# VCS VERIFICATION REPORT

# INNER MONGOLIA JINGNENG SAIHAN WIND FARM PHASE II PROJECT

# (VCS PROJECT ID: 921)

Document Prepared By

Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd

| | |
|---|---|
| **Project Title** | Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project |
| **Version** | 01.0 |
| **Report ID** | CTI/NB-2021-0401 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Report Title | Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project |
| Client | Climate Bridge (Shanghai) Ltd. |
| Pages | 29 |
| Date of Issue | 08/04/2021 |
| Prepared By | Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd |
| Contact | Address: F8-A CTI Building, No.4 LiuXianSan Road, Xin'an Street, Bao'an District, 518101, Shen Zhen, China<br>Tel: +86 10 65580012<br>*Email: linshunrong@cti-cert.com*<br>Website: http://www.cti-cert.org |
| Approved By | Zhou Lu  |
| Work Carried Out By | Team Leader: Wang Guolian<br>Technical Reviewer: Lin Shunrong |

**Summary:**

Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd (CTI) has performed the verification of the emission reductions reported for the project activity "Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project" (VCS Project ID: 921) for the monitoring period 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020 (the period from 21/09/2015 to 26/10/2019 is within the 1st VCS crediting period and the period from 27/10/2019 to 31/12/2020 is within the 2nd VCS crediting period), to review and determine the monitored reductions in GHG emissions that have occurred as a result of the project activity. These emission reductions are claimed as Verified Carbon Units (VCU) under the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) version 4.0.

The verification was performed on the basis of VCS Programme Guide version 4.0 and VCS Standard version 4.0 for the VCS projects, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting. The verification was conducted by means of document review, follow-up interviews and site inspections, and the resolution of outstanding issues. The verification team identified no CL, CAR or FAR in this monitoring period.

In CTI's opinion, the GHG emission reductions reported for the project in the monitoring report (version 1.1 dated 06/04/2021) are fairly stated. The GHG emission reductions of the period from 21/09/2015 to 26/10/2019 within the 1st VCS crediting period were calculated correctly on the basis of approved methodology ACM0002 "Consolidated methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable

sources" (version 12.1.0) and the monitoring plan contained in the revised PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) approved by CDM EB on 22/08/2013. The GHG emission reductions of the period from 27/10/2019 to 31/12/2020 within the 2nd VCS crediting period were calculated correctly on the basis of approved methodology ACM0002 "Consolidated methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources" (Version 20.0) and the monitoring plan contained in the VCS PD version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020.

CTI does not assume any responsibility towards the issuance and utilization of the VCUs hereby verified and certified. Request for issuance of VCUs shall be made by the project proponent to an approved VCS Program Registry based on the requirements set out under the most recent version of the VCS Program Guidelines clause on VCS Registration.

The verification of reported emission reductions is based on the information made available to CTI and the engagement conditions detailed in this report. CTI cannot be held liable by any party for decisions made or not made based on this report.

Hence, CTI is able to certify that the emission reductions from the "Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project" during the period amount to 379,769 $tCO_2e$.

# CONTENTS

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Climate Bridge (Shanghai) Ltd. has commissioned Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd (CTI) to carry out the verification and certification of emission reductions reported for the "Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project" (the project) for the period 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020. This report contains the findings from the verification and includes a verification statement for the verified carbon units.

## 1.1 Objective

Verification is the periodic independent review and ex-post determination by an accredited verification body of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions that have occurred as a result of the registered VCS project activity during a defined verification period.

A verification statement is the written assurance by a verification body that, during a specific period in time, a project activity achieved the emission reductions as verified.

The objective of this verification was to verify and provide a verification statement of emission reductions reported for the "Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project" for the period 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020.

## 1.2 Scope and Criteria

The scope of the verification is:

- To verify that actual monitoring systems and procedures are in compliance with the monitoring systems and procedures described in the monitoring plan;
- To evaluate the GHG emission reduction data and express a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emissions reduction data is free from material misstatement;
- To verify that reported GHG emissions data is sufficiently supported by evidence.

The criteria of the verification are:

- VCS Program Guide (version 4.0) /24/
- VCS Standard (version 4.0) /23/ and other relevant requirements defined by Verra;
- The approved methodology ACM0002 (version 12.1.0 and Version 20.0) /27/ applied by the project.

The verification shall ensure that reported emission reductions are complete and accurate in order to be verified.

## 1.3 Level of Assurance

The verification report expresses a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emissions reduction data is free from material misstatement. CTI applied a materiality threshold of 5% with respect to omission or misstatements concerning reported quantities as per VCS standard.

## 1.4 Summary Description of the Project

**Sectoral Scope and Project Type**

According to the VCS Program Guide (version 4.0) /24/, the project is applicable under the following activity categories:

- Sectoral Scope: 1. Energy (Renewable/non-renewable).

According to Annex A of the Kyoto Protocol, the project is applicable under the Sectoral Scope 1: Energy Industries (renewable/ non-renewable sources).

**Project Background**

| | |
|---|---|
| Project title: | Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project |
| Project proponent: | Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. (China) |
| Project location: | Suniteyouqi, Xilinguole League, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, P. R. China |
| VCS Project ID: | 921 |
| Applied methodology: | the period from 21/09/2015 to 26/10/2019 within the 1st VCS crediting period: ACM0002 version 12.1.0<br>the period from 27/10/2019 to 31/12/2020 is within the 2nd VCS crediting period: ACM0002 Version 20.0 |
| VCS project crediting period: | 27/10/2009 to 26/10/2019 (the first VCS crediting period)<br>27/10/2019 to 26/10/2029 (the second VCS crediting period) |
| VCU verification period: | 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020 |

# 2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

## 2.1 Method and Criteria

The verification was performed through means of the following three phases in accordance with the requirement of the revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) and the VCS PD for renewal of crediting period (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020), the applied methodology, and the VCS Standard (version 4.0) and other relevant VCS requirements:

- A desk review of the monitoring report and all support documents;
- Follow-up interviews with project stakeholders and site inspection;
- The resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the verification report and statement.

