



# Verified Carbon Standard

## CEYHAN 61.7 MW HYDROPOWER PROJECT, TURKEY

Document Prepared by Sekans Danışmanlık

<b>Project Title</b>	Ceyhan 61.7 MW Hydropower Project
<b>Version</b>	2
<b>Report ID</b>	CEYMONITORING3
<b>Date of Issue</b>	14 January 2022
<b>Project ID</b>	810
<b>Monitoring Period</b>	01 June 2018 - 31 May 2020
<b>Prepared By</b>	SEKANS DANIŞMANLIK
<b>Contact</b>	Emniyet Evleri Mah. Eski Büyükdere Cad. No: 1 /1 İç Kapi No: 1b04 Kagithane/ İstanbul E-mail: <a href="mailto:sila@sekansdanismanlik.com">sila@sekansdanismanlik.com</a> Phone: +90 532 438 30 29

# CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>PROJECT DETAILS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project.....	4
1.2	Sectoral Scope and Project Type.....	6
1.3	Project Proponent .....	7
1.4	Other Entities Involved in the Project .....	7
1.5	Project Start Date .....	7
1.6	Project Crediting Period .....	7
1.7	Project Location.....	8
1.8	Title and Reference of Methodology .....	8
1.9	Participation under other GHG Programs.....	9
1.10	Other Forms of Credit.....	9
1.11	Sustainable Development.....	9
<b>2</b>	<b>SAFEGUARDS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	No Net Harm .....	10
2.2	Local Stakeholder Consultation .....	11
2.3	AFOLU-Specific Safeguards .....	11
<b>3</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION STATUS.....</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	Implementation Status of the Project Activity .....	12
3.2	Deviations .....	12
3.2.1	Methodology Deviations .....	12
3.2.2	Project Description Deviations.....	12
3.3	Grouped Projects .....	12
<b>4</b>	<b>DATA AND PARAMETERS.....</b>	<b>12</b>
4.1	Data and Parameters Available at Validation.....	12
4.2	Data and Parameters Monitored.....	14
4.3	Monitoring Plan.....	21
<b>5</b>	<b>QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS .....</b>	<b>23</b>

5.1	Baseline Emissions .....	23
5.2	Project Emissions .....	25
5.3	Leakage.....	26
5.4	Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals .....	26

# 1 PROJECT DETAILS

## 1.1 Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project

The Ceyhan 61.7 MW Hydropower Project, Turkey, which is developed by Enova Enerji Üretim A.Ş. (hereafter referred to as the “project owner”), consists of Oşkan and Berkman diversion weirs and HPPs which are run-of-river hydro electrical power plants with a total installed capacity of 63.468 MWm / 61.704 Mwe. The project is located on Ceyhan River, in the city of Osmaniye, in South Anatolian Region, Turkey.

The Project has been implemented and operated by Enova Enerji Üretim A.Ş. The project aims to generate electricity from hydropower and feed it to the national electricity grid.

The project includes 6 turbines, with an estimated power supply to the grid of 239,946 MWh per annum. The project is in implementation since May 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2010, when Oşkan HPP, three of the six Pit Kaplan type turbines in the plant, commences electricity generation. The starting date of the project activity for the Berkman HPP, other three turbines in the plant, has been August 20th, 2010. The estimated amount of GHG emission reduction is 147,566 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e per year in the registered PDD. During this monitoring period, the actualized net electricity generation is 467,586.36 MWh. By displacing equivalent amount of electricity consumed from the national grid, which is mostly comprised of fossil fired power plants, the actual emission reduction has been calculated as 287,566 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub> for this monitoring period.

The implementation of the project consists of two diversion weirs and HPPs. Oşkan HPP has three Pit Kaplan type turbines with an installed capacity of 7.963 MWe each. Total installed capacity of Oşkan HPP is 23.889 MW. Berkman HPP is also equipped with three Pit Kaplan type turbines with an installed capacity of 12.605 MWe each. Total installed capacity of Berkman HPP is 37.815 MW<sup>1</sup>. Total installed capacity in the powerhouse is 63.468 MWm/ 61.704 MWe. Both HEPPs utilizes the head between the Aslantas Dam at the upstream of Ceyhan River and Cevdetiye irrigation structure at the downstream or power generation. The HEPPs uses the water outlet flows of the upstream Aslantaş Dam. There hasn't been any increase in the reservoir area during this monitoring period.

The construction of the project started in 28/03/2008 and the project was operational on 03/06/2010<sup>2</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Ministry Acceptance Protocols showing the technical details of the turbines and the generation license are available to the DOE.

