

# PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

*This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.*

<b>Review Type</b>	Verification
<b>Project ID</b>	0727
<b>Project Name</b>	CECIC HKE Zhangbei Lvnaobao Wind Power Project
<b>Program(s)</b>	VCS
<b>Verification Period</b>	01/12/2019 to 31/12/2021
<b>Project Proponent</b>	CECIC HKE Wind Power Co., Ltd
<b>Methodology</b>	ACM0002 “Consolidated baseline methodology for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources.” Version 10.0 and 20.0.
<b>VVB</b>	LGAI Technological Center S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
<b>Assessment Criteria</b>	VCS Standard, v4.2, VCS Standard V4.1 ACM0002 v.10 and v20
<b>Date of First Issue</b>	10-October-2022
<b>Review Conclusion</b>	Closed
<b>Date of Final Issue</b>	19-December-2022

FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p><b>Double counting observed</b></p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>It is observed that the project issued credits under both CDM and VCS for monitoring period 01/03/2012 to 31/12/2012</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must describe how did they verify that no doubling counting was taking place when the project has already issued credits under two different GHG programs for the same monitoring period.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.21.1</p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1</p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The monitoring report and corresponding verification report uploaded in the VERRA system for 01/03/2012 to 31/12/2012 is for CDM verification other than VCS verification. Actually, 158,412 CERs were issued, and 155,244 CERs can be delivered. 97,877 CERs were sold to buyers, the other 57,357 CERs were transferred into VCS VERs. The same has been confirmed by checking mail from UNFCCC.</p> <p>Therefore, the VVB confirm there is no double counting for monitoring period from 01/03/2012 to 31/12/2012.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>No further action.</p> <p><b>Round 2:</b></p>	Closed
2	<p><b>Inconsistent project construction start date</b></p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 1.1, and other sections of the MR and VR state the date of project construction as 30/03/2008. Whereas section 1.1 of the renewed VCS PD indicates this date to be 20/08/2008.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The construction date should be 20/08/2008, when Civil Construction Order was issued. The monitoring report and FVR was revised accordingly.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>No further action is required.</p> <p><b>Round 2:</b></p>	Closed

<p>The VVB must ensure and verify that section 1.1 and other relevant sections of the MR and VR report the correct project construction date.</p>	<p><b><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></b></p>	<p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1</p>	<p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>Missing documents</b></p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p>	<p>VVB Response:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p><b><u>Issue</u></b></p>	<p>Double counting certificate and grievance records register is missing from the list of documents reviewed in appendix 1 of the VR.</p>	<p>Related description has been included in the updated FVR.</p>	<p>The check mainly relies on the information of other public GHG programs such as CDM, GS, GCC, CCER.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p><b><u>Action item</u></b></p>	<p>The VVB must describe how a reasonable level of assurance was obtained for this verification without verifying the documents specified above.</p>	<p>Verra Review:</p>	<p>No further action is required.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p><b><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></b></p>	<p>VCS Standard v4.2, Section 4.1.2</p>	<p><b>Round 2:</b></p>	<p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 2.2</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p><b>4</b></p>	<p><b>Missing details on site visit</b></p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p>	<p>VVB Response:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p><b><u>Issue</u></b></p>	<p>Section 2.4 of the VR does not include:</p>	<p>The same has been included in the section 2.3 and description has been revised for clean understanding.</p>	<p>Verra Review:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Describe the methods and objectives for any on-site inspections performed.</li> <li>• Description details of all project activity locations visited, the physical and organizational aspects of the</li> </ul>	<p>No further action is required.</p>	<p><b>Round 2:</b></p>	<p></p>	<p>Closed</p>

project inspected and the dates when such site inspections took place

**Action item**

The VVB must describe the above information in section 2.4 of the VR in line with the applied VR template.

**Program rule(s) or methodology section**

*VCS Standard v4.2, Section 4.1.13*

*VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 2.4*