



DISTRIBUTION OF IMPROVED COOK STOVE - PHASE 19



Document Prepared by EKI Energy Services Limited

Contact Information: Office no.201, Plot 48, Scheme 78 Part 2 Vijay Nagar, Near Brilliant Convention Centre Indore- 452010 (M.P. India)

Project Title	Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 19
Version	03
Report ID	VVER 344
Date of Issue	10-November-2022
Project ID	VCS 2448
Monitoring Period	02-October- 2012 to 01-October-2017 (First and Last date included)
Prepared By	Mr. Pankaj Rajpoot
Contact	Manager Operations – GHG Services EKI Energy Services Limited Email ID : registry@enkingint.org T +91 731 42 89 086, M +91 7828347589 Address: Office no. 201, Plot 48, Scheme 78 part 2, Vijay Nagar Indore - 452010 (M.P, India) Website www.enkingint.org

CONTENTS

1	PROJECT DETAILS.....	3
1.1	Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project	3
1.2	Sectoral Scope and Project Type	5
1.3	Project Proponent	5
1.4	Other Entities Involved in the Project	5
1.5	Project Start Date	5
1.6	Project Crediting Period	6
1.7	Project Location	6
1.8	Title and Reference of Methodology	13
1.9	Participation under other GHG Programs.....	13
1.10	Other Forms of Credit.....	14
1.11	Sustainable Development.....	14
2	SAFEGUARDS	15
2.3	AFOLU-Specific Safeguards	19
3	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS	19
3.1	Implementation Status of the Project Activity	19
3.2	Deviations	22
3.3	Grouped Projects	23
4	DATA AND PARAMETERS.....	23
4.1	Data and Parameters Available at Validation	23
4.2	Data and Parameters Monitored.....	25
4.3	Monitoring Plan.....	35
5	QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS	43
5.1	Baseline Emissions	43
5.2	Project Emissions	48
5.3	Leakage.....	48
5.4	Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals	49

1 PROJECT DETAILS

1.1 Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project

The project activity (usage of improved cook stoves) has been deployed to facilitate clean cooking practice and reduce health risk due to indoor air pollution¹ along with reduction of drudgery amongst the tribal households (Schedule Tribe) and families living below the poverty level (BPL category²) across Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar, Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur District. The usage of improved cook stoves through replacement of inefficient traditional cook stove contributes towards reduction of greenhouse gas emission, reduction of by-products of incomplete combustion like black carbon and conservation of fuel wood resulting in prevention of forest degradation. The higher efficient portable, single pot improved cook stoves distributed under the project activity has changed the prevailing practice of usage of traditional cook stoves across selected households in rural areas across Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar, Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur District in Maharashtra.

It is worthwhile to note that firewood and wood chips contribute as major cooking fuel amongst rural households in India. According to the NSSO³ 61st round survey, a considerable percentage of rural population of Maharashtra relies upon fire wood for cooking and the percentage is substantially much higher amongst the lower MPCE (Monthly Per Capita Expenditure) group. Since, the beneficiary selected under the project belongs to BPL and ST category of population in terms of capacity of expenditure therefore; improved cook stove use results in substantial fuel wood saving as this section is the major consumer of fuel wood.

The improved cook stoves distributed under the project activity are more efficient with thermal efficiency of 29.88%⁴ as compared to traditional stoves with thermal efficiency of around 10%⁵. Owing to higher efficiency, use of efficient improved cook stove results in reduced consumption of non-renewable biomass in compared to baseline by minimizing thermal energy losses and facilitating complete combustion. Reduced consumption/combustion of non-renewable biomass results in reduction of GHG emission.

Technology Employed

The cook stove deployed under the project activity⁶ is single pot, portable, metallic, improved biomass cook stoves⁷ made of cast iron for combustion of solid biomass. The improved cook stoves are manufactured in accordance to IS 13152, Part-1: 1991, CPRI Design Type I⁸

¹ Household Cook stoves, Environment, Health and Climate Change – World Bank (Executive summary, page 9-11)

² As per the article in The Hindu (<http://www.thehindu.com/news/national/article3013870.ece>) dated March 20, 2012 anyone with daily consumption expenditure below Rs. 22.42 is categorised under BPL. The article depicts of 24.5% of the total population in Maharashtra under BPL in 2009-10.

³National Sample Survey Office

⁴ Thermal Efficiency Test Report of Improved Cook stove tested at Biomass Cook stove Testing Centre, Dept. of Renewable Energy Sources, Udaipur

⁵ Paragraph 6 (Option 2) of approved applicable methodology AMS –II.G., Version 04

⁶ Manufactured by Vikram Stoves and Fabricators (Ministry of New and Renewable Energy) approved manufacturer and distributor of improved cook stoves) selected by RDWC Department, Government of Maharashtra for distribution of improved cook stoves amongst identified beneficiaries.

⁷ MNRE accredited system -<http://mnre.gov.in/schemes/decentralized-systems/national-biomass-cookstoves-initiative/>

⁸ The design of the cook stove is certified by Bureau of Indian Standards relating to its appropriateness to, IS 13152 (Part1).

standard. The design ensures complete combustion of biomass. The cook stove is tested for thermal efficiency (29.88%) as per water boiling test protocol specified in IS 13152, Part 1: 1991.

Measures undertaken

The Rural Development and Water Conservation (RDWC) Department, Government of Maharashtra is involved in promoting betterment of living standard for the tribal household (ST) and families living Below Poverty Line (BPL) in villages of Maharashtra. The department as a part of its aforesaid programme selects households from amongst the ST and BPL category depending upon level of vulnerability (assessed on basis of 13 parameters: Category and size of usable land, Type of house, Availability of regular usable clothes, Protection of food or food security, Cleanliness, Ownership of required goods, Heights /Level of literacy, Family working capacity, Source of livelihood goods, Number of children in age group (5 to 14), Type of bankruptcy, reason for staying away from family of Earning member, Choice of help /Yojana)⁹ and provide financial assistance to facilitate better and hygienic living standard. The household selected for financial assistance under the programme is provided with an improved cook stove system. The household considered as end users of cook stoves under the project activity are poor enough to afford for procurement of improved cook stoves and are also least interested towards similar investment as wood is available for free. So, in absence of the improved cook stove disseminated under the project activity the household would have continued with use of traditional cook stoves. Since, the project activity is conceived under the program of Govt. of Maharashtra which provides cook stove only to BPL and ST category household so; there is no chance of cook stove to be distributed to non-baseline household. The identification of beneficiaries and distribution of cook stoves comes under the preview of the Rural Development and Water Conservation Department.

The project activity includes dissemination of 14,350 Nos. improved cook stoves¹⁰ amongst Below Poverty Line (BPL) and Scheduled Tribes (ST) households in rural areas of across Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar, Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur District upon signing of the end user Tripartite Agreement¹¹. The project through conservation of non-renewable biomass was estimated to result in an greenhouse gas emission reduction of 12,900 tCO₂e annually (ex-ante). The revenue from carbon financing was conceived in deciding upon the capital cost as well as to meet up the cost towards imparting awareness and training, periodic maintenance¹² and post lifetime replacement within the crediting period.

The amount of emission reductions achieved for the current monitoring period is 60,086 tCO₂e.

The start date of the project activity is 02-October-2012

⁹ Criteria issued by Department of rural Development, Government of Maharashtra

¹⁰ Each of the cook stoves is included for the purpose of emission reduction calculation from the day on which it is distributed to the beneficiary and the end user agreement is signed.

¹¹ Tripartite Agreement is for transfer the emission reduction right to the Project Participant by each beneficiaries/end users and is signed amongst end user, GKEMPL and Vikram Stoves. The tripartite agreement authorizes M/s G K Energy Marketers Pvt. Ltd (hereafter referred to as GKEMPL) by Vikram Stoves (manufacturer of improved cook stoves) and the end users for securing of carbon finance

¹² "The Indian stove programme: an insider's view – the role of society, politics, economics and education" by Bhaskar Sinha, National Institute of Science, Technology & Development Studies (NISTADS), CSIR, New Delhi outlines that the woman are least interested for maintenance as they do not perceive the usefulness of the stove and revert back to traditional practice when the cook stove becomes non-functional therefore timely operation and maintenance are vital.

Since the project activity of improved cook stove usage by the end user does not require any construction or significant pre-project implementation, nor financial commitment on the part of end user therefore the real action pertaining to the earliest date of distribution of improved cook stoves under the project activity is considered as the start date as per project start date definition of section 3.7 of the VCS Standard V.4.1.

1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type

- Sectoral Scope: 3- Energy demand
- Project Type: Type II.G.

The project activity fulfills the applicability criteria of small- scale project activity, Type II – Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects, Category G –‘Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass’ of the ‘Indicative Simplified Baseline and Monitoring Methodologies for Selected Small-scale Project Activity Categories’.

This is non-AFLOU type of project

1.3 Project Proponent

Organization name	M/s G K Energy Marketers Pvt. Ltd
Contact person	Mr. Gopal Kabra
Title	Authorized Signatory
Address	Lokmanya Nagar, LBS Road, Flat No.- 350, Building No.- 25,Ground Floor, Pune, Maharashtra – 411030 India
Telephone	+91-997045
Email	gopal@energymarketers.in

1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project

Organization name	EKI Energy Services Limited
Role in the Project	Project Consultant
Contact person	Mr. Pankaj Rajpoot
Title	Assistant Manager- Operations
Address	Office No 201, Plot No 48, Scheme 78, Vijay Nagar Part- II, Indore 452010, India
Telephone	+91- 7828347589
Email	registry@enkingint.org

1.5 Project Start Date

The start date of the project activity is 02-October-2012.

The project activity relating to improved cook stove usage by the end user does not require any construction or significant pre-project implementation, nor financial commitment on the part of end user. The real action pertaining to the earliest date of handover of improved cook stoves to beneficiary (also considered as date of onset of GHG emission reductions) under

the project activity is considered as the start date as per project start date definition under paragraph 3.7 of the VCS Standard.

The date of supply/ receipt of first lot of improved cookstoves from manufacturer to the regional office of the line department was considered as start date under the CDM registered PDD (evidenced through acknowledgement of the delivery receipt of the line department) as per CDM definition of Start Date. The start date referred to in the registered CDM-PDD was mirrored in the VCS PD. Since the date does not resembles to handover of the cookstoves to beneficiary and does not result in onset of actual emission reduction therefore the same was not considered as start date under VCS mechanism and therefore revised with date of distribution/ handover of improved cookstoves to beneficiary.

1.6 Project Crediting Period

The crediting period of the project activity is for 10 years (Fixed).

The crediting period of the project activity is for 10 years (Fixed). The length of the first crediting period is 02-October- 2012 to 01-October-2022 (both dates inclusive). The improved cookstoves distributions were carried out between 02-October-2012 to 05-December- 2012 amongst 14,350 beneficiaries.

As per errata and clarification, a project is deemed to have met the timelines where Verra confirmed (in writing, to the project proponent or an authorized representative) the project's eligibility on or before 4-February-2021. The listing process has been completed before 04-February-2021 and hence the errata and clarifications are not applicable

1.7 Project Location

The project is located in state Maharashtra, India.

The list of division is given below:

Division: Konkan

District: Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg

Division: Nashik

District: Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, Ahmednagar

Division: Pune

Districts: Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur

Division	District	Latitude	Longitude
Konkan	Raigad	17.51 - 19.80 °N	72.51 - 73.40 °E
	Thane	18.70 - 20.33 °N	72.75 - 73.80 °E
	Ratnagiri	16.30 – 18.00 °N	73.00 – 74.00 °E
	Sindhudurg	15.37 - 16.40 °N	73.19 - 74.18 °E
Nashik	Nashik	18.33 - 20.53 °N	73.16 - 75.16 °E
	Dhule	20.63 - 22.01 °N	73.83 - 75.18 °E
	Nandurbar	21.00 - 22.03 °N	73.31 - 74.32 °E
	Jalgaon	20.00 – 21.00 °N	74.92 - 76.47 °E
	Ahmednagar	18.20 - 19.90 °N	73.90 - 75.50 °E

Pune	Pune	17.90 – 18.52 °N	73.32 - 75.17 °E
	Sangli	16.87 - 17.10 °N	73.43 – 75.00 °E
	Satara	17.50 - 18.11 °N	73.33 - 74.54 °E
	Solapur	17.10 - 18.32 °N	74.42 - 76.15 °E
	Kolhapur	15.00 – 17.00 ON	73.00 – 74.00 OE


