



DISTRIBUTION OF IMPROVED COOK STOVE - PHASE 17



Document Prepared by EKI Energy Services Limited

Contact Information: Office no.201, Plot 48, Scheme 78 Part 2 Vijay Nagar, Near Brilliant Convention Centre Indore- 452010 (M.P. India)

Project Title	Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 17
Version	04
Report ID	VVER 344
Date of Issue	03-October-2022
Project ID	VCS 2444
Monitoring Period	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2017 (First and Last date included)
Prepared By	Mr. Pankaj Rajpoot
Contact	Manager Operations – GHG Services EKI Energy Services Limited Email ID : registry@enkingint.org T +91 731 42 89 086, M +91 7828347589 Address: Office no. 201, Plot 48, Scheme 78 part 2, Vijay Nagar Indore - 452010 (M.P, India) Website www.enkingint.org

CONTENTS

1	PROJECT DETAILS.....	3
1.1	Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project	3
1.2	Sectoral Scope and Project Type	5
1.3	Project Proponent	5
1.4	Other Entities Involved in the Project	5
1.5	Project Start Date	5
1.6	Project Crediting Period	6
1.7	Project Location	6
1.8	Title and Reference of Methodology	13
1.9	Participation under other GHG Programs.....	13
1.10	Other Forms of Credit.....	13
1.11	Sustainable Development.....	13
2	SAFEGUARDS	14
2.3	AFOLU-Specific Safeguards	18
3	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS	18
3.1	Implementation Status of the Project Activity	18
3.2	Deviations	22
3.3	Grouped Projects	22
4	DATA AND PARAMETERS.....	22
4.1	Data and Parameters Available at Validation	22
4.2	Data and Parameters Monitored.....	25
4.3	Monitoring Plan.....	34
5	QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS	43
5.1	Baseline Emissions	43
5.2	Project Emissions	48
5.3	Leakage.....	48
5.4	Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals	49

1 PROJECT DETAILS

1.1 Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project

The project activity (usage of improved cook stoves) has been deployed to facilitate clean cooking practice and reduce health risk due to indoor air pollution¹ along with reduction of drudgery amongst the tribal households (Schedule Tribe) and families living below the poverty level (BPL category²) across across Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar, Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur District. The usage of improved cook stoves through replacement of inefficient traditional cook stove contributes towards reduction of greenhouse gas emission, reduction of by-products of incomplete combustion like black carbon and conservation of fuel wood resulting in prevention of forest degradation. The higher efficient portable, single pot improved cook stoves distributed under the project activity has changed the prevailing practice of usage of traditional cook stoves across selected households in rural areas across Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar, Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur District in Maharashtra.

It is worthwhile to note that firewood and wood chips contributes as major cooking fuel amongst rural households in India. According to the NSSO³ 61st round survey, a considerable percentage of rural population of Maharashtra relies upon fire wood for cooking and the percentage is substantially much higher amongst the lower MPCE (Monthly Per Capita Expenditure) group. Since, the beneficiary selected under the project belongs to BPL and ST category of population in terms of capacity of expenditure therefore; improved cook stove use results in substantial fuel wood saving as this section is the major consumer of fuel wood.

The improved cook stoves distributed under the project activity are more efficient with thermal efficiency of 29.88%⁴ as compared to traditional stoves with thermal efficiency of around 10%⁵. Owing to higher efficiency, use of efficient improved cook stove results in reduced consumption of non-renewable biomass in compared to baseline by minimizing thermal energy losses and facilitating complete combustion. Reduced consumption/ combustion of non-renewable biomass results in reduction of GHG emission.

Technology Employed

The cook stove deployed under the project activity⁶ is single pot, portable, metallic, improved biomass cook stoves⁷ made of cast iron for combustion of solid biomass. The improved cook

¹ Household Cook stoves, Environment, Health and Climate Change – World Bank (Executive summary, page 9-11)

² As per the article in The Hindu (<http://www.thehindu.com/news/national/article3013870.ece>) dated March 20, 2012 anyone with daily consumption expenditure below Rs. 22.42 is categorised under BPL. The article depicts of 24.5% of the total population in Maharashtra under BPL in 2009-10.

³National Sample Survey Office

⁴ Thermal Efficiency Test Report of Improved Cook stove tested at Biomass Cook stove Testing Centre, Dept. of Renewable Energy Sources, Udaipur

⁵ Paragraph 6 (Option 2) of approved applicable methodology AMS –II.G., Version 04

⁶ Manufactured by Vikram Stoves and Fabricators (Ministry of New and Renewable Energy) approved manufacturer and distributor of improved cook stoves) selected by RDWC Department, Government of Maharashtra for distribution of improved cook stoves amongst identified beneficiaries.

⁷ MNRE accredited system -<http://mnre.gov.in/schemes/decentralized-systems/national-biomass-cookstoves-initiative/>

stoves are manufactured in accordance to IS 13152, Part-1: 1991, CPRI Design Type I⁸ standard. The design ensures complete combustion of biomass. The cook stove is tested for thermal efficiency (29.88%) as per water boiling test protocol specified in IS 13152, Part 1: 1991.

Measures undertaken

The Rural Development and Water Conservation (RDWC) Department, Government of Maharashtra is involved in promoting betterment of living standard for the tribal household (ST) and families living Below Poverty Line (BPL) in villages of Maharashtra. The department as a part of its aforesaid programme selects households from amongst the ST and BPL category depending upon level of vulnerability (assessed on basis of 13 parameters: Category and size of usable land, Type of house, Availability of regular usable clothes, Protection of food or food security, Cleanliness, Ownership of required goods, Heights /Level of literacy, Family working capacity, Source of livelihood goods, Number of children in age group (5 to 14), Type of bankruptcy, reason for staying away from family of Earning member, Choice of help /Yojana)⁹ and provide financial assistance to facilitate better and hygienic living standard. The household selected for financial assistance under the programme is provided with an improved cook stove system. The household considered as end users of cook stoves under the project activity are poor enough to afford for procurement of improved cook stoves and are also least interested towards similar investment as wood is available for free. So, in absence of the improved cook stove disseminated under the project activity the household would have continued with use of traditional cook stoves. Since, the project activity is conceived under the program of Govt. of Maharashtra which provides cook stove only to BPL and ST category household so; there is no chance of cook stove to be distributed to non-baseline household. The identification of beneficiaries and distribution of cook stoves comes under the preview of the Rural Development and Water Conservation Department.

The project activity includes dissemination of 14,400 Nos. improved cook stoves¹⁰ amongst Below Poverty Line (BPL) and Scheduled Tribes (ST) households in rural areas of Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar, Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur districts of Konkan, Nashik and Pune Division in Maharashtra upon signing of the end user Tripartite Agreement¹¹. The project through conservation of non-renewable biomass was estimated to result in an greenhouse gas emission reduction of 12,945 tCO₂e annually (ex-ante).

The revenue from carbon financing was conceived in deciding upon the capital cost as well as to meet up the cost towards imparting awareness and training, periodic maintenance¹² and post lifetime replacement within the crediting period.

The amount of emission reductions achieved for the current monitoring period is 60,070 tCO₂e.

⁸ The design of the cook stove is certified by Bureau of Indian Standards relating to its appropriateness to, IS 13152 (Part1).

⁹ Criteria issued by Department of rural Development, Government of Maharashtra

¹⁰ Each of the cook stoves is included for the purpose of emission reduction calculation from the day on which it is distributed to the beneficiary and the end user agreement is signed.

¹¹ Tripartite Agreement is for transfer the emission reduction right to the Project Participant by each beneficiaries/end users and is signed amongst end user, GKEMPL and Vikram Stoves. The tripartite agreement authorizes M/s G K Energy Marketers Pvt. Ltd (hereafter referred to as GKEMPL) by Vikram Stoves (manufacturer of improved cook stoves) and the end users for securing of carbon finance

¹² "The Indian stove programme: an insider's view – the role of society, politics, economics and education" by Bhaskar Sinha, National Institute of Science, Technology & Development Studies (NISTADS), CSIR, New Delhi outlines that the woman are least interested for maintenance as they do not perceive the usefulness of the stove and revert back to traditional practice when the cook stove becomes non-functional therefore timely operation and maintenance are vital.

The start date of the project activity is 02-October-2012.

Since the project activity of improved cook stove usage by the end user does not require any construction or significant pre-project implementation, nor financial commitment on the part of end user therefore the real action pertaining to the earliest date of distribution of improved cook stoves under the project activity is considered as the start date as per project start date definition of section 3.7 of the VCS Standard V.4.1.

1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type

- Sectoral Scope: 3- Energy demand
- Project Type: Type II.G.

The project activity fulfills the applicability criteria of small- scale project activity, Type II – Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects, Category G –‘Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass’ of the ‘Indicative Simplified Baseline and Monitoring Methodologies for Selected Small-scale Project Activity Categories’.

This is non-AFLOU type of project

1.3 Project Proponent

Organization name	M/s G K Energy Marketers Pvt. Ltd
Contact person	Mr. Gopal Kabra
Title	Authorized Signatory
Address	Lokmanya Nagar, LBS Road, Flat No.- 350, Building No.- 25,Ground Floor, Pune, Maharashtra – 411030 India
Telephone	+91-997045
Email	gopal@energymarketers.in

1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project

Organization name	EKI Energy Services Limited
Role in the Project	Project Consultant
Contact person	Mr. Pankaj Rajpoot
Title	Assistant Manager- Operations
Address	Office No 201, Plot No 48, Scheme 78, Vijay Nagar Part- II, Indore 452010, India
Telephone	+91- 7828347589
Email	registry@enkingint.org

1.5 Project Start Date

The start date of the project activity is 02-October-2012.

The project activity relating to improved cook stove usage by the end user does not require any construction or significant pre-project implementation, nor financial commitment on the

part of end user. The real action pertaining to the earliest date of handover of improved cook stoves to beneficiary (also considered as date of onset of GHG emission reductions) under the project activity is considered as the start date as per project start date definition under paragraph 3.7 of the VCS Standard.

The date of supply/ receipt of first lot of improved cookstoves from manufacturer to the regional office of the line department was considered as start date under the CDM registered PDD (evidenced through acknowledgement of the delivery receipt of the line department) as per CDM definition of Start Date. The start date referred to in the registered CDM-PDD was mirrored in the VCS PD. Since the date does not resembles to handover of the cookstoves to beneficiary and does not result in onset of actual emission reduction therefore the same was not considered as start date under VCS mechanism and therefore revised with date of distribution/ handover of improved cookstoves to beneficiary.

1.6 Project Crediting Period

The crediting period of the project activity is for 10 years (Fixed).

The length of the first crediting period is 02-October-2012 to 01-October-2022 (both dates inclusive).

As per errata and clarification, a project is deemed to have met the timelines where Verra confirmed (in writing, to the project proponent or an authorized representative) the project's eligibility on or before 4-February-2021. The listing process has been completed before 04-February-2021 and hence the errata and clarifications are not applicable

1.7 Project Location

The project is located in state Maharashtra, India.

Division: Konkan

District: Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg

Division: Nashik


District: Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar

Division: Pune


Districts: Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur

Division	District	Latitude	Longitude
Konkan	Raigad	17.51 - 19.80 °N	72.51 - 73.40 °E
	Than	18.70 - 20.33 °N	72.75 - 73.80 °E
	Ratnagiri	16.30 – 18.00 °N	73.00 – 74.00 °E
	Sindhudurg	15.37 - 16.40 °N	73.19 - 74.18 °E
Nashik	Nashik	18.33- 20.53 °N	73.16 - 75.16 °E
	Dhule	20.63 – 22.01 °N	73.83 - 75.18 °E
	Nandurbar	21.00 – 22.03 °N	73.31 - 74.32 °E
	Jalgaon	20.00 – 21.00 °N	74.92 - 76.47 °E
	Ahmednagar	18.20 – 19.90 °N	73.90 - 75.50 °E

Pune	Pune	17.90 – 18.52 °N	73.32 - 75.17 °E
	Sangli	16.87 – 17.10 °N	73.43 – 75.00 °E
Division	District	Latitude	Longitude
	Satara	17.50 - 18.11 ON	73.33 - 74.54 OE
	Solapur	17.10 - 18.32 ON	74.42 - 76.15 OE
	Kolhapur	15.00 – 17.00 ON	73.00 – 74.00 OE



Map of Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar, Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur





District- Raigad			District- Thane		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Khairat	Tala	1	Golawali	Kalyan
2	Khambavali	Tala	2	Goveli	Kalyan
3	Kista Ketke	Tala	3	Guravali	Kalyan
4	Kondthare	Tala	4	Hedutane	Kalyan
5	Korkhanda	Tala	5	Jambhul	Kalyan
6	Kude	Tala			
7	Mahagaon	Tala			
8	Mahure	Tala			
9	Majgaon	Tala			
10	Malathe	Tala			
11	Maluk	Tala			
12	Mandad	Tala			
13	Medhe	Tala			
14	Mhasadi	Tala			
15	Nanvali	Tala			
16	Nigudshet	Tala			
17	Pachghar	Tala			
18	Padhavan	Tala			
19	Panheli	Tala			
20	Phalshet Karnala	Tala			
21	Pitsai	Tala			
22	Rahatad	Tala			
23	Ranewadi	Tala			
24	Rowala	Tala			
25	Salshet	Tala			

