

GOLD STANDARD VERIFICATION REPORT

For: CO2logic

REPORT

NO.:GS1340_GS6152VER03_GS6419VER0
2 & GS6420VER01



SUSTAINCERT
KNOW YOUR IMPACT

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 1 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Abbreviations used in this Report

CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CL	Clarification request
CO2	Carbon dioxide
CO2e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DNA	Designated National Authority
DR	Document Review
EF	Emission Factor
ERPA	Emission Reduction Purchase Agreement
ER	Emission Reductions
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
FAR	Forward Action Request
GWP	Global Warming Potential
GS	Gold Standard
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IRR	Internal Rate of Return
kWh	Kilo Watt Hour
MW	Mega Watt
NC	Non-Conformity
NCV	Net Calorific Value
NGO	Non-governmental Organisation
ODA	Official Development Assistance
PDD	Project Design Document
PD	Project Developer
tCO2e	Tonnes of CO2 equivalents
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
BH	Borehole
GS4GG	Gold Standard for the Global Goals
ICS	Improved Cookstove
MWh	MegaWattHour
CH4	Methane
MR	Monitoring Report
N2O	Nitrous Oxide
POA	Programme of Activity
SGP	Safeguarding Principles
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
SC	SustainCERT

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 2 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



TPDDTE	Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralised Thermal Energy
C	Consumption
UN	United Nations
VVB	Validation and Verification Body
VER	Verified Emission Reduction
VPA	Voluntary Project Activity

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 3 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Table of Contents

1 OBJECTIVE AND CRITERIA..... 5

1.1 Objective 5

1.2 SCOPE..... 5

2 Team composition..... 6

3 Project Information..... 6

3.1 Monitoring Period 6

3.2 Annual projections..... 7

4 VERIFICATION/VALIDATION OPINION 7

5 METHODOLOGY..... 10

5.1 Desk Review 10

5.2 Example Protocol..... 10

5.3 Example Review Feedback 11

5.4 Site Visit 12

5.5 ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL..... 13

Appendix: 1- CLARIFICATION REQUESTS, CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS.25

Appendix: 2 - FORWARD ACTION REQUESTS.....38

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 4 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



1 OBJECTIVE AND CRITERIA

1.1 Objective

Gold Standard projects must undergo independent validation/verification of emission reductions and overall compliance with Gold Standard rules as the basis for issuance of Gold Standard Voluntary Emission Reductions (GS VERs).

The objectives of this verification are to determine if the GHG statement and other reporting information is accurate and conforms with the criteria defined in Gold Standard rules.

This report details the objectives, scope, criteria, methodology and findings of this process and a final opinion.

The Gold Standard requires that the final version of this report is published in the public domain. The client to whom this report is addressed therefore acknowledges that the final version of this report will be published unless SustainCERT (SC) are informed in writing within 1 business day following issuance of the final version to the client.

1.2 SCOPE

GHG related activity	Verification
Project Title (s)	GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso – VPA-11–Tiipaalga – F3PA cookstoves in Kourwéogo (GS6152) GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso – VPA-12–Tiipaalga – F3PA cookstoves in Kourwéogo (GS6419) GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso – VPA-13–Tiipaalga – F3PA cookstoves in Kourwéogo (GS6420)
Project ID (s) (i.e.: GS-ID)	GS6152 GS6419 GS6420
GS Project Type	Cookstove
PoA Title (if applicable)	Efficient Cookstoves in Burkina Faso (PoA)
POA ID (if applicable)	GS1340
Responsible Party	Association tiipaalga CO2logic

The scope of verification covers the emissions reductions project in that is prepared in accordance with the Monitoring Report of the GS ID (s) listed above.

Consistent with Gold Standard requirements, only the following GHGs are considered within the scope of the assessment: CO₂ CH₄ N₂O.

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 5 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



2 TEAM COMPOSITION

Validation/Verification Team

Name	Qualification	Coverage of sectoral/technical area	Host country experience	Conducted Site visit / Remote Audit
Nayan Jyoti Deka	TL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (All)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Nayan Jyoti Deka	V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Energy)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Independent Review team and approver

Name	Role	Coverage of technical area
Shivraj Sharma	Independent R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (All)
Shivraj Sharma	Approver	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Energy)

3 PROJECT INFORMATION

3.1 Monitoring Period

Start of Monitoring Period	GS6152 (VPA-11): 01/01/2020 GS6419 (VPA-12): 01/01/2020 GS6420 (VPA-13): 12/01/2020
End of Monitoring period	GS6152 (VPA-11): 31/12/2020 GS6419 (VPA-12): 31/12/2020 GS6420 (VPA-13): 31/12/2020
Total Emission Reductions (Total SDG 13)	VPA 11: 9,486 VPA 12: 9,752 VPA 13: 6,946
Total SDG 3	Proportion of households perceiving: 100% - less often smoke Levels : 100% - incidence of coughing : 100% - incidence of respiratory illness : 100% - incidence of itchy eyes :100%
Total SDG 4	Number of training initiatives for staff involved in the Programme : 1 Number of workshops carried out for women for the group of VPA's : 75
Total SDG 5	Proportion of stove users perceiving reduced amount of time spent on fuel collection:100%

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 6 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



	Proportion of stove users perceiving reduced amount of money spent on wood fuel purchase:100%
Total SDG 7	Number of F3PA efficient cookstoves disseminated for the group of VPA's : VPA-11: 10,387 VPA-12: 10,165 VPA-13: 8,629 Total: 29,181
Date of MR Report	15/07/2022
Version of MR Report	2.0

3.2 Annual projections

Annual Average Emission Reductions (SDG 13)	VPA 11: 9,702 tCO ₂ e VPA 12: 9,787 tCO ₂ e VPA 13: 9,787 tCO ₂ e Total : 29,359 tCO ₂ e
Total SDG 3	Smoke level reduction: >90% Incidence of coughing reduction: >90% Incidence of respiratory illness reduction: >90% Incidence of itchy eyes reduction: >90%
Total SDG 4	Number of trainings initiatives for staff involved in the programme: 1 Number of workshops carried out for women for the group of VPA's: 60 (VPA-11: 20, VPA-12: 20 and VPA-13: 20)
Total SDG 5	Proportion of stove users perceiving reduced amount of time spent on fuel collection: >90% Proportion of stove users perceiving reduced amount of money spent on wood fuel purchase: >90%
Total SDG 7	VPA-11: 6,200 VPA-12: 6,200 VPA-13: 6,200 Total: 18,600

4 VERIFICATION/VALIDATION OPINION

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 7 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Unmodified Opinion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Modified Opinion (see reasons below)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adverse Opinion (see reasons below)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Disclaimer of Opinion	<input type="checkbox"/>

The project representative to whom this report is addressed is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of GHG and other reporting information in accordance with Gold Standard rules.