Map of India




Map of Maharashtra



Map of Districts





District- Raigad			District- Thane		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Shenate	Tala	1	Ju	Kalyan
2	Shenawali	Tala	2	Kakadpada	Kalyan
3	Sonsade	Tala	3	Katai	Kalyan
4	Tala	Tala	4	Kelni	Kalyan
5	Talegaon Tarf Tale	Tala	5	Khadavali	Kalyan
6	Tambadi	Tala	6	Khoni	Kalyan
7	Tamhane Tarf Tale	Tala	7	Kolimb	Kalyan
8	Tarne Tarf Tale	Tala	8	Konderi	Kalyan
9	Tokarde	Tala	9	Kosale	Kalyan
10	Usar Kh.	Tala	10	Kunde	Kalyan
11	Vinawaliwadi	Tala	11	Mamnoli	Kalyan
12	Wanaste	Tala	12	Mangaon	Kalyan
13	Wanjloshi	Tala	13	Manivali	Kalyan
14	Waral	Tala	14	Manjali Tarf Barhe	Kalyan
15	Washi Haveli	Tala	15	Mharal Kh.	Kalyan
16	Washi Mahagaon	Tala	16	Mhaskal	Kalyan
17	Wave Haveli	Tala	17	Mos	Kalyan
18	Wave Mandraj	Tala	18	Nadgaon	Kalyan
19	Adavale Bk.	Poladpur	19	Nalimbi	Kalyan
20	Adavale Kh.	Poladpur	20	Nandap	Kalyan
21	Agryachakond	Poladpur	21	Nandiwali Tarf Ambarnath	Kalyan
22	Bhogaon Bk.	Poladpur			
23	Bhogaon Kh.	Poladpur			
24	Boraj	Poladpur			
25	Borawale	Poladpur			
26	Borghar	Poladpur			
27	Chambhargani Bk.	Poladpur			
28	Chambhargani Kh	Poladpur			

District -Ratnagiri			District - Sindhudurg		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Kunbiwadi	Ratnagiri	1	Mudyacha Kond	Kudal
2	Kurdhe	Ratnagiri	2	Mulade	Kudal
3	Kurtade	Ratnagiri	3	Munagi	Kudal
4	Lajool	Ratnagiri	4	Naiknagar	Kudal
5	Machivalewadi	Ratnagiri	5	Naikwadi	Kudal

District - Ratnagiri			District - Sindhudurg		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
6	Madhaliwadi	Ratnagiri	6	Namasgaon	Kudal
7	Madhaliwadi	Ratnagiri	7	Namaspur	Kudal
8	Madhaliwadi	Ratnagiri	8	Naneli	Kudal
9	Majgaon	Ratnagiri	9	Narur	Kudal
10	Malgund	Ratnagiri	10	Neharunagar	Kudal
11	Marathewadi	Ratnagiri	11	Nerur K.Narur	Kudal
12	Marathewadi	Ratnagiri	12	Nerur Tarf Haveli	Kudal
13	Marathwada	Ratnagiri	13	Nileli	Kudal
14	Marathwada	Ratnagiri	14	Nirukhe	Kudal
15	Marathwadi	Ratnagiri	15	Nivaje	Kudal
16	Mavalange	Ratnagiri	16	Oras Bk.	Kudal
17	Mayangadewadi	Ratnagiri	17	Oras Kh.	Kudal
18	Mayekarwadi	Ratnagiri	18	Padave	Kudal
19	Mervi	Ratnagiri	19	Pandur	Kudal
20	Mhamurwadi	Ratnagiri	20	Pangrad	Kudal
21	Miravane	Ratnagiri	21	Pat	Kudal
22	Mirjole	Ratnagiri	22	Pawashi	Kudal
23	Mirya	Ratnagiri	23	Pinguli	Kudal
24	Mulgaon	Ratnagiri	24	Pokharan	Kudal
25	Musalmanwadi	Ratnagiri	25	Pulas	Kudal
26	Musalmanwadi	Ratnagiri	26	Ranbambuli	Kudal
27	Musalmanwadi	Ratnagiri	27	Raygaon	Kudal
28	Musalmanwadi June Phansope	Ratnagiri	28	Rumadgaon	Kudal
29	Muslimwadi	Ratnagiri	29	Saigaon	Kudal
30	Musulmanwadi	Ratnagiri	30	Sakirde	Kudal
31	Nagalewadi	Ratnagiri	31	Salgaon	Kudal
32	Nakhare	Ratnagiri			
33	Nalewathar	Ratnagiri			
34	Nandivade	Ratnagiri			
35	Nanij	Ratnagiri			
36	Narme	Ratnagiri			
37	Narsinge	Ratnagiri			
38	Natunde	Ratnagiri			
39	Nava Someshwar	Ratnagiri			
40	Navet	Ratnagiri			
41	Neware	Ratnagiri			
42	Nirool	Ratnagiri			
43	Nivali	Ratnagiri			
44	Nivendi	Ratnagiri			
45	Ori	Ratnagiri			

District -Nashik			District-Dhule		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Dhabyachapada	Peint	1	Dhanur	Dhule
2	Dhanpada	Peint	2	Dhodi	Dhule
3	Dhanshet	Peint	3	Diwanmala	Dhule
4	Dhondmal	Peint	4	Dondwad	Dhule
5	Dhulghat	Peint	5	Fagne	Dhule
6	Diksal	Peint	6	Gad-utar	Dhule
7	Dolharmal	Peint	7	Gondur	Dhule
8	Domkhadak	Peint	8	Gotane	Dhule

District -Nashik			District-Dhule		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
9	Dongarshet	Peint	9	Hadsuni	Dhule
10	Donwade	Peint	10	Hendrun	Dhule
11	Ekadare	Peint	11	Henkalwadi	Dhule
12	Fanaspada	Peint	12	Henkalwadi	Dhule
13	Gandole	Peint	13	Hingane	Dhule
14	Gangodbari	Peint	14	Horpada	Dhule
15	Gaondhond	Peint	15	Isarne	Dhule
			16	Japi	Dhule
			17	Junnar	Dhule
			18	Junwane	Dhule
			19	Kalkhede	Dhule
			20	Kapadne	Dhule

District- Nandurbar			District- Ahmednagar		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Ghotane	Nandurbar	1	Chande Kh.	Karjat
2	Ghuli	Nandurbar	2	Chapadgaon	Karjat
3	Gu.bhavali	Nandurbar	3	Chilvadi	Karjat
4	Gu.jambholi	Nandurbar	4	Chincholi kaldat	Karjat
5	Haripur	Nandurbar	5	Chincholi Ramjan	Karjat
6	Hatmohide	Nandurbar	6	Deshmukhwadi	Karjat
7	Hatti Indri	Nandurbar	7	Deulwadi	Karjat
8	Hol T. Haveli	Nandurbar	8	Dhalwadi	Karjat
9	Hol T. Ranale	Nandurbar	9	Dhandewadi	Karjat
10	Isainagar	Nandurbar	10	Dighi	Karjat
11	Jalkhe	Nandurbar	11	Diksal	Karjat
12	Jun Mohide	Nandurbar			
13	Kakarde	Nandurbar			
14	Kalamba	Nandurbar			
15	Kalmadi	Nandurbar			
16	Kanalde	Nandurbar			
17	Kandre	Nandurbar			
18	Karajwe	Nandurbar			
19	Karankheda	Nandurbar			
20	Karjkupe	Nandurbar			

District- Jalgaon			District- Pune		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Nimkhede	Bodvad	1	Bharekarwadi	Mulshi
2	Pachadevali	Bodvad	2	Bhegadewadi	Mulshi
3	Palaskhede Bk.	Bodvad	3	Bhode	Mulshi
4	Palaskhede Kh.	Bodvad	4	Bhoini	Mulshi
5	Rajur	Bodvad	5	Bhoirwadi	Mulshi
6	Revati	Bodvad	6	Bhugaon	Mulshi
7	Salshingi	Bodvad	7	Bhukum	Mulshi
8	Shelwad	Bodvad	8	Botarwadi	Mulshi
9	Shevage Bk.	Bodvad	9	Chale	Mulshi
10	Shevage Kh.	Bodvad	10	Chande	Mulshi
11	Shirsale	Bodvad	11	Chandivali	Mulshi
12	Sonoti	Bodvad	12	Chikhhalgaon	Mulshi
13	Surwade Bk.	Bodvad	13	Chikhali Bk.	Mulshi

District- Jalgaon			District- Pune		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
14	Surwade Kh.	Bodvad	14	Chinchwad	Mulshi
15	Vadji	Bodvad	15	Dakhane	Mulshi
16	Vaki	Bodvad	16	Darawali	Mulshi
17	Varad Bk.	Bodvad			
18	Varad Kh.	Bodvad			
19	Varkhede Bk.	Bodvad			
20	Varkhede Kh.	Bodvad			
21	Vichave	Bodvad			
22	Yengaon	Bodvad			
23	Yevati	Bodvad			

District- Sangli			District- Satara		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Sherewadi	Atpadi	1	Taldev	Mahabaleshwar
2	Shetphale	Atpadi	2	Tapola	Mahabaleshwar
3	Tadvale	Atpadi	3	Tekavali	Mahabaleshwar
4	Talewadi	Atpadi	4	Uchat	Mahabaleshwar
5	Umbargaon	Atpadi	5	Umbari	Mahabaleshwar
6	Vibhutwadi	Atpadi	6	Valawan	Mahabaleshwar
7	Vithalapur	Atpadi	7	Vanavli t. ategaon	Mahabaleshwar
8	Wakasewadi	Atpadi	8	Vanavli t. solasi	Mahabaleshwar
9	Walwan	Atpadi	9	Varsoli dev	Mahabaleshwar
10	Yamaji Patalachi Wadi	Atpadi	10	Varsoli koli	Mahabaleshwar
11	Zare	Atpadi	11	Velapur	Mahabaleshwar
12	Ambak	Kadegaon	12	Vengale	Mahabaleshwar
13	Ambegaon	Kadegaon	13	Vivar	Mahabaleshwar
14	Amrapur	Kadegaon	14	Walne	Mahabaleshwar
15	Apsinge	Kadegaon	15	Yerandal	Mahabaleshwar
16	Asad	Kadegaon	16	Yerne bk	Mahabaleshwar
			17	Yerne kh	Mahabaleshwar
			18	Zanzwad	Mahabaleshwar
			19	Ahire	Khandala
			20	Ajnuj	Khandala
			21	Ambarwadi	Khandala
			22	Andori	Khandala
			23	Asawali	Khandala
			24	Atit	Khandala
			25	Balu patlachiwadi	Khandala
			26	Bavda	Khandala
			27	Bavkalwadi	Khandala
			28	Bhadavade	Khandala
			29	Bhade	Khandala
			30	Bhatghar	Khandala
			31	Bholi	Khandala
			32	Bori	Khandala
			33	Dhangarwadi	Khandala
			34	Dhawadwadi	Khandala

District-Solapur			District-Kolhapur		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
1	Marapur	Mangalvedhe	1	Nagadewadi	Karvir

District-Solapur			District-Kolhapur		
Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka	Sr.	Name of the Village	Taluka
2	Maravade	Mangalvedhe	2	Nagaon	Karvir
3	Maroli	Mangalvedhe	3	Nandgaon	Karvir
4	Metkarwadi	Mangalvedhe	4	Nandwal	Karvir
5	Mudhavi	Mangalvedhe	5	Nerli	Karvir
6	Mundhewadi	Mangalvedhe	6	Nigave Dumala	Karvir
7	Nandeshwar	Mangalvedhe	7	Nigave Khalsa	Karvir
8	Nandur	Mangalvedhe	8	Nitawade	Karvir
9	Nimboni	Mangalvedhe	9	Padali Bk.	Karvir
10	Padolkarwadi	Mangalvedhe	10	Padali Kh.	Karvir
11	Patkhal	Mangalvedhe	11	Padvalwadi	Karvir
12	Pout	Mangalvedhe	12	Parite	Karvir
13	Radde	Mangalvedhe	13	Pasarde	Karvir
14	Rahatewadi	Mangalvedhe	14	Patekarwadi	Karvir
15	Revewadi	Mangalvedhe	15	Rajputwadi	Karvir
16	Salagar Bk.	Mangalvedhe	16	Sabalewadi	Karvir
17	Salagar Kh.	Mangalvedhe	17	Sadale	Karvir
18	Shelewadi	Mangalvedhe	18	Sadoli Dumala	Karvir
19	Shirashi	Mangalvedhe	19	Sadoli Khalsa	Karvir
20	Shirnadgi	Mangalvedhe	20	Sangrul	Karvir
21	Shivangi	Mangalvedhe	21	Sangwade	Karvir
22	Siddapur	Mangalvedhe	22	Sangwadewadi	Karvir
23	Siddhankeri	Mangalvedhe	23	Sarnobatwadi	Karvir
24	Soddi	Mangalvedhe	24	Savarde Dumala	Karvir
25	Talsangi	Mangalvedhe	25	Savarwadi	Karvir
26	Tamdardi	Mangalvedhe	26	Shelakewadi	Karvir
27	Tandor	Mangalvedhe	27	Shindewadi	Karvir
28	Uchethan	Mangalvedhe	28	Shinganapur	Karvir
29	Yedrav	Mangalvedhe			
30	Yelagi	Mangalvedhe			

1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology

Consolidated baseline methodology for “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”; AMS II-G, Version 04.0.0 Sectoral Scope: 03, EB 68.

1.9 Participation under other GHG Programs

Project has been registered with UNFCCC under Clean Development Mechanism program, Registration reference number is 9505.

The crediting period in the CDM Mechanism is from 01-January-2013 to 31-December-2022. There have been no credits issued in the CDM mechanism.

The Project is not rejected by any other GHG programs.