The following sections outline each step in more detail.

The verification of the emission reductions has assessed all factors and issues that constitute the basis for emission reductions from the project. These include:

- The emission reduction calculations and the relevant data records;
- The calibration and maintenance records for the monitoring instruments;

The management systems to support the project operation and monitoring

## 2.2 Document Review

Based on the requirements of competency, experience and qualified sectoral scopes, CTI appointed a verification team in accordance with CTI's internal procedures.

| Function | Name | Technical competence | Task Performance* |
|---|---|---|---|
| Team Leader | Wang Guolian | 1.2 | ☒ DR ☒ SV ☒ RP ☐ TR |
| Technical Reviewer | Lin Shunrong | 1.2 | ☒ DR ☐ SV ☐ RP ☒ TR |

*DR=Document review; SV=Site visit; RP=Reporting; TR=Technical review

In addition to the VER/VCU monitoring report /1/, registered CDM PDD (version 2 dated 01/04/2011) /10/, GAP VCS PD (version 02 dated 13/09/2012) /11/, revised PDD approved by CDM EB (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) /12/ and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020) /13/, emission reduction calculation spreadsheet /2/, the following documents also were assessed as a part of the verification audit:

- Validation Report of registered CDM PDD /17/;

- Assessment Opinion On Post-Registration Changes (Permanent Changes Of Monitoring Plan) /18/;

- VCS Validation Report of renewed PD /19/;

- Baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002 applied by the project /27/;

- Relevant decisions, clarifications and guidance from the Verra /23/-/27/; and

- Other information and references relevant to the project activity.

During the desk review, CTI has applied standard auditing techniques to assess the quality of information provided. The following activities were performed:

- A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness;
- A review of the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures; and

An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions.

## 2.3 Interviews

On 06/04/2021, CTI visited Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. performed on-site assessment. The key personnel of the project were interviewed or assisted the verification team /28/. Main topics of the interview cover implementation of the project construction, applicability of selected methodology, implementation of project monitoring, emission reduction calculation, etc.

The key personnel interviewed /28/ are summarized in the table below:

| Interviewed personnel | Role | Organization | Subject |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ms. Xu Jiaman | Plant Director | The proposed wind power plant of Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. | Operation of the project activity;<br>Implementation of the monitor plan of the project activity;<br>Data collection and data achievement;<br>Calibration of meters and equipment maintenance;<br>Data collection and ER calculation. |
| Mr. Xu Wei | Engineer | Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. | |
| Mr. Liu Zhiyi | Engineer | Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. | |
| Mr. Wu Siran | Project Manager | Climate Bridge (Shanghai) Ltd. | |

## 2.4 Site Inspections

The verification team performed the on-site verification (Suniteyouqi, Xilinguole League, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, P. R. China) on 06/04/2021. The interviewed personnel and objective are listed in above table. During the on-site assessment, CTI has applied standard auditing techniques to assess the quality of information provided. The following aspects of the project activity have been verified:

- An assessment of the implementation and operation of the registered project activity is as per the revised PDD approved by CDM EB and VCS PD of the project activity for renewal of crediting period;
- A review of information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters; and
- Interviews with relevant personnel to determine whether the operational and data collection procedures are implemented in accordance with the monitoring plan in the revised PDD approved by CDM EB and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period;
- A cross-check between information provided in the monitoring report and data from other sources such as plant logbooks and electricity sale receipts;
- A check of the monitoring equipment including calibration performance and observations of monitoring practices against the requirements of the revised PDD approved by CDM EB, VCS PD for renewal of crediting period and the selected methodology;
- A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions; and
- An identification that quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters.

The data presented in the monitoring report were assessed by review of the detailed project documentation and production records, as well as by interviews with personnel from the project developer Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. and observation of collection of measurements, observation of established monitoring and reporting practices and assessment of the reliability of monitoring equipment. This has enabled the verification team to assess the accuracy and completeness of reported monitoring results, to verify the correct application of the approved monitoring methodology and the determination of the emission reductions.

In addition all parameters required by the monitoring methodology ACM0002 (version 12.1.0 and Version 20.0), and the management system were assessed during the site visit.

## 2.5 Resolution of Findings

A corrective action request (CAR)shall be raised, where:

i. Non-conformities with the monitoring plan or methodology are found in monitoring and reporting, or if the evidence provided to prove conformity is insufficient;

ii. Mistakes have been made in applying assumptions, data or calculations of emission reductions which will impair the estimate of emission reductions;

iii. Issues identified in a FAR during validation to be verified during verification have not been resolved by the project proponents.

A clarification request (CL) shall be raised if information is insufficient or not clear enough to determine whether the applicable VCS requirements have been met.

The verification team identified no CL or CAR in this monitoring period.

2.5.1 Forward Action Requests

A forward action request (FAR) is issued for actions if the monitoring and reporting require attention and/or adjustment for the next monitoring period.

CTI confirmed that there was no FAR identified in previous verification /21/, and no FAR was raised during this verification.

## 2.6 Eligibility for Validation Activities

The CDM PDD of the project activity was validated by Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS on 06/05/2011 /17/. The revised PDD of the project activity was validated by China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd (CEC) on 05/01/2013 /18/. The VCS PD of RCP was validated by LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) on 31/12/2020 against Voluntary Carbon Standard version 4.0 /19/. The previous periodic verification was carried out by LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) on 24/10/2020 against Voluntary Carbon Standard version 4.0. The proposed monitoring period 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020 is 2nd verification, and CTI just undertook verification activites for the project according to the VCS Program Guide. This section is thus not applicable.