SustainCERT is responsible for expressing this verification opinion on the GHG and other reporting information based on the evidence gathering procedures documented in this report. The GHG verification was planned and carried out in accordance with ISO 14064-3 (Specification with guidance for the verification and validation of greenhouse gas statements) to provide a reasonable level of assurance that the information is accurate.

Reason for Modified Opinion (if applicable)	N/A
Reason for Adverse Opinion (if applicable)	N/A
Reason for Disclaimer (not issuing) of Opinion (if applicable)	N/A

Conclusion:

Considering the following information and the one provided in this report:

GHG-related activity	Verification
Project Title (s)	GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso – VPA-11–Tiipaalga – F3PA cookstoves in Kourwéogo (GS6152) GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso – VPA-12–Tiipaalga – F3PA cookstoves in Kourwéogo (GS6419) GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso – VPA-13–Tiipaalga – F3PA cookstoves in Kourwéogo (GS6420)
Responsible Party	Association tiipaalga CO2logic
Start of Monitoring Period	GS6152 (VPA-11): 01/01/2020

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 8 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



	GS6419 (VPA-12): 01/01/2020 GS6420 (VPA-13): 12/01/2020
End of Monitoring period	GS6152 (VPA-11): 31/12/2020 GS6419 (VPA-12): 31/12/2020 GS6420 (VPA-13): 31/12/2020
Date of MR Report/PDD	15/07/2022
Version of MR Report/PDD	2.0

Verification period is equal to the monitoring start and end dates mentioned above.

SustainCERT (SC) concludes that:

Verification

The GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements for the aforementioned monitoring period and has been prepared in accordance with the verification criteria and is a materially correct and fair representation of GHG other reporting information. Our opinion refers to reported project's information on GHG emissions and resulting reductions, which were determined using the valid and certified baseline, monitoring plan and other relevant documents.

Based on the information we have assessed; we can confirm that the implementation of the project resulted in the aforementioned emission reductions during the corresponding monitoring period

Verified GHG emission reductions and removals in the above verification period:

Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO ₂ e)
2020	-----	-----	-----	VPA 11: 9,486 VPA 12: 9,752 VPA 13: 6,946
Total	-----	-----	-----	26,184

The conclusion is reached based on the following criteria:

The criteria for this verification are defined in the following documents stated in the Monitoring Report (MR)

- GS4GG Principles & Requirements , ver 1.2
- GS4GG Stakeholder Consultation Requirements ,ver 2.1
- GS4GG Safeguarding Principles & Requirements , ver 1.2
- GS4GG GHG-Emissions-Reduction-Sequestration-Product-Requirements, ver 2.1
- Design Certified PDD (s) version GS6152 (VPA-11) : v.4, GS6419 (VPA-12) : v.4, GS6420 (VPA-13) : v.5

Optional Requirements

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 9 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



- 100-GS4GG-Programme-of-Activity-Requirements-ver 1.2
- Applied methodology The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves - Version 1

Authorised Signatory Name:	Shivraj Sharma, Director, Validation & Verification
Signature	 4E3DA74DBE7A462...
Date of this report approval	19/10/2022
Version of this report	01
Office Location	Luxembourg

The verification / validation of the GHG statement was conducted in accordance with ISO 14064-3 and corresponding GHG scheme.

The responsible party is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the GHG statement in accordance with the criteria.

5 METHODOLOGY

5.1 Desk Review

An initial verification documentary review was conducted by SustainCERT involving

- A review of the data and information presented in the MR to verify their completeness.
- A review of the approved monitoring plan and monitoring methodology
- An evaluation of data management and quality control system used in the generation and reporting of data and information

A Protocol was used to assess each requirement during the execution of assessment activities and is explained below. The completed Protocol is available in section 5.5 below.

5.2 Example Protocol

The name/section of the reporting template is indicated in the top row					
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.
V or I This indicates the type of assessment. V = validation/verification	Details the section and section number in the reporting template (PDD or MR)	Provides a reference to the GS rule	Question used to determine compliance with the rule, or if the rule is applicable	Used to track clarifications or corrective actions raised when the assessment	Conclusion of each assessment question.

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 10 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



The name/section of the reporting template is indicated in the top row					
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.
I = inclusion (a streamlined validation for VPAs)				question does not immediately lead to a conclusion.	

Whenever the assessment question does not immediately lead to a conclusion, clarifications (CLs) and corrective action requests (CARs) are issued as Findings/Comments against the relevant Rule and Assessment Question.

If a Findings is closed, it will result in a conclusion of either OK, a Forward Action Request (FAR) or an Observation (OBS). If a Finding cannot be closed and a requirement cannot be shown to be met, an NC (Non-conformity) is issued.

OK, CARs, CLs, FARs, OBS and NC are further explained below:

- OK - issued when a requirement has been met.
- CAR (Corrective Action Request) - issued if one of the following occurs:
 - There is a risk that emission reductions cannot be monitored or calculated
 - Mistakes have been made in applying assumptions, data or calculations of emission reductions that will impact the quantity of emission reductions
 - Gold Standard requirements have not been shown to be met
- Clarification request (CL) - issued if information is insufficient or not clear enough to determine whether a requirement has been met
- Forward Action Requests (FARs) – issued to highlight issues related to implementation that require review at the next verification
- Observations (OBS) - issued where there may be a possible future non-conformity against a requirement.
- Non-Conformity (NC) – issued if a requirement has not been met and cannot be met.