1.10 Other Forms of Credit

India is non-annex1 country and there is no compliance with an emission trading program or to meet binding limits on GHG emissions for this project activity. The project is registered under CDM with registration ID 9505. Project Proponent has submitted undertaking that they will not claim same GHG emission reductions of the project from CDM and VCS. Project Proponent would not use net GHG emission reductions by the projects for compliance with emission trading program to meet binding limits on GHG emissions. Project Proponent has also submitted an undertaking stating that they will not take REC benefits for the same Emission Reductions. Project Proponent has submitted undertaking for not availing other forms of environmental credit for the same crediting period under consideration. Project Participant is not taking REC benefits for the same emission reductions.

1.11 Sustainable Development

The project contribution towards sustainable development is established in line with the sustainable development indicator framed by the host country DNA:

Social benefits:

1. Reduces drudgery of women and children of rural areas (due to reduced fuel wood use) by reducing time spent and distance travelled for fuel wood collection. Reduction in firewood requirement helps in spending more time in productive activities such as education, employment etc.
2. Improves overall health (particularly diseases related to respiratory system) of women and children by reducing smoke in the kitchen.

Environmental benefits:

1. Improves the local environment by reducing rate of forest degradation /deforestation in the project area. Conservation of forest is not only reduce non-renewable biomass demand and also reduce soil erosion and loss of biodiversity as a consequence of deforestation.
2. Reduces emission of black carbon to the atmosphere.
3. Reduces Green-house gas emissions.

Economic benefits:

1. Employment opportunities for local communities involved in monitoring, training of users, undertaking periodic maintenance and post life time replacement.
2. Reduces the expenditure involved in the pre project scenario for purchase of fuel wood.

Technological benefits:

1. Introduction of new technology to the rural communities.
2. Knowledge transfer to trainers including technicians for pertaining training to users, maintenance of system.
3. Demonstration of a successful project at household level creates replication potential in other states and countries.

In view of the above, the project proponent considers that, the project activity profoundly contributes towards sustainable development of the region as well as of the nation.

2 SAFEGUARDS

2.1 No Net Harm

According to the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF), Government of India, under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification vide S .O.1533(E), dated 14-September-2006; the project activity of utilization of Improved Cook stove for cooking in rural households does not require environmental impact assessment study to be carried out.

2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

The project proponent has opted to develop CDM project for distribution of cook stoves in and across the different location in the state across different time frame. Based on the number of cook stoves aimed to be distributed under the planned program 30 phases are planned. Since the household to be covered in each phase are homogeneous owing to the household being identified by the Rural Development Department, Government of Maharashtra based on the level of poverty judged on the basis of the thirteen point criteria figured out by the Ministry and the total budgetary provision across the year. Because of the limited budgetary provision across a financial year a fixed number of populations can only be covered amongst the group leaving the others to be covered in subsequent time frame. Because of the similar category of population, the project proponent decided to carry out the stake holder's consultation for all the planned phases in a single go, so that the people from different geographical locations could able to respond to such meeting. The location for the consultation program is so selected that the particular location of the consultation represents the particular division or region of Maharashtra under whose jurisdiction several districts come into. As such the locations for the consultation program are selected and newspaper advertisements are published both in English and regional daily about the program so that the peoples from the particular region can attend the consultation program which they feel nearby. Local stakeholders' meetings were conducted to take public comments. Six stakeholder meetings were conducted at Nasik, Pune, Nagpur, Latur, Sangli and Washim districts of Maharashtra. The details of meetings are

Date of Meeting	District	Meeting Venue
2nd February 2012	Nasik	Siaram Indrayani Housing Society, Takli Rd, Nasik– 06
2nd February 2012	Pune	G K Energy Marketers Pvt Ltd. Lokmanya Nagar, LBS Rd, Pune
2nd February 2012	Nagpur	246 KumbharPura, Jagnath Rd Gandhi Bag, Nagpur
2nd February 2012	Latur	Sut Mil Rd, Pushkar Residency, Latur
3rd February 2012	Sangli	Khan Bag, Jai Bhavani Chowk, Sangli
3rd February 2012	Washim	Dr. Jirvankar Bhar Jahangir Resod, Washim

Along with public notices published in newspapers namely The Free Press Journal, Mumbai and Navshakti, Mumbai on 26th January 2012, notification of the meetings was sent through invitations, letters and personally to various categories of stakeholders to attend the stakeholder meeting. The meeting was conducted in regional language –Marathi and English. The discussion points of the meeting included purpose of the consultation, background and role of bundling agency and manufacturer under this project, description of the project,

demonstration of improved cook stove, about climate change and CDM, impact of climate change, global environment scenario, contribution of the project towards sustainable development of the country by the representative from bundling agency and Improved Cook stove manufacturer. After a detailed elaborative discussion on the project, the stakeholders were asked to provide their comments /feedbacks /suggestions on the project. The twelfth phase of the project activity which includes development of the CDM project for the cook stove distributed in, Raigad, Thane, Ratnagiri and Sindhudurg districts of Konkan division, Nashik, Dhule, Nandurbar, Jalgaon, and Ahmednagar districts of Nashik Division and Pune, Sangli, Satara, Solapur and Kolhapur districts of Pune Division in Maharashtra. The stakeholder's consultation was conducted on 2nd February 2012 at Pune the divisional headquarters of Pune division and Nashik the divisional headquarters of Nashik Division and on 3rd February 2012 at Sangli the Adjacent districts for Konkan Division (since the Konkan Division headquarters is Mumbai, a Urban centre) covered the project locations and the consultations were also conducted before webhosting of the PDD.

The meetings were attended by members from various backgrounds as listed below –
Participant of Nashik meeting –

Sl. No.	Name of Person	Designation
1	Palse Rina Mahavir	House-wife
2	Tambekar Sunita Suresh	House-wife
3	Londhe Sunita Ashok	House-wife
4	Suravse Rani Kalidas	House-wife
5	Kadam Ranjana Somanath	House-wife
6	Gaikwad Madakini Nanasaheb	House-wife
7	Gadekar Sunanda Uvraj	House-wife
8	Shinde Vijaya Bharat	House-wife
9	Pawar Sima Sandeep	House-wife
10	More Hanumanth Vijaya	House-wife
11	Rauth Sharda Asanthrao	House-wife
12	Savant Shantabai Navnath	House-wife
13	Dongre Sarasvati Shivaji	House-wife
14	Tingare Nilavati Kakasaheb	Working professional
15	Anand Ballu Chandve	Working professional
16	Prashant Kakasaheb Tingre	Working professional
17	Vijay Dagadu Lamakane	Working professional
18	Amol Tanaji Mule	Working professional
19	Shivaji Tanaji Chandane	Working professional
20	Mangesh Ballu Gharbude	Farmer
21	Sunil Devdas Bhandare	Farmer
22	Babalu Tanaji Chandane	Working professional
23	Anil Baburao Savant	Working professional
24	Mahadev Goroba Kamble	Working professional
25	Pravin Kaksahab Dingre	Working professional
26	Lokhande Saheb	Work's Manager, Vikram Stoves

Participant of Pune meeting –

Sl. No.	Name of Person	Designation
1	Baviskar Chandrakant Namdeo	Sr. Operator
2	Jitesh M Gavade	Working professional
3	Phatak Revati Vishwajit	Working professional
4	Vishwajit V Phatak	Working professional
5	Amit Namdev Davre	Farmer
6	Sachin Aadagle	Working professional
7	Vijay Budwale	Working professional
8	Akash Rajpurohit	Working professional
9	Sunny Chandane	Working professional
10	Abhijit Londhe	Farmer
11	Sagar Londhe	Businessman
12	Sandeep Randive	Working professional
13	Avinash Subash Jadav	Working professional
14	Dhavre Suhas Shivaji	Working professional
15	Gautam Dhani Binge	Working professional
16	Dilip Shah	Working professional
17	Gunaji Adagale	Farmer
18	Roshan Patel	Businessman
19	Jadhav Avinash Subhash	Student
20	B Rupesh Dalvi	Farmer
21	Shankar Patil	Working professional
22	Pankaj Baviskar	CTO, GKEMPL

Participant of Sangli meeting –

Sl. No.	Name of Person	Designation
1	Gulab Dagadu Sitape	Working professional
2	Shivraj Murlidhar Sathe	Working professional
3	Navnath Govind Ghodke	Working professional
4	Kodiba Sopan Shirmali	Working professional
5	Ranav Jyotiram Kanekore	Working professional
6	Shewale Mahadev Sadashiv	Student
7	Suraj Kashinath Naravre	Student
8	Balaji Vakonde	Local representative
9	Alere Samdhan Bhagirath	Student
10	Prakash Vilas Jadhav	Local representative
11	Anjali Giri	House-wife
12	Rupali Naliram Deshpande	House-wife
13	Viajinath Vishwanath Kamble	Local representative
14	Gayake Ashok Gyanba	Student
15	Shakruta Govind Kumbhar	Working professional
16	Savita Tukaram Yadav	House-wife
17	Shobha B Kamble	House-wife
18	Rina Vanraj Bansode	Working professional

19	Sunil Yenale	Marketing Executive, Vikram Stoves
----	--------------	---------------------------------------

The overall response from the local stakeholders on the project was encouraging and positive. No adverse or negative comments or response received in the meeting. The participants of the meeting had not raised any significant concerns nor seek any clarification related to potential impacts of the project activity or any other issue. The project as a whole gives positive impression towards the issue of sustainable development of the country.

The stakeholder's comments sought during the meeting in following manners –

- The stakeholders were invited to ask any clarification or information on the project activity during the meeting.
- Evaluation form / questionnaire were asked to fill in by the stakeholders interested to give their inputs on the project activity.

The evaluation forms were analyzed for the comments and no negative comments is being found.

The summary of stakeholders comment received during stakeholders meetings of Nashik, Pune and Sangli are summarised as below –

Queries	Reply
The benefits the users of improved Cook stove are likely to receive from the project activity?	Addressing to the query the representative of M/s Vikram stoves explained on how the use of improved cook stoves for cooking will decrease the indoor air pollution; reduce cooking time and lower fuel-wood consumption due to higher efficiency. Moreover, the users of improved cook stoves will get free maintenance service and also free of cost replacement of the system at the end of its lifetime.
The activities that users have to perform under the project activity?	Addressing to the query the representative of M/s Vikram Stoves clarified that, users have to continue using the ICS for daily cooking purpose and cannot use traditional cook stove. He also described the monitoring plan and briefed the parameters to be monitored and procedure to be followed by the user.
The tenure of the benefit period was also enquired?	Addressing to the query the representative of M/s Vikram stoves clarified that, users considered under the project will receive free of cost maintenance service for 10 years post to registration.
Queries	Reply
How will the project contribute the pollution reduction?	The representative from GKEMPL explained that, project is reducing fire-wood consumption through improved combustion technology and thereby reducing green-house gases emission and also reducing smoke generation.
Timeline of CDM project development?	Responding to the query, Mr. Baviskar representative from GKEMPL mentioned that, time line for CDM project development and registration is around one year but, the revenue inflow will take at least another one year.
Process of estimation of green house gas emission?	In response to the query, Mr. Baviskar representative from GKEMPL explained the procedure of Green-house gas emission reduction calculation in brief.
Modalities that users of Improved Cook stove have to undertake for the successful receipt of	Addressing to the query Mr. Baviskar representative from GKEMPL described the monitoring plan and briefed the parameters to be monitored and procedure to be followed by the user. Further to this, the representative from Vikram Stoves clarified that, the users of Improved Cook stoves will get free maintenance service and also

CDM revenue and benefit to be received by them in return?	the Improved Cook stove system will be replaced with the new ICS system after end of the lifetime of first system.
--	--

Queries	Reply
Clarification on process of estimation of Green-house gas emission reduction?	In response to the query Mr. Yenale, representative of M/s Vikram Stoves explained the procedure of Green-house gas emission reduction calculation in brief
Tasks to be undertaken by the users of Improved Cook stove for availing benefit?	Addressing to the query Mr. Yenale described the monitoring plan, the parameters to be monitored and steps to undertake by the user. Further to this, the representative from Vikram Stoves clarified that, improved cook stove users will get free maintenance service and also free of cost replacement of the system at the end of its lifetime within the crediting period.
Tenure during which the user will get this benefit?	Responding to the query Mr. Saheb, representative of M/s Vikram Stoves replied that, ICS systems considered under the project will receive free of cost maintenance service for 10 years post to registration.

The grievance registers have been placed at each district office for the stakeholders and the end users. There have been no grievances received during the current monitoring period.

2.3 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

Not applicable to this as this is not an AFOLU project activity.

3 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

3.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity

The improved cook stove conceived under the project activity is MNRE and BIS (Bureau of Indian Standard) approved state of art and environmentally sound technology. The improved cook stove is manufactured in accordance to IS13152 (Part 1): 1991 (Solid Biomass – Chulha Part 1(Metallic))¹³. The standard has been prepared in line with the national approach towards fuel conservation, better environment, mitigating health hazards and loss of forest cover caused by burning of wood as cooking fuel and therefore, can be conceived as an environmentally sound technology for combustion of solid biomass for the purpose of cooking. Moreover, the materials used in manufacturing of the cook stove are of iron and steel which is recyclable after end use and hence, considered to be environmentally safe after lifetime also. Although the ICS distributed under the current phase pertains to different batch of manufacturing, but since the products are being manufactured complying to a

¹³ The Standard has been prepared by Indian Standard body in line with the national approach towards fuel conservation and better environment, mitigating Health hazards and drudgery and loss of forest cover caused by burning of wood as cooking fuel (Forward: Indian Standard Solid Bio-Mass, Chulha – Specification Part 1 Portable (Metallic))

particular performance standard (IS13152 (Part 1):1991) the quality output for each unit of products complies to a minimum level.