# 3 VALIDATION FINDINGS

The CDM PDD of the project activity was validated by Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS on 06/05/2011 /17/. The revised PDD of the project activity was validated by China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd (CEC) /18/. The VCS PD of RCP was validated by LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification) on 31/12/2020 against Voluntary Carbon Standard version 4.0 /19/

## 3.1 Participation under Other GHG Programs

The project was validated by Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS on 06/05/2011 under CDM scheme for registration /17/, and validated by China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd (CEC) on 05/01/2013 under CDM shceme for revision of registered CDM PDD. CTI only performed verification activities on the proposed monitoring period for the project according to the VCS Program Guide.

## 3.2 Methodology Deviations

The validation process /17/ has assessed all factors and issues that constitute the basis for emission reductions from the project according to the applicable CDM methodology ACM0002 (version 12.1.0 and Version 20.0) /27/. There was not any methodology deviation applied to this project. Details refer to section 4.1.

## 3.3 Project Description Deviations

There were not project description deviations identified by CTI to this monitoring period. The verification team assessed through visual inspection and document review that all physical features of the proposed project activity including data monitoring, reporting and collecting systems have been implemented in accordance with the monitoring plan included in the revised PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) /12/ and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period /13/ (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020). Details refer to section 4.1.

## 3.4 Grouped Project

The project was not a grouped project; hence this clause is not applicable.

# 4 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

This section summarises the findings from the verification of the emission reductions reported for the "Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project" for the period 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020.

## 4.1 Project Implementation Status

**Project Implementation in accordance with the registered project design document**

The project is a grid-connected wind power plant, which is located in Suniteyouqi, Xilinguole League, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, P. R. China. The installed capacity of the project activity is 48 MW, consisting of 24 sets of wind turbines with unit capacity of 2000 kW. The average annual power delivered to the grid by the project is expected to be 118,560 MWh. The actual implementation of the project during this verification period was verified in terms of name plate capacities of each wind turbine and monitoring equipment. The details of the wind turbines with respect to installation and capacity have been verified to be consistent with description indicated in the revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period. The first wind turbine put into operation on 27/10/2009 and all wind turbine put into operation on 26/12/2009, which has been verified by site visit interview, checking validation report and verification report of previous monitoring period /17//21/. The electricity generated by the project activity was supplied to the North China Power Grid (NCPG), which can be confirmed by the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) signed between Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. and Inner Mongolia Electric Power (Group) Co., Ltd. /3/.

The project start date was identified as 27/10/2009 when the first wind turbine started to operate and began generating GHG emission reductions. As per the Validation Report of VCS PD for renewal of crediting period, the 1st crediting period of the project is from 27/10/2009 to 26/10/2019 and the 2nd crediting period of the project is from 27/10/2019 to 26/10/2029. The selected monitoring period is from 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020, the period from 21/09/2015 to 26/10/2019 is within the 1st VCS crediting period and the period from 27/10/2019 to 31/12/2020 is within the 2nd VCS crediting period.

All the monitoring system in operation period is consistent with the description and monitoring plan in the revised CDM PDD and the VCS PD for RCP. The control system at the power plant is automated and assures continuous operation, including monitoring on malfunction of equipment. By checking the daily operation and maintenance records /6/, CTI can confirm that no serious malfunction happened and the plant was under a normal operation as expected in this monitoring period.

On-site training for the related procedures including monitoring, recording and reporting was verified to be in place /5/ and their implementation was confirmed by interview with the key operators /28/ and observing the operation.

As part of the site visit, CTI confirms that the project implementation is in accordance with the project description and monitoring plan contained in the the revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012), the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020). The verification team confirmed through visual inspection and document review that all physical features of the proposed project activity including data collection systems and storage systems have been implemented in accordance with monitoring plan contained in the revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) and the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020).

**Compliance of monitoring plan with monitoring methodology**

CTI is able to confirm that the monitoring plan in the revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) and the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020) is in accordance with the approved methodology applied by the project activity, i.e. ACM0002 (version 12.1.0 and Version 20.0).

**Compliance of monitoring with the monitoring plan**

The monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the monitoring plan contained in the revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) and the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020). CTI confirms that all parameters stated in the monitoring plan are monitored and reported appropriately. All parameters required to be monitored by the monitoring plan as per the monitoring methodology ACM0002 (version 12.1.0 and Version 20.0) and the management system were assessed during the site visit. The monitoring report lists each parameter required by the monitoring plan and the information flow (i.e. from data generation, aggregation, recording, calculation and reporting) for these parameters is provided. The information flow for the each parameter in further verified in the following sections.

**Parameters monitored**

According to the revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) and the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020), there is 7 monitoring parameters of the project:

**Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit in year y ($EG_{facility,y}$)**

By site interview and checking the validation and verification reports, CTI confirmed that the Project shares the 35kV/220kV substation and gateway meters with Project B and other project(s). Electricity generated by this project is sent to the West Inner Mongolia Power Grid, which is part of the North China Power Grid (NCPG).

