



Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited



Document Prepared By Earthood Services Private Limited

Earthood Services Private Limited

Regd. Office: 409-410, Tower B-4, Spaze I-Tech Park, Sector 49, Sohna Road,
Gurgaon- 122018, INDIA

Tel: +91 124 4204599

Fax: +91 124 4204599

Website: www.earthood.in

Email: info@earthood.in

Project Title	Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited
Version	1.0
Report ID	Internal project ID – VCS.VER 19.53
Report Title	Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited
Client	Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited

Pages	28
Date of Issue	18-March-2020
Prepared By	Earthood Services Private Limited
Contact	Regd. Office: 409-410, Tower B-4, Spaze I-Tech Park, Sector 49, Sohna Road, Gurgaon- 122018, INDIA Tel: +91 124 4204599 Fax: +91 124 4204599 Website: www.earthood.in Email: info@earthood.in
Approved By	Approver: Dr. Kaviraj Singh Technical Reviewer: Shreya Garg Technical Expert (1.2): Shreya Garg
Work Carried Out By	Team Leader: Pankaj Kumar Technical Expert (1.2): Pankaj Kumar Methodology Expert: Pankaj Kumar Local Expert: Pankaj Kumar Verifier: Pankaj Kumar

Summary:

The project activity involves the generation of electricity through a greenfield wind energy plant with a capacity of 102.5 MW in Anantapuram district of Andhra Pradesh that supply the generated electricity to the National power grid.

The project has aimed to reduce the dependence on fossil fuel-powered power plants by substituting carbon-intensive energy with the inexhaustible and clean wind energy. As per the baseline scenario, “Electricity delivered to the grid by the project activity would have otherwise been generated by the operation of grid-connected power plants that are fossil fuel fired power plants”. The baseline scenario is the same as the scenario existing prior to the implementation of the project activity.

During the Current Monitoring Period from 04-Aug-2018 to 03-Oct.-2019 (First and last date included) the project activity has supplied 266,639 MWh of electricity, and thus contributing to the GHG reductions 252,640 tCO₂e.

Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited contracted **ESPL** to conduct the verification of the project “Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited” (VCS 1788). The scope of

verification includes confirming the implementation of the monitoring plan in the registered project activity “Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited (VCS ID – 1788) VCS PD (Ver. 03 dated 16-Nov.-2018) and the application of methodology ACM0002: Large-scale Consolidated Methodology: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 18.1.

The verification consisted of three phases: a. Desk review of the project; b. Follow-up onsite visit; c. Resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final verification report and opinion. The overall verification, from Contract Review to Verification Report & Opinion, was conducted following ESPL’s internal quality procedures.

A total of 03 CL and 03 CARs have been raised during the verification process of the project activity which were successfully closed.

- ESPL confirms that the monitoring system is in place and the emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Objective	6
1.2	Scope and Criteria	6
1.3	Level of Assurance	6
1.4	Summary Description of the Project	7
2	Verification Process	7
2.1	Method and Criteria	8
2.2	Document Review	8
2.3	Interviews	8
2.4	Site Inspections	10
2.5	Resolution of Findings	11
2.5.1	Forward Action Requests	12
2.6	Eligibility for Validation Activities	12
3	Validation Findings	12
3.1	Participation under Other GHG Programs	12
3.2	Methodology Deviations	12
3.3	Project Description Deviations	12
3.4	Grouped Project	12
4	Verification Findings	12
4.1	Project Implementation Status	12
4.2	Safeguards	13
4.2.1	No Net Harm	15
4.2.2	Local Stakeholder Consultation	15
4.3	AFOLU-Specific Safeguards	15
4.4	Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations	15
4.5	Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals	17
4.6	Non-Permanence Risk Analysis	18
5	Verification conclusion	18
	APPENDIX 1: Documents reviewed or referenced (Verification)	20
	APPENDIX 2: corrective action requests, Clarification requests AND FORWARD action requests (CAR/CL/FAR)	21

APPENDIX 3: Competence of team members and technical reviewers Error!
Bookmark not defined.

APPENDIX 4: Abbreviations Error! Bookmark not defined.

APPENDIX 5: Meter calibration details **24**

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

“M/s. Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited” has contracted ESPL (Hereinafter referred as Earthood) to conduct the verification of the project activity “Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited” according to the requirements of the Verified Carbon Standard version 4.0.