The cook stove distributed under the project activity is a portable, single-pot stove without chimney, natural draft and metal made stove specifically suitable for combustion of solid biomass. The cook stove broadly comprises of a combustion chamber, top plate for holding the utensils, grate for holding fuel during combustion and bottom plate that facilitates ventilation of air. The combustion chamber is cylindrical shaped and made up of mild steel. One side of the chamber of improved cook stove is opened for feeding of fuel. The chamber top plate is die cast and made up of cast iron.






Diagram of Improved Cook Stove


1. Top plate,
2. Screw,
3. Barrel,
4. Grate,
5. Bottom Plate,
6. Legs,
7. Aluminium Lining and
8. Rivet



Top Plate



Grate



Bottom Plate



Material used for manufacturing of each component of the cook stoves are:

Component	Material
Top plate	Cast iron
Grate	Cast iron
Barrel	Mild steel
Bottom Plate	Mild steel
Lining	Aluminum
Perforated Sleeve	Mild steel
Handle	Mild steel
Pan Support	Mild steel sheet-flat-rod
Legs	Mild steel sheet and rod

Life time

The operational lifetime of the cook stove is 5 years 00 months. As per manufacturer authorization the operational life of improved cookstoves is over seven years, however beyond five years the operational efficiency drops below the rated efficiency and hence was considered as operational life time in the registered PDD.

Decline in the cook stove efficiency as assessed through periodic efficiency testing is being reported and considered as part of emission reduction calculation.

Replacement of Improved Cookstoves

Replacement of ICS was scheduled to be carried out

(a) in case of breakage/ dismantle of ICS during the operational period – on receipt of complaint the localised service providers of the project proponent visits the beneficiary with backup cook stoves (ICS) and provides the beneficiary with backup stoves if the service provider fails to address the issues locally. The malfunctioned ICS post collected from beneficiary is thereafter sent to the company workshop in case of possibility of repair. In case the ICS couldn't be repaired beneficiary are to be provided with new ICS.

(b) Observed requirement of complex repair- In case the maintenance team of the project proponent during scheduled O&M observes requirement of complex repairs the team may on discretion replace the existing cookstoves with a new one or a backup one. In such case the beneficiary is provided with backup new ICS the same are to be replaced with the repaired one operating at the desired satisfaction level of beneficiary.

(c) Post assessment of operational efficiency in the last year after the elapse of scheduled lifetime of ICS from the date of distribution.

During the current monitoring period of 5 years there has been no instances of replacement of improved cook stoves, therefore no such impact on emission reduction calculation due to increase of cook stoves efficiency.

Operation and maintenance

Operation and maintenance of Improved cook stoves are carried out periodically by authorised representative of project proponent (manufacturer of improved cook stoves). The authorized representative of the project proponent during the annual (periodic) maintenance, also motivates and persuades the beneficiaries for continuation of ICS usages for cooking of all meals towards reducing the health impact of the family especially the women and children in case of usage of traditional cook stoves and thereby ensuring the restoration of operation of ICS.

Approach for assessing share of Improved cookstoves users for estimation of emission reduction

1. The number of beneficiaries using Improved cook stoves during a particular monitoring period are assessed based on record of operation and maintenance, maintained by project proponent pertaining to discontinued users.
2. Annual third-party sample survey is being carried out to assess the share of beneficiaries using improved cookstoves. During survey in case beneficiaries were evaluated to be using improved cook stoves for a limited period along with usage of traditional cookstoves the beneficiaries are considered as partial users. Partial users are beneficiaries /household, those who have not discontinued using of ICS but have been using ICS for a limited period and also using traditional cookstoves for the purpose of cooking along with ICS. Since the number of days of operation of partial ICS usage during a particular crediting period is hard to ascertain (uncertainty of estimation), therefore as a conservative approach the beneficiaries /household using ICS partially are considered as discontinued users.

The survey observed/found most of the beneficiaries to have fully discontinued the usage of traditional cookstoves and have even dismantled the traditional cookstoves.

Around 5-10% of beneficiaries during a particular crediting period have been assessed to have partially used traditional cookstoves (as deduced from the beneficiary survey) due to customary practice/festival or to manage guests. Considering the perceptive response of the beneficiaries regarding the number of day of functioning of traditional cookstoves, it is conservatively considered that the number of days of use of improved cookstoves for those particular beneficiaries who have used traditional cookstoves as zero/nil. Although such consideration negatively impacts the annualized emission reductions estimate but such consideration is being conservatively considered for the purpose of calculation.

3.2 Deviations

3.2.1 Methodology Deviations

Not Applicable

3.2.2 Project Description Deviations

Not Applicable

3.3 Grouped Projects

Not Applicable

4 DATA AND PARAMETERS

4.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation

Data / Parameter	B_{old}
Data unit	tonnes/ household/year
Description	Quantity of woody biomass used in absence of the project activity
Source of data	Teri Energy Data Directory Yearbook for 2010
Value applied	1.469
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	The study as per Wood Fuel Trade in India – Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nation, July 2001, per capita per day biomass consumption of 1.52 kg and 5.6 kg of fuel wood consumption per household per day. Annual consumption per household per day is therefore estimated at 2.044 tonnes. Further, the publication of TERI Energy Data Directory and Yearbook2010 outlines that, the per capita per month consumption of fire wood in Maharashtra at 25.51 kg/month/capita. With average population size of 4.8 persons/household/the annual consumption of firewood is estimated at 1.469 tonnes/year/household. Being the annual household fuel consumption data estimated on the basis of the TERI Energy Data Directory is most conservative amongst the available fuel wood consumption figure available secondarily the value of 1.469 tonnes/household/year is considered for estimation of By, savings.
Purpose of Data	Quantity of biomass utilization is used to estimate the quantum of biomass that will be saved in the project scenario after factoring into the improvement of efficiency.
Comments	The maximum value of Bold is fixed at ex-ante. Factors calculated based on the number of days of traditional cook stove usage and number of days of improved cook stoves usage is multiplied with Bold to estimate the Bold to be used for emission reduction calculation.

Data / Parameter	η_{new}
Data unit	%
Description	Efficiency of the cook stove being deployed as a part of the project activity
Source of data	Test report issued by Biomass Cook stove Testing Centre, Dept. of Renewable Energy Sources, Udaipur
Value applied	29.88%
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	Thermal efficiency test carried out as per water Boiling Test Protocol specified as per IS13152 protocol.
Purpose of Data	Efficiency of the improved cookstoves is used to estimate the saving in fuel wood. (The factor is not fixed ex-post and estimated based on monitored efficiency of sample number of cookstoves)
Comments	The value of Efficiency is monitored on an annual basis and vintage wise.

Data / Parameter	$B_{y,savings}$
Data unit	tonne/household/year
Description	Quantity of woody biomass saved due to implementation of the project activity
Source of data	Calculated
Value applied	0.9774
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	$B_{y,savings}$ is calculated using equation $B_{y,savings} = B_{old} * (1 - \eta_{old} / \eta_{new})$ $= 1.469 * (1 - 0.1 / 0.2988)$ $= 0.9774 \text{ tonne /household/year}$
Purpose of Data	Fuel wood saving is calculated for estimation of emission reduction.
Comments	The efficiency of the improved cook stoves is monitored on an annual basis based on the sample survey and if appliances are found to be operational with lower efficiency then, the actual efficiency determined during monitoring is considered to calculate emission reduction.

Data / Parameter	Energy Saving per cook stove or household
------------------	---

Data unit	GWh _{th} /household/year
Description	Energy saving per cook stove due to improved efficiency of the distributed cook stove
Source of data	Calculated
Value applied	0.00407
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	$\text{Energy Saving} = B_{y, \text{ saving}} * \text{NCV}_{\text{biomass}}$ $= \text{Bold} * (1 - \eta_{\text{old}}/\eta_{\text{new}}) * \text{NCV}_{\text{biomass}}$ $= 1.469 * (1 - 0.1/0.2988) * 0.0150$ $= 0.01466 \text{ TJ /household /year}$ $= 0.00407 \text{ GWhth/household/year}$ $= 4.073 \text{ MWhth/ household/year}$ $= 1.358 \text{ MWhe / household/year}$ Note: Value of NCV_{biomass} is considered as per Paragraph 5 of the applicable methodology AMS -II. G. Version 04; IPCC default value for fuel wood i.e. 0.015 TJ/tonne is chosen.
Purpose of Data	The data is used to assess the applicability condition of the project under micro small scale guidelines and also de-bundling.
Comments	-

Data / Parameter	F_{NRB,y}
Data unit	-
Description	The factors relates to the fraction of non-renewable biomass
Source of data	Calculated using secondary sources
Value applied	87.9%
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	The fraction of non-renewable biomass is calculated based on the fuel wood demand and supply of biomass across the state of Maharashtra.
Purpose of Data	Data is used for estimation of emission reduction.
Comments	-

4.2 Data and Parameters Monitored

Data / Parameter	η_{new}
Data unit	%

Description	Efficiency of the system being deployed in project activity			
Source of data	Third party efficiency test report.			
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The sample systems tested as per Water Boiling Test Protocol indicated in IS 13152 (Part 1): 1991 for solid biomass cook stove. The testing is carried out on annual basis of representative sample. The minimum value of tested efficiency or 29.88% which so ever is lower is used to calculate emission reduction of the systems for particular year of operation.			
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Efficiency of the improved cookstoves under operation is monitored across sample system on an annual basis			
Value monitored	Period	Date of Monitoring	Number of Improved cookstoves assessed	Minimum efficiency of the lot
	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	30-10-2013 19-10-2013 03-11-2013 07-11-2013 07-10-2013 03-10-2013 21-11-2013 17-11-2013 11-10-2013 11-11-2013 26-10-2013 22-10-2013 15-10-2013 13-11-2013	40	28.42%
	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	21-11-2014 20-10-2014 03-11-2014 07-11-2014 07-10-2014 03-10-2014 01-11-2014 11-10-2014 17-11-2014 11-11-2014 26-10-2014 22-10-2014 13-11-2014 15-10-2014	40	28.09%
	02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	30-10-2015 19-10-2015 06-11-2015 03-11-2015 07-10-2015 04-10-2015 17-11-2015 21-11-2015 11-10-2015 11-11-2015 26-10-2015 22-10-2015 14-11-2015 16-10-2015	40	27.02%
	02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	03-10-2016 19-10-2016 30-10-2016 07-11-2016 07-10-2016	40	27.02%

		03-11-2016 21-11-2016 17-11-2016 11-10-2016 11-11-2016 26-10-2016 13-11-2016 15-10-2016 27-10-2016																						
	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	07-11-2017 19-10-2017 03-10-2017 30-10-2017 07-10-2017 03-11-2017 17-11-2017 21-11-2017 11-10-2017 11-11-2017 26-10-2017 22-10-2017 15-10-2017 13-11-2017	40	26.02%																				
Monitoring equipment	1. Digital Thermometer 2. Weighing scale																							
QA/QC procedures to be applied	Efficiency of the system is tested by third party agency on principle of the Water Boiling Test Protocol indicated in IS 13152 (Part 1): 1991. The number of sample system to be inspected is estimated in accordance to “ Guidelines for Sampling and Surveys for Project Activities and Programme of Activities ” Version 02, EB 69 Annex 5 and procedure for sample size calculation is specified in Section B.7.2 of the CDM PDD.																							
Purpose of the data	The value of the efficiency is used to calculate the $B_{y, savings}$ used for ex-post estimation of emission reduction.																							
Calculation method	Heat utilized = $(n-1) \times (W \times 0.214 + w) \times (t_2 - t_1) + (W \times 0.214 + w) \times (t_3 - t_1)$ kcal Heat Produced = $[(X \times c_1) + (x \times d / 1000 \times c_2)]$ kcal Thermal Efficiency = Heat utilised/ Heat Produced $\times 100$ Where <table><tr><td>w</td><td>Mass of water in vessel, in kg</td></tr><tr><td>W</td><td>Mass of the vessel complete with lead and stirrer, in kg</td></tr><tr><td>X</td><td>Mass of the fuel consumed, in kg</td></tr><tr><td>c₁</td><td>Calorific value of wood in kcal/kg</td></tr><tr><td>x</td><td>Volume of the kerosene consumed, in ml</td></tr><tr><td>c₂</td><td>Calorific value of kerosene in kcal/kg</td></tr><tr><td>d</td><td>Density of kerosene, in g/ml</td></tr><tr><td>t₁</td><td>Initial temperature of the water, in °C</td></tr><tr><td>t₂</td><td>Final temperature of the water, in °C</td></tr><tr><td>t₃</td><td>Final temperature of the water in the last vessel at the completion of the test, in °C</td></tr></table>				w	Mass of water in vessel, in kg	W	Mass of the vessel complete with lead and stirrer, in kg	X	Mass of the fuel consumed, in kg	c ₁	Calorific value of wood in kcal/kg	x	Volume of the kerosene consumed, in ml	c ₂	Calorific value of kerosene in kcal/kg	d	Density of kerosene, in g/ml	t ₁	Initial temperature of the water, in °C	t ₂	Final temperature of the water, in °C	t ₃	Final temperature of the water in the last vessel at the completion of the test, in °C
w	Mass of water in vessel, in kg																							
W	Mass of the vessel complete with lead and stirrer, in kg																							
X	Mass of the fuel consumed, in kg																							
c ₁	Calorific value of wood in kcal/kg																							
x	Volume of the kerosene consumed, in ml																							
c ₂	Calorific value of kerosene in kcal/kg																							
d	Density of kerosene, in g/ml																							
t ₁	Initial temperature of the water, in °C																							
t ₂	Final temperature of the water, in °C																							
t ₃	Final temperature of the water in the last vessel at the completion of the test, in °C																							

	n	Total number of vessels used
	0.214	Specific heat of aluminium in kcal/kg°C
Comments	As a part of the third party sample assessment of the operational efficiency of improved cookstoves, the minimum of the assessed efficiency from amongst the fourty system tested below 29.88% is considered as a efficiency to be considered for purpose of emission reduction calculation in place of 29.88% (rated efficiency). Although the ICS distributed under the current phase pertains to different batch of manufacturing, but since the products are being manufactured complying to a particular performance standard (IS13152 (Part 1):1991) the quality output for each unit of products complies to a minimum level. Moreover, the distribution is carried out during same period amongst homogeneous beneficiary segment, moreover the onset of operations of ICS has been kept at the same point of time. Therefore, as per the guidelines specified under the registered PDD Simple Random sampling methods were selected. The data estimated is archived both in paper and electronic spread sheet whereby the paper and the electronic form of the documents is maintained by the Project Participant for period of two year and electronic data is maintained till 2 years after the last issuance.	