<sup>2</sup> Ministry Acceptance Protocols are available to the DOE.

The project has produced positive environmental benefits as displacing the electricity generated by fossil fuel fired power plants by utilising the renewable resources so as to avoid environmental pollution and GHG emissions.

Please see the vintage-based comparison of expected and achieved emission reductions for this monitoring period:

Table 1- Expected vs achieved emission reductions

Vintage	Period	Total Days	Amount achieved during this monitoring period (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Amount estimated ex ante (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Difference (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Difference (%)
2018	2018 Vintage (01.06.2018-31.12.2018)	214	51,240	86,518	-35,278	-40.8%
2019	2019 Vintage (01.01.2019-31.12.2019)	365	163,587	147,566	16,021	10.9%
2020	2020 Vintage (01.01.2020-31.05.2020)	152	72,738	61,452	11,286	18.4%
<b>Total</b>		<b>731</b>	<b>287,566</b>	<b>295,536</b>	<b>-7,971</b>	<b>-2.7%</b>

Table 2 - Monitoring timeline of the Project Activity

Date (Period)	Activity
21/08/2003	Issuance of "EIA Certificate not required"
28/03/2008	Construction Start Date
03/06/2010	Commissioning of the Project
03/06/2010 - 31/05/2012	1 <sup>st</sup> Monitoring Period
01/06/2012 - 31/05/2018	2 <sup>nd</sup> Monitoring Period
01/06/2018 – 31/05/2020	3 <sup>rd</sup> Monitoring Period

Please see the technical description of the Project Activity through Table 3:

Table 3 - Technical description of the Project Activity<sup>3</sup>

<b>Oşkan – Pit Kaplan type turbine</b>	
Turbine Brand	Alstom
Turbine Type	Horizontal - axis
Number of units	3
Water flow rate	89 m <sup>3</sup> /s
Net head at 9.00 m <sup>3</sup> /s	10.5 m
Rated power	8,198 kW

<b>Berkman – Pit Kaplan type turbine</b>	
Turbine Brand	Alstom
Turbine Type	Horizontal - axis
Number of units	3
Water flow rate	89 m <sup>3</sup> /s
Net head at 9.00 m <sup>3</sup> /s	15.71 m
Rated power	12,958 kW

## 1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type

According to UNFCCC sectoral scopes definition for CDM projects, the Project Activity is included in the Sectoral Scope 1, category “Energy industries (renewable - / nonrenewable sources)”. The project is a single green field investment and is not part of a project group or bundle. The project is not a grouped project.

<sup>3</sup> The photographic evidence of turbine name plates is available to the DOE.

### 1.3 Project Proponent

<b>Organization name</b>	Enova Enerji Üretim A.Ş.
<b>Contact person</b>	Mr. Koray Keskin
<b>Title</b>	Trade Manager
<b>Address</b>	Gelincik sok. No: 2/2 Güvenerler – Ankara - Turkey
<b>Telephone</b>	+90 312 428 11 25
<b>Email</b>	<a href="mailto:koray.keskin@enovaenerji.com.tr">koray.keskin@enovaenerji.com.tr</a>

### 1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project

<b>Organization name</b>	Sekans Danışmanlık
<b>Role in the Project</b>	Consultant
<b>Contact person</b>	Sıla Duran
<b>Title</b>	Consultant
<b>Address</b>	Emniyet Evleri Mah. Eski Büyükdere Cad. No: 1 /1 IÇ Kapi No: 1b04 Kagithane/ Istanbul
<b>Telephone</b>	-
<b>Email</b>	<a href="mailto:sila@sekansdanismanlik.com">sila@sekansdanismanlik.com</a>

### 1.5 Project Start Date

The project start date is 03/06/2010 as it is the first commissioning date of the project activity. <sup>4</sup>.

### 1.6 Project Crediting Period

The Project's crediting period type is renewable crediting. The Project's crediting period is ten years renewable twice: 03/06/2010 – 02/06/2020 (both days are included).

<sup>4</sup> The Ministry Acceptance Protocols are available to the DOE.

## 1.7 Project Location

The project is located on Ceyhan River which is in the borders of Osmaniye city in South Anatolian region of Turkey. The coordinates of the project activity is 37°10'39.49"N and 36°16'15.85"E<sup>5</sup>.




Figure 1. Location of the Oşkan HPP and Berkman HPP in Turkey Map

## 1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology

The emission reductions of the Project were calculated in accordance with the approved consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002, Version 12.1.0: "Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources".