To demonstrate transparency, all Findings (along with the relevant Rule and Assessment Question) are transferred to a separate Review Feedback table (shown below) to provide a written record of how they are discussed and how the conclusion was reached. A transcript of the Review Feedback is available as Appendix 1, which also includes a list of the Supporting Document (s) provided and Reviewed.

5.3 Example Review Feedback

Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
Copied from the Protocol	Copied from the Protocol	Copied from the Protocol, the nature (and number – e.g. CAR 1/CAR 2) of the Finding is included for traceability	The response should include an explanation of what evidence has been provided in response to the Finding

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 11 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



5.4 Site Visit

A site visit is chosen on the basis of risk assessment.

It was determined during our Risk Analysis that a site was not required because we undertook the previous verification and no significant changes have been made to the project since this verification. No site visit was therefore undertaken.

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 12 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	

5.5 ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL

The Protocol covers the key thematic areas in Gold Standard certification and is tailored to the review type and the mandatory reporting template (MR/PDD). The key thematic areas addressed in the Protocol are:

- GHG emission reductions (known as SDG 13 contributions)
- Other SDG contributions
- Compliance with Safeguarding Principles
- Compliance with Stakeholder Consultation (LSC) requirements

Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information								
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.			
V	I	C	D	Gen	Is the report prepared using the most up to date version of GS4GG template at time of submission	Report is prepared using v1.1 – which is the most up to date version of the GS4GG template	OK	
V	I	C	D	POA KPI	For POAs only, does the GS ID of the programme match the SustainCERT App?	The GS ID of the programme matches the SustainCERT App	OK	
V	I	C	D	MR KPI	Does/do the GS ID (s) of the project (s) match the SustainCERT App?	The GS ID (s) of the VPAs matches the SustainCERT App	OK	
V	I	C	D	MR KPI	For first monitoring periods only, is the date of design certification correctly stated?	CAR#1: The dates of design certification are inconsistent with the MR of previous MP.	Pending Closed	
				MR KPI	P&R 5.1.39 Was an annual report submitted or verification completed within the last 2 calendar years?	As per the SC App, the last verification was completed in 2020 and the current verification is submitted in year 2021, which means an annual report was not required.	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 13 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
	MR KPI	P&R 4.1.45	Does the version of Methodology (ies) applied match the PDD/VPA-DD?	The version of the methodology was checked and it matches the PDD/VPA-DD	OK	
	MR KPI	P&R 4.1.45	Have the correct product requirements been applied as per the PDD/VPA-DD?	This is a carbon offset project, GHG product requirements has been applied correctly as per the PDD/VPA-DD	OK	
	MR KPI	GHG Reqs 10.5.2	If both Renewable Energy Activity and Renewable Energy Labels requirements are applied and the project is grid connected, have all relevant registries that could hold REACs from the project activity been checked for double counting?	Not applicable - both Renewable Energy Activity and Renewable Energy Labels are not applied.	OK	
	T1		Do the 3 SDG Impacts achieved match E.4	CAR#2: The summarised SDG impacts in table 1 does not include the parameter "Reduced amount of money spent on wood fuel purchase" which is reported in E.4. Besides, the parameter for SDG5 is "Average time saving (in hours) per woman per week" but the PP reports the results in %.	Pending Closed	
	T2	P&R 4.1.45	Is the vintage break calculated correctly (and has considered microscale projects whose cap on emission reductions must not be crossed either in a vintage or in a monitoring year.	The monitoring period includes 1 calendar year (2020) and the emission reductions are consistent with the submitted spreadsheet. This is a microscale project and it is confirmed that no vintage, or monitoring year contains more than 10,000 VERs.	OK	
	A.1	P&R 4.1.19 (c)	Does the project information correlate with the PDD/VPA-DD? (small differences in numbers are	Section A in the VPA-DD matches the monitoring report, confirming that the reported project is the same as the design certified project.	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 14 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
			ok, a perfect match is not required)?			
	A.2.	P&R 3.1.1 (c)	Does the project location match the PDD/VPA-DD?	The project location is the same as per the VPA-DD.	OK	
	A.3.		Does the methodology/tools/mandatory GS guidelines etc all match the PDD/VPA-DD, OR the entry into force date?	The methodology and tools applied in the PDD and match the versions applied in the monitoring report. All mandatory GS guidelines are applied in line with the entry into force date.	OK	
	A.3	GS RU	If a project applies TPDDTEC/aDALYs/ Methodology for Improved Cook-stoves and Kitchen Regimes/ Black Carbon and Co-emitted Species and the project fuel is a solid or gas have the most up to date GS Usage Rate guidelines been referenced?	The project does not apply TPDDTEC/aDALYs/ Methodology for Improved Cook-stoves and Kitchen Regimes/ Black Carbon and Co-emitted Species, so this requirement is not applicable	OK	
	A.4.	P&R 4.1.19 (c)	Does the crediting period match the PDD/VPA-DD?	CAR#3: The start date of crediting period for GS6420 in the monitoring report does not match the design certified version of the VPA-DD (01/01/2019)	Pending Closed	
	B.2.3.	P&R 5.1.37	If the project is retroactive, is the crediting period start date not more than 2 years before the date of design certification?	The project is not retroactive so this is not applicable.	OK	
	B.1.1		Are any forward actions declared correctly and addressed?	CAR#4: The following FARs were not addressed in section B.1.1: Forward Action Request # 1: PD shall submit the proof of start date for VPA-12 and VPA-13 at the stage of first performance certification	Pending Closed	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 15 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
	B.2.1	DC Reqs 3.1.4; 3.1.5	Are any temporary deviations beyond the control of the developer, demonstrably conservative and a declaration exists that they will last no longer than one monitoring period?	There were no temporary deviations reported	OK	
	B.2.2.		If corrections are present, have these been applied in calculations?	Not applicable - there were no corrections	OK	
	B.2.3.	DC Reqs 3.1.3	If the crediting period stated in the PDD/VPA-DD has been changed and it is between 1-2 years, has the project demonstrated that no changes have occurred to the project activity that would result in a less conservative baseline, or update the baseline using conservative data	CL#1: The crediting period stated in in the monitoring report been changed to 12/01/2020, which is less than 2 years later than the design certified version of the VPA-DD. The PP is requested to justify that no changes have occurred that would result in a less conservative baseline following the GS requirement: <i>At the time of verification, the project should:</i> <i>i. Demonstrate that no changes have occurred to the project activity that would result in a less conservative baseline, or update the baseline using conservative data.</i> <i>ii. Demonstrate that substantive progress has been made by the project developer to start the project activity</i>	Pending Closed	
	B.2.3.	DC Reqs 3.1.3	If the crediting period stated in the PDD/VPA-DD has been changed and it is between 2-4 years and the project is not in an LDC, LLDC and SIDC. has the project demonstrated that: ● that the project activity remains additional.	Not applicable - the crediting period in the monitoring report matches the design certified version of the VPA-DD	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 16 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> that the original baseline scenario established in the registered PDD remains valid, or update the baseline scenario using the latest data, as appropriate. if the methodology version has been updated, the defaults for e.g., emission factors etc. shall be updated in line with the recent methodology version. <p>that substantive progress has been made by the project participants to start the project activity</p>			
	B.2.4.		Have any approved design changes been referenced correctly, including with evidence of approval via a Design Change Approval form, or deviation request form?	Not applicable – no design changes have been made to the original project design	OK	
	B.2.4.	GS P&R 4.1.49 (c)	For design changes to include <u>new technology/measures</u> , was a request been submitted within one year to confirm eligibility of the design change? (distributed technology projects may increase technologies as compared to the PDD/VPA-DD without a design	Not applicable – no design changes to include new technology/measures have been made to the original project design	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 17 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
			change, as long as the difference is beyond the control of the developer 3.1.5 (h))			
	B.2.4.	P&R 5.1.38	Is crediting of the Design Change component limited to two years prior to the date of Design Change Approval?	Not applicable – no design changes have been made to the original project design	OK	