Data / Parameter	No. cook stoves in use
Data unit	Number
Description	The number of improved cook stoves distributed to households under the project activity and under operation.
Source of data	Third party agency survey report and database managed by the Project Participant (the end user agreement)
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The monitoring consists of third-party survey of representative sample on annual basis to evaluate the number of systems in operation. The percentage of cook stove in usage as estimated from the survey result is multiplied with the total number of cook stove to determine number of cook stove in operation and to be considered for the purpose of emission reduction calculation.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Number of improved cookstoves under operation is monitored annually based on sample survey
Value monitored	During survey in case beneficiaries were evaluated to be using improved cook stoves for a limited period along with usage of traditional cookstoves the beneficiaries are considered as partial users. Partial users are beneficiaries /household, those

who have not discontinued using of ICS but have been using ICS for a limited period and also using traditional cookstoves for the purpose of cooking along with ICS. Since the number of days of operation of partial ICS usage during a particular crediting period is hard to ascertain (uncertainty of estimation), therefore as a conservative approach the beneficiaries /household using ICS partially are considered as discontinued users for the purpose of estimating emission reduction.

Period	No. of Dis-continued user	Date of Survey	Number of beneficiaries surveyed	% of partial users	% of users used ICS only
02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	0	30-10-2013 19-10-2013 03-11-2013 07-11-2013 07-10-2013 03-10-2013 21-11-2013 17-11-2013 11-10-2013 11-11-2013 26-10-2013 22-10-2013 15-10-2013 13-11-2013	160	6.35%	93.75%
02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	0	21-11-2014 20-10-2014 03-11-2014 07-11-2014 07-10-2014 03-10-2014 01-11-2014 11-10-2014 17-11-2014 11-11-2014 26-10-2014 22-10-2014 13-11-2014 15-10-2014	160	6.35%	93.75%
02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	0	30-10-2015 19-10-2015 06-11-2015 03-11-2015 07-10-2015 04-10-2015 17-11-2015 21-11-2015 11-10-2015 11-11-2015 26-10-2015 22-10-2015 14-11-2015 16-10-2015	160	8.125%	91.88%
02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	0	03-10-2016 19-10-2016 30-10-2016 07-11-2016 07-10-2016 03-11-2016 21-11-2016 17-11-2016 11-10-2016 11-11-2016 26-10-2016 13-11-2016 15-10-2016	160	10.00%	90.00%

		27-10-2016			
	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	0	07-11-2017 19-10-2017 03-10-2017 30-10-2017 07-10-2017 03-11-2017 17-11-2017 21-11-2017 11-10-2017 11-11-2017 26-10-2017 22-10-2017 15-10-2017 13-11-2017	160	12.5% 87.5%
	Number of Improved Cook stoves distributed – 14,350				
Monitoring equipment	-				
QA/QC procedures to be applied	The project proponent obtains an authorization from each /group of the household in form of end user agreement for processing of carbon revenue. The database containing all information of the end user agreement is maintained in a central server located with project participant and accessible to the project team at different locations. During the time of verification, the VVB can verify the list of beneficiaries who has signed the end user agreement (for which the emission reductions are claimed).				
Purpose of the data	For estimation of baseline emission				
Calculation method	Share of beneficiaries using Improved cookstoves = $\frac{160 - \text{no of partial users}}{160}$				
Comments	The percentage of the representative sample using the improved cook stove determined from the sample survey is used to calculate the total number of cook stoves in operation. The survey report is kept and maintained by the project proponent for the period of two years after the last issuance. Since, the distribution of Improved cook stoves is carried out during same period amongst homogeneous beneficiary segment, and moreover the onset of operations of ICS has been kept at the same point of time. Therefore, as per the guidelines specified under the registered PDD Simple Random sampling methods were selected.				

Data / Parameter	Days of Operation
Data unit	Number
Description	The parameter relates to the number of days for which the cook stove in operation during the particular year

Source of data	Monitoring Database			
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The emission reduction as against the cook stove is calculated when the system is being delivered to the end user, the end user initiated its operation and the end user agreement is being signed. Since, the emission reduction is based on the days of operation therefore, it is important to monitor the number of days of non-functioning. The days of non-functioning is estimated based on the number of days between the date of complaint as against damage or non- functioning received and date of repair or replacement. The information is maintained in form of database and archived. $\text{Number of days of operation} = 365 - \text{Average number of days the cook stove was non-functional}$ The number of non-functional days is based on the number of days the cook stoves were under repair/replacement			
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Days of operation of improved cookstoves under operation is monitored annually based on sample survey			
Value monitored	Period	Total number of beneficiaries	Number of beneficiaries for which defunct date was a day/more	Average days of operation
	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	14,350	0	365
	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	14,350	0	365
	02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	14,350	0	365
	02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	14,350	0	365
	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	14,350	0	365
Monitoring equipment	-			
QA/QC procedures to be applied	The database for the date of dissemination of cook stoves, date of receipt of complaint for repair/ replacement and the date of repair/replacement is recorded by the village coordinator. The related information is maintained by the village co-ordinator and passed on to the VCS team on a monthly basis. In case of the cook stove being replaced, a new end user agreement is signed with the end user and attached to the original agreement. Monitoring database and the end user agreement is maintained both in soft as well as hard copy.			
Purpose of the data	For estimation of baseline emission			
Calculation method	-			
Comments	The number of days for which the improved cook stoves were non-functional is considered for estimation of emission reduction. The record of database and copy of end user			

	agreement is kept and maintained by the project participant for the period of two years after the last issuance. For ex-post, the total number of days of non-operation of the improved cook stoves due to repair, maintenance is retrieved from the maintenance log books is averaged as against the total number of cook stoves in actual operation (as per survey) and is considered. Say, if n is the total no of non- operational days as per the maintenance records of all of the cook stoves, the average days of non-operation for each cook stove is estimated as $= n/x$ where x is the number of cook stoves in actual operation as per the sample survey.
--	--

Data / Parameter	Number of days of functioning of traditional cook stoves
Data unit	Number
Description	The parameters refer to the number of days for which the traditional cook stove is being used by the household.
Source of data	Annual third-party agency survey of representative sample household
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Survey is carried out to estimate the number of days for which the household has operated the traditional cook stove during a particular monitoring period.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Number of days of functioning of traditional cook stoves is monitored annually based on sample survey
Value monitored	During survey in case beneficiaries were evaluated to be using improved cook stoves for a limited period along with usage of traditional cookstoves the beneficiaries are considered as partial users. Partial users are beneficiaries /household, those who have not discontinued using of ICS but have been using ICS for a limited period and also using traditional cookstoves for the purpose of cooking along with ICS. Since the number of days of operation of partial ICS usage during a particular crediting period is hard to ascertain (uncertainty of estimation), therefore as a conservative approach the beneficiaries /household using ICS partially are considered as discontinued users. Since the beneficiary using traditional cookstoves were opted out of emission reduction estimation therefore the beneficiaries considered resembles only to those who are using improved cookstoves. Therefore the days of usage of traditional cookstoves for beneficiaries considered is zero.
Monitoring equipment	-

QA/QC procedures to be applied	The survey is carried out by third party agency and is co-ordinated by the project proponent.
Purpose of the data	For estimation of baseline emission
Calculation method	-
Comments	Number of days of operation of the traditional cook stove is obtained from the result of sample survey. The number of days of traditional cook stove as per the survey is used to obtain the average days of usage of the traditional cook stoves for all the households who still use the improved cook stoves of this project activity. This average day is used to arrive at a factor - $= (365 - \text{Average number of days of traditional cook stove usage in all households} / 365)$

Data / Parameter	Number of Cook stove replaced			
Data unit	Number			
Description	The parameters refer to the number of cook stove that are replaced (due to end-of-life time or damage) by the new cook stove with efficiency greater than or equal to 29.88%.			
Source of data	Monitoring database, new end user agreement and test certificate of the new system supplied.			
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Record is being updated in the databases as and when the improved cookstoves are replaced by a new one . On replacement a new end user agreement is signed with the existing end user and the information relating to the replacement along with necessary details is updated in the database.			
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Recorded as and when replaced			
Value monitored	Period	Number of improved cookstoves distributed and under operation	Number of cookstoves replaced post end of life time	Number of cookstoves replaced due to defunct
	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	14,350	0	0
	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	14,350	0	0
	02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	14,350	0	0
	02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	14,350	0	0
	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	14,350	0	0
Monitoring equipment	-			

QA/QC procedures to be applied	The copy of the new end user agreement for the replaced cook stove along with the efficiency certificate of the new system is archived along with the existing agreement. The database is updated as and when the system is replaced.
Purpose of the data	Assessment of baseline emission
Calculation method	-
Comments	The record of database and copy of end user agreements (both new and old one) is maintained by the project participant for the period of two years after the last issuance.


Data / Parameter	B_{y, savings}		
Data unit	Tonnes/year/household		
Description	Quantity of woody biomass that is saved through the project activity.		
Source of data	calculated		
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The quantity of woody biomass saved is calculated following the equation $B_{y, \text{savings}} = B_{\text{old}} * (1 - \eta_{\text{old}}/\eta_{\text{new}})$ Where, B_{old} is calculated based on number of days cook stove is operational as calculated above and per capita biomass consumption of 25.51 kg/person/month multiplied by 4.8 members per rural household also factoring into the number of days for which the traditional cook stoves were in operation and the number of days of non-functioning of the improved cook stoves. The efficiency of the project system is tested on a representative sample basis.		
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Calculated on an annual basis		
Value monitored	Period	Operational Efficiency considered	Estimated By savings/ ICS
	02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	28.42%	0.9521
	02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	28.09%	0.9461
	02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	27.02%	0.9253
	02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	27.02%	0.9253
	02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	26.02%	0.9044
Monitoring equipment	-		
QA/QC procedures to be applied	The minimum tested efficiency of the representative samples or 29.88% is used for η_{new} .		

Purpose of the data	-
Calculation method	-
Comments	The data estimated and the survey related documents is archived by the project participant for the period of 2 years after the last issuance.

4.3 Monitoring Plan

The monitoring of the Project activity is executed by the team of the Project Participant, Village level coordinator appointed by the Project Participant, Technical Advisor from Vikram Stoves and consultant whose specific role and responsibilities are explained as follows. The following section also explicitly indicates the monitoring plan, implementation and record archiving procedure, management of monitoring, facilitating survey, training, maintenance plan and emergency preparedness.

The detailed team structure for executing the monitoring is as follows:



Roles and Responsibilities

The roles and responsibilities of the team include:

- Undertaking awareness amongst the beneficiaries relating to usefulness of the cook stoves.
- Providing training to each entity on the operating methods and regular maintenance practice of improved cook stoves.
- Undertaking maintenance of the cook stoves on a periodic basis.
- Replacing supplied improved cook stoves with new one at the end of technical life time of the distributed system within the crediting period.
- Development and monitoring of project.

- Undertaking spot check, annual survey to access the usage, functional efficiency, maintain database and facilitate monitoring as described in section B.7.as well as the following section of the PDD.

The end users or beneficiaries is responsible for -

- Continual usage of cook stoves
- Undertaking regular maintenance
- Supporting the village coordinator and the project participant in undertaking monitoring
- Inform the village coordinator in case the cook stove malfunctions.
- Dismantle or refrain from use of traditional cook stove
- In case the family decides to shift to the same is intimated to the village coordinator.

