

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	2876
Project Name	Muyuan WJZ AWMS GHG Mitigation Project in Henan Province
Review Type	Validation and Verification
Program(s)	SD VISta Program
Verification Period	15-July-2020 to 31-March-2022
Project Proponent	Muyuan Foods Co., Ltd
VVB	Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd
Assessment Criteria	<i>Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0</i>
Date of First Issue	21 June 2024
Date of Second Issue	17 July 2024
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	22 August 2024

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	Summary of SDG Contributions – Row 1		
	<u>Issue</u> In Section 1 of the PD and MR row 1 does not provide a baseline for renewable energy usage to calculate the "share in the total final energy consumption." <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the PP utilizes applicable SDG or self-defined indicators that enable the measurement of the project's impacts. 2. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the PD and MR and update the relevant sections of the validation and verification reports as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0, Section 2.1.2</i> <i>SD ViSta Project Description Template, v1.0, Section 1</i> <i>SD ViSta Monitoring Report Template, v1.0, Section 1</i> UN Metadata <u>Background</u> Please consult the UN Metadata on SDG Indicator 7.2.1	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. The VVB have ensured the PP utilizes applicable SDG or self-defined indicators that enable the measurement of the project's impacts. The row 1 has provided a baseline for renewable energy usage to calculate the "share in the total final energy consumption." The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 1 of the PD and MR. 2. The section 2.2.1 of validation report and section 2.2.1 of verification report are updated accordingly. <u>Verra Response</u> <u>Issue</u> In Section 1 of the PD and MR, row 1 still uses the SDG Indicator 7.1.2 "renewable energy share in the total final energy consumption." Please refer to the 'Background' Section for more details. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent provides the national baseline renewable energy usage data to demonstrate the project's impacts or provide a self-defined indicator to claim this contribution. 2. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the PD and MR and update the relevant sections of the validation and verification reports as needed.	Closed

		<u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0, Section 2.1.2 <u>Background</u> As stated in the UN Metadata on SDG Indicator 7.2.1, this indicator is intended for national-level renewable energy usage. Even though this project is producing renewable energy, its scope is not sufficient to directly influence the national renewable energy rate, a variable subject to multifaceted factors. Round 2 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. The targets and indicators for SDG 7 have been updated to self-defined metric, details as follows: SDG7.0-Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for local residents. PD, MR are all updated with related change. 2. VVB has provided the independent assessment of the updates to the PD and MR and update the related sections of the validation and verification reports. <u>Verra Response</u> Row 1 in the SDG table now uses a self-defined indicator. This is sufficient to close the finding.	
--	--	---	--

2	Summary of SDG Contributions – Rows 2 and 3		
	<u>Issue</u> The indicators used for reporting sustainable development (SD) contributions in Section 1 of both the PD and MR have the following issues: 1. Row 2 uses SDG indicator 8.5.2. However, the project employs people but does not have enough evidence to support the change in the unemployment rate because this rate is affected by variables other than the number of people employed by this project.	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. As far as the project itself is concerned, the project actually provides local employment opportunities and effectively alleviates the problem of the lack of local social work positions. Thus the project is deemed to contribute to decrease the unemployment rate, this rate is certainly affected by variables, but one of the factor should be more employment opportunities have been provided. Therefore, VVB confirmed that the project is in line with SDG 8.5.2's	Closed