District -Ratnagiri			District - Sindhudurg		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Kasheli	Ratnagiri	1	Kavilgaon	Kudal
2	Kasop	Ratnagiri	2	Kavilkate	Kudal
3	Kelye	Ratnagiri	3	Keravade K.Narur	Kudal
4	Khalchiwadi	Ratnagiri	4	Keravade Tarf Mangaon	Kudal
5	Khalgaon	Ratnagiri	5	Khocharewadi	Kudal
6	Khanu	Ratnagiri	6	Khutvalwadi	Kudal
7	Kharavate	Ratnagiri	7	Kinlos	Kudal
8	Kharviwada	Ratnagiri	8	Kunde	Kudal

9	Kharviwada	Ratnagiri	9	Kupavade	Kudal
10	Khedoshi	Ratnagiri	10	Kusabe	Kudal
11	Kolambe	Ratnagiri	11	Kusagaon	Kudal
12	Kolisare	Ratnagiri	12	Kutgaon	Kudal
13	Kond	Ratnagiri	13	Madgaon	Kudal
14	Kondakhandkar	Ratnagiri	14	Mandkuli	Kudal
15	Kondgaon	Ratnagiri	15	Mangaon	Kudal
16	Kondvi	Ratnagiri	16	Mankadevi	Kudal
17	Kotavade	Ratnagiri	17	Mitkyachiwadi	Kudal
18	Kotharewadi	Ratnagiri	18	More	Kudal
19	Kotharwadi	Ratnagiri			
20	Kumbharwada	Ratnagiri			

District -Nashik			District-Dhule		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Bhuwan	Peint	1	Chande	Dhule
2	Bilkas	Peint	2	Chaugaon	Dhule
3	Borichibari	Peint	3	Chinchkhede	Dhule
4	Borpada	Peint	4	Chinchwar	Dhule
5	Borwath	Peint	5	Chitod	Dhule
6	Chafyachapada	Peint	6	Dahyane	Dhule
7	Chikadi	Peint	7	Dapura	Dhule
8	Cholmukh	Peint	8	Dapuri	Dhule
9	Dabhadi	Peint	9	Deobhane	Dhule
10	Deogaon	Peint	10	Deur Bk.	Dhule
11	Derapada	Peint	11	Deur Kh.	Dhule
12	Devivchamal	Peint	12	Dhadre	Dhule
			13	Dhadri	Dhule
			14	Dhamane	Dhule
			15	Dhamangaon	Dhule
			16	Dhamani	Dhule
			17	Dhandhane	Dhule

District- Nandurbar			District- Ahmednagar		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Biladi	Nandurbar	1	Baradgaon Sudrik	Karjat
2	Borale	Nandurbar	2	Bargewadi	Karjat
3	Chakle	Nandurbar	3	Belgaon	Karjat
4	Chaupale	Nandurbar	4	Benwadi	Karjat
5	Dahindule Bk.	Nandurbar	5	Berdi	Karjat
6	Dahindule Kh	Nandurbar	6	Bhambore	Karjat
7	Devpur	Nandurbar	7	Bhandewadi	Karjat
8	Dhamdai	Nandurbar	8	Bhose	Karjat
9	Dhamdod	Nandurbar	9	Bitkewadi	Karjat
10	Dhandhane	Nandurbar	10	Chakhalewadi	Karjat
11	Dhanora	Nandurbar	11	Chande Bk.	Karjat
12	Dhekwad	Nandurbar			
13	Dhirajgaon	Nandurbar			
14	Dhulwad	Nandurbar			

15	Dudhale	Nandurbar			
16	Fulsare	Nandurbar			
17	Gangapur	Nandurbar			
18	Ghoghalgaon	Nandurbar			

District- Jalgaon			District- Pune		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Jamathi	Bodvad	1	Andeshe	Mulshi
2	Junone	Bodvad	2	Andgaon	Mulshi
3	Karanji	Bodvad	3	Andhale	Mulshi
4	Kolhadi	Bodvad	4	Asade	Mulshi
5	Kurha Hardo	Bodvad	5	Barpe Bk.	Mulshi
6	Lonwadi Pr.bodwad	Bodvad	6	Bavadhan BK	Mulshi
7	Manmodi	Bodvad	7	Belawade	Mulshi
8	Manur Bk.	Bodvad	8	Bembatmal	Mulshi
9	Manur Kh.	Bodvad	9	Bhadas Bk.	Mulshi
10	Muktal	Bodvad	10	Bhalgudi	Mulshi
11	Nadgaon	Bodvad	11	Bhambarde	Mulshi
12	Nandgaon	Bodvad	12	Bhare	Mulshi

District- Sangli			District- Satara		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Khanjodwadi	Atpadi	1	Haroshi	Mahabaleshwar
2	Kharsundi	Atpadi	2	Hatlot	Mahabaleshwar
3	Kurundwadi	Atpadi	3	Jaoli	Mahabaleshwar
4	Lengrewadi	Atpadi	4	Kalamgaon	Mahabaleshwar
5	Lingivare	Atpadi	5	Kalamgaon kalamkar	Mahabaleshwar
6	Madgule	Atpadi	6	Kandat	Mahabaleshwar
7	Malewadi	Atpadi	7	Kasrud	Mahabaleshwar
8	Manewadi	Atpadi	8	Kaswand	Mahabaleshwar
9	Maptemala	Atpadi	9	Khambil chorge	Mahabaleshwar
10	Masalwadi	Atpadi	10	Khambil pokale	Mahabaleshwar
11	Mitki	Atpadi	11	Kharoshi	Mahabaleshwar
12	Mudhewadi	Atpadi	12	Khengar	Mahabaleshwar
13	Nelkaranji	Atpadi	13	Kotroshi	Mahabaleshwar
14	Nimbawade	Atpadi	14	Kshetra mahabaleshwar	Mahabaleshwar
15	Padalkarwadi	Atpadi	15	Kumbharoshi	Mahabaleshwar
16	Palaskhel	Atpadi	16	Kumthe	Mahabaleshwar
17	Pandharewadi	Atpadi	17	Kuroshi	Mahabaleshwar
18	Parekarwadi	Atpadi	18	Lakhwad	Mahabaleshwar
19	Patrewadi	Atpadi	19	Lamaj	Mahabaleshwar
20	Pimpari Bk.	Atpadi	20	Machutar	Mahabaleshwar
21	Pimpari Kh	Atpadi	21	Majarewadi	Mahabaleshwar
22	Pisewadi	Atpadi	22	Malusar	Mahabaleshwar
23	Pujarwadi	Atpadi	23	Manghar	Mahabaleshwar
24	Pujarwadi	Atpadi	24	Met taliye	Mahabaleshwar
25	Rajewadi	Atpadi	25	Metgutad	Mahabaleshwar
			26	Mhalunge	Mahabaleshwar
			27	Moleshwar	Mahabaleshwar
			28	Morni	Mahabaleshwar

			29	Nakinda	Mahabaleshwar
			30	Navali	Mahabaleshwar
			31	Nivali	Mahabaleshwar
			32	Pali t. ategaon	Mahabaleshwar
			33	Pangari	Mahabaleshwar
			34	Parpar	Mahabaleshwar
			35	Parsond	Mahabaleshwar
			36	Parut	Mahabaleshwar
			37	Parwat t. wagawale	Mahabaleshwar
			38	Petpar	Mahabaleshwar
			39	Pimpri t. tamb	Mahabaleshwar
			40	Rajpuri	Mahabaleshwar
			41	Rameghar	Mahabaleshwar
			42	Ran adva gaund	Mahabaleshwar
			43	Renoshi	Mahabaleshwar
			44	Rule	Mahabaleshwar
			45	Saloshi	Mahabaleshwar
			46	Shindi	Mahabaleshwar
			47	Shindola	Mahabaleshwar
			48	Shiravali	Mahabaleshwar
			49	Shirnar	Mahabaleshwar
			50	Sonat	Mahabaleshwar
			51	Soundari	Mahabaleshwar
			52	Taighat	Mahabaleshwar

District-Solapur			District-Kolhapur		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Jitti	Mangalvedhe	1	Kogil Kh.	Karvir
2	Junoni	Mangalvedhe	2	Koparde	Karvir
3	Kacharewadi	Mangalvedhe	3	Kothali	Karvir
4	Kagasht	Mangalvedhe	4	Kuditre	Karvir
5	Karjal	Mangalvedhe	5	Kurdu	Karvir
6	Katral	Mangalvedhe	6	Kurukali	Karvir
7	Khadaki	Mangalvedhe	7	Madale	Karvir
8	Khawe	Mangalvedhe	8	Mahe	Karvir
9	Khomnal	Mangalvedhe	9	Mandare	Karvir
10	Khupsangi	Mangalvedhe	10	Manjarwadi	Karvir
11	Lamantanda	Mangalvedhe	11	Mhalasvade	Karvir
12	Lavangi	Mangalvedhe	12	Mhalunge	Karvir
13	Laxami Dahiwadi	Mangalvedhe	13	Mharul	Karvir
14	Lendave Chichale	Mangalvedhe	14	Mudsingi	Karvir
15	Lonar	Mangalvedhe			
16	Machanur	Mangalvedhe			
17	Mahamadabad	Mangalvedhe			
18	Mahamadabad	Mangalvedhe			
19	Malewadi	Mangalvedhe			
20	Mallewadi	Mangalvedhe			
21	Manewadi	Mangalvedhe			
22	Mangalvedha	Mangalvedhe			

1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology

Consolidated baseline methodology for “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”; AMS II-G, Version 04.0 Sectoral Scope: 03, EB 68.

1.9 Participation under other GHG Programs

Project has been registered with UNFCCC under Clean Development Mechanism program, Registration reference number is 9506.

The Project is not rejected by any other GHG programs.

1.10 Other Forms of Credit

India is non-annex1 country and there is no compliance with an emission trading program or to meet binding limits on GHG emissions for this project activity. The project is registered under CDM with registration ID 9506. Project Proponent has submitted undertaking that they will not claim same GHG emission reductions of the project from CDM and VCS. Project Proponent would not use net GHG emission reductions by the projects for compliance with emission trading program to meet binding limits on GHG emissions. Project Proponent has also submitted an undertaking stating that they will not take REC benefits for the same Emission Reductions. Project Proponent has submitted undertaking for not availing other forms of environmental credit for the same crediting period under consideration. Project Participant is not taking REC benefits for the same emission reductions.

1.11 Sustainable Development

The project contribution towards sustainable development is established in line with the sustainable development indicator framed by the host country DNA:

Social benefits:

1. Reduces drudgery of women and children of rural areas (due to reduced fuel wood use) by reducing time spent and distance travelled for fuel wood collection. Reduction in firewood requirement helps in spending more time in productive activities such as education, employment etc.
2. Improves overall health (particularly diseases related to respiratory system) of women and children by reducing smoke in the kitchen.

Environmental benefits:

1. Improves the local environment by reducing rate of forest degradation /deforestation in the project area. Conservation of forest is not only reduce non-renewable biomass demand and also reduce soil erosion and loss of biodiversity as a consequence of deforestation.
2. Reduces emission of black carbon to the atmosphere.
3. Reduces Green-house gas emissions.

Economic benefits:

1. Employment opportunities for local communities involved in monitoring, training of users, undertaking periodic maintenance and post life time replacement.
2. Reduces the expenditure involved in the pre project scenario for purchase of fuel wood.

Technological benefits:

1. Introduction of new technology to the rural communities.
2. Knowledge transfer to trainers including technicians for pertaining training to users, maintenance of system.
3. Demonstration of a successful project at household level creates replication potential in other states and countries.

In view of the above, the project proponent considers that, the project activity profoundly contributes towards sustainable development of the region as well as of the nation.

2 SAFEGUARDS

2.1 No Net Harm

According to the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF), Government of India, under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification vide S .O.1533(E), dated 14-September-2006; the project activity of utilization of Improved Cook stove for cooking in rural households does not require environmental impact assessment study to be carried out.