$EG_{facility,y}$ is calculated by following formula:

$$EG_{facility,y} = EG_{A\text{-}i,y} / (EG_{A\text{-}i,y} + EG_{B\text{-}i,y} + EG_{C\text{-}i,y}) * EG_{export,y} - EG_{import,y} - EG_{im\text{-}spare,y}$$

**Total electricity supplied to the grid via the main power line by the Project, Project B and other project(s) during year y ($EG_{export,y}$)**

The parameter was measured by 2 bidirectional electricity meters (1 main meter M1 and 1 backup meter M2) continuously. M1 was installed at the Wenduer substation and M2 was installed at the high voltage of the 35kV/220kV substation at the Project site. The meter reading was recorded monthly and archived electronically. The cut-off time was 24:00 hr of 20$^{th}$ of each month. At the cut-off time, the

staff from project developer and the grid company read the electricity meter together. The project developer record electricity meter's readings and form Monthly Reading Records (MRRs) /7/. The staff from power grid company record electricity meter's readings and then transcribes the data into Sales Receipts /8/. $EG_{export,y}$ was sourced from Meter Reading Records (MRRs) /7/ issued by the project developer, and Sales Receipts /8/ issued by power grid company covering monitoring period.

**Total electricity purchased from the grid by the Project and Project B and other project(s) during year y ($EG_{import,y}$)**

The parameter was measured by 2 bidirectional electricity meters (1 main meter M1 and 1 backup meter M2) continuously. M1 was installed at the Wenduer substation and M2 was installed at the high voltage of the 35kV/220kV substation at the Project site. The meter reading was recorded monthly and archived electronically. The cut-off time was 24:00 hr of 20$^{th}$ of each month. At the cut-off time, the staff from project developer and the grid company read the electricity meter together. The project developer record electricity meter's readings and form Monthly Reading Records (MRRs) /7/. The staff from power grid company record electricity meter's readings and then transcribes the data into Sales Receipts /9/. $EG_{import,y}$ was sourced from Meter Reading Records (MRRs) /7/ issued by the project developer, and Sales Receipts /9/ issued by power grid company covering monitoring period. Total electricity purchased from the grid by the Project and Project B and other project(s) during year y is deemed as electricity purchased from the grid by the Project when calculating the emission reductions, which is conservative.

**Quantity of electricity supplied to the grid by Group A-i (i=1,2,3,4) of the Project in year y ($EG_{A-i,y}$)**

The parameter was measured by 4 electricity meters (A-1, A-2, A-3 and A-4) installed at 35 kV transmission line of project activity continuously. The meter reading was recorded monthly and archived electronically. At 24:00 hr of each day, the staff from project owner will record 4 electricity meter's readings and form Meter Reading Records (MRRs). $EG_{A-i,y}$ was sourced from Meter Reading Records (MRRs) /7/ issued by the project owner covering monitoring period.

**Quantity of electricity supplied to the grid by Group B-i (i=1,2,3,4) of the Project B in year y ($EG_{B-i,y}$)**

The parameter was measured by 4 electricity meters (B-1, B-2, B3 and B4) installed at 35 kV transmission line of project B continuously. The meter reading was recorded monthly and archived electronically. The meters were also owned by the project owner. At 24:00 hr of each day, the staff from the project owner will record 4 electricity meter's readings and form Meter Reading Records (MRRs). $EG_{B-i,y}$ was sourced from Meter Reading Records (MRRs) /7/ issued by the project owner covering monitoring period.

**Quantity of electricity supplied to the grid by Group C-i (i=1,2,..,n) of other project(s) in year y ($EG_{C-i,y}$)**

Through site interview, CTI was able to confirm that only Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase III Project is in operation currently, some other projects will be planed for construction and then connected to the 35kV/220kV substation.

In this monitoring period, the parameter was measured by 4 electricity meters (C-1, C-2, C3 and C4) installed at 35 kV transmission line of other project(s) continuously. The meter reading was recorded monthly and archived electronically. The meters were also owned by the project owner. At 24:00 hr of each day, the staff from project owner will record 4 electricity meter's readings and form Meter Reading Records (MRRs). $EG_{C\text{-}i,y}$ was sourced from Meter Reading Records (MRRs) /7/ issued by the project owner covering monitoring period.

**Total electricity purchased from the gird by the Project, Project B and Other project(s) through a spare 10 kV line in the year y ($EG_{im\text{-}spare,y}$)**

1 electricity meter (M3) installed at the spare 10 kV line was measured continuously, recorded monthly and archived electronically. The cut-off time was 24:00 hr of 20th of each month. At the cut-off time, the staff from project developer and the grid company read the electricity meter together. The project developer record electricity meter's readings and form Monthly Reading Records (MRRs) /7/. The staff from power grid company record electricity meter's readings and then transcribes the data into Sales Receipts /9/. $EG_{im\text{-}spare,y}$ was sourced from Meter Reading Records (MRRs) /7/ issued by the project developer, and Sales Receipts /9/ issued by power grid company covering monitoring period.

As described above, the meters have been installed in accordance with the monitoring plan of revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) and the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020). CTI has on-site checked the location of the meters against the diagram of power connection system and found them to be consistent.

Data in the monthly reading records were used to the report, through a cross check with Sales Receipts, and the conservative values from electricity export and import were applied to calculate the net electricity supplied to the grid by the project. The data reported in the monitoring report and ERs calculation spreadsheet has been verified by the verification team. Supporting references and data required to determine the net electricity supplied to the grid by the project is found to be complete and transparent.