	2. Row 3 uses SDG indicator 12.5.1. This indicator measures the national recycling rate, but the project cannot impact this rate alone as this rate is affected by multiple variables. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent uses a better-suited indicator or use a self-defined indicator in row 2. 2. The VVB must ensure the project proponent uses a better-suited indicator or use a self-defined indicator in row 3. 3. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the PD and MR and update the relevant sections of the validation and verification reports as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0</i> Section 2.1.2; <i>SD VISta Project Description Template, v1.0</i>, Section 1; <i>SD VISta Monitoring Report Template, v1.0</i>, Section 1	description of the unemployment rate and offers employment contracts for these jobs. 2. As for the project itself, the project recycles manure and produces organic fertilizer, thus the project has made a practical contribution to the national recycling rate. The left column mentioned that “but the project cannot impact this rate alone as this rate is affected by multiple variables”, this is not the same definition considered by VVB for the project as the project did not mention that the project impacts this rate alone but provide the contribution instead. It is assessed that the project recycles manure and produces organic fertilizer which contributes to increase the national recycling rate, the project has made the contribution of the rate change. This is in line with SDG 12.5.1's description of national recycling rates. 3. As above assessment, PP has used the indicators that are suited to the specific contributions of the project. In the validation and verification reports, VVB has updated the description with address the baseline scenario so that to comparing with the project situation, thus the section 2.2.1 of validation report and section 2.2.1 of verification report are updated accordingly. <u>Verra Response</u> <u>Issue</u> The indicators used for reporting SD contributions in Section 1 of both the PD and MR have the following issues: 1. The UN metadata guidelines explicitly outline the methods for computing indicator 8.5.1, which extends beyond the scale of an individual project. Even though the project employs people locally, its scope is not sufficient to directly influence the national or regional unemployment rate, a variable subject to multifaceted factors. 2. The project's contribution to manure recycling is not in line with the UN metadata requirements for SDG indicator 12.5.1. This indicator reflects national recycling rates, which cannot be directly influenced by a single project due to its range of affecting variables.	
--	--	--	--

		<u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent justifies its use of indicator 8.5.2 or use a self-defined indicator in row 2 2. The VVB must ensure the project proponent justifies its use of indicator 12.5.1 or use a self-defined indicator in row 3. 3. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the PD and MR and update the relevant sections of the validation and verification reports as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0 Section 2.1.2 Round 2 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. The targets and indicators for SDG 8 have been updated by PP to self-defined metric, details as follows: SDG8.0-Provide decent work for local residents. PD, MR are all updated with related change. 2. The targets and indicators for SDG 12 have been updated by PP to self-defined metric, details as follows: SDG12.0-Reduce waste generation through resource utilization. PD, MR are all updated with related change. 3. VVB has provided the independent assessment of the updates to the PD and MR and update the related sections of the validation and verification reports. <u>Verra Response</u> Rows 2 and 3 of the SDG table have been revised to use project specific indicators. This is sufficient to close the finding.	
--	--	--	--

3	Project Proponent		
	<u>Issue</u>	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u>	Closed

	The project proponent listed in Section 2.1.4 of the PD and MR is not the same as the project proponent on the cover page of the PD and MR. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 2.1.4 and the cover pages of the PD and MR to have accurate project proponent information. 2. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the PD and MR and update the relevant sections of the validation and verification reports as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> SD VISTa Project Description Template, v1.0, Section 2.1.4	1. The VVB have been ensured the project proponent updates Section 2.1.4 and the cover pages of the PD and MR and have added accurate project proponent information. This is a typo and the cover information has been corrected by PP. 2. The typo mistakes have been updated in both PD and MR with correct project proponent's information. No need to update the validation and verification reports <u>Verra Response</u> The cover pages have been updated to have the same project proponent. This is sufficient to close the finding.	
--	---	---	--

4	Continued Consultation and Adaptive Management		
	<u>Issue</u> Section 2.2.4 of the PD does not describe the processes the project will use throughout its life to solicit and consider effective stakeholder input about project implementation on an ongoing basis and adapt management accordingly. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 2.2.4 to include a plan for continued effective consultation and adaptive management practices throughout the project lifetime. 2. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the project description and update the relevant sections of the validation report as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0, Section 2.2.8	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. VVB confirmed that PP has provided many different methods as grievance redressal mechanism including on-line and off-line by posting notice and Grievance Expression Process Book, so if any stakeholders lack of access to technology of on-line, they can use the off-line methods to provide the comments to project throughout its life. Thus it is verified that no stakeholder group was excluded due to a lack of access to technology. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 2.2.4 of the SD VISTa project description. 2. Section 2.3.4 of the validation report has been updated accordingly with above assessments. <u>Verra Response</u> <u>Issue</u>	Closed

