

# GOLD STANDARD VERIFICATION REPORT

For: GoodPlanet Foundation

REPORT NO.:  
GS4593VER04



**SUSTAINCERT**  
KNOW YOUR IMPACT

|   |                                    |              |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 1 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



## Abbreviations used in this Report

|        |   |
|--------|---|
| CAR    | Corrective Action Request                             |
| CDM    | Clean Development Mechanism                           |
| CL     | Clarification request                                 |
| CO2    | Carbon dioxide  |
| CO2e   | Carbon dioxide equivalent                             |
| DNA    | Designated National Authority                         |
| DR     | Document Review                                       |
| EF     | Emission Factor                                       |
| ERPA   | Emission Reduction Purchase Agreement                 |
| ER     | Emission Reductions                                   |
| EIA    | Environmental Impact Assessment                       |
| FAR    | Forward Action Request                                |
| GWP    | Global Warming Potential                              |
| GS     | Gold Standard   |
| GHG    | Greenhouse gas(es)                                    |
| IPCC   | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change             |
| IRR    | Internal Rate of Return                               |
| kWh    | Kilo Watt Hour  |
| MW     | Mega Watt   |
| NC     | Non-Conformity  |
| NCV    | Net Calorific Value                                   |
| NGO    | Non-governmental Organisation                         |
| ODA    | Official Development Assistance                       |
| PDD    | Project Design Document                               |
| PD     | Project Developer                                     |
| tCO2e  | Tonnes of CO2 equivalents                             |
| UNFCCC | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change |
| BH     | Borehole  |
| GS4GG  | Gold Standard for the Global Goals                    |
| ICS    | Improved Cookstove                                    |
| MWh    | MegaWattHour  |
| CH4    | Methane   |
| MR     | Monitoring Report                                     |
| N2O    | Nitrous Oxide   |
| POA    | Programme of Activity                                 |
| SGP    | Safeguarding Principles                               |
| SDG    | Sustainable Development Goal                          |
| SC     | SustainCERT   |
| UN     | United Nations  |

|   |                                    |              |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 2 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



VVB Validation and Verification Body  
VER Verified Emission Reduction  
VPA Voluntary Project Activity

|  |                                    |              |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                       | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 3 of 20 |
| <b>File name:</b><br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



# Table of Contents

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>OBJECTIVE AND CRITERIA</b> .....                                  | <b>5</b> |
| 1.1      | Objective .....  | 5        |
| 1.2      | SCOPE.....   | 5        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Team composition</b> .....  | <b>5</b> |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Project Information</b> .....                                     | <b>6</b> |
| 3.1      | Monitoring Period .....  | 6        |
| 3.2      | Annual projections.....  | 6        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>VERIFICATION/VALIDATION OPINION</b> .....                         | <b>6</b> |
| <b>5</b> | <b>METHODOLOGY</b> .....   | <b>8</b> |
| 5.1      | Desk Review .....  | 8        |
| 5.2      | Example Protocol.....  | 9        |
| 5.3      | Example Review Feedback .....  | 10       |
| 5.4      | Site Visit .....   | 10       |
| 5.5      | ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL.....   | 11       |
|          | Appendix: 1- CLARIFICATION REQUESTS, CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS..... | 12       |
|          | Appendix: 2 - FORWARD ACTION REQUESTS.....                           | 20       |

|   |                                    |              |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 4 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



## 1 OBJECTIVE AND CRITERIA

### 1.1 Objective

Gold Standard projects must undergo independent validation/verification of emission reductions and overall compliance with Gold Standard rules as the basis for issuance of Gold Standard Voluntary Emission Reductions (GS VERs).

The objectives of this verification are to determine if the GHG statement and other reporting information is accurate and conforms with the criteria defined in Gold Standard rules.

This report details the objectives, scope, criteria, methodology and findings of this process and a final opinion.

The Gold Standard requires that the final version of this report is published in the public domain. The client to whom this report is addressed therefore acknowledges that the final version of this report will be published unless SustainCERT (SC) are informed in writing within 1 business day following issuance of the final version to the client.