The purpose of monitoring is to achieve actual and credible emission reduction estimation pertaining to the project activity. The project participant therefore, needs to monitor and archive data required for estimation of emission reduction.

According to the approved methodology AMS -II. G.; the monitoring comprises of –

1. Efficiency check of the representative sample on annual basis to ensure that, the cook stoves are still operating at the specified efficiency (η_{new}). If appliances are found to be operational with efficiency lower than 29.88%, the efficiency determined during monitoring is used to calculate emission reduction. (In accordance to Paragraph 15 of the approved methodology)
 - Thermal efficiency test is carried out in accordance to the Water Boiling Test Protocol as specified in IS 13152 (Part 1): 1991 standard.
 - The sample size is selected in accordance to "Guidelines for Sampling and Surveys for Project Activities and Programme of Activities" Version 2, EB 69.
2. Monitoring also consists of checking of representative sample on annual basis to determine if the improved cook stoves are still operating or are replaced by an equivalent in service appliance. In case of replacement, it is ensured that, efficiency of new equipment is similar to the equipment being replaced.
If the cook stove is replaced with higher efficiency appliances, then, the efficiency of 29.88% is considered as conservative approach. (In accordance to Paragraph 16 of the methodology)
3. Monitoring ensures that:
 - a. Either the replaced low efficiency appliances are disposed of and not used within the boundary or within the region; or
 - b. If baseline stoves continue to be used, monitoring ensures that the fuel-wood consumption of those stoves is excluded from Bold.

Therefore, the project proponent has developed a plan for monitoring under the following heads –

Implementation Arrangements and Record archiving-

All the household post to the distribution of the cook stoves is oriented to its usage and regular maintenance. With registration of the project under the UNFCCC; the project participant imparts an awareness session over cookstove usage.

As a part of the implementation program the project participant ensures:

1. Signing of the end user agreement with the beneficiaries (the project participant completes signing of end user agreement with all the beneficiaries who are being provided with the cook stoves before registration of the project). As a part of the

contractual agreement with each of the beneficiaries for the titled project a unique identification number is issued as against to each project cook stoves. Moreover, as a part of the agreement each of the end user ascertains that they won't be entering into agreement with other agencies to obtain revenue. The above two steps nullify the chances of double counting.

2. The coordinators are employed under the project activity and is responsible for monitoring, archiving of the records and undertaking maintenance.
3. Creating database to archive relevant information about the end user, cook stoves and related information of the end user agreement. The database is created based on the end user agreement and is managed by the team. The database contains all the relevant information about the beneficiary in regard to the end user agreement. Each of the beneficiaries in the database is identified by the unique identification number. The database manager ensures that there has been no double counting/ repetition of the beneficiary information, location and unique identification number of the cook stoves. The database is updated on a regular basis to include:
 - a. Replacement of the cook stoves after schedule life time
 - b. Scheduled maintenance
 - c. Unscheduled maintenance or fault rectification measures undertaken
 - d. Temporary or permanent drop out

Finalizing the number of cook stoves for emission reduction estimation

As described in the section above in regard to the implementation arrangement and record archiving the dissemination of the cook stove to the household and its usage thereafter includes the following steps:

1. Signing of end user agreement
2. Dissemination of improved cookstoves

In accordance to the guidance of the methodology, emission reduction as against each cook stoves can only be estimated from the point of cook stove usage. Therefore, the date of dissemination of cook stoves and signing of the end user agreement with each beneficiary after dissemination is considered as the date from where the emission reduction calculation is initiated for each cook stove for the first monitoring period. For the purpose of estimation, the end date of cookstoves dissemination is considered as start date of the monitoring period.

Management of monitoring activities

The monitoring of the project activity is reviewed and coordinated by the top management of the Project Participant (GKEMPL) through Monitoring Coordinator, team of Project Participant (GKEMPL) headed by Project Director and technical team from Vikram Stoves.

The persons and staffs involved in monitoring is trained over the procedure in accordance to the manual and works out under the supervision of the Project Director. The major task to be undertaken and the responsibility are as follows:

1. Co-ordinator: Each coordinator acts as coordinating point between the project household and the Team (respective manger). The village coordinator is responsible to facilitate and oversee continual operation of cook stoves, maintain database related to number of cook stoves distributed and replaced thereafter, filing complain relating to non-functioning and undertaking on-call maintenance, recording of the complaint and maintenance time line and periodic submission of information to the team.
2. Team: Creating and maintaining database and end user agreement, up-gradation

of beneficiary level information in the central database. The team monitors related information pertaining to the replacement of the cook stoves¹⁴. In case household considered under the project activity fails to meet the condition of the end user agreement or household drops out (because of the shift to location outside project boundary for employment purpose/migration for a temporary period or even the beneficiary decided to discontinue usage of improved cook stoves) then, such dropout household is conservatively deducted on temporary or permanent basis from the emission reduction calculation for the particular monitoring period. The VCS team is responsible for estimating the emission reduction.

3. Maintenance team – Vikram Stoves is responsible for imparting training relating to the cook stove scheduled maintenance and undertaking periodic maintenance and on-call maintenance.
4. Daily usage- Household uses cook stove and intimate from time to time relating to non- functioning or temporary transfer/migration. The coordinator on basis of the usage report intimates the team.
5. Replacement of Existing improved cook stoves: In case the old cook stove is replaced by a new cook stove the same is to be done with similar or higher efficiency of existing cook stove (29.88%). On replacement a new end user agreement is signed with the existing end user the same information relating to the replacement along with necessary details is updated in the database. The copy of the new end user agreement for the replaced cook stove along with the efficiency certificate of the new system is archived along with the existing agreement. The database is updated as and when the system is replaced. The replaced cook stoves is dismantled and sold out to the cast iron and steel units respectively in order to recycle the system components. Such initiatives nullify the chances of the replaced cook stove to be re-used.

Monitoring of Traditional cook stove usage

The traditional cook stove is considered as an auspicious with religious value and household consider it as a linkage to their tradition so, the household has kept the stove. However, each household has assured and confirmed through signing of end user agreement with the project participant to continue using of improved cook stoves at household.

However, as a conservative approach to monitor fuel wood consumption in the project household from use of existing mud cook stove, third party survey is carried out of representative sample household on annual basis to evaluate the number of days for which the traditional stoves has been operated across the year. The number of days for which the traditional stoves were in operation is used to derive the factor to be adjusted with B_{old} . The factor is calculated as follows:

$$= (365 - \text{Average No. of days of traditional cook stoves usage in all households}) / 365$$

The factor calculated using above approach is multiplied with B_{old} to calculate the value of B_{old} to be used for ex-post calculation of emission reduction.

Sampling design

¹⁴ The replaced cook stoves is to dismantled and sold out to the cast iron and steel units respectively in order to recycle the system components. Such initiatives nullifies the chances of the replaced cook stove to be re-used.

Objective: The objective of the sample design is to determine –

- Efficiency of Improved cook stove in operation:** Efficiency of the system is tested by third party agency of a representative sample on principle of the Water Boiling Test Protocol indicated in IS 13152 (Part 1): 1991.
- Usage Survey** – This is conducted on annual basis to determine the number of cook stove in operation. The survey is initiated after a year from date of project registration.
- Usage of traditional cook stoves:** The survey is conducted to determine the number of days for which the household is using the traditional cook stoves.

Reliability Requirement: As per paragraph 22 of the approved methodology AMS - II.G; 90% confidence interval and 10% margin of error needs is considered conceiving the fact that survey is carried out on an annual basis.

Target Population: The number of cook stove conceived under the project activity is 14,350.

Survey Method: Simple Random sampling is chosen, as the population under the project activity are homogeneous owing to the selection of beneficiary from similar socio-economic circumstances.

Sample Size:

The sample size is calculated using exact equation for random sampling –

$$n \geq 1.645^2 N * p * (1-p) / ((N-1) * 0.1^2 * p^2 + 1.645^2 * p*(1-p))$$

Where,

N	=	Sample size
N	=	14,350
P	=	expected proportion (on basis of assumption that, 90% of the cook stove is in operation and at the desired efficiency)
1.645	=	Represents 90% confidence required
0.1	=	Represent 10% relative precision

a) Sample size for Efficiency test

Although the cook stoves are designed to operate at a desired efficiency level throughout its life time subjected to periodic maintenance; efficiency test of the cook stoves is carried out on annual basis. The sample size for undertaking efficiency test is therefore, decided considering 90% of the cook stoves operates at a specified efficiency of 29.88%.

Following equation (3) above, the number of sample size arrived as below –

Time Period	Percentage of cook stove operational at specified efficiency at any point of time during the entire crediting period (This assumption is only for estimation of sample size) ⁶²	Sample size for survey (No. of systems)	Sample size after conceiving response rate of 80%
Annual	90%	31	40

(Note: the final sample size is rounded up and increased to the next closest integer)

The household for testing the efficiency as stated above is selected on a random sample basis. However while undertaking the sample beneficiary selection it is ensured that the household opted for efficiency testing are not common with the household /beneficiary selected for sample survey for improved cook stove usage and traditional cook stove usage during a particular year. Moreover, it is ensured that the household once selected for efficiency testing during a particular year is opted out from the selection procedure in course of the crediting period for further testing.

Data to be collected -

Field Measurement – Frequency: The efficiency testing of sample system is carried out on an annual basis. The inspection is carried out one year after the date of registration. There after once in every year the survey is conducted.

QA/QC Procedure: Each system is assigned with unique identification details. The systems to be sampled is randomly selected using software or random number tables and screen shots of the same is kept in the data base. The PP gets the services of third party agency or any scope accredited DOE including the verifier for conducting the efficiency test of the samples presented by the PP before the preparation of the monitoring report.

Analysis: In case of the efficiency tested for the sample system is found to be lower than 29.88% then, the minimum value of efficiency tested is considered as a threshold for the subsequent years in place of 29.88% till the point further lower value of efficiency is tested.

b) Sample size determination for no. of improved cook stoves in operation and no of days of traditional cook stove usage

The sample size is estimated for determining the number of cook stoves in operation on basis of assumption that, 90% (This assumption is only for estimation of sample size) of cook stove is operational i.e. proportion of the cook stove in operation during a particular year is 0.9.

Therefore, $n \geq 31$

For covering 100 percent of the sample and expecting a response rate of 80%. Thus, the sample size is estimated as $31/80\% = 39$. However, to cover the wide range of population and have a conservative sample the PP selects 4 times the number of sample estimated i.e., 156 numbers of household every year for the sample.

The household survey is designed to estimate the percentage of cook stove still in operation and number of days for which the traditional cook stoves are used for the purpose of estimation of emission reduction. The survey questionnaire essentially contain the unique identification details of the household, satisfactory level of usage and service, date of signing of the agreement, information regard to the maintenance or replacement if any, number of person in the household, other type of stoves used if any (type of fuel) and number of days of traditional cook stove usage (specific details about the festive season and any other occasion the traditional cook stove is used, if the size of the members are extremely high when it is not possible to use the improved cook stove).

It is to be noted that the household selected for the usage survey for determination of proportion of cook stove in operation is common where the survey for determining the number days for which the traditional cook stoves usage is carried out. The samples once surveyed in a year is not considered for subsequent year.

Data to be collected

Field Measurement – Frequency: The survey is carried out on an annual basis from the date of registration in specified format duly signed by the beneficiary to assess whether the beneficiary has been using the cook stoves distributed till date and to assess the number of days for which the beneficiary has used the traditional cook stoves during that year.

QA/QC Procedure: Each system is assigned with unique identification details. The systems to be sampled is randomly selected using software or random number tables and screen shots of the same is kept in the data base. The PP gets the services of third party agency or any scope accredited DoE including the verifier for taking the survey before the preparation of the monitoring report.

Analysis: Based on the response the percentage of improved cook stoves which are distributed as the part of this project activity that are still operated by the households and the average number of days of operation of the traditional cook stoves by each household would be estimated and the same is considered for ex-post emission reduction calculation.

The sample beneficiaries/household is same where the survey is carried out for estimation of operation of improved cook stoves and traditional cook stoves i.e. a single survey is designed to capture both. Moreover, it is ensured that the household once selected for survey during a particular year is opted out from the selection in course of the subsequent crediting period for further testing.

Sl. No.	Description	Data to be collected	Method of survey	Sampling method	Proposed Sample size	Variance
1	Efficiency of Improved cook stove	Testing of the Efficiency of the improved cook stoves	Household Collection and conducting the test for the selected samples.	Simple Random sampling	40	90% Confidence level
2	Usage survey	No of system in operation	Household survey	Simple Random Sampling	160 household/ annum	90% confidence level
	Traditional cook stove usage	No of days for which the household use traditional cook stoves are used in the project household	Household survey	Simple Random Sampling	160 household/ annum	90% confidence level

Reliability Check

The sample size as indicated in the table above is subjected to the final value of the precision calculated and in case the value of the precision estimated from the survey result is less than $\pm 10\%$ then, the sample size is re-estimated and the additional number of beneficiary/households is interviewed to obtain the desired level of precision. The precision is calculated as follows:

Step1: Estimation of the value of proportion (p)

$P = \text{number of success} / \text{number of cook stoves that were observed in the sample}$

Step 2: Estimating Standard Error of the proportion

The standard error of the proportion is estimated using the following equation Standard error of proportion = $\sqrt{(1-f) pq/n}$

Where,

f = sampling fraction = number of cook stoves that are observed in the sample/14,350, i.e., 160/14,350. p = proportion, 90%

$q = (1-p)$

n = number of cook stoves that are observed in the sample

Step 3: Estimating of Precision

Proportion $\pm z$ value * standard error of proportion

z value = for 90% confidence level the value of z is 1.645 Standard error of proportion = estimated in step 2

Step 4: Estimating of Relating Precision Relative Precision = Precision/proportion Where, Proportion is estimated in step 1 and precision in step 3

In case the value of the relative precision estimated based on the level of success relating to

1. Tested Efficiency of the improved cook stove being equal to greater than 29.88%
2. Number of the beneficiaries using improved cook stove
3. Number of beneficiaries/households using traditional cook stoves is not within the specified limit of $\pm 10\%$ the value of sample size is increased and survey is carried out amongst the additional population to obtain the desired level of precision i.e. within $\pm 10\%$.

