

Comments received by Verra during the public comment period 29 October 2024 to 28 November 2024 for the verification of Clean Cooking - Cook Stove for Rural India (Project ID 2942)

Comment 1

Date: 07 November 2024

Sent by:

Organization:

Country:

Comment:

Hi,

Feedback regarding your project

Verra Search Page

As follows:

A.

Section 4.4 is same as 6.5 -- Please avoid Duplication in the description!

B.

100 sample size is 1% of the population, as they plan to have 10000 ICS installed, please increase to about 10% to get a better representative result

C.

No experimental result to quantify the efficiency gain between ICS and three stone fire. How to know if these ICS devices are actually more efficient as claimed? Can we see more details to this point.

D.

If they are only say 10% more efficient, is this really a viable method of GHG reduction? Should there not be an effort towards a better, more efficient solution which may even cost approx. the same as ICS solution?

E.

"There is no any separate calculations for baseline emissions, project emissions and leakage emissions, and methodology directly calculates emission reductions. Hence same emission reductions are based as baseline emissions in above table"

The above statement from description is showing disregard of real world GHG reduction. A thorough baseline has to be done. It could very well be, that the deforestation rate will still continue at same level as before, whereas the surplus (unused) firewood collected is now being sold on the market by the villagers themselves!

General Conclusion

Thanks for the efforts.

I am still a bit skeptical. Opportunities for corruption are rife in Indian society, then Rina spA, as well along the reporting line all the way to Verra.

Probably, maintaining the oversight needed to accurately count emissions reductions is far costlier than the cost of GHG reduction measures, i.e. ICS in this case.

Best regards