The objective of this verification is to verify and certify emission reductions reported for the PA “Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited” for the period 04-Aug-2018 to 03-Oct-2019(Both days included)

1.2 Scope and Criteria

The scope of the verification is to establish/verify that:

- the appropriate VCS-MR form (and other templates) was used and correctly filled up;
(as per <https://verra.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/VCS-V4-Summary-of-Effective-Dates.pdf> the use of updated version of all VCS Program templates and representations is mandatory from 19 March 2020)
- the project activity is in accordance with all relevant host country criteria (India);
- the project activity is in accordance with all relevant VCS rules and requirements;
- the project activity is in accordance with conditions of the latest version of applied methodology ACM0002: Large Consolidated methodology — Version 18.1^{7/}.

The verification of the project activity is based on the VCS-PD and estimated GHG emission reduction calculations.

1.3 Level of Assurance

☒ Reasonable level of assurance

☐ Limited level of assurance

ESPL’s verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. ESPL planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that ESPL considers necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported estimated GHG emission reductions are fairly stated. All documentary evidences were checked, and site visit was conducted to project plant site to arrive at a verification conclusion by the assessment team.

In our opinion, the estimated GHG emissions reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the approved baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002, Version 18.1 and the VCS standard.

1.4 Summary Description of the Project

Grid-connected wind power project in Andhra Pradesh generates renewable wind electricity and supplies power to the Indian power grid. The current monitoring period from 04-Aug-2018 to 03-Oct-2019 (First and last date included) involved the generation and supply of 266,639 MWh wind power from plants located in Anantapuram district of Andhra Pradesh. The power generated by the grid will be replacing an equivalent amount of electricity from the grid system of India which is majorly dependent on fossil-fuel based grid imports for its electricity requirements.

The project is a voluntary action being undertaken by each project owner of the project activity. EKI Energy Services Limited (hereafter referred as “EKIESL”) is acting as the other party for this project activity.

The main purpose of this project activity is to generate clean form of electricity through renewable wind energy source and Sale to State Utility. The project activity involves installation of 102.5 MW wind power project in Andhra Pradesh state of India.

Verification team confirmed the capacity by verifying commissioning certificates and also physically verified during site visit that plants are operational at all locations in Andhra Pradesh.

Project includes turbines of make Gamesa G-97 and Suzlon S-97, of capacity 2 MW and 2.1 MW respectively. Technical specification of the plants as verified for all the locations and details provided in sec. 4.1 of this report.

The electricity meters are of the make L&T with a precision of 0.2s. The SCADA system allows the wind power system to be manually or automatically controlled and monitored. The project is based on sectoral Scope 1: Energy Industries (renewable sources) with ACM0002: Large-scale Consolidated methodology: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 18.1.

During the Current Monitoring Period from 04-Aug-2018 to 03-Oct-2019 (First and last date included) the project activity has supplied 266,639 MWh of electricity, and thus contributing to the GHG reductions 252,640 tCO₂e.

2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

The registered VCS project is undergoing second verification and the approach adopted to ensure the quality of emission reductions is described in the following sub-sections.

2.1 Method and Criteria

The overall verification, from Contract Review to Verification Report & Opinion, was conducted using ESPL's internal procedures. The Project was verified against the latest requirements (Version 4.0) ^{/14/} and guidance set out in VCS Standards as applicable.

- The validation/verification process consists of the following three phases;
- A document review of the VCS PD and VCS MR (described in Section 2.2)
- Site visit and follow up interviews with project stakeholders (described in Section 2.3 and 2.4)
- The resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final report and opinion. (described in Section 2.5)

DOE's Sampling Approach: No sampling approach was required for undertaking the current verification since all monitored data was verified by the assessment team.

2.2 Document Review

The verification is performed primarily as a document review of the documents submitted at various stages of assessments. The review is performed by assessment team using dedicated protocols. The assessment team cross checks the information provided in the documents (PDD, MR, validation report) and information from sources other than those used, if available, and also conducts independent background investigations. Earthood conducted a desk review as under;

- a) A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness.
- b) A review of the monitoring plan, the monitoring methodology including applicable tool(s) and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures.
- c) An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions.