**Monitoring equipment and calibration**

The meters have been calibrated periodically as per the relevant industrial standard by the qualified third party to ensure the monitoring equipments' accuracy and in good conditions. The relevant information of meters' calibration is listed as below.

| Meter | Serial Number | Type | Accuracy Class | Calibration Frequency | Calibration Date | Validity |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| M1 (main meter)<br>M2 (backup meter) | 95303611<br>95303617 | ZMQ202C | 0.2S | Annually | 11/06/2015 | 10/06/2016 |
| | | | | | 10/06/2016 | 09/06/2017 |
| | | | | | 09/06/2017 | 08/06/2018 |
| | | | | | 08/06/2018 | 07/06/2019 |
| | | | | | 01/06/2019 | 31/05/2020 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | 01/06/2020 | 31/05/2021 |
| Meter A-1 | 4690001 | DTSD341 | 0.5S | Annually | 11/06/2015 | 10/06/2016 |
| | | | | | 10/06/2016 | 09/06/2017 |
| Meter A-2 | 4690002 | | | | 09/06/2017 | 08/06/2018 |
| Meter A-3 | 4690003 | | | | 08/06/2018 | 07/06/2019 |
| Meter A-4 | 4690004 | | | | 01/06/2019 | 31/05/2020 |
| | | | | | 01/06/2020 | 31/05/2021 |
| Meter B-1 | 9020390 | DTSD341 | 0.5S | Annually | 11/06/2015 | 10/06/2016 |
| | | | | | 10/06/2016 | 09/06/2017 |
| Meter B-2 | 9020463 | | | | 09/06/2017 | 08/06/2018 |
| Meter B-3 | 9020465 | | | | 08/06/2018 | 07/06/2019 |
| Meter B-4 | 9020392 | | | | 01/06/2019 | 31/05/2020 |
| | | | | | 01/06/2020 | 31/05/2021 |
| Meter C-1 | 2873616 | DTSD341 | 0.5S | Annually | 11/06/2015 | 10/06/2016 |
| | | | | | 10/06/2016 | 09/06/2017 |
| Meter C-2 | 2873617 | | | | 09/06/2017 | 08/06/2018 |
| Meter C-3 | 2873618 | | | | 08/06/2018 | 07/06/2019 |
| | | | | | 01/06/2019 | 31/05/2020 |
| Meter C-4 | 2873619 | | | | 01/06/2020 | 31/05/2021 |
| M3 | 10669106 | DS862-4 | 2.0 | Annually | 11/06/2015 | 10/06/2016 |
| | | | | | 10/06/2016 | 09/06/2017 |
| | | | | | 09/06/2017 | 08/06/2018 |
| | | | | | 08/06/2018 | 07/06/2019 |
| | | | | | 01/06/2019 | 31/05/2020 |
| | | | | | 01/06/2020 | 31/05/2021 |

The meters were calibrated by Inner Mongolia Electricity Science Research Institute Electricity Measurement and Testing Center. Calibration records and accreditation certificates /14//15/ have been verified by the verification team. The accuracy of meters are comply with that in the revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period. By checking the industrial metering configuration standard "Technical Administrative Code of Electric Energy Metering (DL/T 448-2016)" /16/, CTI can confirm that the accuracy level of all meters meet the requirement stipulated in this standard. CTI can thus confirm that the accuracy of the meters is in line with the revised CDM PDD approved by CDM EB and the monitoring plan of the VCS PD for RCP and relevant industry standard.

In the monitoring plan of the revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period, it stated that the meters will be calibrated and checked annually for accuracy. By checking the calibration reports, CTI found the calibration frequency of these meters is annual, which is in line with the calibrating standard "Technical Administrative Code of Electric Energy Metering (DL/T 448-2016)" /16/.

Hence, CTI can confirm that the meters' calibration frequency is in line with the monitoring plan of the VCS PD for RCP and relevant industry standard, and the calibrations of meters are verified to be valid for the whole reporting period.

Data management and control

Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. is responsible for operation and routine maintenance of power plant under the project activity. The quality assurance and quality control procedures have been addressed in the VER project management and monitoring manual /4/, including the organization structure with the responsibilities, personnel competencies, monitoring procedures and monitoring management. By interview with the staff /28/ and check records /4/-/6/ during on-site visit, it can be confirmed that the monitoring management system is implemented following the project management and monitoring manual.

All monitoring devices have been calibrated and maintained periodically to ensure the accuracy of measurement. Calibration records of instruments used in measurements were made available during the verification visit and found to be valid for the entire period of the verification. Competence and training records of in-plant personnel engaged in measurement of plant parameters were presented during verification and found to be in order.

The emission reduction resulted from the project during this monitoring period would apply for VCUs. There are no other forms of environmental credits applied or issued for the project activity. Therefore, the project has not been participated or been rejected under any other GHG programs since validation or previous verification.

The project would contribute to sustainable development in as below:

-Reducing greenhouse gas emissions compared to a business-as-usual scenario;

-Displacing the power generation of fossil fuel power plants, reducing $CO_2$, $SO_x$ and $NO_x$ emissions significantly, thus mitigating the air pollution and its adverse impacts on human health;

-Providing clean and renewable energy source, and help to improve energy supply security;

-Promoting application and diffusion of the innovative/creative wind technology in China through the demonstrative practice of the project activity.

| Item | Unit | Index |
|---|---|---|
| Rated capacity | kW | 2000 |
| Number of blades | piece | 3 |
| Rotor diameter | m | 80 |
| Rated wind speed | m/s | 13.5 |

The technical parameters have been verified with the nameplates /22/ as below:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Rated Power | kW | 2000 |
| Rated voltage | V | 690 |
| Life time | Year | 20 |
| Plant load Factor | - | 0.28 |

The verification team confirmed that there is no proposed or actual change to the revised CDM PDD and the VCS PD for RCP during this monitoring period. All required equipments and procedures are available and implemented in an appropriate manner. All necessary monitoring instruments are installed. All required instruments including standby and operating procedures for the same have been implemented in an appropriate manner. The project is completely operational and the same has been confirmed on-site. Neither mistakes nor malfunction on main meters have been observed during this monitoring period.

## 4.2 Safeguards

### 4.2.1 No Net Harm

By checking the EIA summary and conclusion provided in the revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period, it is confirmed that wind power is green power and the impact caused by wind power on the surrounding ecosystem and residents, wastewater, solid waste and atmosphere etc. is very little, there would be no net harm caused due to the project activity. Also, the EIA of the project are approved by the government.

