

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	1231
Project Name	Mordogan Wind Power Project, Turkey
Review Type	Verification Approval
Program(s)	VCS Program
Verification Period	01 March 2021 –29 February 2024
Project Proponent	Egenda Ege Enerji Üretim A.Ş.
Methodology	AMS-I.D, Grid connected renewable electricity Generation, Version 17
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A.
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard v 4.5
Date of First Issue	22 July 2024
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	12 September 2024

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	Data and parameters available at validation		
	<p><u>Issue</u> The “Data and Parameters Available at Validation” in section 4.1 of the MR is not consistent with the registered Project Description section 4.1.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u> VVB shall ensure that the “Data and Parameters Available at Validation” in section 4.1 of the MR are not consistent with the registered Project Description section 4.1.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Monitoring Report v 4.3, Section 4.1 VCS Registered PD, v 5, date 02/01/2014, Section 4.1 VCS Verification Report v 4.3, Section 4.3</p>	<p>Round 1</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> Section 4.1. has been revised in accordance with the registered PD.</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> Data and Parameters Available at Validation” in section 4.1 of the MR are now consistent with the registered Project Description section 4.1. This issue is closed now</p> <p>Round 2</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p>Round 3</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p>	Closed
2	Percentage difference of achieved ERRs and its impact on additionality		
	<p><u>Issue</u> In Section 5.4 of the Monitoring Report and Section 5.3 of the Verification Report, it has been observed that overall achieved emission reductions are 19.4% higher than ex-ante emission reductions. Also, in the year 2021, estimated emission reductions are 68.6% higher than the ex-ante emission reductions. VVB has not properly justified the difference and</p>	<p>Round 1</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> Calculation of total days in Comp.of Baseline Emissions were kept less by mistake. As a result of revision, the energy yield is overall 8.5 % higher. ER sheet and the reports have been revised accordingly.</p>	Closed

	<p>its impact on additionality. Per registered Project Description section 2.5, the sensitivity analysis is performed for a +10% energy yield. However, in the project scenario, the energy yield is overall 19.4% higher.</p> <p><u>Action Required</u> VVB must justify the difference and provide an assessment of the higher energy yield's impact on additionality, including the sensitivity analysis.</p> <p><u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>VCS Monitoring Report, v4.3, Section 5.4</i> <i>VCS Verification Report v4.3, Section 5.3</i> <i>VCS Registered PD v5, dated 02/01/2014, Section 2.5, Table 14</i></p>	<p><u>Verra Response</u> An error in counting applicable days in 2021 for calculating a value for Ex -ante emission reductions is now corrected in the ERR calculation sheet. PP has corrected the error in section 5.4 of the MR and in the ERR calculation sheet. However, values of ex-ante emission reduction and the difference in percentage yield for the year 2021 mentioned in section 5.3 of the VR are not consistent with section 5.4 of the MR. VVB must correct section 5.3 of the VR and ensure that all the values are consistently reported in the MR, VR, and ERR sheet.</p> <p>Action Required: VVB shall submit the revised VR.</p> <p>This issue remains open.</p> <p>Round 2</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> Section 5.3 of FVR is revised and now in line with MR and spread sheet.</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> VVB has updated section 5.3 of the FVR, and the details are consistent with MR section 5.4. The issue is now closed.</p> <p>Round 3</p> <p><u>VVB Response</u> (Pending)</p> <p><u>Verra Response</u> (Pending)</p>	
--	--	--	--