2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

The project proponent has opted to develop CDM project for distribution of cook stoves in and across the different location in the state across different time frame. Based on the number of cook stoves aimed to be distributed under the planned program 30 phases are planned. Since the household to be covered in each phase are homogeneous owing to the household being identified by the Rural Development Department, Government of Maharashtra based on the level of poverty judged on the basis of the thirteen point criteria figured out by the Ministry and the total budgetary provision across the year. Because of the limited budgetary provision across a financial year a fixed number of populations can only be covered amongst the group leaving the others to be covered in subsequent time frame. Because of the similar category of population, the project proponent decided to carry out the stake holder's consultation for all the planned phases in a single go, so that the people from different geographical locations could able to respond to such meeting. The location for the consultation program is so selected that the particular location of the consultation represents the particular division or region of Maharashtra under whose jurisdiction several districts come into. As such the locations for the consultation program are selected and newspaper advertisements are published both in English and regional daily about the program so that the peoples from the particular region can attend the consultation program which they feel nearby. Local stakeholders' meetings were conducted to take public comments. Six stakeholder meetings were conducted at Nasik, Pune, Nagpur, Latur, Sangli and Washim districts of Maharashtra. The details of meetings are –

Date of Meeting	District	Meeting Venue
2nd February 2012	Nasik	Siaram Indrayani Housing Society, Takli Rd, Nasik – 06
2nd February 2012	Pune	G K Energy Marketers Pvt Ltd. Lokmanya Nagar, LBS Rd, Pune
2nd February 2012	Nagpur	246 KumbharPura, Jagnath Rd Gandhi Bag, Nagpur
2nd February 2012	Latur	Sut Mil Rd, Pushkar Residency, Latur

3rd February 2012	Sangli	Khan Bag, Jai Bhavani Chowk, Sangli
3rd February 2012	Washim	Dr. Jirvankar Bhar Jahangir Resod, Washim

Along with public notices published in newspapers namely The Free Press Journal, Mumbai and Navshakti, Mumbai on 26th January 2012, notification of the meetings was sent through invitations, letters and personally to various categories of stakeholders to attend the stakeholder meeting. The meeting was conducted in regional language –Marathi and English. The discussion points of the meeting included purpose of the consultation, background and role of bundling agency and manufacturer under this project, description of the project, demonstration of improved cook stove, about climate change and CDM, impact of climate change, global environment scenario, contribution of the project towards sustainable development of the country by the representative from bundling agency and Improved Cook stove manufacturer. After a detailed elaborative discussion on the project, the stakeholders were asked to provide their comments /feedbacks /suggestions on the project. The twelfth phase of the project activity which includes development of the CDM project for the cook stove distributed in, Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri and Sindhudurg districts of Konkan division, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, and Ahmednagar districts of Nashik Division and Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur districts of Pune Division in Maharashtra. The stakeholder's consultation was conducted on 2nd February 2012 at Pune the divisional headquarters of Pune division and Nashik the divisional headquarters of Nashik Division and on 3rd February 2012 at Sangli the Adjacent districts for Konkan Division (since the Konkan Division headquarters is Mumbai, a Urban centre) covered the project locations and the consultations were also conducted before webhosting of the PDD.

The meetings were attended by members from various backgrounds as listed below – Participant of Nashik meeting –

Sl. No.	Name of Person	Designation
1	Palse Rina Mahavir	House-wife
2	Tambekar Sunita Suresh	House-wife
3	Londhe Sunita Ashok	House-wife
4	Suravse Rani Kalidas	House-wife
5	Kadam Ranjana Somanath	House-wife
6	Gaikwad Madakini Nanasaheb	House-wife
7	Gadekar Sunanda Uvraj	House-wife
8	Shinde Vijaya Bharat	House-wife
9	Pawar Sima Sandeep	House-wife
10	More Hanumanth Vijaya	House-wife
11	Rauth Sharda Asanthrao	House-wife
12	Savant Shantabai Navnath	House-wife
13	Dongre Sarasvati Shivaji	House-wife
14	Tingare Nilavati Kakasaheb	Working professional
15	Anand Ballu Chandve	Working professional
16	Prashant Kakasaheb Tingre	Working professional
17	Vijay Dagadu Lamakane	Working professional
18	Amol Tanaji Mule	Working professional
19	Shivaji Tanaji Chandane	Working professional
20	Mangesh Ballu Gharbude	Farmer
21	Sunil Devdas Bhandare	Farmer

22	Babalu Tanaji Chandane	Working professional
23	Anil Baburao Savant	Working professional
24	Mahadev Goroba Kamble	Working professional
25	Pravin Kaksahab Dingre	Working professional
26	Lokhande Saheb	Work's Manager, Vikram Stoves

Participant of Pune meeting –

Sl. No.	Name of Person	Designation
1	Baviskar Chandrakant Namdeo	Sr. Operator
2	Jitesh M Gavade	Working professional
3	Phatak Revati Vishwajit	Working professional
4	Vishwajit V Phatak	Working professional
5	Amit Namdev Davre	Farmer
6	Sachin Aadagle	Working professional
7	Vijay Budwale	Working professional
8	Akash Rajpurohit	Working professional
9	Sunny Chandane	Working professional
10	Abhijit Londhe	Farmer
11	Sagar Londhe	Businessman
12	Sandeep Randive	Working professional
13	Avinash Subash Jadav	Working professional
14	Dhavre Suhas Shivaji	Working professional
15	Gautam Dhani Binge	Working professional
16	Dilip Shah	Working professional
17	Gunaji Adagale	Farmer
18	Roshan Patel	Businessman
19	Jadhav Avinash Subhash	Student
20	B Rupesh Dalvi	Farmer
21	Shankar Patil	Working professional
22	Pankaj Baviskar	CTO, GKEMPL

Participant of Sangli meeting –

Sl. No.	Name of Person	Designation
1	Gulab Dagadu Sitape	Working professional
2	Shivraj Murlidhar Sathe	Working professional
3	Navnath Govind Ghodke	Working professional
4	Kodiba Sopan Shirmali	Working professional
5	Ranav Jyotiram Kanekore	Working professional
6	Shewale Mahadev Sadashiv	Student
7	Suraj Kashinath Naravre	Student
8	Balaji Vakonde	Local representative

9	Alere Samdhan Bhagirath	Student
10	Prakash Vilas Jadhav	Local representative
11	Anjali Giri	House-wife
12	Rupali Naliram Deshpande	House-wife
13	Viajinath Vishwanath Kamble	Local representative
14	Gayake Ashok Gyanba	Student
15	Shakruta Govind Kumbhar	Working professional
16	Savita Tukaram Yadav	House-wife
17	Shobha B Kamble	House-wife
18	Rina Vanraj Bansode	Working professional
19	Sunil Yenale	Marketing Executive, Vikram Stoves

The overall response from the local stakeholders on the project was encouraging and positive. No adverse or negative comments or response received in the meeting. The participants of the meeting had not raised any significant concerns nor seek any clarification related to potential impacts of the project activity or any other issue. The project as a whole gives positive impression towards the issue of sustainable development of the country.

The stakeholder's comments sought during the meeting in following manners –

- The stakeholders were invited to ask any clarification or information on the project activity during the meeting.
- Evaluation form / questionnaire were asked to fill in by the stakeholders interested to give their inputs on the project activity.

The evaluation forms were analyzed for the comments and no negative comments is being found.

The summary of stakeholders comment received during stakeholders meetings of Nashik, Pune and Sangli are summarised as below –

Queries	Reply
The benefits the users of improved Cook stove are likely to receive from the project activity?	Addressing to the query the representative of M/s Vikram stoves explained on how the use of improved cook stoves for cooking will decrease the indoor air pollution; reduce cooking time and lower fuel-wood consumption due to higher efficiency. Moreover, the users of improved cook stoves will get free maintenance service and also free of cost replacement of the system at the end of its lifetime.
The activities that users have to perform under the project activity?	Addressing to the query the representative of M/s Vikram Stoves clarified that, users have to continue using the ICS for daily cooking purpose and cannot use traditional cook stove. He also described the monitoring plan and briefed the parameters to be monitored and procedure to be followed by the user.
The tenure of the benefit period was also enquired?	Addressing to the query the representative of M/s Vikram stoves clarified that, users considered under the project will receive free of cost maintenance service for 10 years post to registration.
Queries	Reply
How will the project contribute the pollution reduction?	The representative from GKEMPL explained that, project is reducing fire-wood consumption through improved combustion technology and thereby reducing green-house gases emission and also reducing smoke generation.

Timeline of CDM project development?	Responding to the query, Mr. Baviskar representative from GKEMPL mentioned that, time line for CDM project development and registration is around one year but, the revenue inflow will take at least another one year.
Process of estimation of green house gas emission?	In response to the query, Mr. Baviskar representative from GKEMPL explained the procedure of Green-house gas emission reduction calculation in brief.
Modalities that users of Improved Cook stove have to undertake for the successful receipt of CDM revenue and benefit to be received by them in return?	Addressing to the query Mr. Baviskar representative from GKEMPL described the monitoring plan and briefed the parameters to be monitored and procedure to be followed by the user. Further to this, the representative from Vikram Stoves clarified that, the users of Improved Cook stoves will get free maintenance service and also the Improved Cook stove system will be replaced with the new ICS system after end of the lifetime of first system.

Queries	Reply
Clarification on process of estimation of Green-house gas emission reduction?	In response to the query Mr. Yenale, representative of M/s Vikram Stoves explained the procedure of Green-house gas emission reduction calculation in brief
Tasks to be undertaken by the users of Improved Cook stove for availing benefit?	Addressing to the query Mr. Yenale described the monitoring plan, the parameters to be monitored and steps to undertake by the user. Further to this, the representative from Vikram Stoves clarified that, improved cook stove users will get free maintenance service and also free of cost replacement of the system at the end of its lifetime within the crediting period.
Tenure during which the user will get this benefit?	Responding to the query Mr. Saheb, representative of M/s Vikram Stoves replied that, ICS systems considered under the project will receive free of cost maintenance service for 10 years post to registration.

2.3 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

Not applicable to this as this is not an AFOLU project activity.

3 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

3.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity

The improved cook stove conceived under the project activity is MNRE and BIS (Bureau of Indian Standard) approved state of art and environmentally sound technology. The improved cook stove is manufactured in accordance to IS13152 (Part 1): 1991 (Solid

Biomass – Chulha Part 1(Metallic))¹³. The standard has been prepared in line with the national approach towards fuel conservation, better environment, mitigating health hazards and loss of forest cover caused by burning of wood as cooking fuel and therefore, can be conceived as an environmentally sound technology for combustion of solid biomass for the purpose of cooking. Moreover, the materials used in manufacturing of the cook stove are of iron and steel which is recyclable after end use and hence, considered to be environmentally safe after lifetime also. Although the ICS distributed under the current phase pertains to different batch of manufacturing, but since the products are being manufactured complying to a particular performance standard (IS13152 (Part 1):1991) the quality output for each unit of products complies to a minimum level.

The cook stove distributed under the project activity is a portable, single-pot stove without chimney, natural draft and metal made stove specifically suitable for combustion of solid biomass. The cook stove broadly comprises of a combustion chamber, top plate for holding the utensils, grate for holding fuel during combustion and bottom plate that facilitates ventilation of air. The combustion chamber is cylindrical shaped and made up of mild steel. One side of the chamber of improved cook stove is opened for feeding of fuel. The chamber top plate is die cast and made up of cast iron.






Diagram of Improved Cook Stove

1. Top plate,
2. Screw,
3. Barrel,
4. Grate,
5. Bottom Plate,
6. Legs,
7. Aluminium Lining and
8. Rivet


¹³ The Standard has been prepared by Indian Standard body in line with the national approach towards fuel conservation and better environment, mitigating Health hazards and drudgery and loss of forest cover caused by burning of wood as cooking fuel (Forward: Indian Standard Solid Bio-Mass, Chulha – Specification Part 1 Portable (Metallic))



Top Plate



Grate



Bottom Plate



Material used for manufacturing of each component of the cook stoves are:

Component	Material
Top plate	Cast iron
Grate	Cast iron
Barrel	Mild steel
Bottom Plate	Mild steel
Lining	Aluminum
Perforated Sleeve	Mild steel
Handle	Mild steel
Pan Support	Mild steel sheet-flat-rod
Legs	Mild steel sheet and rod

Life time

The operational lifetime of the cook stove is 5 years 00 months. As per manufacturer authorization the operational life of improved cookstoves is over seven years, however beyond five years the operational efficiency drops below the rated efficiency and hence was considered as operational life time in the registered PDD.

Decline in the cook stove efficiency as assessed through periodic efficiency testing is being reported and considered as part of emission reduction calculation.

Replacement of Improved Cookstoves

Replacement of ICS was scheduled to be carried out

(a) in case of breakage/ dismantle of ICS during the operational period – on receipt of complaint the localised service providers of the project proponent visits the beneficiary with backup cook stoves (ICS) and provides the beneficiary with backup stoves if the service provider fails to address the issues locally. The malfunctioned ICS post collected from beneficiary is thereafter sent to the company workshop in case of possibility of repair. In case the ICS couldn't be repaired beneficiary are to be provided with new ICS.

(b) Observed requirement of complex repair- In case the maintenance team of the project proponent during scheduled O&M observes requirement of complex repairs the team may on discretion replace the existing cookstoves with a new one or a backup one. In such case the beneficiary is provided with backup new ICS the same are to be replaced with the repaired one operating at the desired satisfaction level of beneficiary.

(c) Post assessment of operational efficiency in the last year after the elapse of scheduled lifetime of ICS from the date of distribution.

During the current monitoring period of 5 years there has been no instances of replacement of improved cook stoves, therefore no such impact on emission reduction calculation due to increase of cook stoves efficiency.