Also, to prove additionality and to calculate the grid emission factor,

"Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 05.2 and

<sup>5</sup> Please see the google earth coordinates.

“Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”, Version 2 are used.

## 1.9 Participation under other GHG Programs

The project has never been included in an emissions trading program or any other binding limits. It has neither received any kind of environmental credits nor been registered under any other GHG programs.

## 1.10 Other Forms of Credit

The project has not created another form of credits that are included in an emissions trading program; or any other mechanism that includes GHG allowance trading

## 1.11 Sustainable Development

The project produces electricity from renewable energy sources using hydro as the power source and to contribute to Turkey's growing electricity demand through a sustainable and low carbon technology. The project displaces the same amount of electricity generated by the grid dominated with fossil fired power plants. The project generates 239,946 MWh annually. The project contributes to the Sustainable Development Goal, Affordable and Clean Energy. During this monitoring period, the project has generated 467,586.36 MWh as contributing to SDG 7 Affordable ad Clean Energy.

The annual emission reduction estimated by the project is 147,566 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>eq, approximately. While this amount of emissions is mitigated, technology transfer is also realized as benefitting from hydropower. During this monitoring period, the project has contributed to SDG 13 Climate Action as reducing 287,566 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>.

The project contributes to improve the environmental situation in the region and in the country as avoiding fossil fuel-based electricity enhanced the air quality and help to reduce the negative effects on the climate. Through renewable technologies and hydro-based electricity sustainable and climate friendly development is promoted. The project contributes to the Sustainable Development Goal, Climate Action.

During construction and operational period, the project has created employment opportunities for the local community. As contributing to SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth, 31 employees are working for the project activity.

The project contributes the economic development of the region by providing sustainable energy resources. The project provides workers with a safe and healthy work environment and is not complicit in exposing workers to unsafe or unhealthy work environments. Thus,

the project contributes to the Sustainable Development Goal, Decent Work and Economic Growth.

## 2 SAFEGUARDS

### 2.1 No Net Harm

Within the scope of the project, all precautions have been taken for the environment during the design phase and the project will be implemented in line with the environmental law and related regulations.

The purpose of the project activity is to generate power in an efficient, clean, reliable and sustainable way with maximum respect on social and environmental aspects and to reduce emissions by partially substituting the electricity supply of fossil fuel fired power plants in Turkey. The consequences of the Project Activity with regards to economic, social and environmental impacts have been assessed during EIA process. The project has received an “EIA is not required” according to Turkish environmental law by Ministry of Environment and Forestry on 21/08/2003.

The project activity is not on forest land. There are agricultural lands around the project area; however, since the project is constructed on water and, no reservoir, no negative impacts are expected on agricultural land.

The operation of equipment complies with the Turkish Standards and World Bank Guidelines.

- Regarding the wastes, hazardous wastes are handled appropriately in closed containers and transported by licensed transporters to the licensed processing and disposal facilities. Wastewater is collected through within the septic tank and is transferred through these wage truck. Domestic wastes are transferred by the provincial administration<sup>6</sup>. The latest audit reports (16/09/2020) state that the Project Activity is in compliance with the regulations as following:Water pollution control regulation(nr.25687)
- Waste oil control regulation(nr.30985)
- Waste management regulation (nr.29314)
- Regulation on assessment and management of environmental noise(nr.27601)

---

<sup>6</sup> Records and photos are available to the DOE.

In line with legal requirements, needed life water is released by the project activity as stated in EIA report.

There is not any negative environmental or socio-economic impact.

## 2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

The stakeholder meeting was held on 10<sup>th</sup> April 2009 in Karagedik Village in accordance with the World Bank Operational Manual requirements. The meeting was announced in local media and national (Bir Gün) newspapers and through Village Head of Karagedik. Also, national and local policy makers, local NGOs and the local people were invited. Residents in the village were informed about the event through the village heads of Karagedik, Karatepe and Nohuttepe. In this meeting authorized people from EN-ÇEV on behalf of the project owner Enova Enerji Üretim A.Ş. introduced project to the local people in terms of the size of the project, expected length of the construction period and to give details about how this project impacted their lives.

The feedback from the stakeholders was reflected on the project design and implementation. Also, a contact person from Enova Enerji Üretim A.Ş. and relevant contact information was announced in the relevant meetings for ongoing communication.

The local workers have been given priority during the hiring for plant operation.

The Project has resulted in the creation of new jobs in the project region and improvement in local roads, contributing to living standards in the region. The project owner helped local people and the institutions in many ways.