	C		Does the monitoring system and the monitoring plan match the Design Certified PDD/VPA-DD?	The monitoring system and plan matches the Design Certified VPA-DD	OK	
	D.1	Meth	For SDG 13, do the fixed ex ante values match the Design Certified PDD/VPA-DD	Ex-ante parameters have been checked and match the Design Certified VPA-DD	OK	
	D.1	P&R 4.1.15	For other SDGs, do the fixed ex ante values match the Design Certified PDD/VPA-DD	Fixed ex ante values have been checked and they match the Design Certified VPA-DD	OK	
	D.1	GS RU	All <u>vintages</u> post 01/01/2021* must use AR5 Global Warming Potentials to calculate emission reductions and removals. AR4 to AR5 GWP summary: CH4 - 25 to 28 N2O - 298 to 265 All vintages pre 31/12/2020 must use AR4.	CAR#5: Section D.1: according to the GS rules, only the period starting 01 January 2021 will use the AR5 GWP values. The current MP is 2020 vintage so AR4 GWP values must be applied consistently with the registered VPA DD.	Pending Closed	
	D.2	Meth	For SDG 13, do the monitored parameter boxes match the Design Certified PDD/VPA-DD	Monitored parameters have been checked and match the Design Certified VPA-DD	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 18 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
	D.2.	Meth	For SDG 13, has <u>verifiable</u> supporting evidence for each <u>high-risk</u> monitoring parameter been provided?	CL#2: Np,y: in the database, there are cases that the households having the same GPS location. The PP shall exclude the duplication from the database: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • hkt3-xrah-jqeg • jgww-u628-4gbf • tpx-9edb-jerp • s1w2-ed10-n1as • gb89-25r6-n3 • 97me-s1h6-7cgk 	Pending Closed	
	D.2.	GS RU	For projects applying the Usage Survey Requirements and Guidelines (TPDDTEC/aDALYs/ Methodology for Improved Cookstoves and Kitchen Regimes/ Black Carbon and Co-emitted Species) are usage rates reported for each age group, inclusive of the cap (where required) at each age group?	Not applicable, this project does not apply the Usage Survey Requirements and Guidelines	OK	
	D.2	GS RU	For projects applying the Usage Survey Requirements and Guidelines is the weighted Usage rate reported as Upy?	Not applicable, this project does not apply the Usage Survey Requirements and Guidelines	OK	
	D.2		If values are measured and capped by a methodology, are both the measured and capped values used for calculations reported. Measured values must be reported in brackets.	Not applicable, no methodology caps apply	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 19 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
	D.2	P&R 4.1.15	For Other SDGs, do the monitored parameter boxes match the Design Certified PDD/VPA-DD	Monitored parameters have been checked and they match the Design Certified VPA-DD	OK	
	D.2.	P&R 4.1.15	For Other SDGs, has supporting evidence been provided?	Monitoring survey results were examined for the SDG contributions and were found to match the reported values.	OK	
	D.3.		For >2 nd MP of Community Service Activities only, have any increases in <u>key</u> parameters ideally in tabular form (e.g. usage, fuel savings) been justified? (noting that numbers of distributed technologies commonly differ from projections in the PDD/VPA-DD and this is beyond PD control)	CL#3: Section D.3: The PP is requested to provide the comparison of all monitored parameters in section D.2 with last monitoring period. The PP is requested to clarify how the project could help to reduce 100% of spent time and money while the project stove still use the baseline fuel.	Pending Closed	
	D.4.		For any parameters that were sampled, has the following been demonstrated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Description of implemented sampling design; (b) Collected data; (c) Analysis of the collected data; (d) Demonstration that the required confidence/precision level has been met; 	Parameters Usage result were sampled and met the requirement of the methodology. The description of sampling shows that the samples were drawn at random and are representative of the population.	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 20 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
			Demonstration that the samples were randomly selected and are representative of the population			
	E.1	Meth	For SDG 13, Is the baseline calculated correctly to match the PDD/VPA-DD? (some methodology equations calculate a net benefit without elaborating a separate baseline and project situation, this should be marked clearly where this is the case).	The baseline calculation has been checked and is in line with the VPA-DD	OK	
	E.1	P&R 4.1.15	For Other SDGs, Is the baseline calculated correctly to match the PDD/VPA-DD?	The baseline calculation has been checked and is in line with the VPA-DD	OK	
		Meth	For SDG 13, Is the project scenario calculated correctly to match the PDD/VPA-DD? (some methodology equations calculate a net benefit without elaborating a separate baseline and project situation, this should be marked clearly where this is the case).	The project scenario calculation has been checked and is in line with the VPA-DD	OK	
	E.2.	P&R 4.1.15	For Other SDGs, Is the project scenario calculated correctly to match the PDD/VPA-DD?	The project scenario calculation has been checked and is in line with the VPA-DD	OK	
	E.3.	Meth	SDG 13 only, is leakage calculated correctly as per the PDD/VPA-DD?	The calculation of leakage is in line with the VPA-DD	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 21 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
	E.4.	Meth	For SDG 13, Is the net benefit calculated correctly as per the PDD/VPA-DD?	CL#4: According to the sales distribution, all the stoves under VPA 11 were distributed within 2018 which is under AG2-3 however, in the ER calculation spreadsheet, the ER for AG1-2 was also achieved. Please clarify.	Pending Closed	
	E.4.	P&R 4.1.15	For Other SDGs, is the net benefit calculated correctly as per the PDD/VPA-DD?	The net benefit calculation is in line with the VPA-DD	OK	
	E.4.		Has the net benefit been transferred to Table 1 correctly for each SDG impact (table 1 includes the units and full name of the SDG as per the PDD/VPA-DD)?	CAR#2: The summarised SDG impacts in table 1 does not include the parameter “Reduced amount of money spent on wood fuel purchase” which is reported in E.4. Besides, the parameter for SDG5 is “Average time saving (in hours) per woman per week” but the PP reports the results in %.	Pending Closed	
	E.4	MS Reqs 2.1.1 (c)	For microscale projects, are the annual emission reductions achieved (SDG 13 net benefit) capped to a maximum of 10,000 tonnes of CO2eq per calendar year/vintage?	The claimed VERs for year 2020 is no more than 10,000 tCO2e.	OK	
	E.4	GHG Reqs 5.1.4	For small scale VER projects, has the energy or co2 (60MWh/180 GWhth/60KTco2) cap been complied with? (CDM Type i/ii/iii projects)	Not applicable – this is a microscale project	OK	
	E.5.	P&R 1.2.6	Has a like for like comparison of ex ante (estimated) and achieved SDG Impacts been provided?	CAR#6: Section E.5: The SDG5 indicators are inconsistent with the registered VPA DD.	Pending Closed	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 22 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
	E.5.1	P&R 1.2.6	Has the explanation of any different assumptions been clearly stated?	Not applicable, all assumptions are the same.	OK	
	E.6.	P&R 1.2.6	Are any increases adequately justified/seem reasonable? (noting that numbers of technologies and parameters may naturally vary and this is beyond PD control)	An Increase was reported compared to the ex ante estimate, this increase is no more than around 10% and has been adequately justified by the project developer.	OK	
	F.	SGP Reqs 2.1.1 C	Are mitigation measures part of the monitoring plan reported on in a manner matching the PDD/VPA-DD and met correctly?	Not applicable – there were no mitigation measures added to the monitoring plan	OK	
	G.1.	P&R 4.1.43 C	Are all comments from the CIGM reported?	CL#5: Section G: The PP is requested to provide the photo of the grievance expression book at site.	Pending Closed	
	G.1.	P&R 4.1.43 C	Are comments from the CIGM that are not fully addressed declared and an appropriate follow on action stated?	Not applicable – there were no comments that were not adequately addressed.	OK	
	G.2	SC Reqs 9.1.1 (a)	Were any mitigation measures agreed to be monitored in the PDD/VPA-DD (section E.1/or different section in old versions)?	Not applicable – there were no mitigation measures agreed to be monitored	OK	
	G.3.	P&R 3.1.1 (d)	In cases where a project has not reported on legal challenges, is there a formal declaration that no legal challenges have arisen during the monitoring period?	Not applicable – a formal declaration that no legal challenges arose during the monitoring period is present.	OK	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 23 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Project Design Document / VPA Design Document - General/FARs & Key Project Information						
Type	Ref	Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Conc.	
	G.3.	P&R 3.1.1 (d)	In cases where a project has reported on legal challenges, has the full extent been described?	Not applicable – a formal declaration that no legal challenges arose during the monitoring period is present in G.3	OK	
	G.3.	P&R 3.1.1 (d)	In cases where a project has reported on legal challenges, is the project in compliance with the Host Country's legal, environmental, ecological and social regulations?	Not applicable – a formal declaration that no legal challenges arose during the monitoring period is present in G.3	OK	
			Suggestions			

