

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	807
Project Name	Hebei Chongli County Qingsanying Second Phase 49.3MW Wind Power Project
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	01/11/2016 to 30/11/2021
Project Proponent	Beijing Ruifang Information Technology Co., Ltd.
Methodology	ACM0002 "Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources" Version 12.3.0, and ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, v20.0
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+Certification)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Version 4
Date of First Issue	27th July 2022
Review Conclusion	Closed
Date of Final Issue	13 th November 2022

FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	Missing details on implementation status <u>Issue</u> - Section 3.1 of the MR does not provide details on “The operation of the project activity(s) during this monitoring period, including any information on events that may impact the GHG emission reductions or removals and monitoring. - Section 4.1 of the VR must include the following details: - Whether the project has received or sought any other form of environmental credit, or has become eligible to do so since validation or previous verification. - Whether the GHG emission reductions or removals generated by the project have become included in an emissions trading program or any other mechanism that	Round1: VVB Response: During this monitoring period (01/11/2016 to 30/11/2021), the project operated normally. No equipment is overhauled or replaced in this monitoring period. No emergencies which may impact the methodology application and monitoring occurred during the monitoring period. The description has been changed in the MR. The project has not received or sought any other form of environmental credit or has become eligible to do so since validation or previous verification. The GHG emission reductions or removals generated by the project have not become included in an emissions trading program or any other mechanism that includes GHG allowance trading The same has been included in the updated FVR. Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required. Round 2:	Closed

includes GHG allowance trading

Action item

The VVB must ensure that section 3.1 of the MR and section 4.1 of the VR are updated as per the applied templates.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.4.3

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.0, Section 3.1

VCS Verification Report Template v4.0, Section 4.1

2 No stakeholders interviewed

Round1:

Closed

Issue

Section 2.3 of the VR indicates that no stakeholders were interviewed during the site-visit.

Action item

The VVB must describe how a reasonable level of assurance was attained while assessing the impact of project activity on local stakeholders without interviewing them. They must further justify how they objectively verified that there were no grievances over almost 6 year monitoring period.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Standard v4.2, Section 4.1.2

VCS Verification Report Template v4.0, Section 2.3

VVB Response:

As indicated in the MR and updated FVR, the project owner put a grievance notebook in the company office. Anyone can put their comments on the book. During the site visit, the VVB has checked the original copy of grievance notebook during this monitoring period and confirmed that there are no negative comments raised from the stakeholder.

Moreover, by interview with the project owner and checking on-line information, no negative comments or administrative penalty are raised from local supervision institute.

Therefore, VVB is able to confirm no negative grievances have been raised in the monitoring period.

Verra Review: The VVB states that topic of stakeholder comments was discussed during the interview process. However, section 2.3 of the VR does not indicate it to be a topic of discussion during the interview process. The VVB must provide a suitable justification about the same.

Round 2:

VVB Response:

As stated in the FVR, “By checking grievance notebook, it is confirmed that there are no negative comments received for the project.”, the main assessment method for stakeholder topic is checking grievance notebook. But VVB do checked with the project owner during the site visit interview, therefore, correction has been made in the section 2.3. Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required.		
3 Project activity stated as CDM project <u>Issue</u> Section 4.1 of the VR indicates the project is a proposed CDM project activity whereas, the proposed activity is a VCS project. <u>Action item</u> The VVB must ensure that the correct project type is mentioned in section 4.1 of the VR. <u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u> VCS Verification Report Template v4.0, Section 4.1	Round1: VVB Response: The project was first registered under CDM with reference No. 4123, then transferred to VCS. There are no contradictions for the expression. To avoid confusion, the description has been changed in the FVR. Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required. Round 2:	Closed
4 Missing information on quality of evidence <u>Issue</u> Section 4.5 of the VR does not provide information on the following: The reliability of the evidence, and the source and nature of the evidence (external or internal, oral or documented) for the determination of GHG emission reductions or removals. The information flow from data generation and aggregation, to recording, calculation and final	Round1: VVB Response: By checking original documents and ER spreadsheet, VVB is able to confirm: The reliability of the evidence, and the source and nature of the evidence (external or internal, oral or documented) for the determination of GHG emission reductions or removals. The information flow from data generation and aggregation, to recording, calculation and final transposition into the monitoring report. The same has been presented in the section 4.4 of the report. More description has been included in the updated FVR.	Closed