Operation and maintenance

Operation and maintenance of Improved cook stoves are carried out periodically by authorised representative of project proponent (manufacturer of improved cook stoves). The authorized representative of the project proponent during the annual (periodic) maintenance, also motivates and persuades the beneficiaries for continuation of ICS usages for cooking of all meals towards reducing the health impact of the family especially the women and children in case of usage of traditional cook stoves and thereby ensuring the restoration of operation of ICS.

Approach for assessing share of Improved cookstoves users for estimation of emission reduction

1. The number of beneficiaries using Improved cook stoves during a particular monitoring period are assessed based on record of operation and maintenance, maintained by project proponent pertaining to discontinued users.
2. Annual third-party sample survey is being carried out to assess the share of beneficiaries using improved cookstoves. During survey in case beneficiaries were evaluated to be using improved cook stoves for a limited period along with usage of traditional cookstoves the beneficiaries are considered as partial users. Partial users are beneficiaries /household, those who have not discontinued using of ICS but have been using ICS for a limited period and also using traditional cookstoves for the purpose of cooking along with ICS. Since the number of days of operation of partial ICS usage during a particular crediting period is hard to ascertain (uncertainty of estimation), therefore as a conservative approach the beneficiaries /household using ICS partially are considered as discontinued users.

The survey observed/found most of the beneficiaries to have fully discontinued the usage of traditional cookstoves and have even dismantled the traditional cookstoves.

Around 5-10% of beneficiaries during a particular crediting period have been assessed to have partially used traditional cookstoves (as deduced from the beneficiary survey) due to customary practice/festival or to manage guests. Considering the perceptive response of the beneficiaries regarding the number of day of functioning of traditional cookstoves, it is conservatively considered that the number of days of use of improved cookstoves for those particular beneficiaries who have used traditional cookstoves as zero/nil. Although such consideration negatively impacts the annualized emission reductions estimate but such consideration is being conservatively considered for the purpose of calculation.

3.2 Deviations

3.2.1 Methodology Deviations

Not Applicable

3.2.2 Project Description Deviations

Not Applicable

3.3 Grouped Projects

Not Applicable

4 DATA AND PARAMETERS

4.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation

Data / Parameter	B_{old}
Data unit	tonnes/ household/year
Description	Quantity of woody biomass used in absence of the project activity
Source of data	Teri Energy Data Directory Yearbook for 2010
Value applied	1.469
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	The study as per Wood Fuel Trade in India – Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nation, July 2001, per capita per day biomass consumption of 1.52 kg and 5.6 kg of fuel wood consumption per household per day. Annual consumption per household per day is therefore estimated at 2.044 tonnes. Further, the publication of TERI Energy Data Directory and Yearbook2010 outlines that, the per capita per month consumption of fire wood in Maharashtra at 25.51 kg/ month/capita. With average population size of 4.8

	persons/household/the annual consumption of firewood is estimated at 1.469 tonnes/year/household. Being the annual household fuel consumption data estimated on the basis of the TERI Energy Data Directory is most conservative amongst the available fuel wood consumption figure available secondarily the value of 1.469 tonnes/household/year is considered for estimation of By, savings.
Purpose of Data	Quantity of biomass utilization is used to estimate the quantum of biomass that will be saved in the project scenario after factoring into the improvement of efficiency.
Comments	The maximum value of Bold is fixed at ex-ante. Factors calculated based on the number of days of traditional cook stove usage and number of days of improved cook stoves usage is multiplied with Bold to estimate the Bold to be used for emission reduction calculation.

Data / Parameter	η_{new}
Data unit	%
Description	Efficiency of the cook stove being deployed as a part of the project activity
Source of data	Test report issued by Biomass Cook stove Testing Centre, Dept. of Renewable Energy Sources, Udaipur
Value applied	29.88%
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	Thermal efficiency test carried out as per water Boiling Test Protocol specified as per IS13152 protocol.
Purpose of Data	Efficiency of the improved cookstoves is used to estimate the saving in fuel wood. (The factor is not fixed ex-post and estimated based on monitored efficiency of sample number of cookstoves)
Comments	The value of Efficiency is monitored on an annual basis and vintage wise.

Data / Parameter	$B_{y,savings}$
Data unit	tonne/household/year
Description	Quantity of woody biomass saved due to implementation of the project activity

Source of data	Calculated
Value applied	0.9774
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	$B_{y, savings}$ is calculated using equation $B_{y, savings} = B_{old} * (1 - \eta_{old} / \eta_{new})$ $= 1.469 * (1 - 0.1 / 0.2988)$ $= 0.9774$ tonne /household/year
Purpose of Data	Fuel wood saving is calculated for estimation of emission reduction.
Comments	The efficiency of the improved cook stoves is monitored on an annual basis based on the sample survey and if appliances are found to be operational with lower efficiency then, the actual efficiency determined during monitoring is considered to calculate emission reduction.

Data / Parameter	Energy Saving per cook stove or household
Data unit	GWh _{th} /household/year
Description	Energy saving per cook stove due to improved efficiency of the distributed cook stove
Source of data	Calculated
Value applied	0.00407
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	$Energy\ Saving = B_{y, saving} * NCV_{biomass}$ $= B_{old} * (1 - \eta_{old} / \eta_{new}) * NCV_{biomass}$ $= 1.469 * (1 - 0.1 / 0.2988) * 0.0150$ $= 0.01466$ TJ /household /year $= 0.00407$ GWh _{th} /household/year $= 4.073$ MWh _{th} / household/year $= 1.358$ MWh _e / household/year Note: Value of $NCV_{biomass}$ is considered as per Paragraph 5 of the applicable methodology AMS -II. G. Version 04; IPCC default value for fuel wood i.e. 0.015 TJ/tonne is chosen.
Purpose of Data	The data is used to assess the applicability condition of the project under micro small scale guidelines and also de-bundling.
Comments	-

Data / Parameter	F_{NRB,y}
Data unit	-

Description	The factors relates to the fraction of non-renewable biomass
Source of data	Calculated using secondary sources
Value applied	87.9%
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	The fraction of non-renewable biomass is calculated based on the fuel wood demand and supply of biomass across the state of Maharashtra.
Purpose of Data	Data is used for estimation of emission reduction.
Comments	-

4.2 Data and Parameters Monitored

Data / Parameter	η_{new}			
Data unit	%			
Description	Efficiency of the system being deployed in project activity			
Source of data	Third party efficiency test report.			
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The sample systems tested as per Water Boiling Test Protocol indicated in IS 13152 (Part 1): 1991 for solid biomass cook stove. The testing is carried out on annual basis of representative sample. The minimum value of tested efficiency or 29.88% which so ever is lower is used to calculate emission reduction of the systems for particular year of operation.			
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Efficiency of the improved cookstoves under operation is monitored across sample system on an annual basis			
Value monitored	Period	Date of Monitoring	Number of Improved cookstoves assessed	Minimum efficiency of the lot
	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	30-10-2013, 18-10-2013, 03-11-2013, 07-11-2013, 07-10-2013, 03-10-2013, 20-11-2013, 17-11-2013, 11-10-2013, 10-11-2013, 26-10-2013, 22-10-2013, 15-10-2013, 13-11-2013	40	28.33%
	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	20-11-2014, 19-10-2014, 03-11-2014, 07-11-2014, 07-10-2014, 03-10-2014,	40	28.11%

		30-10-2014, 11-10-2014, 17-11-2014, 10-11-2014, 26-10-2014, 22-10-2014, 13-11-2014, 15-10-2014		
	02-October-2014 to 01-October- 2015	29-10-2015, 18-10-2015, 06-11-2015, 03-11-2015, 07-10-2015, 03-10-2015, 17-11-2015, 20-11-2015, 11-10-2015, 10-11-2015, 26-10-2015, 22-10-2015, 13-11-2015, 15-10-2015	40	27.02%
	02-October-2015 to 01-October- 2016	03-10-2016, 18-10-2016, 30-10-2016, 07-11-2016, 07-10-2016, 03-11-2016, 20-11-2016, 17-11-2016, 11-10-2016, 10-11-2016, 26-10-2016, 13-11-2016, 15-10-2016, 26-10-2016	40	26.07%
	02-October-2016 to 01-October- 2017	07-11-2017, 18-10-2017, 03-10-2017, 30-10-2017, 07-10-2017, 03-11-2017, 17-11-2017, 20-11-2017, 11-10-2017, 10-11-2017, 26-10-2017, 22-10-2017, 15-10-2017, 13-11-2017	40	25.50%
Monitoring equipment	1. Digital Thermometer 2. Weighing scale			
QA/QC procedures to be applied	Efficiency of the system is tested by third party agency on principle of the Water Boiling Test Protocol indicated in IS 13152 (Part 1): 1991. The number of sample system to be inspected is estimated in accordance to " Guidelines for Sampling and Surveys for Project Activities and Programme of Activities " Version 02, EB 69 Annex 5 and procedure for sample size calculation is specified in Section B.7.2 of the CDM PDD.			
Purpose of the data	The value of the efficiency is used to calculate the $B_{y, savings}$ used for ex-post estimation of emission reduction.			

Calculation method	Heat utilized = $(n-1) \times (W \times 0.214 + w) \times (t_2 - t_1) + (W \times 0.214 + w) \times (t_3 - t_1)$ kcal Heat Produced = $[(X \times c_1) + (x \times d / 1000 \times c_2)]$ kcal Thermal Efficiency = Heat utilised/ Heat Produced $\times 100$ Where <table border="1"> <tr> <td>w</td><td>Mass of water in vessel, in kg</td></tr> <tr> <td>W</td><td>Mass of the vessel complete with lead and stirrer, in kg</td></tr> <tr> <td>X</td><td>Mass of the fuel consumed, in kg</td></tr> <tr> <td>c₁</td><td>Calorific value of wood in kcal/kg</td></tr> <tr> <td>x</td><td>Volume of the kerosene consumed, in ml</td></tr> <tr> <td>c₂</td><td>Calorific value of kerosene in kcal/kg</td></tr> <tr> <td>d</td><td>Density of kerosene, in g/ml</td></tr> <tr> <td>t₁</td><td>Initial temperature of the water, in °C</td></tr> <tr> <td>t₂</td><td>Final temperature of the water, in °C</td></tr> <tr> <td>t₃</td><td>Final temperature of the water in the last vessel at the completion of the test, in °C</td></tr> <tr> <td>n</td><td>Total number of vessels used</td></tr> <tr> <td>0.214</td><td>Specific heat of aluminium in kcal/kg°C</td></tr> </table>	w	Mass of water in vessel, in kg	W	Mass of the vessel complete with lead and stirrer, in kg	X	Mass of the fuel consumed, in kg	c ₁	Calorific value of wood in kcal/kg	x	Volume of the kerosene consumed, in ml	c ₂	Calorific value of kerosene in kcal/kg	d	Density of kerosene, in g/ml	t ₁	Initial temperature of the water, in °C	t ₂	Final temperature of the water, in °C	t ₃	Final temperature of the water in the last vessel at the completion of the test, in °C	n	Total number of vessels used	0.214	Specific heat of aluminium in kcal/kg°C
w	Mass of water in vessel, in kg																								
W	Mass of the vessel complete with lead and stirrer, in kg																								
X	Mass of the fuel consumed, in kg																								
c ₁	Calorific value of wood in kcal/kg																								
x	Volume of the kerosene consumed, in ml																								
c ₂	Calorific value of kerosene in kcal/kg																								
d	Density of kerosene, in g/ml																								
t ₁	Initial temperature of the water, in °C																								
t ₂	Final temperature of the water, in °C																								
t ₃	Final temperature of the water in the last vessel at the completion of the test, in °C																								
n	Total number of vessels used																								
0.214	Specific heat of aluminium in kcal/kg°C																								
Comments	As a part of the third party sample assessment of the operational efficiency of improved cookstoves, the minimum of the assessed efficiency from amongst the forty system tested below 29.88% is considered as a efficiency to be considered for purpose of emission reduction calculation in place of 29.88% (rated efficiency). Although the ICS distributed under the current phase pertains to different batch of manufacturing, but since the products are being manufactured complying to a particular performance standard (IS13152 (Part 1):1991) the quality output for each unit of products complies to a minimum level. Moreover, the distribution is carried out during same period amongst homogeneous beneficiary segment, moreover the onset of operations of ICS has been kept at the same point of time. Therefore, as per the guidelines specified under the registered PDD Simple Random sampling methods were selected. The data estimated is archived both in paper and electronic spread sheet whereby the paper and the electronic form of the documents is maintained by the Project Participant for period of two year and electronic data is maintained till 2 years after the last issuance.																								