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 24 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	

Appendix: 1- CLARIFICATION REQUESTS, CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS

Review Feedback Round:	i
Supporting Document (s) provided and Reviewed	<p>Round 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● (1)_GS1340_GS6419 (MP1)_GS6152 (MP2)_Internal Verification_Final round-27102020.pdf ● (1)101.1-T-MR_GS1340_VPA-11&12_GS6152-MP2_GS6419-MP1_v2.0_clean.pdf ● (1)CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Sourgoubila Zoundri.pdf ● (1)VPA 11_GS1340_GS6152_Internal Verification_MP1_Final round@17032020.pdf ● (4)Resultats_definitifs_RGPH_2006_with EN translation.pdf ● Bilan AGV-AS-formations VPA 111213_2021 - with comments.pdf ● Compte rendu de formation des enquêteurs verification An3_with comments.pdf ● CR animation sensibilisation sur utilisation F3PA Boussé Kinana.pdf ● CR construction Sourgoubila Guella Souka.pdf ● CR entretien des F3PA Boussé Goulmidou.pdf ● CR entretien des F3PA Boussé Kindpalga.pdf ● CR entretien des F3PA Boussé Sao.pdf ● CR entretien des F3PA Toéghin Bendogo.pdf ● CR entretien des F3PA Toéghin Sandogo.pdf ● CR Formation ME construction Sourgoubila Sanon.pdf ● CR Formation ME melange banco Sourgoubila Sanon.pdf ● CR maintien des F3PA à état vert Sourgoubila Salsé.pdf ● CR maintien des F3PA à état vert Sourgoubila Songnaba.pdf ● CR maintien des F3PA Toéghin Douré.pdf ● CR maintien des F3PA Toéghin Goalla.pdf ● CR maintien des F3PA Toéghin Im Kouka.pdf ● CR melange de banco Sourgoubila Diguila.pdf