### 1.2 SCOPE

|                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| GHG related activity         | Verification                                    |
| Project Title (s)            | Municipal Waste Composting in Dschang, Cameroon |
| Project ID (s) (i.e.: GS-ID) | GS4593  |
| GS Project Type              | Domestic waste composting                       |
| PoA Title (if applicable)    | Not Applicable                                  |
| POA ID (if applicable)       | Not Applicable                                  |
| Responsible Party            | GoodPlanet Foundation                           |

The scope of verification covers the emissions reductions project in that is prepared in accordance with the Monitoring Report of the GS ID (s) listed above.

Consistent with Gold Standard requirements, only the following GHGs are considered within the scope of the assessment: CO<sub>2</sub> CH<sub>4</sub> N<sub>2</sub>O.

## 2 TEAM COMPOSITION

### Validation/Verification Team

| Name            | Qualification | Coverage of sectoral/technical area       | Host country experience             | Conducted Site visit / Remote Audit |
|-----------------|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Indrapal Parmar | TL            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (All) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Joan Adera      | TM-Trainee    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (All) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Pooja Bansal    | TE            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (All) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | -                                   |

|   |                                    |              |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 5 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



## Independent Review team and approver

| Name             | Role          | Coverage of technical area                |
|------------------|---------------|---|
| Nayan Jyoti Deka | Independent R | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (All) |
| Shivraj Sharma   | Approver      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (All) |

## 3 PROJECT INFORMATION

### 3.1 Monitoring Period

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Start of Monitoring Period               | 01/01/2022 |
| End of Monitoring period                 | 31/12/2022 |
| Total Emission Reductions (Total SDG 13) | 2,999      |
| Total SDG 2                              | 131        |
| Total SDG 8                              | 52         |
| Total SDG 11                             | 123        |
| Date of MR Report                        | 06/09/2023 |
| Version of MR Report                     | 2          |

### Vintage-wise break-up of emission reductions for monitoring period:

|                          |       |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Vintage Break-up of MP04 | -     |
| 01/01/2022- 31/12/2022   | 2,999 |
| Product Totals           | 2,999 |

### 3.2 Annual projections

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Annual Average Emission Reductions (SDG 13) | N/A because it's an internal verification |
| Total SDG x                                 | N/A because it's an internal verification |
| Total SDG y                                 | N/A because it's an internal verification |
| Total SDG z                                 | N/A because it's an internal verification |

## 4 VERIFICATION/VALIDATION OPINION

Unmodified Opinion



|   |                                    |              |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 6 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



|                                      |                              |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Modified Opinion (see reasons below) | <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| Adverse Opinion (see reasons below)  | <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |
| Disclaimer of Opinion                | <input type="checkbox"/> N/A |

The project representative to whom this report is addressed is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of GHG and other reporting information in accordance with Gold Standard rules.

SustainCERT is responsible for expressing this verification opinion on the GHG and other reporting information based on the evidence gathering procedures documented in this report. The GHG verification was planned and carried out in accordance with ISO 14064-3 (Specification with guidance for the verification and validation of greenhouse gas statements) to provide a reasonable level of assurance that the information is accurate.

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Reason for Modified Opinion (if applicable)                    | N/A |
| Reason for Adverse Opinion (if applicable)                     | N/A |
| Reason for Disclaimer (not issuing) of Opinion (if applicable) | N/A |

**Conclusion:**

Considering the following information and the one provided in this report:

|                            |   |
|----------------------------|---|
| GHG-related activity       | Verification                                    |
| Project Title (s)          | Municipal Waste Composting in Dschang, Cameroon |
| Responsible Party          | GoodPlanet Foundation.                          |
| Start of Monitoring Period | 01/01/2022                                      |
| End of Monitoring period   | 31/12/2022                                      |
| Date of MR Report/PDD      | 02  |
| Version of MR Report/PDD   | 06/09/2023                                      |

Verification period is equal to the monitoring star and end dates mentioned above.

SustainCERT (SC) concludes that:

Verification

The GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements for the aforementioned monitoring period and has been prepared in accordance with the verification criteria and is a materially correct and fair representation of GHG other reporting information.

|   |                                    |              |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 7 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



Our opinion refers to reported project’s information on GHG emissions and resulting reductions, which were determined using the valid and certified baseline, monitoring plan and other relevant documents.

