

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Registration & verification
Project ID	2895
Project Name	Guizhou Jinyan CMM Power Generation Project
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	01 October 2020 – 31 December 2021
Project Proponent	Guizhou Jinyan Energy Technology Co., Ltd.
Methodology	ACM0008 "Abatement of methane from coal mines" version 08.0
VVB	Tuev Nord Cert GmbH (Tuev Nord)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Version 4
Date of First Issue	26 July 2022
Review Conclusion	Pending
Date of Final Issue	07-December-2022

FINDINGS		
#	Description	Status
1	Missing statement of competence <u>Issue</u> Appendix 2 of the joint validation and verification report does not include the statement of competence of the technical reviewer Rainer Winter. <u>Action Item</u> The VVB must include in appendix 2 the statement of competence of Rainer Winter. <u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u> Joint Validation and Verification Report Template, v.4.1	Round1: VVB Response: The statement of competence of the technical reviewer Rainer Winter has been added in Appendix 2 of the joint VVR. Verra Review: A valid statement of competence has been duly included Closed
2	Inaccurate emission reduction calculation <u>Issue</u> As per the spreadsheet submitted, for the calculation of the baseline emissions from methane released to the atmosphere, the MTPJ for year 2021 (cell D9-TAG-Baseline Emissions) only considers the monitored values for the period 01/06/2021-31/12/2021 (cell D65-TAG-Monitored values-All parame) while the monitoring period covers the entire year 2021. Also, for the calculation of the project emissions from destruction of captured methane and the project emissions from un-combusted methane from project activity for year 2021 (cell D11-TAG-PRoject Emissions) only the monitored values for the period 01/06/2021-31/12/2021 (cell D65-TAG-Monitored values-All parame)	Round1: VVB Response: The Joint-PD-MR has been checked as corrected accordingly. The ER sheet has been corrected for the calculation of the baseline emissions from methane released to the atmosphere, project emissions from destruction of captured methane and the project emissions from un-combusted methane from project activity for the whole year 2021. Also, the joint VVR has been updated. Verra Review: The same has been corrected. However, the percent difference indicated in Section 4.2 of the VR is indicated Closed

have been considered while the monitoring period covers the entire year 2021.

Action Item

The VVB is required to ensure the project proponent corrects the calculations of achieved emission reductions for the monitoring period.

The VVB must also ensure that all sections of the Joint PD-MR and Joint VR are updated accordingly

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Standard v.4.3, Section 3.14.2

as 37.29% (page 57). This is inconsistent with the spreadsheet and the Joint PD-MR

Round2:

VVB Response: 37.29% is the percent of the actual emission reductions of 126,134 tCO₂e achieved in this monitoring period from 01-Oct-2020 to 31-Dec-2021 compared with the estimated emission reductions 201,148 tCO₂e based on the total installed capacity of 4.8MW (8*0.6MW), however, due to the CMM source of the project was insufficient in this monitoring period, the operated capacity is 4.2MW (only 7 sets of 0.6MW CMM generators have been operated to electricity), thus the re-calculated estimated emission reductions for this monitoring period are 176,005 tCO₂e (=201,148 tCO₂e × 4.2MW / 4.8MW). Then, the actual emission reductions achieved in this monitoring period is 28.34% lower than the re-calculated estimated emission reductions.

The above is indicated in section 4.2 of the VR (page 57), which is consistent with the section 6.5 of the Joint PD-MR, while the original ER spreadsheet is only displayed the percent of 28.34%.

However, to be clear, VVB has asked PP to add the percentage value of 37.29% into the updated ER sheet also, PLS check the attachment of the updated ER sheet.

Verra Review:

The response is sufficient and therefore acceptable