Data / Parameter	No. cook stoves in use
-------------------------	-------------------------------

Data unit	Number																		
Description	The number of improved cook stoves distributed to households under the project activity and under operation.																		
Source of data	Third party agency survey report and database managed by the Project Participant (the end user agreement)																		
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The monitoring consists of third-party survey of representative sample on annual basis to evaluate the number of systems in operation. The percentage of cook stove in usage as estimated from the survey result is multiplied with the total number of cook stove to determine number of cook stove in operation and to be considered for the purpose of emission reduction calculation.																		
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Number of improved cookstoves under operation is monitored annually based on sample survey																		
Value monitored	During survey in case beneficiaries were evaluated to be using improved cook stoves for a limited period along with usage of traditional cookstoves the beneficiaries are considered as partial users. Partial users are beneficiaries /household, those who have not discontinued using of ICS but have been using ICS for a limited period and also using traditional cookstoves for the purpose of cooking along with ICS. Since the number of days of operation of partial ICS usage during a particular crediting period is hard to ascertain (uncertainty of estimation) therefore as a conservative approach the beneficiaries /household using ICS partially are considered as discontinued users for the purpose of estimating emission reduction. <table><tr><th>Period</th><th>No. of Dis-continued user</th><th>Date of Survey</th><th>Number of beneficiaries surveyed</th><th>% of partial users</th><th>% of users used ICS only</th></tr><tr><td>02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013</td><td>0</td><td>30-10-2013, 18-10-2013, 03-11-2013, 07-11-2013, 07-10-2013, 03-10-2013, 20-11-2013, 17-11-2013, 11-10-2013, 10-11-2013, 26-10-2013, 22-10-2013, 15-10-2013, 13-11-2013</td><td>160</td><td>6.25%</td><td>93.75%</td></tr><tr><td>02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014</td><td>0</td><td>20-11-2014, 19-10-2014, 03-11-2014, 07-11-2014, 07-10-2014, 03-10-2014, 30-10-2014, 11-10-2014, 17-11-2014, 10-11-2014, 26-10-2014, 22-10-2014, 13-11-2014</td><td>160</td><td>6.25%</td><td>93.75%</td></tr></table>	Period	No. of Dis-continued user	Date of Survey	Number of beneficiaries surveyed	% of partial users	% of users used ICS only	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	0	30-10-2013, 18-10-2013, 03-11-2013, 07-11-2013, 07-10-2013, 03-10-2013, 20-11-2013, 17-11-2013, 11-10-2013, 10-11-2013, 26-10-2013, 22-10-2013, 15-10-2013, 13-11-2013	160	6.25%	93.75%	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	0	20-11-2014, 19-10-2014, 03-11-2014, 07-11-2014, 07-10-2014, 03-10-2014, 30-10-2014, 11-10-2014, 17-11-2014, 10-11-2014, 26-10-2014, 22-10-2014, 13-11-2014	160	6.25%	93.75%
Period	No. of Dis-continued user	Date of Survey	Number of beneficiaries surveyed	% of partial users	% of users used ICS only														
02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	0	30-10-2013, 18-10-2013, 03-11-2013, 07-11-2013, 07-10-2013, 03-10-2013, 20-11-2013, 17-11-2013, 11-10-2013, 10-11-2013, 26-10-2013, 22-10-2013, 15-10-2013, 13-11-2013	160	6.25%	93.75%														
02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	0	20-11-2014, 19-10-2014, 03-11-2014, 07-11-2014, 07-10-2014, 03-10-2014, 30-10-2014, 11-10-2014, 17-11-2014, 10-11-2014, 26-10-2014, 22-10-2014, 13-11-2014	160	6.25%	93.75%														

			15-10-2014			
	02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	0	29-10-2015, 18-10-2015, 06-11-2015, 03-11-2015, 07-10-2015, 03-10-2015, 17-11-2015, 20-11-2015, 11-10-2015, 10-11-2015, 26-10-2015, 22-10-2015, 13-11-2015, 15-10-2015	160	8.75%	91.25%
	02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	0	03-10-2016, 18-10-2016, 30-10-2016, 07-11-2016, 07-10-2016, 03-11-2016, 20-11-2016, 17-11-2016, 11-10-2016, 10-11-2016, 26-10-2016, 13-11-2016, 15-10-2016, 26-10-2016	160	9.38%	90.63%
	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	0	07-11-2017, 18-10-2017, 03-10-2017, 30-10-2017, 07-10-2017, 03-11-2017, 17-11-2017, 20-11-2017, 11-10-2017, 10-11-2017, 26-10-2017, 22-10-2017, 15-10-2017, 13-11-2017	160	11.25%	88.75%
Number of Improved Cook stoves distributed – 14,400						
Monitoring equipment	-					
QA/QC procedures to be applied	The project proponent obtains an authorization from each /group of the household in form of end user agreement for processing of carbon revenue. The database containing all information of the end user agreement is maintained in a central server located with project participant and accessible to the project team at different locations. During the time of verification, the VVB can verify the list of beneficiaries who has signed the end user agreement (for which the emission reductions are claimed).					
Purpose of the data	For estimation of baseline emission					
Calculation method	Share of beneficiaries using Improved cookstoves = $\frac{160 - \text{no of partial users}}{160}$					

Comments	The percentage of the representative sample using the improved cook stove determined from the sample survey is used to calculate the total number of cook stoves in operation. The survey report is kept and maintained by the project proponent for the period of two years after the last issuance. Since, the distribution of Improved cook stoves is carried out during same period amongst homogeneous beneficiary segment, and moreover the onset of operations of ICS has been kept at the same point of time. Therefore, as per the guidelines specified under the registered PDD Simple Random sampling methods were selected.
----------	---

Data / Parameter	Days of Operation			
Data unit	Number			
Description	The parameter relates to the number of days for which the cook stove in operation during the particular year			
Source of data	Monitoring Database			
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The emission reduction as against the cook stove is calculated when the system is being delivered to the end user, the end user initiated its operation and the end user agreement is being signed. Since, the emission reduction is based on the days of operation therefore, it is important to monitor the number of days of non-functioning. The days of non-functioning is estimated based on the number of days between the date of complaint as against damage or non- functioning received and date of repair or replacement. The information is maintained in form of database and archived. $\text{Number of days of operation} = 365 - \text{Average number of days the cook stove was non-functional}$ The number of non-functional days is based on the number of days the cook stoves were under repair/replacement			
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Days of operation of improved cookstoves under operation is monitored annually based on sample survey			
Value monitored	Period	Total number of beneficiaries	Number of beneficiaries for which defunct date was a day/more	Average days of operation
	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	14,400	0	365
	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	14,400	0	365
	02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	14,400	0	365
	02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	14,400	0	365

	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	14,400	0	365
Monitoring equipment	-			
QA/QC procedures to be applied	The database for the date of dissemination of cook stoves, date of receipt of complaint for repair/ replacement and the date of repair/replacement is recorded by the village coordinator. The related information is maintained by the village co-ordinator and passed on to the VCS team on a monthly basis. In case of the cook stove being replaced, a new end user agreement is signed with the end user and attached to the original agreement. Monitoring database and the end user agreement is maintained both in soft as well as hard copy.			
Purpose of the data	For estimation of baseline emission			
Calculation method	-			
Comments	The number of days for which the improved cook stoves were non-functional is considered for estimation of emission reduction. The record of database and copy of end user agreement is kept and maintained by the project participant for the period of two years after the last issuance. For ex-post, the total number of days of non-operation of the improved cook stoves due to repair, maintenance is retrieved from the maintenance log books is averaged as against the total number of cook stoves in actual operation (as per survey) and is considered. Say, if n is the total no of non- operational days as per the maintenance records of all of the cook stoves, the average days of non-operation for each cook stove is estimated as $= n/x$ where x is the number of cook stoves in actual operation as per the sample survey.			

Data / Parameter	Number of days of functioning of traditional cook stoves
Data unit	Number
Description	The parameters refer to the number of days for which the traditional cook stove is being used by the household.
Source of data	Annual third-party agency survey of representative sample household
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Survey is carried out to estimate the number of days for which the household has operated the traditional cook stove during a particular monitoring period.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Number of days of functioning of traditional cook stoves is monitored annually based on sample survey
Value monitored	During survey in case beneficiaries were evaluated to be using improved cook stoves for a limited period along with usage of

	traditional cookstoves the beneficiaries are considered as partial users. Partial users are beneficiaries /household, those who have not discontinued using of ICS but have been using ICS for a limited period and also using traditional cookstoves for the purpose of cooking along with ICS. Since the number of days of operation of partial ICS usage during a particular crediting period is hard to ascertain (uncertainty of estimation), therefore as a conservative approach the beneficiaries /household using ICS partially are considered as discontinued users. Since the beneficiary using traditional cookstoves were opted out of emission reduction estimation therefore the beneficiaries considered resembles only to those who are using improved cookstoves. Therefore the days of usage of traditional cookstoves for beneficiaries considered is zero.
Monitoring equipment	-
QA/QC procedures to be applied	The survey is carried out by third party agency and is co-ordinated by the project proponent.
Purpose of the data	For estimation of baseline emission
Calculation method	-
Comments	Number of days of operation of the traditional cook stove is obtained from the result of sample survey. The number of days of traditional cook stove as per the survey is used to obtain the average days of usage of the traditional cook stoves for all the households who still use the improved cook stoves of this project activity. This average day is used to arrive at a factor - $= (365 - \text{Average number of days of traditional cook stove usage in all households} / 365)$

Data / Parameter	Number of Cook stove replaced
Data unit	Number
Description	The parameters refer to the number of cook stove that are replaced (due to end-of-life time or damage) by the new cook stove with efficiency greater than or equal to 29.88%.
Source of data	Monitoring database, new end user agreement and test certificate of the new system supplied.
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Record is being updated in the databases as and when the improved cookstoves are replaced by a new one . On replacement a new end user agreement is signed with the existing end user and the information relating to the replacement along with necessary details is updated in the database.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Recorded as and when replaced

Value monitored	Period	Number of improved cookstoves distributed and under operation	Number of cookstoves replaced post end of life time	Number of cookstoves replaced due to defunct
	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	14,400	0	0
	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	14,400	0	0
	02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	14,400	0	0
	02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	14,400	0	0
	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	14,400	0	0
Monitoring equipment	-			
QA/QC procedures to be applied	The copy of the new end user agreement for the replaced cook stove along with the efficiency certificate of the new system is archived along with the existing agreement. The database is updated as and when the system is replaced.			
Purpose of the data	Assessment of baseline emission			
Calculation method	-			
Comments	The record of database and copy of end user agreements (both new and old one) is maintained by the project participant for the period of two years after the last issuance.			


Data / Parameter	B_{y, savings}
Data unit	Tonnes/year/household
Description	Quantity of woody biomass that is saved through the project activity.
Source of data	calculated
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The quantity of woody biomass saved is calculated following the equation $B_{y, saving} = B_{old} * (1 - \eta_{old}/\eta_{new})$ Where, B_{old} is calculated based on number of days cook stove is operational as calculated above and per capita biomass consumption of 25.51 kg/person/month multiplied by 4.8 members per rural household also factoring into the number of days for which the traditional cook stoves were in operation and the number of days of non-functioning of the improved cook stoves. The efficiency of the project system is tested on a representative sample basis.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Calculated on an annual basis

Value monitored	Period	Operational Efficiency considered	Estimated By savings/ ICS Tonne of Wood /Year/ Household
	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	28.33%	0.9504
	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	28.11%	0.9464
	02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	27.02%	0.9253
	02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	26.07%	0.9055
	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	25.50%	0.8929
Monitoring equipment	-		
QA/QC procedures to be applied	The minimum tested efficiency of the representative samples or 29.88% is used for η_{new} .		
Purpose of the data	-		
Calculation method	-		
Comments	The data estimated and the survey related documents is archived by the project participant for the period of 2 years after the last issuance.		

4.3 Monitoring Plan

The monitoring of the Project activity is executed by the team of the Project Participant, Village level coordinator appointed by the Project Participant, Technical Advisor from Vikram Stoves and consultant whose specific role and responsibilities are explained as follows. The following section also explicitly indicates the monitoring plan, implementation and record archiving procedure, management of monitoring, facilitating survey, training, maintenance plan and emergency preparedness.

The detailed team structure for executing the monitoring is as follows:



Roles and Responsibilities

The roles and responsibilities of the team include:

- Undertaking awareness amongst the beneficiaries relating to usefulness of the cook stoves.
- Providing training to each entity on the operating methods and regular maintenance practice of improved cook stoves.
- Undertaking maintenance of the cook stoves on a periodic basis.
- Replacing supplied improved cook stoves with new one at the end of technical life time of the distributed system within the crediting period.
- Development and monitoring of project.
- Undertaking spot check, annual survey to access the usage, functional efficiency, maintain database and facilitate monitoring as described in section B.7.as well as the following section of the PDD.

The end users or beneficiaries is responsible for -

- Continual usage of cook stoves
- Undertaking regular maintenance
- Supporting the village coordinator and the project participant in undertaking monitoring
- Inform the village coordinator in case the cook stove malfunctions.
- Dismantle or refrain from use of traditional cook stove
- In case the family decides to shift to the same is intimated to the village coordinator.

The purpose of monitoring is to achieve actual and credible emission reduction estimation pertaining to the project activity. The project participant therefore, needs to monitor and archive data required for estimation of emission reduction.