Based on the information we have assessed; we can confirm that the implementation of the project resulted in the aforementioned emission reductions during the corresponding monitoring period

The conclusion is reached based on the following criteria:

The criteria for this verification are defined in the following documents stated in the Monitoring Report (MR)

- GS4GG Principles & Requirements
- GS4GG Stakeholder Consultation Requirements & Guidelines
- GS4GG Safeguarding Principles & Requirements
- GS4GG GHG-Emissions-Reduction-Sequestration-Product-Requirements
- Design Certified VPA-DDs

Optional Requirements:

- 100-GS4GG-Programme-of-Activity-Requirements-
- Applied methodology CDM small-scale methodology: AMS.III-F: “Avoidance of methane emissions through composting”, version 12.

|                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| Authorised Signatory Name:   | Shivraj Sharma, Director – Validation and Verification        |
| Signature                    | DocuSigned by:<br><i>Shivraj Sharma</i><br>4E3DA74DBE7A462... |
| Date of this report approval | 21/09/2023  |
| Version of this report       | 01  |
| Office Location              | Luxembourg  |

The verification / validation of the GHG statement was conducted in accordance with ISO 14064-3 and corresponding GHG scheme.

The responsible party is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the GHG statement in accordance with the criteria.

## 5 METHODOLOGY

### 5.1 Desk Review

An initial verification documentary review was conducted by SustainCERT involving

- A review of the data and information presented in the MR to verify their completeness.
- A review of the approved monitoring plan and monitoring methodology
- An evaluation of data management and quality control system used in the generation and reporting of data and information

|   |                                    |              |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 8 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



A Protocol was used to assess each requirement during the execution of assessment activities and is explained below. The completed Protocol is available in section 5.5 below.

## 5.2 Example Protocol

| The name/section of the reporting template is indicated in the top row  |  |                                     |   |   |   |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Type  | Ref  | Rule                                | Assessment Question   | Findings/Comments   | Conc.                                   |
| V or I<br>This indicates the type of assessment. V = validation/verification<br>I = inclusion (a streamlined validation for VPAs) | Details the section and section number in the reporting template (PDD or MR) | Provides a reference to the GS rule | Question used to determine compliance with the rule, or if the rule is applicable | Used to track clarifications or corrective actions raised when the assessment question does not immediately lead to a conclusion. | Conclusion of each assessment question. |

Whenever the assessment question does not immediately lead to a conclusion, clarifications (CLs) and corrective action requests (CARs) are issued as Findings/Comments against the relevant Rule and Assessment Question.

If a Findings is closed, it will result in a conclusion of either OK, a Forward Action Request (FAR) or an Observation (OBS). If a Finding cannot be closed and a requirement cannot be shown to be met, an NC (Non-conformity) is issued.

OK, CARs, CLs, FARs, OBS and NC are further explained below:

- OK - issued when a requirement has been met.
- CAR (Corrective Action Request) - issued if one of the following occurs:
  - There is a risk that emission reductions cannot be monitored or calculated
  - Mistakes have been made in applying assumptions, data or calculations of emission reductions that will impact the quantity of emission reductions
  - Gold Standard requirements have not been shown to be met
- Clarification request (CL) - issued if information is insufficient or not clear enough to determine whether a requirement has been met
- Forward Action Requests (FARs) – issued to highlight issues related to implementation that require review at the next verification
- Observations (OBS) - issued where there may be a possible future non-conformity against a requirement.
- Non-Conformity (NC) – issued if a requirement has not been met and cannot be met.

To demonstrate transparency, all Findings (along with the relevant Rule and Assessment Question) are transferred to a separate Review Feedback table (shown below) to provide a written record of how they are discussed and how the conclusion was reached. A transcript of the Review Feedback is available as Appendix 1, which also includes a list of the Supporting Document (s) provided and Reviewed.

|   |                                    |              |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 9 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |              |



### 5.3 Example Review Feedback

| Rule                     | Assessment Question      | Findings/Comments  | Developer Response   |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Copied from the Protocol | Copied from the Protocol | Copied from the Protocol, the nature (and number – e.g. CAR 1/CAR 2) of the Finding is included for traceability | The response should include an explanation of what evidence has been provided in response to the Finding |
|                          |                          |  |  |

### 5.4 Site Visit

A site visit is chosen on the basis of risk assessment.

