

# PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

<b>Review Type</b>	Verification
<b>Project ID</b>	1950
<b>Project Name</b>	Longyuan Mulilo De Aar 2 North Wind Energy Facility
<b>Program(s)</b>	VCS
<b>Verification Period</b>	1-January-2021 to 31-December-2021
<b>Project Proponent</b>	Blue World Carbon Asset Management (Pty) Ltd Longyuan Mulilo De Aar Wind Power (RF) (Pty) Ltd
<b>Methodology</b>	ACM0002, v19.0
<b>Sectoral Scope(s)</b>	1: Energy (renewable/non-renewable)
<b>VVB</b>	TÜV SÜD South Asia Pvt. Ltd.
<b>Assessment Criteria</b>	VCS Standard, v4.2; ACM0002, v19.0
<b>Date of First Issue</b>	5 July 2022
<b>Assessment Conclusion</b>	Approved
<b>Date of Final Issue</b>	3 August 2022

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p><b>Project Proponent:</b> The VVB is requested to update Section 1.4 to clarify and confirm the project proponent(s) for the project. The VVB listed only one project proponent (Longyuan Mulilo De Aar Wind Power).</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b></p>	<p><b>Round1:</b> VVB Response: Section 1.4 of the Verification Report was updated to reflect the second PP (Blue World Carbon Asset Management (Pty) Ltd)</p> <p>Verra Review: Section 1.4 has been updated with the second PPs information.</p>	Closed

	VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 4.1.14; Verification Report Template, v4.2, Section 1.4.		
2	<p><b>Declaration of No Double-Counting</b> The VVB shall instruct the project proponent (PP) to provide a copy of the declaration that states GHG ERRs will not be double-counted.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b> VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.21.1</p>	<p><b>Round 1:</b> VVB Response: A declaration for no double counting has been submitted from each PP with the responses.</p> <p>Verra Review: A copy of the declaration was provided.</p>	Closed
3	<p><b>PP Address</b> The VVB shall instruct the project proponent to update Section 1.3 of the Monitoring Report (MR) so that it includes the physical address for Blue World Carbon Asset Management.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b> VCS Standard, v4.1, Section 3.4.3; Monitoring Report v4.1, Section 1.3</p>	<p><b>Round 1:</b> VVB Response: PP has updated the section 1.3 with the relevant information.</p> <p>Verra Review: Section 1.3 was updated with the physical address.</p>	Closed
4	<p><b>Crediting Period:</b> A. The VVB is requested to instruct the project proponent to edit Section 1.6 of the MR to clarify if a 10-year fixed crediting period, or a 10-year, twice renewable crediting period was selected.</p> <p>B. The VVB is requested to assess this change and update the verification report as necessary.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b> VCS Standard, v3.7, Section 3.8.1; Monitoring Report v4.1, Section 1.6</p>	<p><b>Round 1:</b> VVB Response: PP has updated the section 1.6 of the MR and same has been updated in the VR.</p> <p>Verra Review: Section 1.6 of the MR has been updated along with the Summary Section in the VR.</p>	Closed
5	<p><b>Sustainable Development (SD) Contributions</b> The VVB is requested to instruct the project proponent to update Section 1.11 of the MR. Project 1949 and 1950 (sister projects) claimed the exact same SD contributions (as</p>	<p><b>Round 1:</b> VVB Response: Section 1.11 of the MR has been revised and SD contribution distributed between both the projects. Same has been updated in the VR.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p>	Closed

	<p>described in rows #1 – 6). SD contributions cannot be double-counted/claimed. The PP is requested to also clarify why the project would be claiming the same SD contributions as its sister project, despite being located roughly 42KM apart from each other. If the project proponents both contribute to the same SD goals, and wish to claim them for both projects (and any others), the project proponent may divide the total contributions by the number of projects claiming those contributions. For example, if two projects claim the contribution of 10 math enrichment learners participating in a program, each project may claim 5 learners.</p> <p>B. The VVB is requested to update Section 4.1 to include justification as to how they determined the project itself contributed to the stated SD goals.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b>  <i>VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.16; Monitoring Report v4.1, Section 1.11; Verification Report v4.1; Section 4.1</i></p>	<p>Section 1.11 in the MR and Section 4.1 in the VR have been updated so that SD contributions are not double counted.</p>	
6	<p><b>Stakeholder Consultation:</b></p> <p>A. The VVB is requested to instruct the project proponent to describe the mechanism for on-going communication with local stakeholders and grievance procedures in place.</p> <p>B. The VVB is requested to assess this change and update the verification report as necessary.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b>  <i>VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.17.3 - 3.17.5; Monitoring Report v4.1, Section 2.2</i></p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p>VVB Response:  PP has updated the mechanism for on-going communication with the local stakeholders in the section 2.2 of the MR. VVB has assessed the same and updated in the VR.</p> <p>Verra Review:  Section 2.2 of the MR and Section 4.2.2 in the VR have been updated to include the requested information.</p>	Closed

7	<p><b>Baseline Emissions:</b></p> <p>A. The VVB is requested to instruct the project proponent to update Section 5.1 so that it includes a table with the monthly and total BEy values for the monitoring period. While a value (0.9871 t CO<sub>2</sub>/MWh) for EFgrid ,CM , y and monthly EGPJ , y values are provided, missing monthly BEy values do not allow readers to reproduce the baseline emission calculations.</p> <p>B. The VVB is requested to instruct the project proponent to provide a copy of the ERR calculations sheet.</p> <p>C. The VVB is requested to assess this change and update the verification report as necessary.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b> <i>Monitoring Report v4.1, Section 5.1</i></p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p>VVB Response: PP has updated the section 5.1 of the MR and VVB has assessed the same and updated in the VR.</p> <p>ERR sheet is submitted with the responses.</p> <p>Verra Review: Section 5.1 has been updated to include a table of monthly BEy values and a calculations sheet was provided.</p>	Closed
8	<p><b>Conclusions:</b></p> <p>The VVB is requested to update Section 4.4 of the verification report, so that it provides an overall conclusion on whether GHG emission reductions and removals have been quantified correctly and in accordance with the project description and applied methodology.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b> <i>Verification Report v4.1; Section 4.4</i></p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p>VVB Response: Section 4.4 of the VR has been updated.</p> <p>Verra Review: Section 4.4 of the VR has been updated per the request.</p>	Closed
9	<p><b>Verification Conclusion:</b></p> <p>A. The VVB is requested to update Section 5 of the VR so that it clarifies if the project complies with verification criteria. Currently, the VR states the project complies with validation criteria, despite this being a verification.</p> <p>B. In Section 5, the VVB is also requested to confirm if a typo occurred in the text which states a</p>	<p><b>Round1:</b></p> <p>VVB Response: Section 5 of the VR is updated as per the findings.</p> <p>Typo is corrected.</p> <p>Verra Review: Section 5 has been updated per the request.</p>	Closed

	<p>PD deviation was applied. This contradicts the text in Section 3.3.</p> <p><b>Program rule:</b> <i>Verification Report v4.1; Section 5</i></p>		
--	---	--	--