PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	1793
Project Name	Renewable Power Project by Devarahipparigi Wind Power Private Limited
Review Type	Verification Review
Program(s)	VCS Program
Verification Period	01 January 2021 to 31 December 2022
Project Proponent	Devarahipparigi Wind Power Private Limited
Methodology	ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 18.1
VVB	VKU Certification Pvt. Ltd.
Assessment Criteria	*VCS Standard, v4.5*
Date of First Issue	5 December 2023
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	*19 January 2024*

FINDINGS

1	Project Description Deviation not clearly explained		
	<u>Issue</u> 1. The monitoring report does not conform with requirements under section 3.21.2 of *VCS Standard, v4.5* with regard to justification of the deviation and explanation on when the changes occurred and reasons for the changes. 2. Section 4.3 of monitoring report includes information regarding data apportioning; however, it is not clear which data has been apportioned and if this approach was used in the current monitoring period. <u>Action Required</u> 1.VVB must ensure that project proponent includes all details, particularly to justify how the deviation adheres to the requirements under section 3.21.2 of *VCS Standard, v4.5*. Also, an assessment of same must be included in the verification report. 2.VVB to ensure that PP includes sufficient information regarding use of the aforementioned approach. In case this has been used for current monitoring period, PP to include calculations representing apportioning. <u>Program Rule(s).</u> *VCS Standard, v4.5*, Section 3.21.2	**Round 1** <u>VVB Response</u> 1. MR section 3.2.2 has been revised by PP where justification and explanation have been provided for the deviations. VVB has revised section 3.3 of FVR to include assessment for the above. 2. The apportioning procedure is not applicable for current monitoring period, as the billing dates coincides with the start and end dates of this monitoring period i.e 01/01/2021 to 31/12/2022. PP has revised Section 4.3 of MR & VVB has further cross checked JMRs & B-Form & ER Sheet and confirm that the apportioning approach is not used for current monitoring. <u>Verra Response</u> MR Section 3.2.2 has included an explanation of deviations. It has been clarified that apportioning has not been applied in the current monitoring period. This finding is closed.	Closed

2	Clarity on grievance redressal and on-going communication with stakeholders		
	<u>Issue</u> Section 2.2 of the monitoring report does not define clearly the process of handling grievances. The same section mentions that a grievance register is maintained at 'project site', however it does not specify which site.	**Round 1** <u>VVB Response</u> MR section 2.2 has been revised by PP to include exact location of "Grievance Register". PP has further explained the process of handling grievances and clarified that there is only one site and grievance register is placed at the main gate of the same	Closed

	Action Required VVB to ensure that all information regarding grievance redressal and ongoing communication with stakeholders is presented in a transparent manner in the Monitoring Report. Program Rule(s) *VCS Standard, v4.5, section 3.18.4 & 3.18.5*	site. FVR section 4.2.2 is also revised to include assessments on location of “Grievance Register” & details of procedure followed for handling grievances and ongoing communications with stakeholders at site. Verra Response Grievance management procedures have been added in section 2.2 along with information on placement of grievance register. This finding is closed.	

3	Inconsistency in calculation of $EG_{PJ,y}$		
	Issue In section 4.2 of the Monitoring report calculation of parameter $EG_{PJ,y}$ has been represented as “Net electricity supplied to grid=Export, kWh– Import, kWh – Transmission loss, KWh, however calculation used in ER spread sheet adds a factor of 15% to import prior to net electricity calculation. Action Required 1. VVB to ensure that calculation of $EG_{PJ,y}$ is depicted consistently across all documents Specifically, the deviated equation should include account for an import value and further explanation on the import value must be included in the monitoring and verification report for data/parameter $EG_{PJ,y}$. 2. Also, the VVB must include an assessment of applicability of suggested calculation approach to be included in the verification report. Program Rule(s) *VCS Standard, v4.5*, Section 3.16	**Round 1** VVB Response Section 3.2 ,4.2 & 4.3 of MR has been updated with the explanation of “115% import value” according to clause 5.4 of PPA. EGPJ, y is depicted consistency across all documents FVR, MR & ER sheet. VVB has cross checked PPA and JMRs and found the calculation approach to be correct & in line with signed PPA. Assessment of Calculation approach has been included in section 3.3 & 4.4 of FVR Verra Response An explanation has been provided on inclusion of 115% based on signed power purchase agreement. The approach has been consistently mentioned across all documents. This finding is closed.	Closed