



LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION REVIEW

Project Name : Susurluk WEPP

Project ID : GS – 854

Revision Version: 1

Date of submission : 04/05/2010

Date of feedback : 28/05/2010



| SECTION B | |
|---|----------|
| SUB-SECTION : B.1 | Response |
| 1. Kindly limit the agenda of meeting to the bullets points. The detailed description should come in Minutes of physical meeting. | |
| 2. Kindly provide original text in local language of the non-technical summary provided to the stakeholders. It is required that Non-technical summary was sent along with the invitations to enable stakeholders to comment on the project who could not attend the meeting. As the 'Text for individual invitations' does not mention about the non-technical summary is attached along with the invitation in both the meeting. Kindly clarify if the non-technical summary provided. | |
| 3. The invitee list suggest that not all Gold Standard NGO supporters were invited for the stakeholder consultation meeting. Please justify. The Gold Standard has 5 international NGO supporters (WWF, HELIO International, Greenpeace, Mercy Corps and REEEP). In addition, there are a number of Turkish Gold Standard NGO supporters who should be invited to take part in the stakeholder consultation meeting. You can access their information on our website : http://www.cdmgoldstandard.org/about_goldstandard.php?id=16 Kindly make sure that these are invited for the Stakeholder Feedback Round (SFR). | |
| 4. Kindly invite Ms. Nahla Sabet (nahla@cdmgoldstandard.org), GS Regional Manager based in Cairo, Egypt for the Stakeholder Feedback Round (SFR). Also, please let us know when the feedback round begins so that we can make the links to the documents public on the GS Registry. | |
| 5. Kindly provide screen-shot of email for the confirmation for the invitation sent. | |
| 6. Kindly provide English translation for the poster and newspaper announcement used as public invitations. | |
| 7. Please provide evidences for the confirmation of receipt of invitations for the meeting. Provide screen-shot of e-mails, copy of acknowledgements for the confirmation, etc. | |

| SECTION C | |
|--|--|
| SUB – SECTION : C.1 | |
| 1. Please provide some copies of the evaluation forms filled in by the stakeholders during the meeting with a range of negative and positive comments in the LSC report itself with their English Translation. | |
| 2. Kindly provide more information on the comment received by Ms. Gul. who | |



| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| could not attend the meeting. | |
|-------------------------------|--|

| SECTION D | |
|--|--|
| 1. Kindly note that all the safeguarding principles must be justified with proper reference documents whether scored low, medium or high in the Do-no Harm Assessment. The safeguarding principles scored with medium to high risk should be subjected to a mitigation measure and should be a part of the sustainable development monitoring plan. | |
| 2. Please note that the scoring of all the 12 indicators must be easily reproducible by the DOE and the reviewers. Please therefore support the scoring of the 12 SD indicators with quantitative data when possible regardless of the score. Hence, please provide a justification paragraph for all SD indicators as well and try to support it with the help of publicly available information. The reference document can be in the form of electronic link or scanned copy of original document which is required for each indicator, regardless of score. | |
| 3. Kindly note that the sustainable development indicator 'Air quality and quantity' does not include reduction of CO2 amount in atmosphere. Kindly refer Annex I of the GS version 2.1 of the Toolkit for the better understanding of the indicator. | |

| SECTION E | |
|--|--|
| 1. Kindly explain how the monitoring plan was explained to stakeholders. Kindly refer Section 2.4.3 on page 42 of the GS version 2.1 Toolkit for better understanding of the column. | |

| SECTION F | |
|---|--|
| 1. Kindly discuss how PDD and Passport will be made available during SFR to local people who do not have access to internet. Also, please let us know when feedback round begins so that we can make the links to the document public on the GS registry. | |

| OTHERS | |
|---|--|
| 1. Kindly provide the revised LSC report on the original GS version 2.1 LSC template. | |