

# PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

<b>Project ID</b>	498
<b>Project Name</b>	<i>Grid- Connected wind Electricity Generation Project in Tamil Nadu, India</i>
<b>Program(s)</b>	VCS
<b>Verification Period</b>	<i>01 January 2010 to 31 December 2015</i>
<b>Project Proponent</b>	<i>National Enterprises</i>
<b>Methodology</b>	<i>AMS-I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation, v15</i>
<b>Sectoral Scope(s)</b>	<i>1. Energy (renewable/non-renewable)</i>
<b>Validation/Verification Body (VVB)</b>	<i>LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)</i>
<b>Assessment Criteria</b>	<i>VCS Standard, v4.0</i>
<b>Date of First Issue</b>	04 August 2021
<b>Date of Final Issue</b>	07 October 2021

## Summary:

An accuracy review of the Grid-Connected wind Electricity Generation Project in Tamil Nadu, India verification approval request has been conducted by Verra in accordance with Section 4.3 of the *Registration and Issuance Process*.

The accuracy review has raised two assessment findings and no minor findings, detailed below. The VVB, in coordination with the project proponent, is hereby required to provide a response to the assessment findings presented in Section 1. The two assessment findings must be addressed to the satisfaction of Verra. The VVB need not address the minor findings during this review.

This project review report will be made publicly available. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

## 1. ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

### Finding 1

Section 3.4.3 of the *VCS Standard, v4.1* states that project proponents shall use the relevant *Monitoring Report Template* and adhere to all instructional text within the template.

Section 1.1 of the *Monitoring Report Template* requires the project proponent to include the ERRs achieved this monitoring period.

Section 1.1 of the monitoring report does not contain the ERRs achieved this monitoring period.

The project proponent is requested to update Section 1.1 to include the ERRs achieved this period.

**VB Response:** The ERRs achieved in the Verification Period from 01-January-2010 to 31-December-2015 are now been included in section 1.1 of the revised Monitoring Report. No change in FVR is required.

#### Verra Response:

Section 1.1 has been updated to include the ERRs achieved this period. This finding is closed.

### Finding 2

Section 3.19.1 of the *VCS Standard, v4.1* states that project proponents “shall not seek credit for the same GHG emission reduction or removal under the VCS Program and another GHG program.”

Section 1.10 of the monitoring report states what would happen if the project were to register under the CDM but does not indicate whether or not the project is registered under the CDM, or whether the project plans to register under the CDM.

The project proponent is requested to clarify Section 1.10 of the monitoring report to clarify whether the project is or will be registered under the CDM.

#### VB Response:

The project is registered under CDM, the details of which is provided in section 1.9 of the MR. Project participant provided declaration that the credit for the current monitoring period will be claimed only from VCS. The same is acceptable to the assessment team. No change in FVR is required.

#### Verra Response:

Section 1.10 of the monitoring report clarifies that the project is registered under the CDM but is not claiming credits from this monitoring period. This finding is closed.

### Finding 3

Section 4.1.14 of the *VCS Standard, v4.1* states that “the validation/verification body shall use the *VCS Verification Report Template...* and adhere to all instructional text within the template”.

Section 4.1 of the VCS Verification Report Template, v4.0 instructs the VVB to assess whether the project participated or been rejected under any other GHG programs, received or sought any other form of environmental credit or became eligible to do so, and whether the GHG emission reductions or removals generated by the project were included in an emission trading program or other mechanisms. Furthermore, the VVB did not provide any assessment on the project's sustainable development contributions outlined in Section 1.11 of the monitoring report.

Section 4.1 of the verification report did not include this information. Additionally, the project proponent is listed as a RE generator on the REC Registry of India (see [https://www.recregistryindia.nic.in/index.php/publics/registered\\_regens](https://www.recregistryindia.nic.in/index.php/publics/registered_regens)) which has generated RECs for wind projects in Rajasthan.

The VVB is requested to assess and provide statements about whether the project has participated or been rejected under any other GHG programs, has received or sought any other form of environmental credit or has become eligible to do so, whether the GHG emission reductions or removals generated by the project have become included in an emission trading program or other mechanisms and the project's sustainable development contributions.

**VVB Response:**

The project is registered under CDM, the details of which is provided in section 1.9 of the MR. Project participant provided declaration that the credit for the current monitoring period will be claimed only from VCS. The same is acceptable to the assessment team. No change in FVR is required.

**Verra Response:**

Section 1.10 of the monitoring report clarifies that the project is registered under the CDM but is not claiming credits from this monitoring period. This finding is closed.

## 2. MINOR FINDINGS

No minor findings were raised.

## 3. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

On 04 August 2021 Verra concluded an accuracy review of the Grid- Connected wind Electricity Generation Project in Tamil Nadu, India project and raised the three assessment findings detailed above.

On 04 August 2021 Verra submitted the review report to the project proponent National Enterprises and the VVB Applus+ Certification.

On 17 August 2021 the VVB and project proponent submitted responses to the findings raised in the project review report.

On 7 October 2021 Verra determined the responses to the findings raised were sufficient. Verra closed the review and approved the verification approval request.