2.3 Interviews

The site visits for the project activity were carried out on 20-Feb-2020 which covers all the locations in the state Andhra Pradesh in India. No sampling procedures were adopted either in document verification

and all the document were cross checked to ensure conservative estimation of emission reduction. The list of persons interviewed (during onsite and telephonic interview later) for all the sites is provided below:

S.N	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Raju	Murali	PP Representative	20-Feb-2020	Implementation of the project, monitoring and emission reduction calculations	Mr. Pankaj Kumar
2.	Rao	Anjali	Consultant	20-Feb-2020		
3.	Sharma	Barun	Consultant	20-Feb-2020		
4.	S	Illaiya	Farmer	20-Feb-2020	Local stake holder meeting consultation	Mr. Pankaj Kumar
5.	Reddy	Mohan	Teacher	20-Feb-2020		

During the on-site visit, the PP representatives were questioned about the implementation of the project activity. Several topics like the verification of commissioning date of meters, the generation, recording, and monitoring of the data and the error accountability were discussed. Various documents like the JMR sheets, invoice slips, purchase slips etc were also verified at the site itself.

Several photographs were also clicked at the site to capture the name plate data, meter specifications, key technical specifications of the major equipment like panel, inverter and meters and to establish the current status and the implementation of the Project Activity.

2.4 Site Inspections

Duration of on-site inspection: 20/02/2020				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	Assessment team checked the implementation of the project, Baseline emission, Emission reduction calculation, technical description of the project and Monitoring.	Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited: Village: Rudrampalli, Borampalli, Elagalavanka and Basavapuram in Anantapur district in Andhra Pradesh	20/02/2020	Pankaj Kumar

A site visit was undertaken by the assessment team (Pankaj Kumar) on 20-Feb-2020 to carry out the following;

- a. An assessment of the implementation and operation of the registered project activity as per the registered PD or any approved revised PD/^{1/} and MR/^{2/};
- b. A review of information flow for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters;
- c. Interviews with relevant personnel to determine whether the operational and data collection procedures are implemented in accordance with the monitoring plan in the PD;
- d. A cross-check between information provided in the monitoring report and data from other sources such as JMR sheets, invoice slips, PPA agreement etc.;
- e. A check of the monitoring equipment including calibration performance and observations of monitoring practices against the requirements of the PD/^{1/}, the applied methodology including applicable tool(s), and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline;
- f. A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions;
- g. An identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters.

2.5 Resolution of Findings

The findings may be of the following types: CAR- Corrective Action Request, CL- Clarification Request and FAR- Forward Action Request.

The list of findings and their resolution are presented in Appendix IV of this verification report. The section also includes the response, if provided, by the project participants and an assessment by the assessment team if it was closed or otherwise. It is to be noted that all the findings have been satisfactorily resolved by the assessment team.

A total of 03 CAR and 03 CL were raised in the current verification. All the findings that are raised and communicated to project participant during the verification are included under Appendix 2. The section also includes the response, if provided, by the project participants and an assessment by the verification team if it was closed out or otherwise

2.5.1 Forward Action Requests

This is 2nd periodic verification of the project activity and no FAR is raised during current verification and no FAR from previous verification as well.

2.6 Eligibility for Validation Activities

As project is already validated and this is 2nd verification of the project. Hence, this section not applicable.

3 VALIDATION FINDINGS

As project is already validated and this is 2nd verification of the project. Hence, this section not applicable.

3.1 Participation under Other GHG Programs

This section is not applicable for present verification.

3.2 Methodology Deviations

No methodology deviation is envisaged for present verification.

3.3 Project Description Deviations

Not applicable as no deviation in project description observed during this monitoring period.

3.4 Grouped Project

This is not a grouped project. Thus, this section is not applicable.

4 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

4.1 Project Implementation Status

During the verification site visit it was concluded that the project is implemented as per the instruction of the registered PD^{/1/}, Final Validation report and commissioning certificates^{/10/}. During the current monitoring period it was observed that no unforeseen situation evolved which can impact the operation of the project activity. Breakdown summary is submitted by PP for the wind

power plants. Scheduled maintenance was carried out as per the instruction of the manufacturer and the same is acceptable to the assessment team^{/9/}.

