5.2, the value of the reservoir area is indicated as 175,000 m ² . As per the applied methodology ACM0002 v12.3.0 (page 17), the parameter A _{PJ} shall be monitored 'yearly'. It is not clear who and when the value was determined as it is conspicuously missing in Section 4.2 <u>Action Required</u> The VVB is required to explain in the VR, the steps taken to verify the same. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Monitoring Report, v4.1, Section 4.2	Round 1	Closed
		<u>VVB Response</u> Section 4.2 and 5.2 of the MR is revised in this respect. Section 4.1 of VR is modified in this respect.	
		<u>Verra Response</u> The MR and the VR have been updated to confirm the monitoring procedure and value for the parameter A _{PJ} . This finding is closed, and no further action is required.	

4 ERR Spreadsheet			
	<u>Issue</u> The following is observed: - a) The end-date of this monitoring period is not in line with the MR and the generation data b) In the tab 'Electricity Generation', the purpose of including the second table (less conservative) is not clear <u>Action Required</u> The VVB must ensure appropriate corrections are carried out in the ER sheet.	Round 1	Closed
		<u>VVB Response</u> VVB Response a) The end date as mentioned in row 2 has been corrected in ER spreadsheet. b) In the tab, there are two tables – representing the main and cross check data for the project activity. In the first table, the data from EPIAŞ (which is the main data) is used. On the other hand, the second table with the title "Monthly Meter Reading Values" includes the plant's on-site meter readings that are used	

	<u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Program Guide, v4.2, Section 2.5.3(1)	for cross-check purposes. The plant documents hourly and daily recordings from the SCADA system. At the end of the month, the EPIAS data – which is official state data – and the on-site readings are compared. Please refer to Section 4.2. of the MR for more information.	
		<u>Verra Response</u> The ER spreadsheet has been properly corrected and explained. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.	