It was determined during our Risk Analysis that a site was not required during current monitoring period. A site visit was already undertaken by GS VVB during previous monitoring period verification on 4-6-Sept-2022, in line with Gold Standard rules and following SustainCERT instruction.

Also, the remote document review was carried out on 25-Aug-2023 for the current monitoring period.

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 10 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |

## 5.5 ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL

The Protocol covers the key thematic areas in Gold Standard certification and is tailored to the review type and the mandatory reporting template (MR/PDD). The key thematic areas addressed in the Protocol are:

- GHG emission reductions (known as SDG 13 contributions)
- Other SDG contributions
- Compliance with Safeguarding Principles
- Compliance with Stakeholder Consultation (LSC) requirements

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 11 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |

Appendix: 1- CLARIFICATION REQUESTS, CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Review Feedback Round:                        | I  |
| Supporting Document (s) provided and Reviewed | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) GS 4593_V2-Monitoring_Report_V1_15132023</li> <li>2) GS 4593_Confidential_VER_Cals_V1_15132023</li> <li>3) ERA's commercial visits</li> <li>4) Characterization campaign janv-dec 2022_ SITEU</li> <li>5) Characterization Campaign janv-dec 2022_NGUI</li> <li>6) Confidential_Salary proof_2022</li> <li>7) Grievance book 2022</li> <li>8) Justificative compost process 1</li> <li>9) Justificative compost process 2</li> <li>10) Justificative compost process 3</li> <li>11) Justificative compost process 4</li> <li>12) Justificative compost process 5</li> <li>13) Justificative electricity bills 2022</li> <li>14) Justificative of compost production and stock</li> <li>15) Number processed Wheelbarrows Ngui 2022</li> <li>16) Number processed Wheelbarrows Siteu 2022</li> <li>17) Proof of truck maintenance and upkeep</li> <li>18) Protection equipements bill 1</li> <li>19) Protection equipements bill 2</li> <li>20) Protection equipements bill 3</li> <li>21) Protection equipements bill 4</li> <li>22) Protection equipements bill 5</li> <li>23) Protection equipements bill 6</li> <li>24) Protection equipements use 1</li> <li>25) Protection equipements use 2</li> <li>26) Protection equipements use 3</li> </ol> |

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 12 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |



|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>27) Protection equipments use 4</li> <li>28) Protocole Compostage_Dschang</li> <li>29) retournements NGUI</li> <li>30) retournements SITEU</li> <li>31) Scan Weight Wheelbarrow 2022 NGUI</li> <li>32) Scan Weight Wheelbarrow 2022 Siteu</li> <li>33) suivi températures NGUI</li> <li>34) Suivi températures SITEU</li> <li>35) Weighing machine calibration</li> <li>36) Windrow Turning(1)</li> <li>37) PDD_27.11.18_v5</li> </ul> |
|--|---|

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Review Feedback Round:                        | II  |
| Supporting Document (s) provided and Reviewed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Final-Verification-Findings-Report-GS4593-MP4 Round-1</li> <li>2) GS 4593_Confidential_VER_Cals_V2_06_09_2023</li> <li>3) GS 4593_Monitoring_Report_V2_06_09_2023</li> <li>4) Agreement_ownership_ER-Dschang</li> <li>5) Attestation de conformité environnementale-1</li> <li>6) avis riverains Ngui 2022</li> <li>7) avis riverains Siteu 2022</li> <li>8) Certificate of environment compliance ENG</li> <li>9) Certificate of environment compliance FR</li> <li>10) Description of the methodology for weighing average wheelbarrows</li> <li>11) Electricity consumption June</li> <li>12) Equipment photos requiring electricity</li> <li>13) Grievance register</li> <li>14) Proof of compost sale february 2022</li> </ul> |