The total installed capacity of the project is 102.5 MW which involves wind turbines of make Gamesa G 971 and Suzlon S 97 of capacity 2 MW and 2.1 MW respectively. in Rudrampalli, Borampalli, Elagalavanka and Basavapuram of Anantapuram district of Andhra Pradesh^{/10/}. The technical parameters have been verified with the nameplates as well as the technical specifications of WTGs^{/9/}.

The assessment team physically visited the wind power plant site in order to verify the status of the project implementation of the monitoring plan. It has also been verified as per the guidelines in ACM0002: Large-scale Consolidated Methodology: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 18.1 that the calculations for the GHG emission reductions are done in accordance with the aforesaid methodology^{/7/}.

The assessment team also confirmed that the monitoring system for emission reduction calculation was in place and in accordance with the registered VCS PD^{/1/}. There was no deviation observed by the assessment team in the implementation of the monitoring system from the registered VCS PD during the current monitoring period^{/1/}.

During the current monitoring duration, no events have been found that can change the design of project.

The details of the WTGs for the project and their location of installation as confirmed during site visit are mentioned in the table below:

Name of Investor	Capacity (MW)	Village(s)	District	State	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited	102.5	Rudrampalli, Borampalli, Elagalavanka & Basavapuram	Anantapuram	Andhra Pradesh	14° 30' 37.00"	77° 12' 37.00"

Geo coordinates checked on google earth^{/17/} and also checked during site visit and verification team conclude geo coordinates of project locations are consistent with registered PD.

Assessment team checked the technical details of the project activity from the manufactures specification and the detail are as follow:

WTG Make and Model No.	Gamesa G97
Generator Type & Rating	Doubly-Fed Induction generator, 2000 kW
WTG configuration	2000 kW
Rotor Diameter	97 m
Hub Height	92
Tower Type & Shape	Conical Tubular Steel
Cut-in-wind speed	3.0 m/s
Rated wind speed	11.0 m/s

Cut-out wind speed	25.0 m/s
--------------------	----------

WTG Make and Model No.	Suzlon S97
WTG configuration	2100 kW
Rotor Diameter	97 m
Hub Height	90
Tower Type	Tubular Steel
Cut-in-wind speed	3.5 m/s
Rated wind speed	11.0 m/s
Cut-out wind speed	20.0 m/s

The project is running smoothly ever since commissioning with regular scheduled maintenance. Assessment team concludes the following:

- The implementation status of project activity was found to be in compliance with registered PD/¹/.
- DOE has conducted the on-site visit to confirm the implementation status of the project/⁹/.
- The commissioning date of the project activity was found to be accurately and consistently recorded/¹⁰/.
- The actual operation of project activity was found to be in compliance with the flow diagram provided in registered PD/¹/.
- The emission reductions achieved during the current monitoring period are 252,640 tCO₂e.

The project activity contributes to the sustainable development by utilising wind energy for generating electricity which otherwise would have been generated through fossil fuels. Thereby reduction in usage of non-renewable sources used to generate energy.

Further the GHG emission reductions generated by the project activity has not been included by any other an emissions trading program or any other mechanism that includes GHG allowance trading. Also the project has not received any other form of environmental credit and has not been participated/rejected under any other GHG programs.

Sustainable Development- The project will contribute to the sustainable development in the following ways

1. Environmental: Since the project activity leads to cleaner production of energy, it is leading to displacement of fossil fuel-based energy.
2. Social: Setting up of the project activity has created new job openings hence is supporting employment of the local people around.
3. Cleaner Air: Since the project activity leads to lesser GHG emission it results in better air quality.

Further the project has been implemented as described in the Project Description^{/1/}. CL 02 and CAR 06 and CAR 07 were raised on the editorial issue of description and same resolved by revision in the MR.

The total emission reductions achieved in this monitoring period i.e. from 04-Aug-2018 to 03-Oct.-2019 are 252,640 tCO₂e.

4.2 Safeguards

4.2.1 No Net Harm

As PP does not see and identify any potential negative environmental and socio-economic impacts, hence this section is not required. Assessment team also cross checked by interviewing stakeholders during on site visit and confirm that there are no any potential negative environmental and socio economical impacts attributed to the project activity.

4.2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

Local stakeholder consultation has been conducted at the time of project registration hence not applicable in the current monitoring period.

4.3 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

This is non AFOLU projects, hence this section not applicable.