Also, no potential environment or social economic matter was found during the site visit. The project is renewable energy project and thus no net harm observed in air or water quality on-site.

### 4.2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

As per the registered CDM PDD, in 21/03/2009, the local stakeholder's consultation was done through distributing a one-page questionnaire, which was designed to be easily filled in. 50 copies of questionnaire were distributed, and 46 pieces of reply were received. 46 participants filled in the questionnaires included local residents, builders and members of the local authorities. The opinions expressed by the stakeholders were recorded and are available on request.

The survey showed that the proposed project receives strong support from the local community. They all believe the proposed project will promote local economic development and agree with the project development and construction.

Communications with Local stakeholders was being carried out at periodic intervals. There are no negative comments received for the project.

All such conclusion has been verified through site visit and check registered Registered CDM PDD.

## 4.3 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

For non-AFOLU projects, this section is not required.

## 4.4 Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations

CTI confirms that appropriate methods and formulae for calculating baseline emissions, project emissions and leakage have been followed, and the assumptions, emission factors and default values that are applied in the calculation have been justified.

According to the applied methodology, the emission reductions are determined as the difference between the baseline emissions, project emissions and leakage:

$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y$

**Baseline emissions**

Baseline reductions are determined as multiplying Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit in year y ($EG_{facility,y}$) by the validated ex-ante fixed grid emission factor ($EF_{grid,CM,y}$).

$BE_y = EG_{facility,y} \times EF_{grid,CM,y}$

Grid emission factor ($EF_{grid,CM,y}$)

EF is the grid emission factor of the which has been verified ex-ante in the validation stage in the revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) as 0.9502 $tCO_2e$/MWh for the 1st crediting period, and the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020) as 0.8405 $tCO_2e$/MWh for the 2nd crediting period.

Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit in year y ($EG_{facility,y}$)

$EG_{facility,y}$ is calculated by following formula:

$EG_{facility,y} = EG_{A\text{-}i,y} / (EG_{A\text{-}i,y} + EG_{B\text{-}i,y} + EG_{C\text{-}i,y}) * EG_{export,y} - EG_{import,y} - EG_{im\text{-}spare,y}$

$EG_{export,y}$ and $EG_{import,y}$ are determined by readings of main meter M1. $EG_{im\text{-}spare,y}$ is determined by the readings of meter M3. Data in the Sales Receipts were used to cross-checked with that in the Monthly Reading Records (MRRs). The most conservative values have been applied to calculate the electricity delivered to and consumed from the grid by the project. $EG_{A\text{-}i,y}$ is determined by the readings of meter A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4. $EG_{B\text{-}i,y}$ is determined by the readings of meter B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4. $EG_{C\text{-}i,y}$ is determined by the readings of meter C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4. Data in the Monthly Reading Records (MRRs) are used to calculate $EG_y$ / $EG_{facility,y}$.

| Period | $EG_{export,y}$ (MWh) | | | $EG_{import,y}$ (MWh) | | | $EG_{im-spare,y}$ (MWh) | | | $EG_{A-i,y}$ (MWh) | $EG_{B-i,y}$ (MWh) | $EG_{C-i,y}$ (MWh) | $EG_{facility,y}$ (MWh) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sales receipt | MRRs | Conservative value | Sales receipt | MRRs | Conservative value | Sales Receipts | MRRs | Conservative value | MRRs | MRRs | MRRs | |
| | A | B | C=MIN(A, B) | D | E | F=MIN(D ,E) | G | H | I=MIN(G, H) | J | K | L | M=J/(J+K +L)*C-F-I |
| 21/09/2015-31/12/2015 | 84,018.598 | 84,018.598 | 84,018.598 | 168.546 | 168.546 | 168.546 | 8.856 | 8.856 | 8.856 | 25,700.681 | 25,891.889 | 34,134.328 | 25,011.136 |
| 01/01/2016-31/12/2016 | 260,642.110 | 260,642.110 | 260,642.110 | 556.464 | 556.464 | 556.464 | 52.783 | 52.783 | 52.783 | 64,571.842 | 90,709.953 | 109,336.588 | 62,992.310 |
| 01/01/2017-31/12/2017 | 326,152.293 | 326,152.293 | 326,152.293 | 523.360 | 523.360 | 523.360 | 33.586 | 33.586 | 33.586 | 72,041.085 | 108,757.598 | 136,950.313 | 73,389.362 |
| 01/01/2018-31/12/2018 | 326,239.004 | 326,239.004 | 326,239.004 | 499.499 | 499.499 | 499.499 | 35.042 | 35.042 | 35.042 | 66,487.077 | 114,209.923 | 147,570.916 | 65,541.602 |
| 01/01/2019-26/10/2019 | 242,286.827 | 242,286.827 | 242,286.827 | 513.144 | 513.144 | 513.144 | 38.803 | 38.803 | 38.803 | 61,720.416 | 81,058.841 | 105,037.738 | 59,791.145 |
| 27/10/2019-31/12/2019 | 53,508.773 | 53,508.773 | 53,508.773 | 94.319 | 94.319 | 94.319 | 12.146 | 12.146 | 12.146 | 21,085.775 | 24,796.062 | 30,579.510 | 14,649.670 |
| 01/01/2020-31/12/2020 | 389,860.494 | 389,860.494 | 389,860.494 | 510.500 | 510.500 | 510.500 | 41.899 | 41.899 | 41.899 | 106,846.405 | 114,201.153 | 145,650.286 | 113,043.012 |
| **Total** | **1,682,708.099** | **1,682,708.099** | **1,682,708.099** | **2,865.832** | **2,865.832** | **2,865.832** | **223.115** | **223.115** | **223.115** | **418,453.281** | **559,625.419** | **709,259.679** | **414,418.236** |

*Note: The cut-off time for transaction of meter M1 and M3 was 24"00 of 20th of every month. As per the statement from local power grid company, the amount of electricity divided by 21/09/2015, 31/12/2015, 31/12/2016, 31/12/2017, 31/12/2018, 31/12/2019 and 31/12/2020 has been confirmed.