According to the approved methodology AMS -II. G.; the monitoring comprises of –

1. Efficiency check of the representative sample on annual basis to ensure that, the cook stoves are still operating at the specified efficiency (η_{new}). If appliances are found to be operational with efficiency lower than 29.88%, the efficiency determined during monitoring is used to calculate emission reduction. (In accordance to Paragraph 15 of the approved methodology)
 - Thermal efficiency test is carried out in accordance to the Water Boiling Test Protocol as specified in IS 13152 (Part 1): 1991 standard.
 - The sample size is selected in accordance to "Guidelines for Sampling and Surveys for Project Activities and Programme of Activities" Version 2, EB 69.
2. Monitoring also consists of checking of representative sample on annual basis to determine if the improved cook stoves are still operating or are replaced by an equivalent in service appliance. In case of replacement, it is ensured that, efficiency of new equipment is similar to the equipment being replaced.
If the cook stove is replaced with higher efficiency appliances, then, the efficiency of 29.88% is considered as conservative approach. (In accordance to Paragraph 16 of the methodology)
3. Monitoring ensures that:
 - a. Either the replaced low efficiency appliances are disposed of and not used within the boundary or within the region; or
 - b. If baseline stoves continue to be used, monitoring ensures that the fuel-wood consumption of those stoves is excluded from Bold.

Therefore, the project proponent has developed a plan for monitoring under the following heads –

Implementation Arrangements and Record archiving-

All the household post to the distribution of the cook stoves is oriented to its usage and regular maintenance. With registration of the project under the UNFCCC; the project participant imparts an awareness session over cookstove usage.

As a part of the implementation program the project participant ensures:

1. Signing of the end user agreement with the beneficiaries (the project participant completes signing of end user agreement with all the beneficiaries who are being provided with the cook stoves before registration of the project). As a part of the contractual agreement with each of the beneficiaries for the titled project a unique identification number is issued as against to each project cook stoves. Moreover, as a part of the agreement each of the end user ascertains that they won't be entering into agreement with other agencies to obtain revenue. The above two steps nullify the chances of double counting.
2. The coordinators are employed under the project activity and is responsible for monitoring, archiving of the records and undertaking maintenance.
3. Creating database to archive relevant information about the end user, cook stoves and related information of the end user agreement. The database is created based on the end user agreement and is managed by the team. The database contains all the relevant information about the beneficiary in regard to the end user agreement. Each of the beneficiaries in the database is identified by the unique identification number. The database manager ensures that there has been no double counting/ repetition of the beneficiary information, location and unique identification number of the cook stoves. The database is updated on a regular basis to include:
 - a. Replacement of the cook stoves after schedule life time

- b. Scheduled maintenance
- c. Unscheduled maintenance or fault rectification measures undertaken
- d. Temporary or permanent drop out

Finalizing the number of cook stoves for emission reduction estimation

As described in the section above in regard to the implementation arrangement and record archiving the dissemination of the cook stove to the household and its usage thereafter includes the following steps:

1. Signing of end user agreement
2. Dissemination of improved cookstoves

In accordance to the guidance of the methodology, emission reduction as against each cook stoves can only be estimated from the point of cook stove usage. Therefore, the date of dissemination of cook stoves and signing of the end user agreement with each beneficiary after dissemination is considered as the date from where the emission reduction calculation is initiated for each cook stove for the first monitoring period. For the purpose of estimation, the end date of cookstoves dissemination is considered as start date of the monitoring period.

Management of monitoring activities

The monitoring of the project activity is reviewed and coordinated by the top management of the Project Participant (GKEMPL) through Monitoring Coordinator, team of Project Participant (GKEMPL) headed by Project Director and technical team from Vikram Stoves.

The persons and staffs involved in monitoring is trained over the procedure in accordance to the manual and works out under the supervision of the Project Director. The major task to be undertaken and the responsibility are as follows:

1. Co-ordinator: Each coordinator acts as coordinating point between the project household and the Team (respective manger). The village coordinator is responsible to facilitate and oversee continual operation of cook stoves, maintain database related to number of cook stoves distributed and replaced thereafter, filing complain relating to non-functioning and undertaking on-call maintenance, recording of the complaint and maintenance time line and periodic submission of information to the team.
2. Team: Creating and maintaining database and end user agreement, up-gradation of beneficiary level information in the central database. The team monitors related information pertaining to the replacement of the cook stoves¹⁴. In case household considered under the project activity fails to meet the condition of the end user agreement or household drops out (because of the shift to location outside project boundary for employment purpose/migration for a temporary period or even the beneficiary decided to discontinue usage of improved cook stoves) then, such dropout household is conservatively deducted on temporary or permanent basis from the emission reduction calculation for the particular monitoring period. The VCS team is responsible for estimating the emission reduction.
3. Maintenance team – Vikram Stoves is responsible for imparting training relating to

¹⁴ The replaced cook stoves is to dismantled and sold out to the cast iron and steel units respectively in order to recycle the system components. Such initiatives nullifies the chances of the replaced cook stove to be re-used.

the cook stove scheduled maintenance and undertaking periodic maintenance and on-call maintenance.

4. Daily usage- Household uses cook stove and intimate from time to time relating to non- functioning or temporary transfer/migration. The coordinator on basis of the usage report intimates the team.
5. Replacement of Existing improved cook stoves: In case the old cook stove is replaced by a new cook stove the same is to be done with similar or higher efficiency of existing cook stove (29.88%). On replacement a new end user agreement is signed with the existing end user the same information relating to the replacement along with necessary details is updated in the database. The copy of the new end user agreement for the replaced cook stove along with the efficiency certificate of the new system is archived along with the existing agreement. The database is updated as and when the system is replaced. The replaced cook stoves is dismantled and sold out to the cast iron and steel units respectively in order to recycle the system components. Such initiatives nullify the chances of the replaced cook stove to be re-used.

Monitoring of Traditional cook stove usage

The traditional cook stove is considered as an auspicious with religious value and household consider it as a linkage to their tradition so, the household has kept the stove. However, each household has assured and confirmed through signing of end user agreement with the project participant to continue using of improved cook stoves at household.

However, as a conservative approach to monitor fuel wood consumption in the project household from use of existing mud cook stove, third party survey is carried out of representative sample household on annual basis to evaluate the number of days for which the traditional stoves has been operated across the year. The number of days for which the traditional stoves were in operation is used to derive the factor to be adjusted with B_{old} . The factor is calculated as follows:

$$= (365 - \text{Average No. of days of traditional cook stoves usage in all households}) / 365$$

The factor calculated using above approach is multiplied with B_{old} to calculate the value of B_{old} to be used for ex-post calculation of emission reduction.

Sampling design

Objective: The objective of the sample design is to determine –

- a) **Efficiency of Improved cook stove in operation:** Efficiency of the system is tested by third party agency of a representative sample on principle of the Water Boiling Test Protocol indicated in IS 13152 (Part 1): 1991.
- b) **Usage Survey** – This is conducted on annual basis to determine the number of cook stove in operation. The survey is initiated after a year from date of project registration.
- c) **Usage of traditional cook stoves:** The survey is conducted to determine the number of days for which the household is using the traditional cook stoves.

Reliability Requirement: As per paragraph 22 of the approved methodology AMS - II.G; 90% confidence interval and 10% margin of error needs is considered conceiving the fact that survey is carried out on an annual basis.

Target Population: The number of cook stove conceived under the project activity is 14,400.

Survey Method: Simple Random sampling is chosen, as the population under the project activity are homogeneous owing to the selection of beneficiary from similar socio-economic circumstances.

Sample Size:

The sample size is calculated using exact equation for random sampling –

$$n \geq 1.645^2 N * p * (1-p) / ((N-1) * 0.1^2 * p^2 + 1.645^2 * p*(1-p))$$

Where,

N	=	Sample size
N	=	14,400
P	=	expected proportion (on basis of assumption that, 90% of the cook stove is in operation and at the desired efficiency)
1.645	=	Represents 90% confidence required
0.1	=	Represent 10% relative precision

a) Sample size for Efficiency test

Although the cook stoves are designed to operate at a desired efficiency level throughout its life time subjected to periodic maintenance; efficiency test of the cook stoves is carried out on annual basis. The sample size for undertaking efficiency test is therefore, decided considering 90% of the cook stoves operates at a specified efficiency of 29.88%.

Following equation (3) above, the number of sample size arrived as below –

Time Period	Percentage of cook stove operational at specified efficiency at any point of time during the entire crediting period (This assumption is only for estimation of sample size) ⁶²	Sample size for survey (No. of systems)	Sample size after conceiving response rate of 80%
Annual	90%	31	40

(Note: the final sample size is rounded up and increased to the next closest integer)

The household for testing the efficiency as stated above is selected on a random sample basis. However while undertaking the sample beneficiary selection it is ensured that the household opted for efficiency testing are not common with the household /beneficiary selected for sample survey for improved cook stove usage and traditional cook stove usage during a particular year. Moreover, it is ensured that the household once selected for efficiency testing during a particular year is opted out from the selection procedure in course of the crediting period for further testing.

Data to be collected -

Field Measurement – Frequency: The efficiency testing of sample system is carried out on an annual basis. The inspection is carried out one year after the date of registration. There after once in every year the survey is conducted.

QA/QC Procedure: Each system is assigned with unique identification details. The systems to be sampled is randomly selected using software or random number tables and screen shots of the same is kept in the data base. The PP gets the services of third party agency or any scope accredited

DOE including the verifier for conducting the efficiency test of the samples presented by the PP before the preparation of the monitoring report.

Analysis: In case of the efficiency tested for the sample system is found to be lower than 29.88% then, the minimum value of efficiency tested is considered as a threshold for the subsequent years in place of 29.88% till the point further lower value of efficiency is tested.

b) Sample size determination for no. of improved cook stoves in operation and no of days of traditional cook stove usage

The sample size is estimated for determining the number of cook stoves in operation on basis of assumption that, 90% (This assumption is only for estimation of sample size) of cook stove is operational i.e. proportion of the cook stove in operation during a particular year is 0.9.

Therefore, $n \geq 31$

For covering 100 percent of the sample and expecting a response rate of 80%. Thus, the sample size is estimated as $31/80\% = 39$. However, to cover the wide range of population and have a conservative sample the PP selects 4 times the number of sample estimated i.e., 156 numbers of household every year for the sample.

The household survey is designed to estimate the percentage of cook stove still in operation and number of days for which the traditional cook stoves are used for the purpose of estimation of emission reduction. The survey questionnaire essentially contain the unique identification details of the household, satisfactory level of usage and service, date of signing of the agreement, information regard to the maintenance or replacement if any, number of person in the household, other type of stoves used if any (type of fuel) and number of days of traditional cook stove usage (specific details about the festive season and any other occasion the traditional cook stove is used, if the size of the members are extremely high when it is not possible to use the improved cook stove).

It is to be noted that the household selected for the usage survey for determination of proportion of cook stove in operation is common where the survey for determining the number days for which the traditional cook stoves usage is carried out. The samples once surveyed in a year is not considered for subsequent year.

Data to be collected

Field Measurement – Frequency: The survey is carried out on an annual basis from the date of registration in specified format duly signed by the beneficiary to assess whether the beneficiary has been using the cook stoves distributed till date and to assess the number of days for which the beneficiary has used the traditional cook stoves during that year.

QA/QC Procedure: Each system is assigned with unique identification details. The systems to be sampled is randomly selected using software or random number tables and screen shots of the same is kept in the data base. The PP gets the services of third party agency or any scope accredited DoE including the verifier for taking the survey before the preparation of the monitoring report.

Analysis: Based on the response the percentage of improved cook stoves which are distributed as the part of this project activity that are still operated by the households and the average number of days of operation of the traditional cook stoves by each household would be estimated and the same is considered for ex-post emission reduction calculation.

The sample beneficiaries/household is same where the survey is carried out for estimation of operation of improved cook stoves and traditional cook stoves i.e. a single survey is designed to capture both. Moreover, it is ensured that the household once selected for survey during a

particular year is opted out from the selection in course of the subsequent crediting period for further testing.

Sl. No.	Description	Data to be collected	Method of survey	Sampling method	Proposed Sample size	Variance
1	Efficiency of Improved cook stove	Testing of the Efficiency of the improved cook stoves	Household Collection and conducting the test for the selected samples.	Simple Random sampling	40	90% Confidence level
2	Usage survey	No of system in operation	Household survey	Simple Random Sampling	160 household / annum	90% confidence level
	Traditional cook stove usage	No of days for which the household use traditional cook stoves are used in the project household	Household survey	Simple Random Sampling	160 household / annum	90% confidence level

Reliability Check

The sample size as indicated in the table above is subjected to the final value of the precision calculated and in case the value of the precision estimated from the survey result is less than $\pm 10\%$ then, the sample size is re-estimated and the additional number of beneficiary/households is interviewed to obtain the desired level of precision. The precision is calculated as follows:

Step1: Estimation of the value of proportion (p)

$P = \text{number of success} / \text{number of cook stoves that were observed in the sample}$

Step 2: Estimating Standard Error of the proportion

The standard error of the proportion is estimated using the following equation Standard error of proportion = $\sqrt{(1-f) pq/n}$

Where,

$f = \text{sampling fraction} = \text{number of cook stoves that are observed in the sample} / 14,400$, i.e., $160 / 14,400$. $p = \text{proportion, } 90\%$

$q = (1-p)$

$n = \text{number of cook stoves that are observed in the sample}$

Step 3: Estimating of Precision

Proportion = $\pm z \text{ value} * \text{standard error of proportion}$

$z \text{ value} = \text{for } 90\% \text{ confidence level the value of } z \text{ is } 1.645$ Standard error of proportion = estimated in step 2

Step 4: Estimating of Relating Precision Relative Precision = Precision/proportion Where, Proportion is estimated in step 1 and precision in step 3

In case the value of the relative precision estimated based on the level of success relating to

1. Tested Efficiency of the improved cook stove being equal to greater than 29.88%
2. Number of the beneficiaries using improved cook stove

3. Number of beneficiaries/households using traditional cook stoves is not within the specified limit of $\pm 10\%$ the value of sample size is increased and survey is carried out amongst the additional population to obtain the desired level of precision i.e. within $\pm 10\%$.