		The response provided relates to the grievance and redress procedure, whereas the finding raised is in relation to continued consultation with stakeholders. It remains unclear what processes the project will use throughout its life to solicit and consider effective stakeholder input about project implementation on an ongoing basis and adapt management accordingly. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 2.2.4 to include a plan for continued effective consultation and adaptive management practices throughout the project lifetime. 2. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the project description and update the relevant sections of the validation report as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0, Section 2.2.8 Round 2 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. VVB confirmed that PP can communicate with stakeholders in a timely manner by updating announcements in time. In addition, an additional questionnaire for SDG Contributions is conducted annually to monitor stakeholder feedback on an ongoing basis which enables stakeholders to continue effective consultation and adaptive management practices throughout the project lifetime. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 2.2.4 of the SD VISTa project description. 2. VVB has provided an independent assessment of the updates to the project description and update the section 2.3.4 of the validation report accordingly. <u>Verra Response</u> Further details on how the project will continually consult with the stakeholders via survey has been included. This is sufficient to close the finding.	
--	--	--	--

5	Feedback and Grievance Redress Procedure	
	<u>Issue</u> 1. Section 2.2.10 of the PD does not provide a clear description of the grievance and redress procedure. No process, methods, details etc are provided. 2. Section 2.2.10 of the PD also does not explicitly state how this procedure was publicized to all stakeholders or if it is easily accessible to all. 3. Section 2.2.10 of the PD does not provide evidence that the grievance redress procedure considers or integrates traditional methods stakeholders use for conflict resolution. 4. Section 2.2.7 of the MR does not document if any grievances were recorded during this monitoring period, and how they were resolved. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent provides more details on how the grievance and redress procedure is carried out. 2. The VVB must ensure the project proponent provides further information on how the grievance procedure was publicized and how its accessibility to all stakeholders is ensured. 3. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent provides further information or clarification as to how the project's grievance redress procedure incorporates and respects traditional conflict resolution methods used by local stakeholders. 4. The VVB must ensure the project proponent clarifies if any grievances have been recorded during this monitoring period. 5. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> 1.VVB confirmed that PP has provided many different methods as grievance redressal mechanism including on-line and off-line by posting notice and Grievance Expression Process Book, so if any stakeholders lack of access to technology of on-line, they can use the off-line methods to provide the comments to project. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 2.2.10 of the SD VISTA project description. 2. VVB confirmed that PP has provided many different methods as grievance redressal mechanism including on-line and off-line by posting notice and Grievance Expression Process Book. Thus it is verified that no stakeholder group was excluded due to a lack of access to technology. And PP has added specific procedures to Section 2.2.10, and this procedure is very universal to ensure that everyone can participate. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 2.2.10 of the SD VISTA project description. 3. VVB confirmed that PP has provided methods as grievance redressal mechanism including on-line and off-line by posting notice and Grievance Expression Process Book, and there is clarity on how to resolve traditional conflicts in Grievance Expression Process Book. If there is a problem that PP cannot solve through negotiation, the relevant government will take the initiative to solve the problem. So far, no complaints about the project have been received. Its effectiveness and accessibility can be fully guaranteed. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 2.2.10 of the SD VISTA project description. 4. VVB confirmed that PP has provided many different methods as grievance redressal mechanism including on-line and off-line by posting notice and Grievance Expression Process Book, so if any stakeholders lack of access to technology of on-line, they can use the off-line methods to provide the comments to project. Only due to COVID-19, PP provided another online way, but offline ways are not given up by PP and although COVID-19 has some restrictions, but local stakeholders in the project

Closed

	the updates to the PD and MR and update the relevant sections of the validation and verification reports as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0, Sections 2.2.14 and 2.2.15	area can still go out to check the postings and provide comments in the Grievance Expression Process Book which has been confirmed by site interviewed with local stakeholders. Thus it is verified that no stakeholder group was excluded due to a lack of access to technology. The relevant information has been added by PP to 2.2.7 of the SD VISTa monitoring report. 5. Section 2.3.10 of the validation report and Section 3.3.7 of the verification report have been updated with related assessment. <u>Verra Response</u> The feedback and grievance redress procedure has been updated to include the process, how it is publicized, and if any grievances have been recorded. This is sufficient to close the finding.	
--	---	--	--

