

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	Grid- Connected wind Electricity Generation Project in Tamil Nadu, India
Project Name	498
Review Type	Verification Approval
Program(s)	VCS Program
Verification Period	01/01/2016 – 31/12/2019
Project Proponent	National Enterprises
Methodology	AMS–I.D. Grid Connected Renewable Electricity Generation, v15
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.5
Date of First Issue	21/05/2024
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	17/07/2024

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	Inconsistency on registration status with other GHG programs.		
	<p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>1. The last monitoring report and the registered PD section 1.13 state that the project is registered with CDM. However, in response to CAR No.1, it is stated that the reference to CDM registration was erroneous, even when the Project Proponent (PP) responded to Verra review finding No.2 and confirmed that the project is registered with CDM and provided an undertaking that they project would not seek both CDM and VCS issuance for the same monitoring period. A CDM project (No. 7716) with almost the same title exists.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u></p> <p>1. VVB must clarify how they verified the authenticity of the claim that the reference to CDM registration was erroneous, without any reference to CDM registration number provided.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.5 section 3.9.7.</p>	<p>Round 1</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> CDM 7716 and VCS 498 have almost same project title, but upon investigation of the PDD of CDM 7716 project, it was observed that both the projects are fundamentally different from each other on all parameters like capacity of each WTGs, project site location, start dates, project proponent, emission reductions (tCO₂e) and dates of commissioning of the WTGs. Therefore, it clearly shows that there is no connection between the two projects and there is no issue of double counting regarding the same. Also, the project is not registered under any other GHG registry and the same has been cross-checked and verified from CDM, Gold Standard and GCC Registries. https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/RWTUV1350281752.64/view https://registry.verra.org/app/projectDetail/VCS/498 Hence the claim of PP in CAR01 was accepted.</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> The VVB has clarified that there is no relationship between CDM No. 7716 and VCS No. 498. The VVB has also confirmed that the CDM project description, location, capacities, and installation dates were checked against the VCS project and found to be different. The CDM registry was also checked, and it was confirmed that VCS 498 is not listed there. The process followed by the VVB is exhaustive and acceptable. The issue is closed.</p> <p>Round 2</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p>Round 3</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p>	Closed

		Verra Response (Pending)	
--	--	--------------------------	--

2	Reference to different technology types		
	<p><u>Issue</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Distribution of devices is mentioned under Non-discriminatory Participation in the Project Activity in section 2.3.2 of the MR. Run-off river project is mentioned in section 2.4 of the MR. <p><u>Action Required</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> VVB must ensure that the MR make reference to the correct project type being verified. <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u></p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.3, Section 2.3.2 and 2.4.</p>	Round 1	Closed
		<u>VVB Response</u>	
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Distribution of devices is mentioned under Non-discriminatory Participation in the Project Activity in section 2.3.2 of the MR was a typographical error that has been corrected. Run-off river project mentioned in section 2.4 of the MR was a typographical error that has been corrected. 	
		<u>Verra Response</u>	
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The MR has been updated, and the erroneous mention of devices has been removed. The issue is closed. The MR has been updated, and the erroneous mention of the run-off river has been removed. The issue is closed. 	
		Round 2	
		<u>VVB Response (Pending)</u>	
		<u>Verra Response (Pending)</u>	
Round 3			
<u>VVB Response (Pending)</u>			
<u>Verra Response (Pending)</u>			

3	Incomplete information on project deviations.		
	<p><u>Issue</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The project has not provided the CDM registration number to check and confirm that deviation No. 3 complies with VCS Standard v4.5 section 3.9.7. Justification and background for deviation 4 shall be provided in the MR. <p><u>Action Required</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The VVB must check and confirm the previous 	Round 1	Closed
		<u>VVB Response</u>	
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The project is not registered under the CDM registry so the CDM registration number can't be provided and as per the MR deviation 3 which states that "For the entire monitoring period main meter is used for billing purpose for this monitoring practice and check meter is not installed at the site, and since the meters are under the custody of state electricity board PP has no role in it and thus sought the deviation for the same" has no correlation with the VCS 	

	<p>statements that the project is registered with CDM and confirm the current position on CDM registration. The VVB must also provide in the verification the evidence reviewed to confirm the authenticity of the current position.</p> <p>2. The VVB must ensure that background to any deviation by the project is provided in the verification report.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.5 section 3.9.7. VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.3, Section 3.2.2.</p>	<p>Standard v4.5 section 3.9.7 which states that “Projects registered under other GHG programs are not eligible for VCU issuance beyond the end of the total project crediting period under those programs”</p> <p>2. The justification and background for the deviation 4 has been provided in the MR v3.0 dated 03/06/2024 and are found to be valid.</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The VVB has clarified and provided an explanation that the project is not registered with the CDM scheme. The issue is closed. The MR has been updated and more information on deviation 4 is now provided. The justification provided is acceptable. The issue is closed. <p>Round 2</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p>Round 3</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p>	
--	--	---	--

<p>4</p>	<p>Inconsistency between MR and ERR sheet</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> EGBL_y value in MR is inconsistent with the ERR sheet value. It is stated in the ER sheet that the JMR cycle begins on 15/12/2015, and readings are done on the 15th of each month. However, from Nov 2017, the cycle changed, and this has not been discussed in the monitoring. <p><u>Action Required</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The VVB must ensure consistency of ER values in the 	<p>Round 1</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> EGBL_y value in MR v3.0 dated 03/06/2024 is now made consistent with the ERR sheet value. The changes have been made regarding the JMR cycle in the appendix 2 of the MR v3.0 dated 03/06/2024 which are found to be appropriate. <p><u>Verra Response</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The MR has been updated and the EGBL_y value has been made consistent with ERR sheet. The issue is closed. 	<p>Closed</p>
-----------------	---	---	---------------

	<p>MR and the ERR sheet.</p> <p>2. The VVB must confirm how the consistency of electricity generation was verified and the export reading for each month.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.3, Section 4.1.</p>	<p>2. The PP and the VVB have clarified the changes made to the billing schedule by the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board. The explanation provided is acceptable. The issue is closed.</p> <p>Round 2</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p>Round 3</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p>	
--	--	--	--

5 Inconsistency in the Verification Report			
	<p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>1. The issuance date of the final MR is not consistent with MR issuance date.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u></p> <p>1. The VVB must revise the Verification report and ensure consistency of the reference of the document reviewed.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard, v4.5; Section 2.2.1.</p>	<p>Round 1</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u></p> <p>1. The issuance date of the final MR version 3.0 is now made consistent with MR issuance date in the verification report version 1.0</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u></p> <p>1. The issuance date of the final MR version 3.0 has been updated and made consistent with MR issuance date in the verification report. The issue is closed.</p> <p>Round 2</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p>Round 3</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p>	<p>Closed</p>