

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Gap Validation and Verification
Project ID	2428
Project Name	Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 14
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	04 October 2012 – 03 October 2017 (First and Last dates included)
Project Proponent	M/s G K Energy Marketers Pvt. Ltd
Methodology	AMS II-G, “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”, Version 03
VVB	TÜV SÜD South Asia Pvt. Ltd.
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard Version 4.2 / AMS II-G, Version 03
Date of First Issue	10 August 2022
Review Conclusion	Approve
Date of Final Issue	24 October 2022

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p>Outdated VCS Standard version reference</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The joint validation-verification report refers to the VCS Standard version 4.1.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that the gap validation and verification process is performed against the requirements of the latest VCS Standard version available (i.e., version 4.2).</p> <p><u>Program rule</u></p> <p>VCS Standard v.4.2</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The latest VCS Standard version 4.2 has now been referred throughout the Joint Val/Ver Report.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The V&V report was updated accordingly. The finding is closed and no further action is required.</p>	Closed
2	<p>Incorrect methodology version reference</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The project description Section 3.1 refers to AMS II-G, Version 04.0.0, while the applied methodology is version 03.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must request the project proponent to ensure that the version number of the methodology applied is consistent throughout the whole project description report.</p> <p><u>Program rule</u></p> <p>VCS-Project Description Template v.4.0</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The applied methodology is AMS II-G, Version 03.0. The same has now been made consistent throughout the Joint Val/Val Report, MR and PD.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The PD was updated reflecting the correct version of the methodology applied.</p>	Closed

		The finding is closed and no further action is required.
3	Inconsistent Project Proponent name	Closed
	<p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The joint validation and verification report page 3 names the “project participant” as “<i>EKI Energy Services Limited</i>”.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that the project proponent name is consistent throughout the joint validation and verification report.</p> <p><u>Program rule</u></p> <p>VCS-Joint Validation-Verification-Template v.4.0</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The name of the project proponent has now been rectified and updated on page number 03 of Joint Val/Ver Report.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The PP name was updated in the revised V&V report. The finding is closed and no further action is required.</p>
4	Missing identification of other entities	Closed
	<p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The joint validation and verification report does not identify the “other entities” involved in the project.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must identify, in Section 3.1 of the joint validation and verification report, the “other entities” involved in the project.</p> <p><u>Program rule</u></p> <p>VCS-Joint Validation-Verification-Template v.4.0, Section 3.1</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>“Other Entities” has now been mentioned in section 3.1 of Joint Val/Ver Report.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The V&V report was updated with the information on the other entities. The finding is closed and no further action is required.</p>

<p>5 Clarification sought on the number of cook stoves distributed</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The project description page 6, footnote 13 states that: “...Therefore the number of cook stove conceived for determining the ex-ante estimation of emission reduction is 12,641 instead of <u>14,046</u>. However, the number of cook stove to be considered for ex post estimation of emission reduction will be determined on the basis of survey result and considering the actual number of cook stove disseminated i.e. <u>14,046</u>”.</p> <p>However, as per other pages of the project description, the number of cook stoves to be distributed is <u>14,517</u>.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that the actual number of cook stoves distributed is consistent throughout the project description and confirmed by the assessment team. Further, the VVB must ensure the emission reductions achieved are correctly reflected across the documents and correspond to the correct number of cookstoves..</p> <p><u>Program rule</u></p> <p>VCS Standard v.4.2, Section 3.14.1</p>	<p>Round1: Closed</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The typographical error has now been rectified and the exact number of cook stoves have now been mentioned in footnote 13 of Project Description.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The updated documents now reflect the correct number of cookstoves. The finding is closed and no further action is required.</p>
<p>6 Missing ongoing communication with stakeholders</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The monitoring report does not include information on the ongoing communication conducted with the local stakeholders.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that the project proponent includes all the required information related to the ongoing communication with local stakeholders in Section 2.2 of the monitoring report.</p> <p><u>Program rule</u></p> <p>VCS Standard v.4.2, Section 3.17.9</p>	<p>Round1: Closed</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>Information regarding ongoing communication has now been incorporated in section 2.2 of Monitoring Report.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The monitoring report was updated including information on the ongoing communication mechanism. The finding is closed and no further action is required.</p>

VCS-Monitoring Report template v.4.0, Section 2.2

7 Missing information on Public Comments

Closed

Issue

Section 2.4 of the project description does not include the information related to the public comments period.

Action item

The VVB must request the project proponent to include the information related to the public comments period in the project description.

Program rule

VCS Standard v.4.2, Section 3.4

VCS Project Description Template v.4.0, Section 2.4

Round1:

VVB Response:

Information regarding the public comments have now been incorporated in section 2.4 of Project Description.

Verra Review:

The PP included the information on the public comments period in the revised PD. The finding is closed and no further action is required.

8 Missing assessment on manual transposition errors

Closed

Issue

The joint validation and verification report does not state whether manual transposition errors between data sets have occurred during the verification period.

Action Item

The VVB must assess whether manual transposition errors between data sets have occurred during the verification period and provide its conclusion in Section 4.2 of the joint validation and verification report.

Program rule

Round1:

VVB Response:

Information regarding manual transposition errors between data sets has now been incorporated in section 4.2 of Joint Val/Ver Report.

Verra Review:

The V&V report was updated stating that no manual transposition errors have

VCS Standard v.4.2, Section 3.4	occurred. The finding is closed and no further action is required.
VCS-Joint Validation-Verification Report Template version 4.0, Section 4.2	
9 Missing reference of verification period in the verification conclusion	Round1: Closed
<u>Issue</u>	VVB Response:
The verification conclusion does not include the reference of the verification period.	The information regarding the verification period has now been incorporated in verification conclusion of Joint Val/Ver Report.
<u>Action Item</u>	Verra Review:
The VVB must include the reference of the verification period in its verification conclusion.	The verification conclusion was correctly updated. The finding is closed and no further action is required.
<u>Program rule</u>	
VCS-Joint Validation-Verification Report Template v.4.0, Section 5	