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 25 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



- CR Melange de banco Sourgoubila Guella Souka.pdf
- CR melange de banco Sourgoubila Sandogo Bagayiri.pdf
- CR Melange de banco Sourgoubila sect1.pdf
- CR pour recyclage Construction Niou Soudogotenga.pdf
- CR pour recyclage Construction Sourgoubila Lao Sanogo.pdf
- CR pour recyclage Construction Sourgoubila Nakamtenga.pdf
- CR pour recyclage Construction Sourgoubila Sandogo Bagayiri.pdf
- CR pour recyclage Construction Sourgoubila Sourgoubila sect1.pdf
- CR pour recyclage des ME de Niou Tangèghin.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Boussé Kinana.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Niou Gargo.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Niou Koukin.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Niou Mouni.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Niou Niapa.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Niou Raongo.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Niou Sakouli.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Niou Wa.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Toéghin Listenga.pdf
- CR pour recyclage ME de Toéghin Nahartenga.pdf
- CR pour recyclage Mélange banco Sourgoubila Lao Sanogo.pdf
- CR pour recyclage Melange banco Sourgoubila Nakamtenga.pdf
- CR pour recyclage Mélange Niou Sourou.pdf
- CR prise de contact avec les utilisatrices F3PA Boussé Kinana.pdf
- CR prise de contact et sensibilisation sur les F3PA Boussé Sao.pdf
- CR Réparation des F3PA Boussé Goalla.pdf
- CR réparation des F3PA Toéghin Doanghin.pdf
- CR réparation des F3PA Toéghin Moetenga.pdf
- CR reprise des activités de construction des F3PA Sourgoubila sect1.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur F3PA Toéghin Toussoutenga Toussoutenga.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Boussé Kourian.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Boussé Secteur 2.pdf

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 26 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Garga.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou koukin.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Mouni.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Nabzigma.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Natenga.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Niapa.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Raongo.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Sakouli.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Sondogotenga.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Sourou.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Tanghin.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Tangsèghin.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Wa.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Niou Yarcé.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Toéghin Sotenga Sotenga.pdf
- CR Sensibilisation sur les F3PA Toéghin Youbga.pdf
- CR sensibilisation sur utilisation des F3PA Niou Gasgo.pdf
- CR sensibilisation sur utilisation des F3PA Toéghin Gogse.pdf
- CR spécifique comme nouveau village Sourgoubila Sanon.pdf
- CR Technique de construction Sourgoubila Diguila.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Boussé Gasma.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Boussé Goundrin.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Sourgoubila Bouanga.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Sourgoubila Diguila.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Sourgoubila Koala.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Sourgoubila Lao.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Sourgoubila Sandogo.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Sourgoubila Zoundri.pdf
- CR utilisation et entretien des F3PA Toéghin Nahartenga.pdf
- DR_Tiipaalga_VPA-11_HH_20210406_v1.0.xlsx
- DR_Tiipaalga_VPA-11_IC3_20210406_v1.0.xlsx

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 27 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



- GS 1340 - VPA 11 - ER_MP3_v1.0.xlsx
- GS1340 - VPA-11 MP3_VPA-12_MP2_VPA-13_MP1 - Consolidated ER calculation v1.0.xlsx
- GS1340_List of surveyed households_MP1_0-1_20210705.pdf
- GS1340_List of surveyed households_MP2_1-2_20210705.pdf
- GS1340_List of surveyed households_MP3_2-3_20210705.pdf
- GS1340_Monitoring Survey_VPA-11 MP3_VPA-12 MP2_VPA-13 MP1.xls
- GS1340_MS_VPA-11_MP3_VPA-12_MP2_VPA-13_MP1_20210705_v1.0.xlsx
- GS1340_VPA11- MP3_VPA12-MP2_VPA13-MP1_MR_v1.0.pdf
- Tiipaalga_DR_VPA-11_ICS_MP3_20210406_Recent date per HH_v1.0.xlsx
- Tiipaalga_MS_Sampling_VPA-11-12-13_MP3_20210406_v1.0.xlsx
- Contract VPA-12 2657-01(1).pdf
- 101.1-OR-POA-T-GS4GG_VPA-DD_PoA GS1340 - VPA-13 - tiipaalga - F3PA cookstoves in the Province Kourweogo_20190522 v4.0_clean.pdf
- 20220715-Grievance book pictures
- GS 1340 - VPA 11 - ER_MP3_v2.0.xls
- GS 1340 - VPA 12 - ER_MP2_v2.0.xls
- GS 1340 - VPA 13 - ER_MP1_v2.0.xls
- GS1340 - VPA-11 MP3_VPA-12_MP2_VPA-13_MP1 - Consolidated ER calculation v2.0.xls
- GS1340_GS6152_GS6419_GS6420_Verification_Findings_Round I_reply 2.0.doc
- (1)GS1340_Monitoring Survey_VPA-11 MP3_VPA-12 MP2_VPA-13 MP1.xls
- (1)Tiipaalga_DR_VPA-12_ICS_MP2_20210406_Recent date per HH_v1.0.xls
- (1)Tiipaalga_MS_Sampling_VPA-11-12-13_MP3_20210406_v1.0.xls
- DR_Tiipaalga_VPA-12_HH_20210406_v1.0.xls
- DR_Tiipaalga_VPA-12_ICS_20210406_v1.0.xls
- GS1340_VPA11- MP3_VPA12-MP2_VPA13-MP1_MR_v2.0_clean(2).pdf
- GS1340_VPA11- MP3_VPA12-MP2_VPA13-MP1_MR_v2.0_track(1).pdf
- (1)DR_Tiipaalga_VPA-13_HH_20210406_v1.0.xls
- (1)DR_Tiipaalga_VPA-13_ICS_20210406_v1.0.xls
- Niou Goabga Poédogo VPA13 1812 01.pdf
- 1011-O~1.pdf (revised VPA_DD track change)