6	Continued Consultation and Adaptive Management – COVID 19		
	<u>Issue</u> As per Section 2.2.4 of the PD and 2.2.7 of the MR, due to COVID-19, stakeholder consultations and grievance redressal process shifted online. It's unclear if this method was inclusive and accessible for all stakeholders who did not have access to the internet. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent evaluates the effectiveness and inclusivity of online consultations, ensuring that no stakeholder group was excluded due to a lack of access to technology and updates section 2.2.4 of the project description and 2.2.7 of the monitoring report. 2. The VVB must assess the updated information and update relevant sections of the validation report and the verification report. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0,	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. VVB confirmed that PP has provided many different methods as grievance redressal mechanism including on-line and off-line by posting notice and Grievance Expression Process Book, so if any stakeholders lack of access to technology of on-line, they can use the off-line methods to provide the comments to project. Only due to COVID-19, PP provided another online way, but offline ways are not given up by PP and although COVID-19 has some restrictions, but local stakeholders in the project area can still go out to check the postings and provide comments in the Grievance Expression Process Book which has been confirmed by site interviewed with local stakeholders. Thus it is verified that no stakeholder group was excluded due to a lack of access to technology. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 2.2.4 of the SD VISTa project description and 2.2.7 of the SD VISTa monitoring report. 2. The section 2.3.4 of the validation report and section 3.3.7 of the verification report have been updated with related assessment.	Closed

	Section 2.2.6		
		<u>Verra Response</u> The PD and MR have been updated to state that stakeholders will be able to receive information about grievances and feedback from both online and in person sources. This is sufficient to close the finding.	

7	Free, Prior, and Informed Consent		
	<u>Issue</u> In Section 2.3.4 of the PD and 2.3.3 of the MR, the project affirms that all arrangements were made with the free, prior, and informed consent of stakeholders. However, the description lacks specific details about how the consent process was carried out. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent provides additional information further information or documentation about the process of obtaining free, prior, and informed consent from the stakeholders and updates relevant sections of the project description and the monitoring report. 2. The VVB must assess the updated information and update relevant sections of the validation report and the verification report. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard, v1.0, Section 2.4.3	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> 1. In order to solicit the opinions and attitudes of all stakeholders on the construction of the project, the project owner issued a stakeholder questionnaire before the construction of the project started on 06-January-2020 to 10-January-2020, to survey the opinions of local stakeholders on the construction of the project. On the other hand, the project is carried out under the supervision of the government, and the filing certificate has been obtained before the project starts; And signed the agreement with the raw material supplier. In order to obtaining free, prior, and informed consent from the stakeholders prior to the construction of the project, the project owner issued a stakeholder questionnaire before the construction of the project started on 06-January-2020 to 10-January-2020, to survey the opinions of representatives of local stakeholders on the construction of the project, this is deemed a direct method to obtain free, prior, and informed consent from the stakeholders. On the other hand, the project is carried out under the supervision of the government, and the filing certificate has been obtained before the project starts; and signed the agreement with the raw material suppliers which have been checked by VVB during site visit and has been assessed in the VCS verification report. Therefore, it means that PP has obtained free, prior, and informed consent from the stakeholders prior to the construction of the project	Closed

		through questionnaire, got certificate from government and signed agreements with related stakeholders to avoid any disagreement raised from the local stakeholders. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 2.3.4 of the SD VISTa project description and 2.3.3 of the SD VISTa monitoring report. 2. The section 2.4.4 of the validation report and section 3.4.3 of the verification report has been updated accordingly with above assessments.	
		<u>Verra Response</u> Additional details on FPIC have been provided. Principally, the PP provided a survey to stakeholders before the start of the project to get their opinions about the project. This is sufficient to close the finding.	

