

Killik 41.4 MW Wind Power Plant Project (GS947)

Local Stakeholder Consultation Report Feedback

Information on the request

Requested by:	PEM Enerji A.S.
Contact:	Ebubekir Firtin
Contact email:	ebubekir.firtin@iltekiletisim.com
Date of submission:	29.09.2010
Date of GS feedback – I round:	1.12.2010
Date of GS feedback – II round:	3.02.2011

Document (s) provided and reviewed

- KILLIK WIND_GSV2 1_LSC_Report_SEPTEMBER 2010.pdf (29.9.10, version-1)
- Revised LSC Report: KILLIK WIND_GSV2 1_LSC_Report_SEPTEMBER 2010 V2.0 (sent via email on 11 December 2010).

Project summary

The LSC was conducted on August 10th 2010. It is a large scale, wind project in Turkey. Construction date mentioned on the registry as well as in the report is 1st October 2010.

SECTION B: SUB-SECTION B.1

1. Please note that an ideal non-technical summary is one page long, contains project related information such as current project phase, timeline and social and environmental impacts of the project in the local or simple language that can be easily understood by local stakeholders. It was noted that the non-technical summary that was presented was too long. Please provide a short non-technical summary including afore mentioned points for the new projects in future.
2. Please note that it is important to provide information about how stakeholders can provide feedback in case they are not able to attend the meeting. To do this, PP can add this information in the invitations emails and letters.
3. Please provide English translation for the new paper advertisement.
4. Please provide a snapshot of the invitation emails or letters.

Response by Project Proponent

1. The recommendation will be considered for the new projects.
2. The recommendation will be considered for the new projects.
3. English translation for the new paper advertisement is provided in the LSC Report.
4. Three snapshots are included in the LSC Report.

Response by GS

Request/comment closed.

SECTION C: SUB-SECTION C.1

1. It was noted that the participation of women was low. Please include them in the second feedback round.
2. In the report it is mentioned that original evaluation forms are uploaded on the registry, but in fact they have not been uploaded yet. Please provide original evaluation forms as attachment or upload them on the registry.
3. The evaluation form does not include questions about if people understood the information provided about the project, it was sufficient and if stakeholders have any concerns? For future projects, please add these questions to the questionnaire. The questionnaire is meant to assess the effectiveness of the meeting.
4. Please note that the assessment of comments from stakeholder that results in a concrete action needs to be added to the monitoring plan. For example concern about animals able to graze, waste and security from high voltage.

Response by Project Proponent

1. The recommendation will be considered for the second feedback round.
2. The original evaluation forms are uploaded on the registry at 9th December 2010.
3. The recommendation will be considered for the new projects.
4. The recommendation will be considered.

Response by GS

Request/comment closed.

Forward Action Request (FAR) 1: Kindly consider organizing additional separate meetings in ahead for the next LSC meetings, which are solely to target women.

SECTION E:

1. Please encourage stakeholders provide their input for the sustainable monitoring plan, especially for the indicators that impacts them directly.
2. Please provide information to the stakeholders about how they can provide their feedback or

any inputs to the PP in future.
Response by Project Proponent
1. Information about the sustainable monitoring plan will be provided to the stakeholders in the SFR. If they have any comment, these comments will be taken into consideration. 2. The recommendation will be considered for the SFR phase.
Response by GS
Request/comment closed.

SECTION F:
1. Please provide more information about which documents will be provided in the Turkish languages to the stakeholders in the feedback round. 2. Please proactively solicit woman's feedback in the SFR.
Response by Project Proponent
1. The information was provided in the LSC Report 2. The recommendation will be considered for the SFR phase
Response by GS
Request/comment closed.