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 28 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 1011-O~2.pdf (revised VPA_DD clean)•
--	---

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 29 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
	For first monitoring periods only, is the date of design certification correctly stated?	CAR#1: The dates of design certification are inconsistent with the MR of previous MP.	The date of design certification of the VPA were revised to 27/09/2019 based on the information available on the new SustainCERT Platform. New revised version of the MR is available under documents: 'GS1340_VPA11- MP3_VPA12-MP2_VPA13-MP1_MR_v2.0_track' & 'GS1340_VPA11- MP3_VPA12-MP2_VPA13-MP1_MR_v2.0_clean'
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
	Do the 3 SDG Impacts achieved match E.4	CAR#2: The summarised SDG impacts in table 1 does not include the parameter "Reduced amount of money spent on wood fuel purchase" which is reported in E.4. Besides, the parameter for SDG5 is "Average time saving (in hours) per woman per week" but the PP reports the results in %.	The SDG 5 indicator "Reduced amount of money spent on wood fuel purchase" is well included in the Table 1 but is now revised to "Proportion of stove users perceiving reduced amount of money spent on wood fuel purchase" in alignment with the phrasing used in approved VPA-DDs. Similar correction was done for the indicator "Reduced amount of time spent on wood fuel collection" which was revised to "Proportion of stove users perceiving reduced amount of time spent on fuel collection" Those changes were made consistent along the revised MR v2.0. Section E.4. point c) SDG 5, Gender equality was also revised and amended accordingly.
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 30 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
P&R 4.1.19 (c)	Does the crediting period match the PDD/VPA-DD?	CAR#3: The start date of crediting period for GS6420 in the monitoring report does not match the design certified version of the VPA-DD (01/01/2019)	For VPA-13-GS6420 a new version of the VPA-DD (v.5) including the change of project start date was submitted on the 16/11/2021 along with all the other documents relevant to the verification. As stated on Section B.2.3. of MR: <i>"The start date of crediting period of VPA-13 differs from the start date in the registered PDD (v.4) of VPA-13 (01/02/2019). This start date was revised in the new version of the PDD (v.5) to 12/01/2020 due to a delay in starting up the installations of the cookstoves. Evidence of the project start date, hence installation of the first F3PA of the VPA 13 can be found in document : "Niou Goabga Poédogo VPA13 1812 01"."</i>
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
	Are any forward actions declared correctly and addressed?	R#4: The following FARs were not addressed in section B.1.1:Forward Action Request # 1: PD shall submit the proof of start date for VPA-12 and VPA-13 at the stage of first performance certification	Section B.1.1 was amended with the information regarding the project start dates for VPA-12 & VPA-13.
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 31 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
DC Regs 3.1.3	If the crediting period stated in the PDD/VPA-DD has been changed and it is between 1-2 years, has the project demonstrated that no changes have occurred to the project activity that would result in a less conservative baseline, or update the baseline using conservative data	<p>CL#1: The crediting period stated in in the monitoring report been changed to 12/01/2020, which is less than 2 years later than the design certified version of the VPA-DD. The PP is requested to justify that no changes have occurred that would result in a less conservative baseline following the GS requirement:</p> <p><i>At the time of verification, the project should:</i></p> <p><i>i. Demonstrate that no changes have occurred to the project activity that would result in a less conservative baseline, or update the baseline using conservative data.</i></p> <p><i>ii. Demonstrate that substantive progress has been made by the project developer to start the project activity</i></p>	<p>In the former version (v.4, document “101.1-OR-POA-T-GS4GG_VPA-DD_PoA GS1340 - VPA-13 - tiipaalga - F3PA cookstoves in the Province Kourweogo_20190522 v4.0_clean”) of the design certified VPA-DD, the following is specified in footnote 35:</p> <p>“The PP may decide later on to postpone the starting date of the crediting period of the project. In this case, GS Secretariat would be informed accordingly.” The same was revised in the new version (v.5) of the VPA 13-DD.</p> <p>The project start date was indeed postponed from 01/05/2019 to 12/01/2020 (8.5 months) which is still within the two years of the design certification date (27/09/2019). The reason for the lag is that the implementation and construction of the in-situ ICS are heavily dependent on seasonal variations. During the rainy season (June till November), people in rural communities are busy with field works. In addition to their absence, it is more difficult to respect the construction constraints with the rains (drying time for the F3PA cookstoves).</p>
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
GS RU	All <u>vintages</u> post 01/01/2021* must use AR5 Global Warming Potentials to calculate emission reductions and removals. AR4 to AR5 GWP summary:	CAR#5: Section D.1: according to the GS rules, only the period starting 01 January 2021 will use the AR5 GWP values. The current MP is 2020 vintage so AR4 GWP values must be applied consistently with the registered VPA DD.	<p>GWP values were corrected and aligned with AR4 GWP values. In section D.1, the parameter $EF_{b,fuel,non_CO2}$ was corrected appropriately. The ER estimations were also revised in documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GS 1340 - VPA 11 - ER_MP3_v2.0