Training

The training is imparted to the following entities in following way-

Entity	Objective of training	Trained by	Onset	Frequency
Household	Operational procedure Scheduled maintenance Itemised recording and reporting	Vikram Stoves (for item no 1. and 2) team (for item 3)	After distribution	Once
Village Coordinator	Monitoring of the related parameter like Spot check of cook stove usage, situational review Database management and coordination with team System Maintenance	VCS team (for item no. 1 and 2) Vikram Stoves (for item 3)	On appointment	Once in a year
VCS team	Database management Undertaking Monitoring, Recording and archiving Preparation of monitoring report	Consultant and Top Management of the Project participant	On registration	Once in a year

Quality Assurance and Quality Control of monitoring activities–

Top management of the Project participant (GKEMPL) is responsible for QA/QC which includes monitoring of accurate and transparent record keeping, monitoring and evaluation so that all supporting documentation and records for the project is easily accessible for spot checking and cross referencing by a third-party agency. Top management of the project participant ensures quality control of the monitoring activities in order to guarantee of records and to confirm absence of double-counting in any form. Project participant ensures that, the detailed beneficiary level database and the project database is up to date and the latter is representative of the most recent definitions of clusters. Internal audit is carried out on six month basis by the consultant or third party agency and includes -

1. The internal review is carried out in every six month for compliance check in accordance to the manual.
2. Non conformity if noted is reported and brought into the notice of the top management and measures is undertaken to ensure that required compliance is met.
3. Training on the monitoring if felt by the auditor is imparted to the team.

Emergency Preparedness

In case the village coordinator is reported against malfunction/ non-function of cook stove which the technical team is unable to rectify the fault and unable to co-ordinate with the technical team of Vikram Stoves; the coordinator immediately replace the existing cook stove with the new one. The faulty stoves thereafter be transported to the industry for repair.

1. The coordinator is provided with stand-by stove components for repair and/or replacement.
2. Few numbers of cook stoves are stocked with the Panchayat Samity/ Block Development Office to reduce the down time of replacement.
3. In case the coordinator is unable to carry out maintenance, the same is reported to the technical team of Vikram Stoves and the nearby representatives visits the village to support the co- Ordinator in rectifying the fault.

5 QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

5.1 Baseline Emissions

Baseline Emissions is estimated in following way –

$$ER_y = B_{y, \text{ savings}} * f_{NRB,y} * NCV_{\text{biomass}} * EF_{\text{projected fossil fuel}}$$

Where, in accordance to paragraph 13 of the methodology, leakage related to the non-renewable woody biomass saved by the project activity is assessed based on ex post surveys of users and the areas from which this woody biomass is sourced. Subsequent to the clarification provided in sub paragraph (a) survey is not required for ex-post estimation of leakage in case B_{old} is multiplied by a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95 to account for leakages.

Parameter	Data	Unit
B_{old}	1.469	Tonne fuel wood /cook stove/ year
$B_{y, \text{ savings}}$	0.9774	Tonne fuel wood /cook stove/ year
$f_{NRB,y}$	87.90%	
NCV_{biomass}	0.015	TJ/tonne
$EF_{\text{projected fossil fuel}}$	81.60	tCO ₂ e/TJ
$ER_{y,WGA, \text{ per cook stove}}$ (Emission reduction/ cook stove /year without gross adjustment factor for B_{old} to account for leakage)	1.0515	tCO ₂ e /cook stove/ year

The leakage emission for ex-ante estimation of emission reduction is thereby calculated as a difference between the emission reduction estimated considering the actual value of Bold i.e. 1.469 Tonne fuel wood /cook stove/ year and emission reduction estimated by considering the value of Bold is estimated by multiplying Bold with a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95.

For the purpose of ex-ante estimation of emission reduction, it is assumed that, only 90% of the cook stoves are operational in any point of time. Thus, the emission reduction per year ($ER_{y, WGA}$) without gross adjustment factor for B_{old} to account for leakage considering 90% of the cook stove as operational for the entire crediting period is 13,580 tCO_{2e} /year.

The Baseline Emissions achieved for this monitoring period is 65,668 tCO_{2e} /year.

The year wise efficiency of the improved cookstoves tested and considered for estimation of baseline emission is outlined in the table below

Third party assessment for monitoring period – Year 1			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-19-10267	Lata Ravsaheb Madake	Satara	28.420%
GKEMPLPH-19-4350	Shankar Manik Birajdar	Nashik	28.561%
GKEMPLPH-19-2274	Baban Maruti Gawali	Ratnagiri	28.610%
GKEMPLPH-19-6566	Sureshkumar Shantaram Ambavkar	Ahmednagar	28.643%
GKEMPLPH-19-5511	Satish Shivanna Birajadar	Dhule	28.671%
GKEMPLPH-19-10204	Balu Vitthal Shinde	Satara	28.684%
GKEMPLPH-19-3955	Lingappa Apparao Patil	Sindhudurg	28.693%
GKEMPLPH-19-4577	Sujata Madhu Barkade	Dhule	28.702%
GKEMPLPH-19-13037	Balu Limba Said	Solapur	28.720%
GKEMPLPH-19-8096	Rohidas Bhanudas Thore	Pune	28.794%
GKEMPLPH-19-1463	Laxman Hambirrao Deshmukh	Thane	28.797%
GKEMPLPH-19-1200	Ankush Eknath Khatal	Raigad	28.824%
GKEMPLPH-19-13821	Tarabai Krushna Shinde	Kolhapur	28.858%
GKEMPLPH-19-540	Nitin Suryakant Jadhav	Raigad	28.880%
GKEMPLPH-19-2160	Siddhanna Annappa Teli	Ratnagiri	28.888%
GKEMPLPH-19-12095	Aniket Malojirao Nalawade	Solapur	28.934%
GKEMPLPH-19-9185	Ramesh Vithoba Pawar	Sangli	28.939%
GKEMPLPH-19-146	Yogesh Digambar Awad	Raigad	28.958%
GKEMPLPH-19-9162	Gulab Vishvnath Waghmare	Sangli	29.036%
GKEMPLPH-19-7929	Pandurang Rangnath Kurhe	Pune	29.042%
GKEMPLPH-19-2063	Tukaram Sidhamalla Malabadi	Ratnagiri	29.100%
GKEMPLPH-19-6980	Nanasaheb Sukdev Chaudhari	Jalgaon	29.143%
GKEMPLPH-19-6722	Baban Gangadhar Chavhan	Ahmednagar	29.159%
GKEMPLPH-19-2342	Sunita M Bansode	Ratnagiri	29.184%
GKEMPLPH-19-12618	Shankarrao Hanmanta Khemnar	Solapur	29.200%
GKEMPLPH-19-10112	Dhondiram Gangaram Devkate	Satara	29.236%
GKEMPLPH-19-7380	Namdeo Babasaheb Doifode	Jalgaon	29.260%
GKEMPLPH-19-4140	Machchhindra Dnyanoba Dighe	Sindhudurg	29.264%
GKEMPLPH-19-9678	Pandurang Anna Andhale	Satara	29.274%
GKEMPLPH-19-13693	Shankar Maruti Mardhekar	Kolhapur	29.321%
GKEMPLPH-19-10890	Bibishan Shivaji Gaware	Satara	29.343%
GKEMPLPH-19-11032	Deepak Keshavrao Nikam	Satara	29.486%
GKEMPLPH-19-8569	Madhav Tukaram Shinde	Sangli	29.501%
GKEMPLPH-19-5658	Pirappa Sangappa Chavalade	Nandurbar	29.515%
GKEMPLPH-19-5296	Pushpa Vikrant Havinale	Dhule	29.599%
GKEMPLPH-19-13990	Satish Vitthal Desai	Kolhapur	29.604%
GKEMPLPH-19-9962	Ganesh Machhindra More	Satara	29.606%
GKEMPLPH-19-12121	Manisha Vikas Babar	Solapur	29.674%

Third party assessment for monitoring period – Year 1			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-19-4027	Sambhaji Ganpat Kedar	Sindhudurg	29.775%
GKEMPLPH-19-6277	Ashok Dattatray Sagvekar	Nandurbar	29.882%

Third party assessment for monitoring period – Year 2			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-19-4157	Chandrakant Maruti Kumbhar	Sindhudurg	28.093%
GKEMPLPH-19-12598	Shahaji Yashwant Kamble	Solapur	28.151%
GKEMPLPH-19-8581	Kiran Mohan Tawale	Sangli	28.283%
GKEMPLPH-19-2107	Sanjay Shankar Ghodake	Ratnagiri	28.340%
GKEMPLPH-19-9481	Sandip Yohan Makasare	Satara	28.389%
GKEMPLPH-19-10993	Alka Vilas Navale	Satara	28.443%
GKEMPLPH-19-6611	Chandrakant Mahadeo Kamble	Ahmednagar	28.455%
GKEMPLPH-19-2561	Umesh Mahadev Dethe	Ratnagiri	28.481%
GKEMPLPH-19-5632	Pushpa Vikrant Havinala	Dhule	28.486%
GKEMPLPH-19-6957	Tukaram Padmnath Wandhekar	Jalgaon	28.496%
GKEMPLPH-19-8556	Raju Sakharan Shende	Sangli	28.541%
GKEMPLPH-19-12488	Bharat Dnyandeo Gaikwad	Solapur	28.558%
GKEMPLPH-19-278	Vishwasrao Sitaram Galgate	Raigad	28.566%
GKEMPLPH-19-9874	Balasaheb Asaram Bhumkar	Satara	28.581%
GKEMPLPH-19-1369	Santosh Sandipan Jagdale	Thane	28.607%
GKEMPLPH-19-7770	Vaibhav Sanjay Gaikwad	Pune	28.643%
GKEMPLPH-19-10111	Dwarka Ramkisan Jagdale	Satara	28.666%
GKEMPLPH-19-8343	Ganesh Dattatray Shinde	Sangli	28.716%
GKEMPLPH-19-5707	Shivanand Madivalyya Swami	Nandurbar	28.722%
GKEMPLPH-19-65	Khandu Keru Thore	Raigad	28.730%
GKEMPLPH-19-11011	Prakash Sampat Gaikwad	Satara	28.733%
GKEMPLPH-19-983	Shukracharya Kisan Bhosale	Raigad	28.740%
GKEMPLPH-19-7467	Sopan Dnyandeo Narhe	Jalgaon	28.745%
GKEMPLPH-19-11889	Prabhakar Laxman Gore	Solapur	28.748%
GKEMPLPH-19-4368	Shrimant Malesha Vinchure	Nashik	28.758%
GKEMPLPH-19-10207	Surendra Dilip Hapase	Satara	28.779%
GKEMPLPH-19-10053	Balasaheb Govind Agale	Satara	28.790%
GKEMPLPH-19-11957	Kisan Maruti Devkar	Solapur	28.812%
GKEMPLPH-19-3602	Padmini Aabasaheb Patole	Sindhudurg	28.839%
GKEMPLPH-19-5476	Pushpa Vikrant Havinala	Dhule	28.907%
GKEMPLPH-19-6704	Dattaram Shiva Dhopat	Ahmednagar	28.919%
GKEMPLPH-19-2837	Shahaji Ramhari Yadav	Ratnagiri	28.931%
GKEMPLPH-19-3011	Sarika Vinayak Talekar	Sindhudurg	28.950%
GKEMPLPH-19-7738	Rohan Vijay Jejurkar	Pune	28.973%
GKEMPLPH-19-4547	Balbhim Bhagwat Aware	Dhule	29.036%
GKEMPLPH-19-2112	Govind Maruti Kamble	Ratnagiri	29.108%
GKEMPLPH-19-5998	Pandurang Arjun Bhosale	Nandurbar	29.220%
GKEMPLPH-19-14032	Ganesh Bhaurao Lagad	Kolhapur	29.601%
GKEMPLPH-19-13644	Rajendra Kondiba Chaugule	Kolhapur	30.041%
GKEMPLPH-19-13864	Suresh Ananta Shinde	Kolhapur	30.374%