Training

The training is imparted to the following entities in following way-

Entity	Objective of training	Trained by	Onset	Frequency
Household	Operational procedure Scheduled maintenance Itemised recording and reporting	Vikram Stoves (for item no 1. and 2) team (for item 3)	After distribution	Once
Village Coordinator	Monitoring of the related parameter like Spot check of cook stove usage, situational review Database management and coordination with team System Maintenance	VCS team (for item no. 1 and 2) Vikram Stoves (for item 3)	On appointment	Once in a year
VCS team	Database management Undertaking Monitoring, Recording and archiving Preparation of monitoring report	Consultant and Top Management of the Project participant	On registration	Once in a year

Quality Assurance and Quality Control of monitoring activities–

Top management of the Project participant (GKEMPL) is responsible for QA/QC which includes monitoring of accurate and transparent record keeping, monitoring and evaluation so that all supporting documentation and records for the project is easily accessible for spot checking and cross referencing by a third-party agency. Top management of the project participant ensures quality control of the monitoring activities in order to guarantee of records and to confirm absence of double-counting in any form. Project participant ensures that, the detailed beneficiary level database and the project database is up to date and the latter is representative of the most recent definitions of clusters. Internal audit is carried out on six month basis by the consultant or third party agency and includes -

1. The internal review is carried out in every six month for compliance check in accordance to the manual.
2. Non conformity if noted is reported and brought into the notice of the top management and measures is undertaken to ensure that required compliance is met.
3. Training on the monitoring if felt by the auditor is imparted to the team.

Emergency Preparedness

In case the village coordinator is reported against malfunction/ non-function of cook stove which the technical team is unable to rectify the fault and unable to co-ordinate with the technical team of Vikram Stoves; the coordinator immediately replace the existing cook stove with the new one. The faulty stoves thereafter be transported to the industry for repair.

1. The coordinator is provided with stand-by stove components for repair and/or replacement.
2. Few numbers of cook stoves are stocked with the Panchayat Samity/ Block Development Office to reduce the down time of replacement.
3. In case the coordinator is unable to carry out maintenance, the same is reported to the technical team of Vikram Stoves and the nearby representatives visits the village to support the co- Ordinator in rectifying the fault.

5 QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

5.1 Baseline Emissions

Baseline Emissions is estimated in following way –

$$ER_y = B_{y, savings} * f_{NRB,y} * NCV_{biomass} * EF_{projected fossil fuel}$$

Where, in accordance to paragraph 13 of the methodology, leakage related to the non-renewable woody biomass saved by the project activity is assessed based on ex post surveys of users and the areas from which this woody biomass is sourced. Subsequent to the clarification provided in sub paragraph (a) survey is not required for ex-post estimation of leakage in case B_{old} is multiplied by a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95 to account for leakages.

Parameter	Data	Unit
B_{old}	1.469	Tonne fuel wood /cook stove/ year
$B_{y, savings}$	0.9774	Tonne fuel wood /cook stove/ year
$f_{NRB,y}$	87.90%	
$NCV_{biomass}$	0.015	TJ/tonne
$EF_{projected fossil fuel}$	81.60	tCO ₂ e/TJ
$ER_{y,WGA,per cook stove}$ (Emission reduction/ cook stove /year without gross adjustment factor for B_{old} to account for leakage)	1.0515	tCO ₂ e /cook stove/ year

The leakage emission for ex-ante estimation of emission reduction is thereby calculated as a difference between the emission reduction estimated considering the actual value of B_{old} i.e. 1.469 Tonne fuel wood /cook stove/ year and emission reduction estimated by considering the value of B_{old} is estimated by multiplying B_{old} with a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95.

For the purpose of ex-ante estimation of emission reduction, it is assumed that, only 90% of the cook stoves are operational in any point of time. Thus, the emission reduction per year ($ER_{y, WGA}$) without gross adjustment factor for B_{old} to account for leakage considering 90% of the cook stove as operational for the entire crediting period is 13,627 tCO₂e /year.

The Baseline Emissions achieved for this monitoring period is 63,234 tCO₂e /year.

The year wise efficiency of the improved cookstoves tested and considered for estimation of baseline emission is outlined in the table below

Third party assessment for monitoring period Year 1 – 02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-1680	Ramkisan Baburao Dolhare	Ratnagiri	28.326%

Third party assessment for monitoring period Year 1 – 02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-3219	Gaunabai Devrao Ganjave	Sindhudurg	28.474%
GKEMPLPH-17-10291	Parwati Suresh Kadam	Satara	28.587%
GKEMPLPH-17-6993	Bhagwan Zingraji Bhagat	Jalgaon	28.610%
GKEMPLPH-17-590	Dadarao Jalabaji Shinde	Raigad	28.740%
GKEMPLPH-17-10498	Kantilal Anna Kale	Satara	28.831%
GKEMPLPH-17-12945	Mahadeo Masu Waghmare	Kolhapur	28.843%
GKEMPLPH-17-6482	Anil Subhash Ade	Jalgaon	28.855%
GKEMPLPH-17-410	Indrajit Raosaheb Chavan	Raigad	28.865%
GKEMPLPH-17-1433	Krushnakant Ananta Kamkhede	Thane	28.878%
GKEMPLPH-17-5148	Sanjay Dattrao Patange	Dhule	28.883%
GKEMPLPH-17-12801	Ashutosh Anil Dhahale	Solapur	28.902%
GKEMPLPH-17-7540	Mote Abarav Ambadas	Pune	28.920%
GKEMPLPH-17-10216	Bhau Baban Dalavi	Satara	28.929%
GKEMPLPH-17-13808	Tanaji Babu Khude	Kolhapur	28.946%
GKEMPLPH-17-1248	Kundlik Bhivaji Kshirsagar	Thane	29.010%
GKEMPLPH-17-11257	Rakhama Shankar Nichit	Solapur	29.015%
GKEMPLPH-17-3290	Krushndev Ramdas Gawande	Sindhudurg	29.044%
GKEMPLPH-17-5655	Bapusaheb Shamrao Deshmukh	Nandurbar	29.077%
GKEMPLPH-17-2000	Kaushalyabai Vitthal Khandare	Ratnagiri	29.086%
GKEMPLPH-17-11225	Ashok Arjun Shelar	Solapur	29.135%
GKEMPLPH-17-13820	Anna Babu Markad	Kolhapur	29.145%
GKEMPLPH-17-6273	Ashok Kondbarao Deshmane	Ahmednagar	29.149%
GKEMPLPH-17-5188	Kudalik Vitthal Chibhade	Dhule	29.158%
GKEMPLPH-17-10988	Ramesh Sopanrao Kokade	Satara	29.163%
GKEMPLPH-17-9142	Laxman Sitaram Shinde	Sangli	29.228%
GKEMPLPH-17-8199	Devikant Bhaskarrao Pawar	Sangli	29.257%
GKEMPLPH-17-11981	Laxman Rama Shinde	Solapur	29.262%
GKEMPLPH-17-9590	Vishal Ramdas Vanave	Satara	29.274%
GKEMPLPH-17-9727	Savita Uttam Makhare	Satara	29.320%
GKEMPLPH-17-11489	Chakrapani Ramchandra Chachar	Solapur	29.410%
GKEMPLPH-17-2204	Ravaji Khanduji Inkar	Ratnagiri	29.457%
GKEMPLPH-17-7746	Bajarang Namdev Nagulkar	Pune	29.472%
GKEMPLPH-17-9015	Prilhad Dattuji Hembade	Sangli	29.496%
GKEMPLPH-17-4044	Shila Nagorao Chvan	Nashik	29.644%
GKEMPLPH-17-732	Shriram Kashiram Tanpure	Raigad	29.677%
GKEMPLPH-17-14182	Balasaheb Vishvnath Pawar	Kolhapur	29.764%
GKEMPLPH-17-3076	Gajanan Sakharan Waghade	Sindhudurg	29.780%
GKEMPLPH-17-4428	Indubai Subhash Adkine	Dhule	29.790%
GKEMPLPH-17-5673	Balaji Dagdu Lodhe	Nandurbar	29.887%

Third party assessment for monitoring period Year 2 - 02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-7735	Dnyaneshwar Haribhau Popalghat	Pune	28.111%
GKEMPLPH-17-5697	Chhayabai Sadashivrao Dhande	Nandurbar	28.132%
GKEMPLPH-17-13565	Rupali Nitin Maske	Kolhapur	28.151%
GKEMPLPH-17-3583	Subhashrao Gangaram Shinde	Sindhudurg	28.158%
GKEMPLPH-17-4743	Ramesh Dhudhaji Kale	Dhule	28.305%
GKEMPLPH-17-736	Sanjay Rangnath Kavarkhe	Raigad	28.394%
GKEMPLPH-17-1022	Parmeshwar Pandurang Dumane	Thane	28.417%

Third party assessment for monitoring period Year 2 - 02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-5900	Arun Bhojaji Balkhande	Nandurbar	28.419%
GKEMPLPH-17-12522	Dhanykumar Vitthal Chaugule	Solapur	28.424%
GKEMPLPH-17-5290	Tukaram Punjaram Mahore	Dhule	28.441%
GKEMPLPH-17-2783	Dilip Raghunathrao Deshmukh	Sindhudurg	28.450%
GKEMPLPH-17-11117	Dattatray Kisan Padwal	Satara	28.464%
GKEMPLPH-17-1081	Pralhad Shriram Nirgude	Thane	28.503%
GKEMPLPH-17-2132	Haribhau Sakharan Gawande	Ratnagiri	28.511%
GKEMPLPH-17-5192	Aniket Vasantrao Patange	Dhule	28.517%
GKEMPLPH-17-6033	Shivaji Bhujangappa Shewalkar	Ahmednagar	28.536%
GKEMPLPH-17-13078	Tatyaba Bapurao Deshmukh	Kolhapur	28.539%
GKEMPLPH-17-8943	Namdev Baliram Tambile	Sangli	28.544%
GKEMPLPH-17-3969	Lilabai Panditrao Durge	Nashik	28.579%
GKEMPLPH-17-11007	Anil Sonyabapu Gite	Satara	28.611%
GKEMPLPH-17-11837	Swati Rajendra Malashikare	Solapur	28.640%
GKEMPLPH-17-136	Daivshala Ashok Jadhav	Raigad	28.748%
GKEMPLPH-17-50	Ranjana Nilkanth Garud	Raigad	28.762%
GKEMPLPH-17-12867	Sulabha Bapurao Doke	Solapur	28.770%
GKEMPLPH-17-12279	Budhaji Maruti Wajekar	Solapur	28.789%
GKEMPLPH-17-13564	Nitin Suresh Maske	Kolhapur	28.798%
GKEMPLPH-17-9984	Dattatraya Shrirang Gaikwad	Satara	28.815%
GKEMPLPH-17-13523	Sarjerao Manik Sid	Kolhapur	28.822%
GKEMPLPH-17-10207	Shalan Dattatraya Dalvi	Satara	28.894%
GKEMPLPH-17-8690	Vandana Santosh Savake	Sangli	28.932%
GKEMPLPH-17-3117	Sunita Sahebrav Chodhari	Sindhudurg	28.950%
GKEMPLPH-17-1721	Balaji Kedarling Kanherkar	Ratnagiri	28.958%
GKEMPLPH-17-9705	Sadhu Tatyaba Shinde	Satara	28.968%
GKEMPLPH-17-2217	Sayabai Tatyarao Bhise	Ratnagiri	28.992%
GKEMPLPH-17-11931	Shalan Rangnath Kalkhaire	Solapur	29.018%
GKEMPLPH-17-10644	Lilabai Suresh Thorat	Satara	29.197%
GKEMPLPH-17-7838	Yashodabai Kundlik Kokate	Pune	29.203%
GKEMPLPH-17-6500	Vrishabh Shrinivas Ghodke	Jalgaon	29.324%
GKEMPLPH-17-6528	Pankaj Vasantrao Patange	Jalgaon	29.405%
GKEMPLPH-17-8831	Sunil Dnyanenba Tambile	Sangli	29.735%