8	Stakeholder Monitoring Plan		
	<u>Issue</u> 1. Section 3.3 of the PD does not present a monitoring plan that will measure impacts on all identified stakeholder groups. 2. Section 3.3 of the PD and Section 3.2 of the MR do not adequately describe how each impact from Sections 2.1.9, 3.2, and 3.4 of the PD will be monitored. For example, impact 4 in Section 3.2 of the PD is 'Improved economic conditions.' However, the monitoring plan intends to use the amount of manure recycled to monitor this impact. The same is true for Impact 5. It is not clear how the amount of recycled manure will illustrate the improved economic conditions or development of agriculture over time. 3. Section 3.3 of the PD does not include all the impacts stated in Sections 3.2 and 3.4 of the PD.	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> 1. By checking the section 2.2.2. of the PD, the stakeholders of the project are identified as swine farm, Local residents around the project site, Local government and Equipment providers, the impacts to all the identified stakeholders have been considered in the monitoring plan, the related monitoring parameters are determined as relevant to measure the related impacts, and the relevant information has been added by PP to section 3.3 of the SD VISTa project description. 2. VVB confirms that the impacts to all the identified stakeholders have been considered in the monitoring plan, the related monitoring parameters are determined as relevant to measure the related impacts Impact 5 has been deleted because it has an indirect impact on the development of	Closed

	Action Required 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent's monitoring plan incorporates all identified stakeholders. 2. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 3.3 of the PD to include more details on how the presented monitoring plan appropriately measures each impact described in Sections 2.1.9, 3.2, and 3.4 of the PD. 3. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 3.3 of the PD to include all stated impacts from the PD. 4. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the project description and update the relevant sections of the monitoring report, validation report, and verification report as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard v1.0, Sections 3.1.3 and 3.1.4	agriculture and cannot directly reflect the development of agriculture. Number of jobs for local people created by gender Corresponding to Impact 1, 2 and 3, And the amount of the organic fertilizers generated Corresponding to Impact 4. The corresponding relationship between monitoring parameters and impact has been reflected in Section 3.3 of the PD and Section 3.2 of the MR. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 3.3 of the PD and Section 3.2 of the MR. 3. VVB confirms that PP has updated Section 3.3 of the PD and Section 3.2 of the MR to include all stated impacts from the PD. 4. The section 3.1.2, 3.1.4, 3.1.5 of the validation report and section 4.1.1, 4.1.3, 4.1.4 of the verification report has been updated accordingly with above assessments.	
		<u>Verra Response</u> The monitoring plan has been updated to clarify which stakeholders are affected by the specific project impacts, and include all impacts mentioned in other sections of the PD and MR. This is sufficient to close the finding.	

9 Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services Monitoring Plan			
	<u>Issue</u> Section 4.3 of the PD and Section 4.2 of the MR do not adequately describe how each impact from Section 4.2 of the PD will be monitored. For example, in Section 4.2 of the PD, Impact 2 is in reference to the recycling rate of resources. However, there is nothing in Section 4.3 of the PD that will monitor the recycling rate. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 4.3 of the PD and Section 4.2 of the MR to include more details on how the presented monitoring plan appropriately measures each impact described in Section 4.2 of the PD and Section 4.1 of the MR, respectively.	Round 1	Closed
		<u>VVB Response</u> 1. VVB confirms that PP have updated the section 4.3 of the PD and section 4.2 of the MR to include more details on how the presented monitoring plan provided appropriately measures each impact described in Section 4.2 of the PD and Section 4.1 of the MR, respectively. The corresponding between the impacts and monitoring parameters have been described clearly. 2. The section 4.1.4 of the validation report and section 5.1.3 of the verification report has been updated accordingly with above assessments.	