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 13 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |



|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | 15) Proof of compost sale January 2022<br>16) Proof of truck maintenance and upkeep<br>17) Scale calibration 2021<br>18) Scale calibration 2022<br>19) Scale calibration at both sites in 2022<br>20) List of composting employees<br>21) Proof of compost production and inventory<br>22) ERA's commercial visits 2022 English version |
|--|---|

| Rule | Assessment Question   | Findings/Comments  | Developer Response   |
|------|---|--|--|
| Gen  | Does the responsible party own or have the right to claim emission reductions or removal enhancements expressed in the GHG statement? | PD shall state in the monitoring report if the responsible party own or have the right to claim emission reductions or removal enhancements expressed in the GHG statement. PD is requested to provide agreement with local municipal (process owner) and other project participants if any. | GoodPlanet has the right to claim the ownership emission reductions from this project. This information has been added under section A.1 in revised monitoring report.<br>There is an agreement with local municipal and other project participants concerning the ownership of emission reduction. This agreement will be provided as proof.<br>Document provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Agreement_ownership_ER-Dschang</li> <li>GS 4593_V2_Monitoring_Report_V2_06_09_2023</li> </ul> |
|      | Round-2   | Comment/Request is closed.   |  |

| Rule            | Assessment Question                      | Findings/Comments  | Developer Response   |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| P&R<br>4.1.43 C | Are all comments from the CIGM reported? | PD shall provide the scanned copy of the grievance register maintained at each of the sites. | The scanned copy of the grievance register maintained at each of the sites will be provided with this report.<br><br>Document provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grievance register</li> </ul> |

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 14 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |



| Rule | Assessment Question | Findings/Comments          | Developer Response |
|------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
|      | Round-2             | Comment/Request is closed. |                    |

| Rule             | Assessment Question  | Findings/Comments   | Developer Response   |
|------------------|--|---|--|
| P&R<br>3.1.1 (c) | Does the monitoring process cover the extent of the project's implementation? (Including the completeness of the installation of technology, equipment, and measurement equipment) | MR, Section B.1:<br>1) PD is requested to provide details on the project process mass-energy flow description and clarify in which specific operation in which electricity is consumed.<br>2) PD shall provide a statement that during current monitoring period if maintenance was carried out. If yes, kindly provide the details with dates. | 1) On both sites, only two operations require electricity. These are Water supply system and compost packaging. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An electric motor pump draws water from the groundwater and stores it in the water tower. This water is used in composting processes.</li> <li>Composts are stored in 50kg bags. These bags are sewn using electrical equipment.</li> </ul> <p>Photos of these equipment will be provided with this report.</p> <p>2) Most of the equipment used on the sites are homemade or local, so there is no real maintenance for this equipment. There is only truck maintenance (oils change, technical visit and other repairs). Proofs of truck maintenance have been provided during the first submission on SC platform in March 2023. Please refer "Proof of truck maintenance and upkeep"</p> <p>Document provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Equipment photos requiring electricity.</li> <li>Proof of truck maintenance and upkeep</li> </ul> |
|                  | Round-2  | 1) Comment/Request is closed.<br>2) Comment/Request is closed.  |  |

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 15 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |



| Rule | Assessment Question   | Findings/Comments   | Developer Response   |
|------|---|---|--|
|      | For SDG 13, has <u>verifiable</u> supporting evidence for each <u>high-risk</u> monitoring parameter been provided? | PD is requested to provide clarification on the weighing unit used to get average monthly of data for the carrying capacity of the wheelbarrows. The certificate of weigh scale provided is sighted in scan document 'Weighing machine calibration' which is the data is claimed for unit in Situe (dated Aug 2022). PD shall provide the evidence of scale calibration used for the monitoring period for both location. | The methodology for obtaining monthly average data for wheelbarrow load capacity is described in the file "Description of the methodology for weighing average wheelbarrows". This file will be provided with this report.<br>The evidence of scale calibration in 2021 and 2022 for the both sites will be provided.<br><br>Document provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Description of the methodology for weighing average wheelbarrows</li> <li>• Scale calibration 2021</li> <li>• Scale calibration 2022</li> </ul> |
|      | Round-2   | Comment/Request is closed.  |  |