There was no specific environmental problem that concerned the locals more than others, and environmental issues need to be solved by the government. All people considered clear signs of climate change in the region in recent years.

The contact information of the plant responsible exist at the Mukhtars of Kumarlı village, the project owner and local community are always in touch. The project owner regularly checks with the Mukhtar if any complaint or a request exists. Any complaint or need from the local community could directly be received by the project owner and appropriate contributions or improvements are made to the local community. No complaint has been received during the monitoring period.

The project is operational and there is no update to the project design.

## 2.3 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

N/A

## 3 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

### 3.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity

The description of the implementation and operational status of the project and the installed technology(ies), technical process and equipment are detailed in Section 1.1.

Other entity involved in the Project was Suen Ltd. Şti., Turkey in the project description. However, it's been changed as Sekans Danışmanlık after the first monitoring period.

### 3.2 Deviations

#### 3.2.1 Methodology Deviations

There are no methodology deviations applied during this monitoring period.

#### 3.2.2 Project Description Deviations

The description of the implementation and operational status of the project and the installed technology(ies), technical process and equipment are detailed in Section 1.1.

Other entity involved in the Project was Suen Ltd. Şti., Turkey in the project description. However, it's been changed as Sekans Danismanlik after the first monitoring period.

### 3.3 Grouped Projects

This is not a grouped project.

## 4 DATA AND PARAMETERS

### 4.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>F<sub>i, j, y</sub></b>
<b>Data unit</b>	m <sup>3</sup> or tons
<b>Description</b>	Amount of fossil fuel type i consumed by the relevant power source j in year y
<b>Source of data</b>	TEIAS web page www.teias.gov.tr
<b>Value applied</b>	See Annex 3 in the registered PDD for details in

<b>Purpose of data</b>	Applied in the calculation of the simple OM.
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>NCV<sub>i, y</sub></b>
<b>Data unit</b>	Tj/kt
<b>Description</b>	Net calorific value (energy content) of fossil fuel type i in year y
<b>Source of data</b>	Calculated using data in TEIAS web page using fuel consumption and heating values data
<b>Value applied</b>	See Annex 3 in the registered PDD for details
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Applied in the calculation of the simple OM.
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>EF<sub>CO<sub>2</sub>, i, y</sub></b>
<b>Data unit</b>	tCO <sub>2</sub> /Tj
<b>Description</b>	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of fossil fuel type i in year y
<b>Source of data</b>	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
<b>Value applied</b>	See Annex 3 in the registered PDD for details
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Applied in the calculation of the simple OM.
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>Installed Capacity</b>
<b>Data unit</b>	MW
<b>Description</b>	Installed capacities of hydro power and fuel-fired power of the National Turkish Grid 2002-2008
<b>Source of data</b>	Turkish Electricity Transmission Company (TEIAS)
<b>Value applied</b>	See Annex 3 in the registered PDD for details
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Applied in the calculation of the simple BM.
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>EG<sub>y</sub></b>
<b>Data unit</b>	MWh

<b>Description</b>	Net Electricity generated and delivered to the grid by the power plant in year y
<b>Source of data</b>	Metering devices measuring the electricity generation of the plant and invoices prepared for billing the transmission company.
<b>Value applied</b>	Please Annex 3 in the registered PDD for details
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Applied to calculate the project emission reductions
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	$EF_{grid,CMY}$
<b>Data unit</b>	tCO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh
<b>Description</b>	Baseline emission factor
<b>Source of data</b>	Registered PDD for the Project
<b>Value applied</b>	0.615
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Used for baseline emissions
<b>Comments</b>	-

## 4.2 Data and Parameters Monitored

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	$EG_{Facility,y}$
<b>Data unit</b>	MWh
<b>Description</b>	Annual net electricity generated by the Project Activity
<b>Source of data</b>	The data from the Electricity Meters are the basis for the settlement notification of EPIAS <sup>7</sup> . Data are gathered electronically from the meters by TEIAS and stored in secured website of EPIAS, which is accessible to project developer with a private password. For monitoring, EPIAS screenshots shall be used as source of data.
<b>Description of measurement methods and</b>	These devices measure the net electricity supply to the national grid by the Project Activity, all losses before this point are on account of the project participant. Both metering instruments, which continuously monitor and measure the net electricity

<sup>7</sup> PMUM has been replaced by EPIAS as of 01/09/2015 in Turkey. Retrospective data is accessible via EPIAS