Third party assessment for monitoring period - Year 3 - 02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-11162	Sunil Shantaram Uchale	Satara	27.020%
GKEMPLPH-17-8283	Mathurabai Siddhodhan Alane	Sangli	27.022%
GKEMPLPH-17-3300	Shankar Tulshiram Giri	Sindhudurg	27.056%
GKEMPLPH-17-6331	Vijay Marotrao Magar	Ahmednagar	27.105%
GKEMPLPH-17-10264	Shashikant Madhukar Sonawane	Satara	27.146%
GKEMPLPH-17-13079	Sagar Atmaram Deshmukh	Kolhapur	27.165%
GKEMPLPH-17-2518	Baburao Bhikaji Jadhav	Ratnagiri	27.196%
GKEMPLPH-17-8107	Najukabi Abdul Shaikh	Sangli	27.225%
GKEMPLPH-17-3396	Bhagorao Hiranman Bhalerao	Sindhudurg	27.314%
GKEMPLPH-17-1331	Duttrao Abaji Walke	Thane	27.348%
GKEMPLPH-17-11551	Deepak Shantaram Pawar	Solapur	27.483%
GKEMPLPH-17-11755	Nandkishor Baburao Memane	Solapur	27.554%
GKEMPLPH-17-12836	Dnyaneshwar Vishnu Bandgar	Solapur	27.565%

Third party assessment for monitoring period - Year 3 - 02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-6807	Pundalik Devaba Kalewar	Jalgaon	27.615%
GKEMPLPH-17-5917	Bibitai Maroti Pandav	Nandurbar	27.620%
GKEMPLPH-17-2541	Subhadrabai Govindrao Waman	Ratnagiri	27.621%
GKEMPLPH-17-1362	Shekh Hamja Shekh	Thane	27.633%
GKEMPLPH-17-3917	Dhondaba Punjaji Dhokane	Nashik	27.652%
GKEMPLPH-17-3237	Rajkumar Shriramji Agrawal	Sindhudurg	27.658%
GKEMPLPH-17-11245	Rajendra Ravsaheb Shelar	Solapur	27.666%
GKEMPLPH-17-7729	Shantabai Narayan Chipade	Pune	27.692%
GKEMPLPH-17-10259	Neelkanth Prataprao Mhaske	Satara	27.698%
GKEMPLPH-17-5801	Panchfulabai Sheshrao Shinde	Nandurbar	27.717%
GKEMPLPH-17-6659	Marotrao Dauji Dhole	Jalgaon	27.783%
GKEMPLPH-17-7970	Shantiram Sitaram Late	Pune	27.799%
GKEMPLPH-17-9728	Chabubai Manikrav Mohite	Satara	27.834%
GKEMPLPH-17-8366	Datta Sampati Kshirsagar	Sangli	27.848%
GKEMPLPH-17-11739	Shivaji Harku Chandgude	Solapur	27.848%
GKEMPLPH-17-9	Chandrakant Nagnath Nalwar	Raigad	27.869%
GKEMPLPH-17-10439	Manisha Rajendra Shelke	Satara	27.901%
GKEMPLPH-17-5245	Praful Marotrao Mane	Dhule	27.968%
GKEMPLPH-17-2293	Sarswatibae Ramchandra Gayakwad	Ratnagiri	27.980%
GKEMPLPH-17-13178	Jahangir Dastgir Mulani	Kolhapur	28.036%
GKEMPLPH-17-13626	Jaykumar Rajaram Jadhav	Kolhapur	28.168%
GKEMPLPH-17-13545	Sathej Mahesh Suryavanshi	Kolhapur	28.173%
GKEMPLPH-17-10433	Vinayak Dilip Shinde	Satara	28.234%
GKEMPLPH-17-4866	Rashid Khan Gaus Khan Pathan	Dhule	28.244%
GKEMPLPH-17-529	Sh8lvitanya Nishnu Awakale	Raigad	28.325%
GKEMPLPH-17-896	Sakharam Shivram Jagtap	Raigad	28.509%
GKEMPLPH-17-5256	Vitthal Baburao Patange	Dhule	28.804%

Third party assessment for monitoring period - Year 4 - 02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-13790	Suvarna Maruti Bhoite	Kolhapur	26.070%
GKEMPLPH-17-11617	Amol Mohan Yadav	Solapur	26.177%
GKEMPLPH-17-8572	Dropada Shivaji Gite	Sangli	26.208%
GKEMPLPH-17-9039	Ananda Punjaji Bansode	Sangli	26.263%
GKEMPLPH-17-1287	Namdev Pralhad Karhale	Thane	26.286%
GKEMPLPH-17-8888	Pandita Jayvanta Tambile	Sangli	26.368%
GKEMPLPH-17-1098	Ramrao Anadrao Mandale	Thane	26.411%
GKEMPLPH-17-7709	Shyam Rajeshwar Bhagvatkar	Pune	26.442%
GKEMPLPH-17-12504	Pandurang Gopal Wagh	Solapur	26.491%
GKEMPLPH-17-5092	Krishnakant Sambhaji Waghmare	Dhule	26.506%
GKEMPLPH-17-10874	Sarubai Arjunrao Nagawade	Satara	26.572%
GKEMPLPH-17-9553	Amol Pandurang Baladhye	Satara	26.616%
GKEMPLPH-17-299	Motiram Hari Ingole	Raigad	26.629%
GKEMPLPH-17-5021	Prabhakar Govindrao Panpatte	Dhule	26.645%
GKEMPLPH-17-2747	Kamala Sahebrao Dhavse	Sindhudurg	26.677%
GKEMPLPH-17-2772	Shevantabai Amruta Kothule	Sindhudurg	26.704%
GKEMPLPH-17-5818	Prataprao Madhavrao Deshmukh	Nandurbar	26.713%

Third party assessment for monitoring period - Year 4 - 02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-2604	Kondba Banaji Tekale	Ratnagiri	26.713%
GKEMPLPH-17-7082	Vasanta Pandurang Patale	Jalgaon	26.759%
GKEMPLPH-17-1793	Vitthal Nagoji Tapase	Ratnagiri	26.763%
GKEMPLPH-17-10387	Mahadev Goinath Damagude	Satara	26.771%
GKEMPLPH-17-3497	Laxman Dulaji Hendre	Sindhudurg	26.822%
GKEMPLPH-17-12295	Vishwanath Yashawant Ubhare	Solapur	26.871%
GKEMPLPH-17-6167	Raghunath Jayaji Ghuge	Ahmednagar	26.880%
GKEMPLPH-17-14039	Vipul Shankarrao Gambhire	Kolhapur	26.883%
GKEMPLPH-17-7009	Namdev Sadashiv Rodage	Jalgaon	26.891%
GKEMPLPH-17-13002	Jayram Hanumant Gorad	Kolhapur	26.939%
GKEMPLPH-17-10236	Ganesh Dhondiba Londhe	Satara	26.950%
GKEMPLPH-17-3900	Shivshankar Kishanrao Mapari	Nashik	27.093%
GKEMPLPH-17-13553	Latadevi Dhuldev Sid	Kolhapur	27.213%
GKEMPLPH-17-843	Chandranath Tukaram Thakare	Raigad	27.429%
GKEMPLPH-17-5433	Subhashrao Mahipatrao Maske	Nandurbar	27.491%
GKEMPLPH-17-8027	Umesh Dhanaji Tale	Pune	27.556%
GKEMPLPH-17-9763	Vijaysinnh Machindra Kangude	Satara	27.597%
GKEMPLPH-17-542	Babu Chand Shaikh	Raigad	27.666%
GKEMPLPH-17-11691	Shekhar Mahadeo Kadam	Solapur	27.668%
GKEMPLPH-17-12535	Nandkumar Ramdas Londe	Solapur	27.934%
GKEMPLPH-17-10613	Mahesh Anant Athavale	Satara	27.934%
GKEMPLPH-17-1764	Pradip Shripad Sonwane	Ratnagiri	28.388%
GKEMPLPH-17-4313	Kailas Shankar Adkine	Dhule	28.461%

Third party assessment for monitoring period - Year 5 - 02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-14261	Sadik Chand Patel	Kolhapur	25.499%
GKEMPLPH-17-13306	Laxmi Tanaji Waghmode	Kolhapur	25.639%
GKEMPLPH-17-12236	Kaushal Shivji Singh	Solapur	25.713%
GKEMPLPH-17-12330	Don Marshal Lewis	Solapur	25.892%
GKEMPLPH-17-11757	Shardha Kashinath Kolhe	Solapur	26.012%
GKEMPLPH-17-11189	Bansi Baban Ghode	Satara	26.071%
GKEMPLPH-17-1959	Bhanudas Govind Lothe	Ratnagiri	26.118%
GKEMPLPH-17-9248	Uttam Baburav Londhe	Satara	26.155%
GKEMPLPH-17-3658	Digambar Tukaram Ubale	Sindhudurg	26.168%
GKEMPLPH-17-8056	Ramesh Sitaram Wathore	Pune	26.219%
GKEMPLPH-17-4683	Gajanan Sambhaji Mundhe	Dhule	26.224%
GKEMPLPH-17-8177	Datta Gajanan Vaidya	Sangli	26.263%
GKEMPLPH-17-417	Shobhabai Chandrbhan Chavan	Raigad	26.283%
GKEMPLPH-17-9134	Uddhao Ananda Sanap	Sangli	26.291%
GKEMPLPH-17-81	Devidas Tukaram Chavan	Raigad	26.322%
GKEMPLPH-17-5049	Jagannath Shriram Waghmare	Dhule	26.339%
GKEMPLPH-17-6309	Ganesh Govindrao Gunjkar	Ahmednagar	26.456%
GKEMPLPH-17-3098	Nagoji Ramchandra Paikrao	Sindhudurg	26.525%
GKEMPLPH-17-13081	Bhagwan Hari Nalvade	Kolhapur	26.600%
GKEMPLPH-17-2011	Nandu Panduji Bhagat	Ratnagiri	26.641%
GKEMPLPH-17-6676	Sabhajirao Kisanrao Pwar	Jalgaon	26.694%

Third party assessment for monitoring period - Year 5 - 02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-17-5896	Govdavari Vitthalnath Shinde	Nandurbar	26.729%
GKEMPLPH-17-14234	Pravin Ramesh Gokale	Kolhapur	26.737%
GKEMPLPH-17-9277	Sukhdeo Baburao Atole	Satara	26.748%
GKEMPLPH-17-8029	Satyanarayan Baburao Wadgaonkar	Pune	26.812%
GKEMPLPH-17-1217	Gangaprasad Tukaram Halge	Thane	26.841%
GKEMPLPH-17-11656	Shobha Ramdas Sanas	Solapur	26.866%
GKEMPLPH-17-143	Vishwanath Uttamrao Marde	Raigad	26.900%
GKEMPLPH-17-4418	Shankar Tauji Pandit	Dhule	26.974%
GKEMPLPH-17-12227	Eknath Bhaskar Jadhav	Solapur	27.006%
GKEMPLPH-17-9040	Vasanta Nagoji Sawant	Sangli	27.126%
GKEMPLPH-17-7085	Ishwarprasad Onkarprasad Awsathi	Jalgaon	27.135%
GKEMPLPH-17-11019	Krushana Khanderao Shinde	Satara	27.184%
GKEMPLPH-17-10609	Krushnabai Kaluram Ghule	Satara	27.351%
GKEMPLPH-17-1153	Chandrakant Rameshwar Pathade	Thane	27.435%
GKEMPLPH-17-1733	Khandu Narayan Chatase	Ratnagiri	27.571%
GKEMPLPH-17-2846	Abdul Basit	Sindhudurg	27.664%
GKEMPLPH-17-5733	Shivraj Mallikarjun Swami	Nandurbar	27.776%
GKEMPLPH-17-4167	Laxman Shankar Korde	Nashik	27.902%
GKEMPLPH-17-9775	Bhauso Ananta Deokar	Satara	27.911%

5.2 Project Emissions

Project emissions are considered as zero for this project as no fossil fuels are used

5.3 Leakage

The leakage emission per cook stove is estimated as difference between the emission reduction estimated considering the actual value of Bold and emission reduction estimated considering the value of Bold obtained by multiplying Bold with a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95.

Parameter	Data	Unit
Leakage emission	0.053	tCO ₂ e /cook stove/ year
Leakage emission due to use of traditional cook stove usage (assuming 0 for ex-ante)	0	tCO ₂ e /cook stove/ year
Leakage emission, (LEy, per cook stove)	0.053	tCO ₂ e /cook stove/ year

Therefore, the total leakage emission (LEy) for the project activity assuming 90% of 14,400 Nos. cook stove distributed is 682 tCO₂e /year.

The leakage emissions achieved for this monitoring period is 3,164 tCO₂e /year.

5.4 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO ₂ e)
02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	11,420	0	571	10,849
02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	13,745	0	688	13,057
02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	13,080	0	655	12,425
02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	12,713	0	636	12,077
02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	12,276	0	614	11,662
	63,234	0	3,164	60,070

Comparison with the actual emission reductions achieved with the estimated emission reductions:

Duration	Net emission reduction for the project activity in the year y, ER _y	Estimated Emission Reductions	Comparison
02-October-2012 to 01-October-2017	60,070	64,725	-7.00%