| Rule          | Assessment Question                                    | Findings/Comments  | Developer Response   |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| P&R<br>4.1.15 | For Other SDGs, has supporting evidence been provided? | For parameter:<br>a. SDG 2: Proportion of agricultural area under productive and sustainable agriculture / Qy,treatment in Tons of compost. PD is requested to provide document stated as provided document ie "ERA database" as it is not available.<br>b.Total number of workers employed due at the composting site of the project activity: As per the document "Confidential_Salary proof_2022", the number of employees is 72, whereas the reported number in the MR is 52. PD shall check and clarify.<br>c.Air and water quality near the surrounding areas of the waste composting facilities: Water and air quality testing reports shall be provided.<br>d. SDG 11: Proportion of urban solid waste regularly collected and with adequate final discharge out of total urban solid waste generated, by cities for tons of compost sold in year: in the file of 'ERA's Commercial Visit' the data sighted for 248 bags (of 50 kg) under the column of 'Quantité utilisée en sacs de 50 kgs (pour la surface de | <p>a) The quantity of compost produced during the monitoring period is determined from ERA compost production and stock data recording document. This document will be provided with this report. Please refer « Proof of compost production and inventory, sheet 2022-Realized, cell P68»</p> <p>b) The composters' salaries are paid by the municipality. When the municipality makes this payment, it concerns all employees attached to the municipality. The list of employees in the document "Confidential_Salary proof_2022" does not only concern the composting employees. Please find in the file "List of composting employees", the list of composting employees</p> <p>c) The air and water quality are assessed on the basis of the number of complaints received from neighbors. As there were no complaint during the monitoring period, it can be deducted that the project does not have impact on air and water quality. In Cameroun, the cost of air and quality analyses is important, and the project has no budget to carry them out. However, the Departmental delegate for the environment nature protection</p> |

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 16 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |



| Rule | Assessment Question | Findings/Comments   | Developer Response   |
|------|---------------------|---|--|
|      |                     | terrain disponible' for the year of 2022. PD shall provide clarification on how value of 123 ton is derived from.                                   | <p>and sustainable development carried out an environmental impact study on the sites in 2020 and gave a favorable opinion. The certificate of environmental compliance issued by the Departmental delegate for the environment nature protection and sustainable development will be provided as proof.</p> <p>d) The person in charge of the visit does not have the capacity to visit all clients. The purpose of visit is to check the proper use of the compost. The visit is scheduled for the farmer (client) living within a 200km radius of Dschang. The quantity of compost used (248 bags (of 50 kg) in the file of 'ERA's Commercial Visit' is the quantity used by client visited not by all clients.</p> <p>Document provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List of composting employees</li> <li>Certificate of environment compliance FR</li> <li>Certificate of environment compliance ENG</li> </ul> |
|      | Round-2             | <p>a) Comment/Request is closed.</p> <p>b) Comment/Request is closed.</p> <p>c) Comment/Request is closed.</p> <p>d) Comment/Request is closed.</p> |  |

| Rule     | Assessment Question                                       | Findings/Comments  | Developer Response  |
|----------|---|--|---|
| MR Guide | Are any forward actions declared correctly and addressed? | <p>1) This is a verification in the middle of a crediting period, 2 FARs were reported in in the last verification/performance certification. This is reflected correctly in the monitoring report. Please provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>English translated copy of ERA's commercial visits and good resolution copies of the photos.</li> </ul> <p>2) Forward Action Request # 2: The PD is requested to submit the database and record all the future visits. The database has not been submitted; PD shall submit the same for review and verification.</p> | <p>1) The English version of THE file "ERA's commercial visits" with good resolution photos will be provided with this report. Please refer to the "ERA's commercial visits 2022 English version".</p> <p>2) The frequency of plot visits depends on several parameters, such as the manager's availability and workload, customer demand and availability, the commune's calendar and the climate. It is difficult to give a schedule for future visits, as we are not sure we will be able to carry out the given plan, which may be modified according to these many factors</p> <p>Document provided:</p> |

|  |                                    |               |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                               | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 17 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B7491BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |



| Rule | Assessment Question | Findings/Comments  | Developer Response   |
|------|---------------------|--|--|
|      |                     |  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ERA's commercial visits 2022 English version".</li> </ul> |
|      | Round-2             | 1) Comment/Request is closed.<br>2) Comment/Request is closed. |  |