	transposition into the monitoring report.	Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required.	
	Action item The VVB must ensure that section 4.5 of the VR is updated in line with the applied verification report template. Program rule(s) or methodology section VCS Verification Report Template v4.0, Section 4.5	Round 2:	
5	Unclear role of Beijing Ruifang Information Technology Co., Ltd. Issue Section 1.4 of the MR indicates Beijing Ruifang Information Technology Co., Ltd to be the project consultant. However, Section 1.6 of the latest approved VCS PD mentions Beijing Ruifang Information Technology Co., Ltd. to be a project participant. The two roles are not the same. Action item The VVB must ensure that the role of the said entity clearly is clearly described in section 1.4 of the MR. Program rule(s) or methodology section VCS Verification Report Template v4.0, Section 4.1	Round1: VVB Response: Beijing Ruifang Information Technology Co., Ltd is acting as both the consultancy and project participant for the project. Revision has been made in the MR and FVR. Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required. Round 2:	Closed
6	Project start date unclear Issue As per the registered CDM PDD, the start date of the project is June 2009 (Section A.2). As per the latest issued CDM MR, it is 01/01/2009 (Section B.1, page 4). The same MR states that the project was put	Round1: VVB Response: The information in the CDM MR is not accurate, the 01/01/2009 was the date for trial operation not the officially operation date. This information was confirmed by checking operation log and on-site interview. As the project was a wind power project consisting of 58 sets of wind turbines with unit capacity of 850 kW, the operation data for each wind turbines are different.	Closed

into full commercial operation on 28/02/2009 (Section 3.1, page 14). However, as per the latest approved VCS PD (renewal), it is 08/01/2009 (section 1.5). Action item The VVB must describe the basis on which the project start date was verified in light of the above-mentioned details. Program rule(s) or methodology section VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.7.1 VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.0. Section 1.5	The 1st wind turbine started operation on 08/01/2009, and all 58 sets of wind turbines started operation on 28/02/2009. Such information was confirmed by checking operation log and consistent with the description in the MR and FVR. According to the definition of start date under VCS, the start date refers to project began generating GHG emission reductions or removals which is 08/01/2009 for this project. Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required. Round 2:	
7 Change in methodology title Issue Section 1.8 of the MR does not incorporate the title change of methodology ACM0002 v20.0 to 'Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources. This title change was applicable from V14.0 onwards. Action item The VVB must ensure that this change in title of ACM0002 v2.0 is incorporated in section 1.8 of the MR. Program rule(s) or methodology section VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.0, Section 1.8	Round1: VVB Response: The project applies the approved consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002 during the second crediting period: "Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources" (version 20.0). Revision has been made in the MR. Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required. Round 2:	Closed
8 Number of findings not included in the summary section Issue	Round1: VVB Response: No findings were raised for the project which has been indicated in the section 2.5 of the report repeated as below:	Closed

The summary section of the VR does not indicate the number of findings raised during the current verification. Action item The VVB must ensure that the summary section is filled in line with the applied verification report template. Program rule(s) or methodology section VCS Verification Report Template v4.0	<i>“There is no CARs and CLs raised for this monitoring period for the project.”</i> Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required. Round 2:
9 Incorrect monitoring report version and date Issue Section 5 and other relevant sections of the VR indicate the version and date of the final MR as v2.0 dated 08/11/2021. Whereas the correct version is V1.1 dated 06/03/2022. Action item The VVB must ensure that the correct final monitoring report version is reported in the VR. Program rule(s) or methodology section VCS Verification report template v4.0.	Round1: Closed VVB Response: Correction has been made in MR and FVR for consistency. Verra Review: The version number of the MR in appendix 1 of the VR has still not been updated. The VVB must ensure that the version number is updated throughout the project documents. Round 2: VVB Response: The version and date of MR has been updated as Version 01.2 and 18/08/2022 as a response to last review. The same has been updated in the FVR. Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required.
10 Incorrect Project crediting period reported Issue Section 1.6 of the MR indicates incorrect crediting period. The project is also registered under CDM. Therefore, the CDM crediting period will supersede which is	Round1: Closed VVB Response: Correction has been made in both MR and FVR. Verra Review: The above response is found to be OK and no further action is required.

07/07/2011 to 06/07/2032 (21 years total).

Round 2:

Action item

The VVB must ensure that correct crediting period is reported in section 1.6 and other sections of the MR. Further, they must provide their assessment on the same update the VR accordingly.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Verification Report Template v4.0

VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.0