

# PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

*This document tracks the findings raised in Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.*

<b>Review Type</b>	Verification
<b>Project ID</b>	1315
<b>Project Name</b>	Biomass based cogeneration plant at Godrej Agrovet Ltd. Chintampalli
<b>Program(s)</b>	VCS
<b>Verification Period</b>	01 April 2019 to 31 September 2021
<b>Project Proponent</b>	Godrej Agrovet Limited
<b>Methodology</b>	AMS-I.C., Thermal energy production with or without electricity, Version 19.0
<b>Sectoral Scope(s)</b>	1, Energy (renewable/non-renewable)
<b>VVB</b>	Earthood Services Private Limited
<b>Assessment Criteria</b>	VCS Standard, v4.2, AMS-I.C., Version 19.0
<b>Date of First Issue</b>	03 June 2022
<b>Review Conclusion</b>	10 November 2022
<b>Date of Final Issue</b>	21 November 2022

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p><b>Sustainable Development Contributions:</b> The VVB must assess and request the project proponent to update the sustainable development contributions in Table 1 of Section 1.11 of the monitoring report:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) To align with the contributions reported in previous reports. For example, if the project proponent has claimed contributions to SDG 3.2 in a previous report (i.e., 200 bed nets distributed), the project proponent must report any contributions to SDG 3.2 for the current monitoring period, even if there are no contributions in the current period (i.e., 0 net beds distributed for current project contributions).</li> <li>2) To only report cumulative contributions under the “Contributions Over Project Lifetime” column that have been included in previous sustainable development contributions. For example, if 200 bed nets were distributed in the previous monitoring period and 100 bed nets were distributed for the current monitoring period, the contribution over project lifetime is 300 bed nets.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p><b>VVB Response:</b> PP has updated Section 1.11 of the Monitoring Report Version 4.0 according to the VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.1 instructions and guidelines. The information presented in the MR has been verified by the verification team with the available previous Verification Reports from VCS Registry. Also, the documentary evidences submitted by the PP like Electricity and diesel bills.</p> <p><b>Verra Review:</b> The VVB is has confirmed that the project proponent updated Section 1.11 of the monitoring report and provided supporting evidence. The contributions are now aligned with the previous reporting period. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.</p>	Closed

	<p><b>Program rule:</b>  <i>VCS Standard, v4.2 Section 3.4.3;</i>  <i>VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.1 Section 1.11</i></p>		
2	<p><b>Project Description Deviation:</b>  The VVB must assess and the project proponent must describe the implications of adding an “other entity” as part of a project description deviation in Section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report and Section 3.3 of the verification report.</p> <p>The validation report and validated project description does not refer to Infinite Solutions as an “other entity”. Further, previous monitoring and verification reports do not report this as a project description deviation.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b>  <i>VCS Standard, v4.2 Section 3.19</i>  <i>VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.1 Section 3.2.2</i>  <i>VCS Verification Report Template, v4.0 Section 3.3</i></p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p><b>VVB Response:</b> As confirmed during the remote site audit, Infinite Solutions is the Project Consultant for the project activity, and Godrej Agrovet Limited is the sole owner of the project activity. PP has revised the MR and it is now inline with the information provided in VCS PD and Validation Report available on the VCS Registry. FVR is also updated accordingly.</p> <p><b>Verra Review:</b> The VVB has confirmed that Godrej Agrovet Limited is the sole project proponent for Project 1315. This finding is closed, and no further response is required.</p>	Closed
3	<p><b>Implementation Status:</b>  The VVB must clarify the reasons for shut down that occurred in the current monitoring period, as there is conflicting information in Section 3.1 of the monitoring report and Section 4.1 of the verification report.</p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p><b>VVB Response:</b> The plant was kept shut down from October/November to February/March due to maintenance work. This was verified during the remote site audit and has been appropriately calculated while calculating the emission reductions. Accordingly, the PP has updated the Section 3.1 of the MR and verification team has made appropriate corrections in the Verification Report.</p>	Closed

<p>Section 3.1 of the monitoring report states that the shutdowns were due to non-availability of biomass and annual maintenance. However, Section 4.1 of the verification report states that the first shutdown (December 2019 to January 2020) was due to non-availability of biomass and the second shutdown (November 2020 to February 2021) was due to annual maintenance.</p>	<p><b>Verra Review:</b> The VVB has ensured that Section 3.1 of the monitoring report and Section 4.1 of the verification report are now consistent. The plant was shutdown from December 2019 to January 2020 and November 2020 to February 2021 as part of routine maintenance. This finding is closed, and no further response is required.</p>	
---	--	--