<b>procedures to be applied</b>	delivered by the Project Activity, are sealed and only accessible by TEIAS personnel. Official TEIAS data from the EPIAS web site are being used for calculating EG <sub>Facility,y</sub> .																																											
<b>Frequency of monitoring/recording</b>	Continuously monitoring and monthly readings																																											
<b>Value monitored</b>	83,317.79 MWh for 2018 265,995.42 MWh for 2019 118,273.14 MWh for 2020																																											
<b>Monitoring equipment</b>	<p>Both exported and imported electricity are measured continuously by power meters at the grid interface and recorded monthly.</p> <p>Power meters:</p> <p><b>Oşkan HPP</b></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #cccccc;">Unit 1</th> <th>Main Meter</th> <th>Spare Meter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Name</td> <td>Ana Sayaç</td> <td>Yedek Sayaç</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Brand</td> <td>EMH</td> <td>EMH</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Serial Number</td> <td>8923872</td> <td>8923873</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Latest Test Date of the Meters</td> <td>11.10.2019</td> <td>11.10.2019</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Accuracy Class</td> <td>0.5 S</td> <td>0.5 S</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #cccccc;">Unit 2</th> <th>Main Meter</th> <th>Spare Meter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Name</td> <td>Ana Sayaç</td> <td>Yedek Sayaç</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Brand</td> <td>EMH</td> <td>EMH</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Serial Number</td> <td>8923874</td> <td>8923875</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Latest Test Date of the Meters</td> <td>11.10.2019</td> <td>11.10.2019</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Accuracy Class</td> <td>0.5 S</td> <td>0.5 S</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #cccccc;">Unit 3</th> <th>Main Meter</th> <th>Spare Meter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Unit 1	Main Meter	Spare Meter	Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç	Brand	EMH	EMH	Serial Number	8923872	8923873	Latest Test Date of the Meters	11.10.2019	11.10.2019	Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S	Unit 2	Main Meter	Spare Meter	Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç	Brand	EMH	EMH	Serial Number	8923874	8923875	Latest Test Date of the Meters	11.10.2019	11.10.2019	Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S	Unit 3	Main Meter	Spare Meter			
Unit 1	Main Meter	Spare Meter																																										
Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç																																										
Brand	EMH	EMH																																										
Serial Number	8923872	8923873																																										
Latest Test Date of the Meters	11.10.2019	11.10.2019																																										
Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S																																										
Unit 2	Main Meter	Spare Meter																																										
Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç																																										
Brand	EMH	EMH																																										
Serial Number	8923874	8923875																																										
Latest Test Date of the Meters	11.10.2019	11.10.2019																																										
Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S																																										
Unit 3	Main Meter	Spare Meter																																										

Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç
Brand	EMH	EMH
Serial Number	8923876	84260477
Latest Test Date of the Meters	11.10.2019	11.10.2019
Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S

#### Berkman HPP

	Main Meter	Spare Meter
Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç
Brand	EMH	EMH
Serial Number	8923878	8923879
Latest Test Date of the Meters	11.10.2019	11.10.2019
Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S

Power meters that were changed on 19.10.2019: This date is also the calibration date of the meters.

#### Oşkan HPP

<b>Unit 1</b>	Main Meter	Spare Meter
Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç
Brand	ELSTER	ELSTER
Serial Number	388202	388203
Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S

<b>Unit 2</b>	Main Meter	Spare Meter
Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç
Brand	ELSTER	ELSTER

	Serial Number	388204	388205
	Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S
	<b>Unit 3</b>	Main Meter	Spare Meter
	Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç
	Brand	ELSTER	ELSTER
	Serial Number	388207	388208
	Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S
	<b>Berkman HPP</b>		
		Main Meter	Spare Meter
	Name	Ana Sayaç	Yedek Sayaç
Brand	ELSTER	ELSTER	
Serial Number	388228	388227	
Accuracy Class	0.5 S	0.5 S	
Latest two meter test dates are 30/10/2018 and 11/10/2019.			
<b>QA/QC procedures to be applied</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Spare meters are used for crosschecking the accuracy and all meters are calibrated if required.</li> <li>The Meter Reading Forms are considered as the main source for the net electricity and the values are crosschecked with the EPIAS records.</li> <li>TEIAS is responsible for calibration and maintenance of the devices. The periodic calibration or maintenance is under the responsibility of TEIAS and has been fixed as once in 10 years<sup>8</sup>. Since TEIAS meters are sealed by TEIAS, the project proponent cannot intervene with the devices. The periodic tests are executed on annual basis.</li> </ul>		

<sup>8</sup> <http://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/Metin.Aspx?MevzuatKod=7.5.6381&MevzuatIliski=0&sourceXmlSearch>