Hence, the corresponding baseline emission reductions are calculated as:

For the period from 21/09/2015-26/10/2019 in the 1st creditng period,

$BE_y = EG_{facility,y} \times EF_{grid,CM,y} = 286,725.554 \times 0.9502 = 272,444\ tCO_2e$

For the period from 27/10/2019-31/12/2020 in the 2nd creditng period,

$BE_y = EG_{facility,y} \times EF_{grid,CM,y} = 127,692.682 \times 0.8405 = 107,325\ tCO_2e$

### Project emissions

As statement in the revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period, for the wind power activities, the project emissions from the project is zero. Hence, $PE_y$ during the monitoring period from Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project is zero.

### Leakages

Leakage does not need to be accounted for this project as per the revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for renewal of crediting period.

### Emission reductions

The emission reductions for this monitoring period was calculated as:

| Monitoring period | GHG emission reductions or removals ($tCO_2e$) |
|---|---|
| 21/09/2015-31/12/2015 | 23,765 |
| 01/01/2016-31/12/2016 | 59,855 |
| 01/01/2017-31/12/2017 | 69,734 |
| 01/01/2018-31/12/2018 | 62,277 |
| 01/01/2019-26/10/2019 | 56,813 |
| 27/10/2019-31/12/2019 | 12,313 |
| 01/01/2020-31/12/2020 | 95,012 |
| **Total ERs claimed (in 1,929 days)** | 379,769 |

### Comparison of actual emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks with estimates in validated VCS PD

The emission reductions claimed are 379,769 $tCO_2e$ in this monitoring period (i.e. 1,929 days, in which 1,497 days are in the 1st crediting period and 432 days are in the 2nd crediting period). Compared with yearly expected emission reductions 579.972 $tCO_2e$ (calculated as 112,655 tCO2e/365d×1,497d + 99,643 tCO2e/365d×432d) in the revised CDM PDD of the 1st crediting period and VCS PD for renewal

of crediting period of the 2nd crediting period, the reported emission reductions in this monitoring period are 34.52% less than the expected, which is considered to be in the reasonable variation range.

CTI is able to confirm that the actual power supply and also emission reductions reported in this monitoring period are reasonable and appropriate. CTI verified the input data for calculating emission reductions and the calculating process, and confirmed the result were complete and transparent.

## 4.5 Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

All necessary documentations are collected, referenced and aggregated, which is easily accessible in hard-copy or electronic format. Measurements are performed by calibrated equipment, and the key data can also be cross-checked via other sources, such as records, receipts and inventory data. No assumptions are used that have any material influence on reported emission reductions.

CTI concludes that during this monitoring period, the evidences for determination of emission reductions are sufficient and reasonable, and the calculation of emission reductions is reliable.

## 4.6 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis

The project is not AFOLU project, and thus non-permanence risk analysis is not applicable for the project.

# 5 VERIFICATION CONCLUSION

Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd (CTI) has performed the verification of the emission reductions that have been reported for the project activity “Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project” in China (VCS Project ID: 921) for the period 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020.

The verification is based on the baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002 (version 12.1.0 and Version 20.0), revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) and the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020) and the monitoring report (version 1.1 dated 06/04/2021). The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification and certification report.

The project proponents are responsible for the collection, calculation and determination of the GHG data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emission reductions on the basis set out within the project monitoring report.

Our verification approach was based on the requirements as defined under the applicable VCS Version 4 and relevant UNFCCC requirements. Our approach is risk-based, drawing on an understanding of the

risks associated with reporting GHG emissions data and the controls in place to mitigate these. The verification can confirm that:

- the project is implemented and operated as per the revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for RCP;
- the monitoring plan in revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for RCP is as per the applied methodology;
- the monitoring complies with the monitoring plan in the revised CDM PDD and VCS PD for RCP;
- the monitoring report and other supporting documents provided are complete and verifiable and in accordance with the applicable VCS Version 4.0 and CDM requirements;
- the installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately;
- the monitoring system is in place and generates GHG emission reductions data;
- the GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements.

It is CTI's responsibility to provide an independent verification statement on the reported GHG emission reductions for the project. Based on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these, CTI planned and performed our work to obtain the information and explanations that we considered necessary to provide reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

CTI does not assume any responsibility towards the issuance and utilization of the VCUs hereby verified and certified. Request for issuance of VCUs shall be made by the project proponent to an approved VCS Program Registry based on the requirements set out under the most recent version of the VCS Program Guidelines clause on VCS Registration.

The verification of reported emission reductions is based on the information made available to CTI and the engagement conditions detailed in this report. CTI cannot be held liable by any party for decisions made or not made based on this report.

In CTI's opinion the GHG emissions reductions of the "Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project" for the period 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020 are fairly stated in the monitoring report (version 1.1 dated 06/04/2021). The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the approved methodology ACM0002 (version 12.1.0 and Version 20.0) and the monitoring plan contained in the revised CDM PDD (version 3 dated 17/12/2012) and the VCS PD (version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020).