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 32 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
	CH4 - 25 to 28 N2O - 298 to 265 All vintages pre 31/12/2020 must use AR4.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● GS 1340 - VPA 12 - ER_MP2_v2.0 ● GS 1340 - VPA 13 - ER_MP1_v2.0 ● GS1340 - VPA-11 MP3_VPA-12_MP2_VPA-13_MP1 - Consolidated ER calculation v2.0 <p>The corrections in ER were made consistent along MR v2.0 track & clean.</p>
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
Meth	For SDG 13, has <u>verifiable</u> supporting evidence for each <u>high-risk</u> monitoring parameter been provided?	<p>#2: Np,y: in the database, there are cases that the households having the same GPS location. The PP shall exclude the duplication from the database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● hkt3-xrah-jqeg ● jgww-u628-4gbf ● tpx-9edb-jerp ● s1w2-ed10-n1as ● gb89-25r6-n3 ● 97me-s1h6-7cgk 	<p>The identified households share indeed the same GPS locations but are distinct entities. They differ by the name of the household's head (see display name). The distinction is confirmed also by the picture of the women associated with the households.</p> <p>The reason why the GPS location is the same is because in rural villages, relatives (but not necessarily same household) tend to live around the same spot. Each individual house (household) are gathered around one shared court. Although, the wives cook only for her household, defined by the household's head.</p> <p>At the time of the visit of the surveyor for the elaboration of the project database, it seems that he/she conducted the different records for the distinct households at the same spot. Appropriate reminders will be sent to avoid that kind of situation in the future.</p>

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 33 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response		
			IDMEN AGE	DISPLAY NAME	Picture
			hkt3- xrah- jqeg	VPA 11 - Sawadogo Alizeta - 3568 - Sebogo Daniel	https://akvoflow-61.s3.amazonaws.com/images/25fb0c25-ed29-4dcd-afb9-f57adef56ede.jpg
			jpgww- u628- 4gbf	VPA 11 - Sawadogo Alizeta - 3569 - Sebogo Michel	https://akvoflow-61.s3.amazonaws.com/images/81caca75-cbba-4b83-a588-47d2c1029261.jpg
			tpkx- 9edb- jerp	VPA 11 - Sawadogo Alizeta - 3664 - Sebogo Julbert	https://akvoflow-61.s3.amazonaws.com/images/ad825534-571e-4920-9607-6ccc7e3a2e6f.jpg
			s1w2- ed10- n1as	VPA 11 - Sawadogo Alizeta - 3663 - Sebogo Michel	https://akvoflow-61.s3.amazonaws.com/images/9157421a-a753-4936-bef4-bd3b075f40af.jpg

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 34 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response	
				467-40b0-47d8-8c0a-3b0599528257.jpg
			gb89-25r6-n3	VPA 12 - Sawadogo Alizeta - 3125 - NIKIEMA PAULINE https://akvoflow-61.s3.amazonaws.com/images/bdd68986-857f-4e16-b290-98521dde0ea6.jpg
			97me-s1h6-7cgk	VPA 12 - Sawadogo Alizeta - 3126 - Nikiema julienne https://akvoflow-61.s3.amazonaws.com/images/f5230a42-6051-46aa-932d-283d5dc93163.jpg
			y9j1-rms4-tg2w	VPA 13 - Pare Awa - 1321 - ouedraogo adama https://akvoflow-61.s3.amazonaws.com/images/ea8eb81f-f1c7-4a49-834a-7c7a2c6ae34f.jpg
			edkw-xatk-h31v	VPA 13 - Pare Awa - 1320 - sawadogo yamba https://akvoflow-61.s3.amazonaws.com/images/c7b77488-d719-4039-ae38-4756d912668a.jpg

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 35 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
<p>For >2nd MP of Community Service Activities only, have any increases in <u>key</u> parameters ideally in tabular form (e.g. usage, fuel savings) been justified? (noting that numbers of distributed technologies commonly differ from projections in the PDD/VPA-DD and this is beyond PD control)</p>	<p>CL#3: Section D.3: The PP is requested to provide the comparison of all monitored parameters in section D.2 with last monitoring period. The PP is requested to clarify how the project could help to reduce 100% of spent time and money while the project stove still use the baseline fuel.</p>	<p>Section D.3. of MRv2.0 was revised to include other monitored parameters in the comparison of MP2 vs. MP3.</p> <p>Besides, the SDG 5 indicator was revised to be in alignment with the approved VPA-DD. Based on the monitoring survey results (document: 'GS1340_MS_VPA-11_MP3_VPA-12_MP2_VPA-13_MP1_20210705'/Tab: 'Analysis'), it appears that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% of the 537 surveyed households that collect wood fuel acknowledge to collect wood less frequently since the installation of the F3PA improved cookstove. • 100% of the 23 surveyed households that spend money to buy wood fuel acknowledge to spend less for it since the installation of the F3PA improved cookstove.
Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 36 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	



Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
Meth	For SDG 13, Is the net benefit calculated correctly as per the PDD/VPA-DD?	CL#4: According to the sales distribution, all the stoves under VPA 11 were distributed within 2018 which is under AG2-3 however, in the ER calculation spreadsheet, the ER for AG1-2 was also achieved. Please clarify.	The monitoring period of VPA-11 (MP3) covers the period 01/01/2020 till 31/12/2020. As an example, a household equipped with F3PA cookstoves on the 01/03/2018 would have spent 2 months in AG 1-2 and the remaining 10 months in AG2-3 during MP3. More details can be found in the document with calculation of technology.days: 'Tiipaalga_DR_VPA-11_IC_S_MP3_20210406_Recent date per HH_v1.0'.
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
P&R 1.2.6	Has a like for like comparison of ex ante (estimated) and achieved SDG Impacts been provided?	CAR#6: Section E.5: The SDG5 indicators are inconsistent with the registered VPA DD.	The SDG 5 indicator was revised to be in alignment with the approved VPA-DD.
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Rule	Assessment Question	Findings/Comments	Developer Response
P&R 4.1.43 C	Are all comments from the CIGM reported?	CL#5: Section G: The PP is requested to provide the photo of the grievance expression book at site.	Pictures of the grievance book can be found in document: "Grievance book F3PA Kourweogo_with comments".
	Rd 2	GS Response (Round II) This comment is closed	

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 37 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	

Appendix: 2 - FORWARD ACTION REQUESTS

N/A

Prepared/changed by: Eric Tolcach	Approved by: Javier Castro	Page 38 of 38
File name: \$74e57a893efd\$A215D2B636D74DB2932C051E420B9192.doc	Version / Date: 02 / 12/05/2022	