<b>Purpose of data</b>	Calculation of net electricity supplied to the grid and thus baseline emissions
<b>Calculation method</b>	Direct continuous measurement
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>CAP<sub>PJ</sub></b>
<b>Data unit</b>	MW
<b>Description</b>	Installed capacity of the hydro power plant after the implementation of the project activity
<b>Source of data</b>	Powerhouse
<b>Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied</b>	Aggregation of the capacities of each turbine generator sets that are installed in the project powerhouse(s) for electricity generation
<b>Frequency of monitoring/recording</b>	Yearly
<b>Value monitored</b>	63.468 MWm / 61.704 MWe
<b>Monitoring equipment</b>	The data is monitored from the electricity generation license which was granted by Energy Market Regulatory Authority. The most up-to-date generation license has been provided. The installed capacity figure is 8.198 MWm / 7.963 MWe for three of the six turbines. The capacity figure for the other three turbines is 12.958 MWm / 12.605 MWe. The total installed capacity of the project is 63.468 MWm / 61.704 MWe.
<b>QA/QC procedures to be applied</b>	Supplier information on the equipment and existence of the equipment are being checked.
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Used for calculation of project emissions
<b>Calculation method</b>	-
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>A<sub>PJ</sub></b>
<b>Data unit</b>	m m <sup>2</sup>

<b>Description</b>	Surface of the regulation pond after the implementation of the project activity, when the reservoir is full
<b>Source of data</b>	Measured by Siemens HydroRanger 200 type 7ML18302- AK Ultrasonic level measurement Measuring range: 0.3 to 15m
<b>Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied</b>	Measured at the feasibility phase of the project. As stated into the project details, crest elevation is 85.5 m for the Oşkan and 75 m for the Berkman. Operational reservoir water levels for these weirs are 83 m and 72.5 m. Surface areas based on the water levels are 1,621,425.95 m <sup>2</sup> for the Oşkan and 1,648,560.79 m <sup>2</sup> for the Berkman. Instead of the surface area measurements, reservoir water levels are being measured by the Siemens meters and the measured water levels are being monitored.
<b>Frequency of monitoring/recording</b>	Yearly
<b>Value monitored</b>	Measured water levels by two Siemens meters are Berkman: 72.55 m – 72.40-45 m (equal to 1,648,560.79 m <sup>2</sup> ) Oşkan: 83.05 m – 82.95 m (equal to 1,621,425.95 m <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Monitoring equipment</b>	Siemens meters
<b>QA/QC procedures to be applied</b>	The measurement is being performed by a qualified institute and the results are being kept during the crediting period.
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Used for calculation of project emissions
<b>Calculation method</b>	Water levels have been measured by two Siemens meters.
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>Number of temporary and permanent employees</b>
<b>Data unit</b>	Number of Employees
<b>Description</b>	Number of the Permanent employees
<b>Source of data</b>	SGK records
<b>Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied</b>	SGK records

<b>Frequency of monitoring/recording</b>	Annually during verification
<b>Value monitored</b>	31 permanent employees
<b>Monitoring equipment</b>	SGK records
<b>QA/QC procedures to be applied</b>	N/A
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Monitoring of project contribution toSDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth
<b>Calculation method</b>	N/A
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>Livelihood of the poor</b>
<b>Data unit</b>	Numbers of the employees from the project region
<b>Description</b>	Numbers of the employees from the project region
<b>Source of data</b>	SGK records
<b>Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied</b>	SGK records
<b>Frequency of monitoring/recording</b>	Annually during verification
<b>Value monitored</b>	31 permanent employees
<b>Monitoring equipment</b>	SGK records
<b>QA/QC procedures to be applied</b>	N/A
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Monitoring of project contribution to SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth
<b>Calculation method</b>	N/A
<b>Comments</b>	-

<b>Data / Parameter</b>	<b>Environmental Indicators (during operation)</b>
-------------------------	--

<b>Data unit</b>	EIA monitoring reports by the authorities
<b>Description</b>	Status of air quality, water quality, solid waste, biodiversity and noise pollution
<b>Source of data</b>	Monitoring report in the context of the EIA and licenses submitted to Government.
<b>Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied</b>	The records that have been submitted to the DOE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wastewater transfer records</li> <li>• The photos of the storage for domestic wastes</li> <li>• The photos and the schema of the fish ladder</li> <li>• Noise measurement reports</li> </ul>
<b>Frequency of monitoring/recording</b>	Annually during verification
<b>Value monitored</b>	Records are available to the DOE.
<b>Monitoring equipment</b>	Official records and photos
<b>QA/QC procedures to be applied</b>	Based on the legal procedures and PIF of the project activities.
<b>Purpose of data</b>	Monitoring of Environmental Indicators (during operation)
<b>Calculation method</b>	N/A
<b>Comments</b>	-

### 4.3 Monitoring Plan

The objective of the monitoring plan is to ensure the complete, consistent, clear, and accurate monitoring and calculation of the emission reductions during the whole crediting period. The Project proponent is responsible for the implementation of the monitoring plan.

