

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	337
Project Name	Methane Recovery Project Princepeel Wilbertoord, North Brabant, the Netherlands
Review Type	Verification Approval
Program(s)	VCS Program
Verification Period	01-June-2017 to 31-December-2021
Project Proponent	B.V. Landgoed de Princepeel
Methodology	AMS-III.AO.: Methane recovery through controlled anaerobic digestion --- Version 1.0 AMS-III.D.: Methane recovery in animal manure management systems --- Version 21 AMS-I.C.: Thermal energy production with or without electricity --- Version 21
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.3
Date of First Issue	19 December 2022
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	21 February 2023

FINDINGS

#	Methodology names and version numbers are not reported consistently between the PD, MR and VR.	VVB Response	Status
1	Title		
	<u>Issue</u> The PD indicates that the following methodologies will be applied by the project: - AMS-III.D.: Methane recovery in animal manure management systems — Version 21 - AMS-I.C.: Thermal energy production with or without electricity — Version 21 However, the MR and VR indicate that AMS-I.C. version 22 is applied and reports the incorrect name ("agricultural and agro-industrial activities") for AMS-III.D.. <u>Action Required</u> The VVB must ensure that all applicable sections of the MR and VR are updated to report the applied methodologies correctly and consistently with the approved PD. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.3, Section 3.4.1, 3.19, 4.1.14 <u>Background</u> It is understood that these reporting inconsistencies are likely a typo. If version 22 of AMS-I.C. is applied, the VVB must ensure this change is reported as a PD deviation in the MR and VR.	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> PP has submitted the updated monitoring report with correct version of the methodology in the updated MR. VVB has modified the verification report accordingly and submitted the same to VERRA in line with the finding. <u>Verra Response</u> This finding is closed. Round 2 <u>VVB Response</u> <u>Verra Response</u>	Closed
2	Methodology Deviations are not clearly reported		
	<u>Issue</u> Two methodology deviations were reported in the PD at CPR. It is	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u>	Closed

currently unclear how the three deviations reported within the MR relate to these approved deviations, or which of them is new. The VR only reports the deviations applied at CPR, and does not assess or match the three deviations presented in the MR. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure that Section 3.2.1 in the MR and 3.2 in the VR are updated to clearly indicate what methodology deviations were applied and approved previously (at credit period renewal), and which were applied during the current monitoring period. 2. For each new deviation applied, the VVB must describe in Section 3.2 of the VR the steps taken to assess whether the deviation: • meets with the criteria and specifications for permitted methodology deviations. • does not negatively impact the conservativeness of the quantification of GHG emission reductions or removals (except where they result in increased accuracy). The VVB must also clearly state if the project remains in compliance with the VCS rules. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.3, Section 3.4.1, 3.18.3, 4.1.14	1. PP has revised section 3.2.1 in the MR, to clearly indicate what methodology deviations were applied and approved previously (at credit period renewal), and which were applied during the current monitoring period, and the same has been assessed and included by the VVB in section 3.2 of the revised FVR (version 3.0). 2. The section numbers of the FVR have been revised in compliance with the VCS template. 3. VVB has assessed the deviations and revised section 3.2 of revised FVR (version 3.0) in consideration of the following: • meets with the criteria and specifications for permitted methodology deviations. • does not negatively impact the conservativeness of the quantification of GHG emission reductions or removals (except where they result in increased accuracy). • Methodology deviations shall not negatively impact the conservativeness of the quantification of GHG emission reductions or removals, except where they result in increased accuracy of such quantification (as per Section 3.18.2 of the VCS Standard). The assessment of the above consideration has also been added by the VVB in section 3.2 of the revised FVR (version 3.0).	
--	---	--

		<u>Verra Response</u> This finding is closed.	

3	Net GHG ERR table is not presented with the correct vintage date format		
	<u>Issue</u> The Net GHG ERR table presented in Section 5 of the VR does not include vintages reported in day-month-year ranges. Without day-month-year ranges there is risk of misinterpretation and potential gaps/overlaps in accounting. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must update the table within Section 5 so that vintages are reported in day-month-year ranges, as they are in the MR. For example, the VVB should replace “Year 2017” with “01-June-2017 to 31-December-2017”. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.3, Section 4.1.14	Round 1	Closed
		<u>VVB Response</u> VVB has updated the VR as per the requirement VCS Standard v4.3, Section 4.1.14 and submitted the same to VERRA in line with the finding.	
		<u>Verra Response</u> The vintages have been updated in the VR. However, during the last round of findings a change was made to the Net ERRs reported in the MR. <u>Issue</u> The MR and provided excel calculations sheet report the total Net GHG ERRs as 89,415 for the monitoring period. However, the VR reports this number as 91,415. <u>Action Required</u> The VVB must provide the reason for this discrepancy and ensure that the MR, VR, and calculations sheet consistently and accurately report the total net GHG ERRs achieved by the project during the current monitoring period.	
		Round 2	

		<u>VVB Response</u> This was missed by the VVB. VVB has updated the Net ERRs in VR in line with MR and calculations sheet.	
		<u>Verra Response</u> This finding is closed.	

4 GHG ERR spreadsheet missing information and VR assessment of parameters is missing information			
	<u>Issue</u> VVB assessment of parameters is vague and generic. The 2021 data for parameter BGP is not in the provided ERR calculations spreadsheet. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must update Section 4.4 in the VR to make an assessment and provide a conclusion on the reported monitored parameters. Currently, the VR only indicates what was checked, but fails to provide an assessment or conclusion on their accuracy. Furthermore, the evidence checked should also be clearly stated. If the VVB references Appendix 1, adequate context and information must be provided. 2. The VVB must ensure that the provided ERR spreadsheet is updated to include 2020 data for the BGP parameter. The VVB must also check any associated math and confirm that parameter values have been applied correctly and that all calculations are accurate. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.3, Section 4.1.14	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. VVB has updated the section 4.4 of FVR, as requested. 2. ER sheet has been further revised by PP with the inclusion of the 2021 data for parameter "BGP", as per the requirement of section 4.1.14, VCS Standard v4.3.	Closed
		<u>Verra Response</u> This finding is closed.	