| Rule | Assessment Question   | Findings/Comments  | Developer Response  |
|------|---|--|---|
| Meth | For SDG 13, has verifiable supporting evidence for each high-risk monitoring parameter been provided? | Section D.2.:<br>1) Pn,j,x: The value in the MR are different from that in the GS 4593_Confidential_VER_Cals_V1_15132023, Characterization campaign janv-dec 2022_SITEU and Characterization Campaign janv-dec 2022_NGUI. PD shall check and clarify.<br>2) SDG 13 – Eey: scanned voucher for month of June (Ngui) is unreadable. PD is requested to provide clear scan evidence for verification. For the month of April 2022 (cell H50) of the total electricity from 2 sites is found not linked properly with the corresponding values resulting in incorrect value.<br>3) PD is also requested to provide clarification on the electricity bill provided as the date stated on the is due date of payment and date of reading; date for period for the bill is raised for is not found. PD shall provide clarification whether the bill issued for the month is reflecting the actual electricity consumption for the calender month or the previous month. | 1) The waste characterization is carried out monthly at each site. The values given in “Characterization campaign janv-dec 2022_SITEU and Characterization Campaign janv-dec 2022_NGUI” are the Weight fraction of the waste type per month at the SITEU site and at the NGUI site. For the calculation of Emission reduction, we have used the annual average of Weight fraction of the waste for the two sites as function of the quantity of waste treated. These values are shown in MR and GS 4593_Confidential_VER_Cals.<br>Please find the detail of this annual average of Weight fraction of the waste in “Year6.2022” sheet, cell T40; T41; T42; T43; T44 and T45.<br>Referent document: GS 4593_Confidential_VER_Cals_V2<br>2) A ligible scan on electricity consumption in June at Ngui will be provided. The cell H50 has been corrected in revised ER calculation.<br>3) The electricity consumption shown on the bill during the month m is equivalent to the electricity consumption during the month m-1. The electricity consumption in ER calculation corresponds to the billing date.<br><br>Document provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GS 4593_Confidential_VER_Cals_V2_06_09_2023</li> <li>Electricity consumption June</li> </ul> |
|      | Round-2   | 1) Comment/Request is closed.<br>2) Comment/Request is closed.   |   |

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 18 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |



| Rule | Assessment Question | Findings/Comments             | Developer Response |
|------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
|      |                     | 3) Comment/Request is closed. |                    |
|      |                     | 4) Comment/Request is closed. |                    |

| Rule     | Assessment Question                     | Findings/Comments   | Developer Response  |
|----------|---|---|---|
| MR Guide | Do the 3 SDG Impacts achieved match E.4 | The summarised SDG 13 impact in table 1 does not match those in E.4 for SDG 13. Please check and clarify.<br><br>In addition, section E.4 (column 'SDG') and table for 'Product Vintage' is incomplete. | The values in table 1 for SDG 13 impact have been modified in revised Monitoring report. The column SDG of has been completed in revised Monitoring report.<br>Document provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GS 4593_Monitoring_Report_V2_06_09_2023</li> </ul> |
|          | Round-2                                 | Comment/Request is closed.  |   |

| Rule           | Assessment Question                             | Findings/Comments  | Developer Response   |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| P&R 4.1.19 (c) | Does the crediting period match the PDD/VPA-dd? | The project crediting period has been delayed from the initial date stated section B.2.3. of the MR, "The project registration was delayed due to the change in the project team, therefore the change in the first period of crediting from the 01/03/2017, instead of the expected date of 01/01/2015 (as mentioned in the registered PDD)". The latest PDD v.5 that is available in the platform is sighted to have not reflect such changes. | The starting date of crediting period has been planned on 01/01/15 but there was a team change so the project validation has had a delay. As mentioned in the registered PDD in the section C.2.1.1 the starting date is 01/01/2015 or two years back from the end date of the 6 weeks registration review period, whichever is later. This is why the start date of crediting period is 01/03/17 and GS has validated it. |
|                | Round-2   | Comment/Request is closed.   |  |

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 19 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |

Appendix: 2 - FORWARD ACTION REQUESTS

**Not applicable.**

|   |                                    |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Prepared/changed by:<br>Eric Tolcach                                | Approved by:<br>Javier Castro      | Page 20 of 20 |
| File name:<br>\$f9733b108911\$8DC2BF1F81B74791BCDB5F6AF1745E10.docx | Version / Date:<br>02 / 12/05/2022 |               |