The Project Proponent is responsible for the overall management of the monitoring procedures including recording, data collection, calculating emission reductions and project emissions.

Please see below the management structure for the plant operation:




Figure 2. The management structure for the plant operation

The Operation Manager is responsible for the whole management of the Project Activity and assistant operation manager, the mechanical engineer, electrical engineer and control operators are responsible for the implementation of the Project Activity. In addition to the operators, there are five accounting personnel, five maintenance personnel, two service personnel and fifteen security guards. In total, thirty-one employees are working for the Project Activity.

Eight power meters are installed at the grid interface of the project. Four of them are the main meters and the other four are spare meters of the main meters for cross-checking. All meters are jointly inspected and sealed in order to be protected from interference by any of the parties. Both the main and secondary meters are owned and installed by the grid operator (TEIAS). The grid operator's is the only one authorized to deal with fixing, calibrating, or changing the meters, which is being done either by the grid operator or by a company authorized by the grid operator. During this monitoring period, there were no records of meter failure. In case of any urgent case TEIAS contacts the Plant Manager. Since the meters are within TEIAS' province, TEIAS executes all the procedures for handling non-conformities. Therefore, the Project Participant does not have any internal auditing for this purpose.

TEIAS is performing remote reading of the meters and monthly power meter readings are the basis for monitoring net electricity fed into the grid. A measuring protocol is prepared including day, peak and night hour electricity generation by the project owner and approved by governmental officers at the end of each month.

The primary source is the Meter Reading Forms. Net electricity exported is crosschecked with EPIAS records. EPIAS is the financial settlement center of TEIAS<sup>9</sup>. The Meter Reading Forms are filled by the project owner and approved by the governmental officers. Additionally, the remote reading by the governmental body is also available. The website of EPIAS is accessible to Project Proponent with their unique user ID and password. Once accessed, the Project Proponent is able to call electricity generation and consumption reports of their own projects. The same reports are used by the Project Proponent for invoicing TEIAS. The electricity generation data is reported monthly basis.

### Quality Control and Assurance (QC/QA)

Both the main and spare (control) meters are owned and installed by the grid company. Both meters are jointly inspected and sealed in order to be protected from interference by any of the parties.

The grid operator's Metering Officer should be notified of any failure of one of the meters. He is the only one authorized to deal with fixing, calibrating, or changing the meters, which is being done either by the grid operator or by a company authorized by the grid operator. During this monitoring period, there were no records of meter failure. The data is hourly recorded by the personnel on the plant. TEIAS Load Dispatching Center also executes remote reading during 24-hour period. The data could be remotely accessed by TEIAS.

## 5 QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

### 5.1 Baseline Emissions

The baseline emission  $BE_y$  (tCO<sub>2</sub>e) during the monitoring period results from:

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} * EF_{grid,CM,y}$$

---

<sup>9</sup> PMUM has been replaced by EPIAS as of 01/09/2015 in Turkey during the verification period. Retrospective data is accessible via EPIAS.

Where:

$BE_y$	Baseline emissions in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/y)
$EG_{PJ,y}$	Quantity of net electricity supplied to the grid as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y (MWh)
$EF_{grid,CM,y}$	Combined margin CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for grid connected power generation in year y calculated by using the latest version of the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” (tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh)

$EF_{grid,CM,y}$  value is fixed as 0.615 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh for during the crediting period. Table 2 shows the baseline emissions for the verification period:

Table 3 - Baseline emissions

Month	(A) Electricity supplied to the grid [MWh]	(B) Electricity consumption from the grid [MWh]	(C) = (A) - (B) EG (ID 8) Net electricity supplied to the grid [MWh]	EF [tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh]	Baseline emission: ER = EG * EF [t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq]
Jun-18	19,731.04	22.091	19,708.95	0.615	12,121
Jul-18	22,742.64	23.595	22,719.05	0.615	13,972
Aug-18	15,340.55	53.081	15,287.47	0.615	9,402
Sep-18	10,353.81	61.264	10,292.54	0.615	6,330
Oct-18	8,039.15	72.099	7,967.05	0.615	4,900
Nov-18	4,329.52	84.616	4,244.90	0.615	2,611
Dec-18	3,207.41	109.572	3,097.84	0.615	1,905
Jan-19	23,408.31	39.895	23,368.41	0.615	14,372
Feb-19	32,345.33	0.224	32,345.10	0.615	19,892
Mar-19	24,694.74	25.132	24,669.61	0.615	15,172
Apr-19	34,484.09	0.697	34,483.39	0.615	21,207
May-19	31,304.37	4.548	31,299.83	0.615	19,249
Jun-19	24,392.41	6.463	24,385.95	0.615	14,997
Jul-19	25,685.56	18.449	25,667.11	0.615	15,785
Aug-19	18,765.75	50.323	18,715.43	0.615	11,510

Sep-19	18,314.30	18.433	18,295.87	0.615	11,252
Oct-19	14,692.87	18.482	14,674.39	0.615	9,025
Nov-19	11,274.38	35.258	11,239.12	0.615	6,912
Dec-19	6,927.75	76.528	6,851.22	0.615	4,213
Jan-20	10,794.45	62.636	10,731.81	0.615	6,600
Feb-20	23,317.27	15.276	23,301.99	0.615	14,331
Mar-20	26,048.55	19.052	26,029.50	0.615	16,008
Apr-20	31,756.06	6.775	31,749.29	0.615	19,526
May-20	26,469.58	9.023	26,460.56	0.615	16,273
<b>2018 Vintage (01.06.2018- 31.12.2018)</b>	83,744	426	83,317.79	0.615	51,240
<b>2019 Vintage (01.01.2019- 31.12.2019)</b>	266,290	294	265,995.42	0.615	163,587
<b>2020 Vintage (01.01.2020- 31.05.2020)</b>	118,386	113	118,273.14	0.615	72,738
<b>Total</b>	468,420	834	467,586.36	0.615	287,566

## 5.2 Project Emissions

Since the Project Activity is a run-of-river hydropower project, there are no expected project emissions related to the electricity generation.

As the Project Activity has a submerged area of Oşkan HEPP and Berkman HEPP, the power density was calculated to be conservative. There is no project emission resulting from the reservoir area of the Project Activity as the power density of the project is greater than 10W/m<sup>2</sup>. Accordingly, The Project Activity's power density was calculated as below:

### For Oşkan HPP

$$=23,889,000 \text{ W} / 1,621,425.95 \text{ m}^2^{10}$$

$$=14.733 \text{ W/m}^2$$

### For Berkman HPP

$$=37,815,000 \text{ W} / 1,648,560.79 \text{ m}^2^{11}$$

$$=22.938 \text{ W/m}^2$$

<sup>10</sup> Please see the registered PD for the calculation. Calculation is also available in the ER Calculations file.

<sup>11</sup> Please see the registered PD for the calculation. Calculation is also available in the ER Calculations file.

Therefore, PE= 0

### 5.3 Leakage

No leakage is to be accounted by the Project Activity. This is in line with the registered PD and applicable methodology ACM0002, Version 12.1.0. Therefore, the leakage from the Project Activity is zero.

### 5.4 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

Emission reductions are calculated as follows:

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y$$

Where:

$ER_y$	Emission reductions in year y (t CO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)
$BE_y$	Baseline emissions in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/y)
$PE_y$	Project emissions in year y (t CO <sub>2</sub> e/yr)

The baseline emission  $BE_y$  (tCO<sub>2</sub>e) during the monitoring period results from:

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} * EF_{grid, CM,y}^{12}$$

Where:

$BE_y$	Baseline emissions in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/y)
$EG_{PJ,y}$	Quantity of net electricity supplied to the grid as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y (MWh)
$EF_{grid,CM,y}$	Combined margin CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for grid connected power generation in year y calculated by using the latest

<sup>12</sup> Please see ER calculations\_Germiyan\_WPP\_v4\_06.10.2021 for the detailed calculation.

version of the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” (tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh)

Table 4 - Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals:

Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Leakage emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
2018	51,240	0	0	51,240
2019	163,587	0	0	163,587
2020	72,738	0	0	72,738
<b>Total</b>	<b>287,566</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>287,566</b>

PE<sub>y</sub> = 0

In total, for this monitoring period:

$$\begin{aligned}
 ER_y &= BE_y - PE_y \\
 &= 287,566 - 0 \\
 &= 287,566
 \end{aligned}$$