	2. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the PD and MR and update the relevant sections of the validation report, and verification report as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard v1.0, Section 3.1.3	<u>Verra Response</u> The natural capital and ecosystem services monitoring plan has been updated to include details on how each impact will be monitored. This is sufficient to close the finding.	
--	---	--	--

10	Impacts on Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services		
	<u>Issue</u> Section 4.1 of the MR does not describe the impacts of the project from the current monitoring period. The impacts provided are a repetition from the PD rather than showing the progress made in this monitoring period. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 4.1 to include impacts and results of said impacts from this monitoring period. 2. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the MR and update the relevant sections of the verification report as needed. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard v1.0, Section 3.2.4</i>	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. The VVB have been ensured the project proponent updates Section 4.1 to include impacts and results of said impacts from this monitoring period. The relevant information has been added by PP to Section 4.1 of the SD VISta monitoring report. 2. Section 5.1.1 of the verification report has been updated accordingly with assessments to impacts of the project from the current monitoring period. <u>Verra Response</u> <u>Issue</u> Section 4.1 of the MR still does not describe the impacts of the project from the current monitoring period. No data to demonstrate the magnitude of the impact from the monitoring period has been included. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 4.1 to include the results of the impacts. 2. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the updates to the MR and update the relevant sections of the verification report as needed.	Closed

		<u>Program Rule(s)</u> Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard v1.0, Section 3.2.4 Round 2 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. The VVB have been ensured the project proponent updates Section 4.1 of the MR and have added accurate data information to demonstrate the magnitude of the impact from the monitoring period has been included. 2. Section 5.1.1 of the verification report has been updated accordingly with assessments to impacts of the project from the current monitoring period. <u>Verra Response</u> Section 4.1 of the MR has been updated with the data for the first monitoring period. This is sufficient to close the finding.	
--	--	---	--

11	Net Positive Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services Impacts		
	<u>Issue</u> 1. Section 4.3 of the MR states “the actual amount of GHG emission avoided is 44,480 tCO₂e.” However, in sections 1 and 2.1.2 the amount of GHG emissions avoided is 444,807. 2. Section 4.3 of the MR states “the amount of biogas recycled is 4,6129,68*10⁴ m³.” However, In sections 1 and 2.1.2 the amount of GHG emissions avoided is 4,678.05*10⁴. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 4.3 with the consistent figure for how many tCO₂e have been avoided in this monitoring period. 2. The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 4.3 with the consistent figure for how much biogas has been produced in this monitoring period. 3. The VVB must provide an independent assessment of the	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. The VVB re-checked the accuracy of the values to make sure there were no deviations. This was writing error and has been corrected by PP to make Section 4.3, sections 1 and 2.1.2 of the MR consistent. 2. The VVB re-checked the accuracy of the values to make sure there were no deviations. This was writing error and has been corrected by PP to make Section 4.3, sections 1 and 2.1.2 of the MR consistent. 3. VVB confirmed that the values are consistent in all the sections of MR and verification report. <u>Verra Response</u> The values have been updated to be consistent throughout the MR. This is sufficient to close the finding.	Closed

	updates to the MR and update the relevant sections of the verification report as needed.		
	<u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard v1.0, Section 3.2.4</i>		

12	Logo		
	<u>Issue</u> Starting on page 5 of the PD, the VCS logo is used instead of the SD VISta logo. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure the project proponent uses the correct logo throughout the PD. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>SD VISta Program Guide v1.0, Section 3.1(1)</i>	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> The VVB have been ensured the project proponent uses the correct logo throughout the PD. And the SD VISta logo is instead of the VCS logo. <u>Verra Response</u> The SD VISta logo is now present throughout the PD. This is sufficient to close the finding.	Closed

13	Steps to assess anti-discrimination		
	<u>Issue</u> Section 2.3.5 of the validation report does not describe the steps taken by the VVB (e.g., documents crosschecked), to assess the anti-discrimination policy that guarantees equal opportunities. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must update Section 2.3.5 of the validation report to describe the steps taken by the VVB to assess the anti-discrimination policy.	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> Section 2.3.5 of the validation report has been updated with description of steps taken by the VVB to assess the anti-discrimination policy. <u>Verra Response</u> Section 2.3.5 of the validation report has been updated to include the steps the VVB used to assess anti-discrimination. This is	Closed

	<u>Program Rule(s)</u> <i>Sd VISTA Program Guide v1.0, Section 3.5.7</i> <i>SD VISTA Validation Report Template, Section 2.3.5</i>	sufficient to close the finding.	
--	--	----------------------------------	--