VCS PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

Project ID	*332*
Project Name	*Dori Alimentos Ltda - Biomass Based Project - Brazil*
Project Proponent	*Dori Alimentos LTDA.*
Methodology	*AMS-I.C. Thermal energy production with or without electricity, v20.0*
Sectoral Scope(s)	*1. Energy (renewable/non-renewable)*
Validation/Verification Body (VVB)	*Earthood Services Private Limited*
Registry	*Markit*

Assessment Criteria	*VCS Standard, v3.7*
Date of First Issue	22 May 2018
Date of Final Issue	14 June 2018

Summary:

An accuracy review of the Dori Alimentos Ltda - Biomass Based Project – Brazil crediting period renwal request has been conducted by Verra in accordance with Section 4.3 of the VCS *Registration and Issuance Process*.

The accuracy review has raised two assessment findings and no minor findings, detailed below. The VVB, in coordination with the project proponent, is hereby required to provide a response to the assessment findings presented in Section 1. The two assessment findings must be addressed to the satisfaction of Verra. The VVB need not address the minor findings during this review.

This findings report may be made publically available. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

1 ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

Finding 1
The instructional text of Section 1.5 of the *Project Description template, v3.3* states "Indicate, and provide justification for, the project start date, specifying the day, month and year." Section 1.5 of project description includes the corresponding project start dates for the two sites included in the project. However, no justification is provided indicating why the start date is appropriate. The project proponent is requested to update the project description to include this information.
VVB Response: It was included in Section 1.5 of the VCS-PD the information that project start date was defined as 01/03/2005 for Marília's office and as 01/12/2004 for Rolândia's office, which are the dates when the substitution of shale oil by renewable biomass has taken place respectively. Therefore, the start date of the project activity was set as 01/12/2004, as it is the date of the first substitution considering both sites.
Verra Response: Verra confirms that Section 1.5 of the project description was updated to include more detailed information justifying the project start date. This update is sufficient to close this finding, and no further action is required.

Finding 2
Section 3.8.5(1) of the *VCS Standard, v3.7* requires that a full reassessment of additionality is not required at a project crediting period renewal. However, regulatory surplus must be demonstrated. Section 2.5 of the project description states "Discussed and approved during validation process." Although it is appropriate to reference the determination of additionality from the original project description, the project description is requested o be updated to more clearly state this as validation is conducted at the crediting period renewal as well. Additionally, the project description is requested to be updated to discuss whether the project still demonstrated regulatory surplus as required by Section 3.8.5(1) of the *VCS Standard*.
VVB Response: The project description was revised in order to demonstrate the actual scenario verified in the site visit to explain the switch from shale oil to natural gas in the headquarter office in Marília. It is stated what could be seen that the change was due to implementation of gas pipeline in the Marília region and the environmental impact advantages regarding when compared to the shale oil. The change did not adversely impacted the additionality of the project activity since the use of natural gas is more expensive than the use of shale oil.

Although the additionality has been discussed during the original validation, it is clear and it is correct the information that the project activity is not mandated by any law, statute or other regulatory framework from the host country or foreign countries.
Verra Response: Verra confirms that the project description now includes a detailed discussion demonstrating regulatory surplus. This update is sufficient to close this finding, and no further action is required.

2 MINOR FINDINGS

No minor findings were raised.

3 ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

On 22 May 2018, Verra issued two findings clarifying information on the project start date and determination of regulatory surplus.

On 11 June 2018, responses and updated documentation was submitted to Verra. These responses and updated to the project description were sufficient to close the findings.

On 14 June 2018, Verra closed the accuracy review and the project can proceed with crediting period renewal.