CTI can confirm that the GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements. Based on the evidence and information that are considered necessary to guarantee that GHG emission reductions are appropriately calculated, CTI confirms the following statement:

Verification period: From 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020

Verified GHG emission reductions and removals in the above verification period:

| Year | Baseline emissions or removals ($tCO_2e$) | Project emissions or removals ($tCO_2e$) | Leakage emissions ($tCO_2e$) | Net GHG emission reductions or removals ($tCO_2e$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 21/09/2015-31/12/2015 | 23,765 | 0 | 0 | 23,765 |
| 01/01/2016-31/12/2016 | 59,855 | 0 | 0 | 59,855 |
| 01/01/2017-31/12/2017 | 69,734 | 0 | 0 | 69,734 |
| 01/01/2018-31/12/2018 | 62,277 | 0 | 0 | 62,277 |
| 01/01/2019-26/10/2019 | 56,813 | 0 | 0 | 56,813 |
| 27/10/2019-31/12/2019 | 12,313 | 0 | 0 | 12,313 |
| 01/01/2020-31/12/2020 | 95,012 | 0 | 0 | 95,012 |
| **Total** | 379,769 | **0** | **0** | 379,769 |

Wang Guolian

Ms. Wang Guolian

Team Leader

08/04/2021

Shunrong Lin

Ms. Lin Shunrong

Technical Reviewe

08/04/2021

# APPENDIX A: ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---|---|
| CAR | Corrective Action Request |
| CER | Certified Emission Reduction(s) |
| CL | Clarification request |
| $CO_2$ | Carbon Dioxide |
| $CO_2e$ | Carbon Dioxide Equivalent |
| CTI | Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd |
| DOE | Designated Operational Entity |
| EF | Emission Factor |
| ER | Emission Reduction |
| ETN | Electricity Transaction Note |
| FAR | Forward Action Request |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas(es) |
| MP | MONITORING REPORT |
| MR | Monitoring Report |
| NCPG | North China Power Grid |
| PD | Project Description |
| PP | Project Proponent |
| VCS | Verified Carbon Standard |
| VCU | Verified Carbon Unit |

# APPENDIX B: REFERENCES

Documentation used to verify the information provided by the project proponents

/1/ Climate Bridge (Shanghai) Ltd.: VER/VCU Monitoring Report for Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project, version 1 dated 01/04/2021 and version 1.1 dated 06/04/2021.

/2/ Climate Bridge (Shanghai) Ltd.: Emission reduction calculation spreadsheet for Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project, version 1.1 dated 06/04/2021.

/3/ Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd. and Inner Mongolia Electric Power (Group) Co., Ltd.: Power Purchase Agreement for Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project.

/4/ Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd.: VER monitoring manual and management procedure.

/5/ Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd.: Records of training for on-site staff.

/6/ Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd.: Operation log sheets, from 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020.

/7/ Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd.: Monthly reading records (MMRs) of Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project from 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020.

/8/ Inner Mongolia Electric Power (Group) Co., Ltd.: Monthly electricity transaction notes of electricity delivered to the grid meaaured by meter M1, from 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020.

/9/ Inner Mongolia Electric Power (Group) Co., Ltd.: Monthly electricity transaction notes of electricity purchased from the grid measured by meter M1 and M3, from 21/09/2015 to 31/12/2020.

/10/ Climate Bridge (Shanghai) Ltd.: the registered CDM PDD, version 2 dated 01/04/2011.

/11/ GAP VCS PD version 02 dated 13/09/2012.

/12/ revised PDD version 3 dated 17/12/2012 approved by CDM EB on 22/08/2013.

/13/ VCS PD for renewal of crediting period version 2.1 dated 30/12/2020.

/14/ Gansu Provincial Quality and Technical Supervision Bureau: Accreditation certificate of Measurement Center of Quality and Technical Supervision Bureau of Inner Mongolia valid till 01/01/2021.

/15/ Measurement Center of Inner Mongolia Electric Power (Group) Co., Ltd.: Calibration certificates for meters covering this monitoring period.

/16/ State Economic and Trade Commission: Technical administrative code of electric energy metering (DL/T 448-2016).

/17/ Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS: the Validation Report, version 01, dated 06/05/2011.

/18/ China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd (CEC): Assessment Opinion On Post-Registration Changes (Permanent Changes Of Monitoring Plan), version 01 dated 05/01/2013.

/19/ LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification): the Validation Report of VCS PD for renewal of crediting period, version 01.0 dated 31/12/2020

/20/ Climate Bridge (Shanghai) Ltd.: VER/VCU Monitoring Report for Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project of previous monitoring period, vesion 3.0 dated 05/12/2019.

/21/ LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification): Verification Report for Inner Mongolia Jingneng Saihan Wind Farm Phase II Project of previous monitoring period, version 01.0 dated 31/12/2020.

/22/ Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd.: nameplate of the equipment

Methodologies, tools and other guidance

/23/ Verified Carbon Standard: VCS Standard, version 4.0.

/24/ Verified Carbon Standard: VCS Program Guide, version 4.0.

/25/ Verified Carbon Standard: VCS Sectoral Scopes
http://v-c-s.org/node/448

/26/ Verified Carbon Standard: Registration and Issuance Process, version 4.0.

/27/ UNFCCC EB: Approved methodology, ACM0002, version 12.1.0 and Version 20.0

Persons interviewed

/28/ Ms. Xu Jiaman, Plant Director, The proposed wind power plant of Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd.

Mr. Xu Wei, Engineer, Beijing International New Energy Co., Ltd.

Mr. Liu Zhiyi, Engineer, Shandan Xiehe wind Power Generation Co., Ltd.

# APPENDIX C: CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS, CLARIFICATION REQUESTS AND FORWARD ACTION REQUESTS

Table 1: Corrective Action Requests

| CAR ID | Corrective Action Request | Response by Project Proponent | Verification Team Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

Table 2: Clarification Requests

| CL ID | Clarification Request | Response by Project Proponent | Verification Team Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|
| NA | NA | NA | NA |

Table 3: Forward Action Requests

| FAR ID | Forward Action Request | Response by Project Proponent | Verification Team Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|
| NA | NA | NA | NA |