

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	1210
Project Name	GREEN ENERGY PROJECT AT KUTCH BY POWERICA LIMITED
Review Type	Joint Validation and Verification Approval
Program(s)	VCS Program
Project Proponent	Powerica Limited
Methodology	ACM0002.: “Methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources” Version 20.0
VVB	LGAI Technological Center S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.3
Date of First Issue	8/12/2022
Review Conclusion	Closed
Date of Final Issue	09/01/2023

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	Inconsistent accuracy class reported		
	<p><u>Issue</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The "Description of measurement methods and procedure applied" for parameter EF_{facility,y} in section 5.2 of the Joint PD&MR states that the accuracy class of the meter at WTG yard is 0.2s. Whereas the 'monitoring equipment' row states that their accuracy is 0.5s. Several sections of the VR as well report the accuracy class of the WTG yard meters inconsistently. <p><u>Action Required</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The VVB must ensure that the PP reports the accuracy class of the meters consistently in section 5.2 of the Joint PD & MR. Further, they must also provide a consistent assessment about the same in relevant sections of the Joint Val & VR. <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u></p> <p>VCS Joint Project Description & Monitoring Report Template Ver 4.1, Sections 5.2</p> <p>VCS Joint Validation & Verification Report Template Ver 4.1</p>	<p>Round 1</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Project Participant has updated accuracy class of meter in the Section 5.2 of the joint PD MR. VVB has also corrected the accuracy class of meters as well as reference Sections of Joint Validation and Verification. <p><u>Verra Response</u></p> <p>No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u></p> <p><u>Verra Response</u></p>	Closed

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
2	Relationship with M/s Giriraj		
	<p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The summary section of the VR states that the VVB was appointed by "M/s. Giriraj Enterprises" to perform the Joint</p>	<p>Round 1</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u></p> <p>VVB has corrected the name as POWERICA LIMITED in summary</p>	Closed

<p>validation and verification of the “Green Energy Project at Kutch by Powerica Limited”.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u> The VVB must describe the relationship between M/s. Giriraj Enterprises and the PP which makes them eligible to sign the val/ver contract with the VVB.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard ver.4.3</p> <p>VCS Joint Validation & Verification Report Template Ver4.1</p>	<p>section of Joint Validation and Verification.</p>	
	<p><u>Verra Response</u> No further action is required.</p>	
	<p>Round 2</p>	
	<p><u>VVB Response</u></p>	
	<p><u>Verra Response</u></p>	

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
3	Incorrect capacity stated		
	<p><u>Issue</u> Section 3.1 and 1.4 of the Joint Val & VR states that the Capacity of each WTG is mentioned as 1.25 MW whereas the correct capacity is 1.80 MW.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u> The VVB must describe the basis on which the capacity of each WTG was assessed and the same correctly in the Joint Val & VR.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Joint Validation & Verification Report Template Ver4.1, Section 3.1, 1.4</p>	<p>Round 1</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> VVB has updated the capacity of WTG i.e.1.80 MW in 1.4 and Section 3.1 of Joint Validation & Verification.</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u></p> <p><u>Verra Response</u></p>	Closed

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
4	Incorrect Project link		

<p><u>Issue</u> The CDM project link provided in section 3.2 of the Joint Val & VR is incorrect.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u> The VVB shall include the correct CDM project link in this section of the Joint Val & VR.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>VCS Joint Validation & Verification Report Template Ver 4.1, Section 3.2</i></p>	Round 1	Closed
	<p><u>VVB Response</u> CDM project link has been updated in section 3.2 of the Joint Validation and Verification.</p>	
	<p><u>Verra Response</u> No further action is required.</p>	
	Round 2	
	<p><u>VVB Response</u></p>	
	<p><u>Verra Response</u></p>	

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
5	Incorrect value of parameter $EF_{Grid,CM,y}$ for the first crediting period		
	<p><u>Issue</u> Section 5.1 of Joint PD&MR indicates that for the first crediting period, the value of parameter $EF_{Grid,CM,y}$ 0.9486 tCO₂/MWh. Whereas, this value was fixed at 0.9368 tCO₂/MWh as per section B.6.2 of the CDM PDD version 11 dated 11/11/2019.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u> The VVB describe the basis on which the value of parameter $EF_{Grid,CM,y}$ was accepted despite the fixed value of this parameter being different and lower that the applied value.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>VCS Joint Project Description & Monitoring Report Template Ver 4.1, Sections 5.1</i></p>	Round 1	Closed
		<p><u>VVB Response</u> Parameter $EF_{Grid,CM,y}$ has been corrected to 0.9368 tCO₂ in accordance with the CDM PDD dated 11-November-2019. Due to this ERs have been also changed and accordingly updated in revised Joint Validation and verification.</p>	
		<p><u>Verra Response</u> No further action is required.</p>	
		Round 2	
		<p><u>VVB Response</u></p>	
	<p><u>Verra Response</u></p>		

--	--	--	--