

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	2441
Project Name	Distribution of Improved cook stove - Phase 22
Review Type	Registration and Verification
Program(s)	VCS Program
Verification Period	08 October 2012 to 07 October 2017
Project Proponent	EKI Energy Services Limited
Methodology	AMS-II G. Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non- renewable biomass -- Version.3
VVB	TÜV SÜD South Asia Pvt. Ltd
Assessment Criteria	VCS standard v4.4
Date of First Issue	12 October 2023
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	09 January 2024

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	Different versions of AMS-II.G are mentioned in the project description.		
	<u>Issue</u> The project proponent mentions different versions of the AMS-II. G in the project description. Version 3 on pages 4 and 22 and version 4 on pages 33 and 34 <u>Action Required</u> The VVB must ensure that the project proponent updates the project description to mention the same version of the AMS-II.G in all sections. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> N/A	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> Version 04 of the methodology AMS- II.G has been used for the project activity. The same has now been made consistent to throughout the Project Description and Monitoring Report of the Project Activity. <u>Verra Response</u> This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.	closed
2	The public comment period section has not been completed correctly.		
	<u>Issue</u> Section 2.4 of the project description mentions that “The project Description has been web-hosted. Public comments will be incorporated once the commenting period is over.” This is incorrect as the project if the project completed PCP. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent clarifies whether the project completed PCP. 2. If yes, the VVB must ensure that the project proponent includes the correct information that is the dates of the PCP and whether comments were received under Section 2.4 of the project description.	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> There have been no comments received during the web-hosting period of the project activity, The same has now been incorporated in section 2.4 of the Project Description. <u>Verra Response</u> This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.	closed

	<u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Project description Template, v4.1, Section 2.4		
--	---	--	--

3	The mechanism for ongoing communication missing in monitoring report		
	<u>Issue</u> The project proponent has not included a mechanism for on-going communication with the stakeholders under Section 2.2 of the monitoring report. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure that the monitoring report includes an appropriate mechanism for on-going communication with stakeholder. 2. The VVB must assess the mechanism for on-going communication with stakeholder in the verification report. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.1, Section 2.2 VCS Joint Validation and Verification Report Template v4.1, Sections 3.3.2.	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> The ongoing mechanism has now been incorporated in section 2.2 of the Monitoring Report. The same has now been incorporated in section 3.3.2 of the Verification report of the Project Activity. <u>Verra Response</u> This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.	closed

4	ERR calculation sheets have not submitted for review		
	<u>Issue</u> The ERR calculation sheet have not been submitted for review. <u>Action Required</u> The VVB must ensure that the project proponent submits the ERR calculation sheet to the Verra Registry for review. <u>Program Rule(s)</u>	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> The estimated and actual Emission Reduction Sheets have now been submitted to the Verra.	closed

	N/A	<u>Verra Response</u> Both the ex-ante and ex-post ERRs spreadsheet have been provided. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.	
--	-----	---	--

5	Lack of clarification on cookstove distribution and project start date		
	<u>Issue</u> The ERRs calculations were done considering that all cookstoves started operations on 8 August 2012, however, it is clear how 14,200 cookstoves were distributed on the same day. Further, the VVB in a CL request states that: "The first date of actual handover of ICS to beneficiary was on 08 October 2012 as mentioned in the Emission Reduction (ER) sheet and same date has been considered as first cookstove operation date of the project as per project start date definition of section 3.8 of the VCS Standard V.4.1." So, it is not clear how the start date is aligned with the VCS definition, and also, why the project proponent accounted for ERRs from 8 August. The complete the implementation status is not included in the monitoring report as there are no dates and number of ICS distributed each day/period. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent clarifies how all 14,200 stoves were distributed on the same day as indicated in the excel spreadsheet. 2. The VVB must ensure the project proponent clarifies the project start date to align with the VCS	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> All the calculation has now been done considering the first date of actual handover of ICS to beneficiary was on 08 October 2012. The same has now been updated in the Actual ER sheet. All the cookstove are not distributed on the same day. The Cookstove were distributed between the dates 08-October-2012 and 17-December-2012. The same can be cross checked from the actual ER sheet. The start date of the project activity has been considered as the 08-October-2012 as the first actual handover of ICS to beneficiary had happened on that day. Hence the start day of the project activity has now been aligned to the start date definition of section 3.8 of the VCS Standard V.4.1. The information regarding the cookstove distribution has been incorporated in section 4.3 of the Monitoring Report.	closed

	requirements and why stoves distributed from 8 August 2012 are included in the ERRs calculation.		
	3. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent includes the implementation status of the project to reflect the dates and number of ICS distributed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS standard v4.4, Section 3.8	<u>Verra Response</u> The distribution schedule of the ICS has been clarified, and a complete implementation schedule is included in the project description. This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.	

6 Insufficient assessment of the unique stove identification			
	<u>Issue</u>	Round 1	closed
	It is not clear how the VVB ensured that the cookstoves are only forming part of this project, as there is no information on verification of unique ID numbers in the VCS joint VR/VR <u>Action required.</u> The VVB must assess whether the cookstoves only form part of this project by including information on how they verified the unique stove IDs. <u>Program rule</u> VCS Joint Validation and Verification Report Template v4.2, Section 3.1.	<u>VVB Response</u> Information regarding the verification of the unique ID number has now been incorporated in section 4.1 of the VCS joint Validation and Verification Report.	
		<u>Verra Response</u> This finding is now closed, and no further response is required.	