Third party assessment for monitoring period – Year 3			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-19-9997	Subhash Balbhim Akolkar	Satara	27.020%
GKEMPLPH-19-7768	Sakharbai Shankar Sanap	Pune	27.022%
GKEMPLPH-19-3164	Vaishali Gangaram Sul	Sindhudurg	27.056%
GKEMPLPH-19-6713	Harita John Surrao	Ahmednagar	27.105%
GKEMPLPH-19-8547	Ganesh Shamrao Girawale	Sangli	27.146%
GKEMPLPH-19-13835	Dagadu Sitaram Ghode	Kolhapur	27.165%
GKEMPLPH-19-8362	Maheshkumar Bhaskar Markad	Sangli	27.225%
GKEMPLPH-19-1977	Bibhishan Jivappa Vathare	Ratnagiri	27.238%
GKEMPLPH-19-1217	Bapu Haridas Yadav	Thane	27.348%
GKEMPLPH-19-12809	Bapu Rama Mate	Solapur	27.372%
GKEMPLPH-19-2507	Shivaji Balaso Kale	Ratnagiri	27.387%
GKEMPLPH-19-2497	Shashikala Mahadev Yalmar	Ratnagiri	27.398%
GKEMPLPH-19-10869	Sushant Hanmant Phadatare	Satara	27.507%
GKEMPLPH-19-3107	Anjana Shankar Bhaygude	Sindhudurg	27.507%
GKEMPLPH-19-11684	Diwanji Gangaram Bhosle	Solapur	27.554%
GKEMPLPH-19-6475	Sivanna Anandapa Baragale	Ahmednagar	27.615%
GKEMPLPH-19-10812	Shivaji Anna Pawar	Satara	27.641%
GKEMPLPH-19-4336	Manoj Kondiba Kokne	Nashik	27.652%
GKEMPLPH-19-3475	Sheshrao Shivaji Kolawale	Sindhudurg	27.658%
GKEMPLPH-19-11290	Kalpana Vilas Shelke	Satara	27.666%
GKEMPLPH-19-794	Pramod Dattatray Bhosale	Raigad	27.673%
GKEMPLPH-19-7403	Chandrabhan Babasaheb Harade	Jalgaon	27.692%
GKEMPLPH-19-6218	Ravindra Bhaskarrao Nagarkar	Nandurbar	27.717%
GKEMPLPH-19-5062	Yasmeen Yusuf Mujawar	Dhule	27.774%
GKEMPLPH-19-7935	Subhash Haribhau Hadake	Pune	27.799%
GKEMPLPH-19-11929	Ashok Shankar Galhe	Solapur	27.848%
GKEMPLPH-19-10258	Ravsaheb Murlidhar Pawar	Satara	27.867%
GKEMPLPH-19-10663	Vasantrao Bhausahab Patil	Satara	27.901%
GKEMPLPH-19-14141	Ganesh Arjun Dhokne	Kolhapur	28.036%
GKEMPLPH-19-14098	Sampat Vaman Kadam	Kolhapur	28.168%
GKEMPLPH-19-12419	Hanmant Vitthal Pawar	Solapur	28.173%
GKEMPLPH-19-7238	Bhimraj Kisan Kharse	Jalgaon	28.185%
GKEMPLPH-19-10283	Bapusaheb Ramkisan Kusalkar	Satara	28.234%
GKEMPLPH-19-4654	Tanaji Dattu Yajgar	Dhule	28.244%
GKEMPLPH-19-681	Prabhuram Dadasaheb Mete	Raigad	28.325%
GKEMPLPH-19-277	Rangubai Sanjay Vihale	Raigad	28.509%
GKEMPLPH-19-5799	Harishchandra Govind Malap	Nandurbar	28.634%
GKEMPLPH-19-4539	Ankush Agatrav Chavan	Dhule	28.804%
GKEMPLPH-19-2831	Sudhir Shivaji Tamkhade	Ratnagiri	29.724%
GKEMPLPH-19-8685	Sambhaji Dashrath Pimpale	Sangli	29.955%

Third party assessment for monitoring period – Year 4			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-19-1577	Shivaji Shamrao Lendave	Thane	27.019%
GKEMPLPH-19-11537	Laxman Raghunath Gore	Satara	27.101%
GKEMPLPH-19-4485	Adaveppa Shadakshari Sarasambi	Dhule	27.116%
GKEMPLPH-19-13320	Nitin Popat Yadav	Kolhapur	27.225%
GKEMPLPH-19-11174	Rajiv Prakash Jagtap	Satara	27.229%
GKEMPLPH-19-9306	Machhindra Manik Kakade	Sangli	27.233%
GKEMPLPH-19-783	Sacin Bhajandas Jagtap	Raigad	27.241%

Third party assessment for monitoring period – Year 4			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-19-5594	Pushpa Vikrant Havinale	Dhule	27.258%
GKEMPLPH-19-2415	Nandkumar Dattatraya Javale	Ratnagiri	27.259%
GKEMPLPH-19-3008	Vikas Balasaheb Wagh	Sindhudurg	27.292%
GKEMPLPH-19-3438	Mahadeo Babu Mane	Sindhudurg	27.318%
GKEMPLPH-19-2854	Babasaheb Appa Mohite	Ratnagiri	27.328%
GKEMPLPH-19-8269	Vishal Raosaheb Kharat	Sangli	27.369%
GKEMPLPH-19-6576	Ganpat Babya Kamble	Ahmednagar	27.375%
GKEMPLPH-19-2824	Rohit Sharad Mane	Ratnagiri	27.379%
GKEMPLPH-19-11304	Sunil Tatyaba Jadhav	Satara	27.387%
GKEMPLPH-19-3166	Ramchandra Sukhadev Kachare	Sindhudurg	27.440%
GKEMPLPH-19-12507	Mohan Dhondiram Chavan	Solapur	27.490%
GKEMPLPH-19-13891	Shivaji Baburav Gadade	Kolhapur	27.502%
GKEMPLPH-19-7409	Ganpat Bhaurao Vetal	Jalgaon	27.510%
GKEMPLPH-19-12406	Jalindar Popat Rode	Solapur	27.513%
GKEMPLPH-19-5669	Gangadhar Digambar Pujari	Nandurbar	27.517%
GKEMPLPH-19-7712	Laxman Hari Khandagale	Pune	27.525%
GKEMPLPH-19-13820	Vaishali Sudhichandra Gujar	Kolhapur	27.559%
GKEMPLPH-19-9064	Muralidhar Bhanudas Auti	Sangli	27.570%
GKEMPLPH-19-11096	Rajaram Shripati Chavan	Satara	27.715%
GKEMPLPH-19-4406	Ramchandra Kashinath Fulari	Nashik	27.717%
GKEMPLPH-19-12205	Vidya Arun Wakchaure	Solapur	27.789%
GKEMPLPH-19-7544	Popat Ambadas Jagtap	Jalgaon	27.802%
GKEMPLPH-19-5132	Laxmi Mahadev Birajdar	Dhule	27.877%
GKEMPLPH-19-6092	Vijay Narayan Mestri	Nandurbar	27.924%
GKEMPLPH-19-7664	Ashok Lalasaheb Khaire	Pune	28.190%
GKEMPLPH-19-10986	Hamid Gulab Attar	Satara	28.232%
GKEMPLPH-19-895	Kulvant Jayvant Bhosale	Raigad	28.302%
GKEMPLPH-19-9569	Narayan Dhondiram Shitre	Satara	28.331%
GKEMPLPH-19-10944	Bhima Bhoru Binnar	Satara	28.577%
GKEMPLPH-19-628	Vishnu Jalindar Patil	Raigad	28.657%
GKEMPLPH-19-6416	Mallappa Shivappa Kumbhar	Ahmednagar	28.693%
GKEMPLPH-19-12461	Nivrutti Sahebrao Bhosale	Solapur	28.839%
GKEMPLPH-19-2058	Sunil Bhimrao Muchande	Ratnagiri	29.040%

Third party assessment for monitoring period -Year 5			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-19-2389	Vitthal Hanumant Ghodake	Ratnagiri	26.020%
GKEMPLPH-19-6749	Vitthal Kisan Dhadge	Ahmednagar	26.072%
GKEMPLPH-19-6206	Daji Pandurang Julum	Nandurbar	26.106%
GKEMPLPH-19-12746	Kishor Sambhaji Kadam	Solapur	26.113%
GKEMPLPH-19-12271	Ashok Chandrakant Chavan	Solapur	26.113%
GKEMPLPH-19-9903	Dadasaheb Navnath Kale	Satara	26.124%
GKEMPLPH-19-7338	Sulochana Ashok Bhakad	Jalgaon	26.186%
GKEMPLPH-19-10559	Gitaram Shivaram Narwade	Satara	26.240%
GKEMPLPH-19-7750	Ambadas Chandrabhan Gaikwad	Pune	26.267%
GKEMPLPH-19-644	Rama Ananta Navale	Raigad	26.272%
GKEMPLPH-19-10105	Vikram Vishwanath Bangar	Satara	26.288%
GKEMPLPH-19-5571	Satish Shivanna Birajadar	Dhule	26.345%

Third party assessment for monitoring period -Year 5			
Identification number	Beneficiary name	District	Tested Efficiency
GKEMPLPH-19-7993	Eknath Bhaguji Mule	Pune	26.352%
GKEMPLPH-19-11551	Dattatray Lakshuman Anbhule	Satara	26.368%
GKEMPLPH-19-10780	Sitaram Laxman Bhor	Satara	26.376%
GKEMPLPH-19-12581	Tukaram Nanasaheb Aghav	Solapur	26.406%
GKEMPLPH-19-5195	Umakant Sidramappa Chakre	Dhule	26.442%
GKEMPLPH-19-8667	Dattatrya Haridas Alhat	Sangli	26.493%
GKEMPLPH-19-7287	Jalindar Baban Jamdar	Jalgaon	26.503%
GKEMPLPH-19-6626	Pradeep Krishnarao More	Ahmednagar	26.509%
GKEMPLPH-19-8140	Bhagwat Laxman Kurhe	Sangli	26.550%
GKEMPLPH-19-13395	Dinkar Raghunath Chavan	Kolhapur	26.551%
GKEMPLPH-19-3558	Bhalchandra Dnyanoba Yelpale	Sindhudurg	26.592%
GKEMPLPH-19-9177	Chabubai Devrav Kale	Sangli	26.668%
GKEMPLPH-19-13800	Digambar Yashwant Ghoderao	Kolhapur	26.708%
GKEMPLPH-19-11317	Abdulrafik Abdulajij Deshmukh	Satara	26.713%
GKEMPLPH-19-12352	Dattatray Shivram Shinde	Solapur	26.741%
GKEMPLPH-19-1879	Phadatare Sampatabai Sitaram	Ratnagiri	26.928%
GKEMPLPH-19-4121	Nivrutti Dhondiba Patil	Sindhudurg	27.019%
GKEMPLPH-19-5811	Mitali Kaustubh Karmarkar	Nandurbar	27.128%
GKEMPLPH-19-9695	Eknath Chima Edake	Satara	27.261%
GKEMPLPH-19-3966	Sujata Balasaheb Gavhane	Sindhudurg	27.270%
GKEMPLPH-19-919	Mahadev Tulshiram Kadam	Raigad	27.390%
GKEMPLPH-19-5644	Pushpa Vikrant Havinale	Dhule	27.472%
GKEMPLPH-19-304	Shrikrushna Vilas Gulave	Raigad	27.491%
GKEMPLPH-19-4387	Shankar Sidram Birajdar	Nashik	27.615%
GKEMPLPH-19-1758	Narayan Kerappa Dange	Ratnagiri	27.693%
GKEMPLPH-19-14087	Shivaji Govind Sonawne	Kolhapur	27.720%
GKEMPLPH-19-1693	Ashok Vasant Dhekale	Thane	28.072%
GKEMPLPH-19-2043	Gangabai Dhondappa Bansode	Ratnagiri	28.831%

5.2 Project Emissions

Project emissions are considered as zero for this project as no fossil fuels are used

5.3 Leakage

The leakage emission per cook stove is estimated as difference between the emission reduction estimated considering the actual value of Bold and emission reduction estimated considering the value of Bold obtained by multiplying Bold with a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95.

Parameter	Data	Unit
Leakage emission	0.053	tCO ₂ e /cook stove/ year
Leakage emission due to use of traditional cook stove usage (assuming 0 for ex-ante)	0	tCO ₂ e /cook stove/ year
Leakage emission, (LEy, per cook stove)	0.053	tCO ₂ e /cook stove/ year

Therefore, the total leakage emission (LEy) for the project activity assuming 90% of 14,350 Nos. cook stove distributed is 680 tCO₂e /year.

The leakage emissions achieved for this monitoring period is 3,286 tCO₂e /year.

5.4 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO ₂ e)
02-October-2012 to 01-October-2013	11,362	0	569	10,793
02-October-2013 to 01-October-2014	13,693	0	685	13,008
02-October-2014 to 01-October-2015	13,124	0	657	12,467
02-October-2015 to 01-October-2016	12,856	0	643	12,213
02-October-2016 to 01-October-2017	12,216	0	611	11,605
	63,251	0	3,165	60,086

Comparison with the actual emission reductions achieved with the estimated emission reductions:

Duration	Net emission reduction for the project activity in the year y, ER _y	Estimated Emission Reductions	Comparison
02-October-2012 to 01-October-2017	60,086	64,500	-7.35%