4.4 Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations

Means of verification	The verification team assessed whether the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved resulting from the MR. The verification team has checked whether calculations of baseline GHG emissions, project GHG emissions and leakage GHG emissions have been carried out in accordance with the formulae and methods described in the monitoring plan of the MR.
Findings	CL 04 raised on this section and closed successfully. Please refer Appendix 2 for further details
Conclusion	Ex-ante Parameter: EF_{grid,OM,y} = Parameter is fixed ex-ante for the entire crediting period and as per the validated VCS PD same is fixed 0.9727 tCO₂/MWh. Verification team found same was used in the ER calculations.

	Ex ante value of emission factor is taken from CEA database, Ver. 13, June, 2018. Verification team checked the EF value and found in consistent with registered PD EF_{grid,BM,y} = Parameter is fixed ex-ante for the entire crediting period and as per the validated VCS PD same is fixed 0.8723 tCO₂/MWh. Verification team found same was used in the ER calculations. Ex ante value of emission factor is taken from CEA database, Ver. 13, June, 2018. Verification team checked the EF value and found in consistent with registered PD EF_{grid,CM,y} = Parameter is fixed ex-ante for the entire crediting period and as per the validated VCS PD same is fixed 0.9475 tCO₂/MWh. Verification team found same was used in the ER calculations. Ex ante value of emission factor is taken from CEA database, Ver. 13, June, 2018. Verification team checked the EF value and found in consistent with registered PD. Baseline Emissions: The baseline Emissions for a given year is calculated by multiplying the energy baseline with the grid emission factor. The grid in this case would be the 'Indian Grid' Formula Used: - $BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} \times EF_{grid,CM,y}$ Where: BE_y = Baseline emissions in year y (t CO₂/yr) EG_{PJ,y} = Quantity of net electricity generation that is produced and fed into the grid as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y (MWh/yr) EF_{grid,CM,y} = Combined margin CO₂ emission factor for grid connected power generation in year y calculated using the latest version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" (t CO₂/MWh) Monitored Parameter: EG_{PJ,y} = 266,639 MWh The verification team has checked the entire monthly JMR reports for net electricity generated & supplied to the grid and crosschecked same with the invoices raised by PP towards State Utilities for the monitoring period. All values are found correct. All the parameters are monitored and recorded as per the monitoring plan in the MR. The verification team has crosschecked the revised emission reduction sheet and monitoring report data with the JMR sheet and invoice and found all the values are matching.
--	---

	PE_y = As per ACM0002 - Version 18.1, all renewable energy power generation project activities, emissions due to the use of fossil fuels for the backup generator can be neglected. As the project activity involved wind power project emissions (PE_y) are taken as zero. Leakage: As per ACM0002 - Version 18.1, Leakage emissions are not considered for the project activity.
--	--

4.5 Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

Means of verification	The verification team checked the break down log for the monitoring period. During the verification site visit and the feeder wise location of the WTG is also checked. The metering arrangement is tri-vector bi-directional energy meters (main and check and also one standby meter) at the State Electricity Board (SEB) substation. These meters record parameters including electricity exported & imported. Moreover, the meters are located at the HT side of the transformer and are of accuracy class of 0.2S for each project activity applied for verification. These electricity meters are being used by state electricity board for JMR (Joint Meter Reading) electricity generation statements. The Net electricity supplied to the grid is then calculated from export and import values. The net electricity exported to the grid is also cross checked from the invoices raised to respective state electricity board which is in line with Methodology requirement for large scale project activity. The main meter reading is taken jointly on a fixed day of every month for the preceding month at the delivery point and signed by the representatives of state utility and O&M personnel. In the event of failure of main meter, the check meter will be used in monitoring the electricity data. The agency is experienced in the monitoring system and is managing O&M of numerous other wind farm projects. Verification team confirms the metering process by interviewing the O&M personnel and PP representatives during site visit and found the monitoring process is in line with approved PD. Calibration of all the meters were conducted by state electricity board officials as per the industry standards. However, the calibration is done once in a 5 year/¹⁹/ The details of Calibration of the meters as confirmed during site visit and details of calibration certificates are mentioned in Appendix 5 of this report. The assessment team checked the same and found correct. The energy meter recording the export and import from the grid at substation is under the control and supervision of state electricity board officials. Similarly O&M contractor is responsible for monitoring of the generation data at CMS. PP representatives confirmed that the CMS data as well as JMR sheets and invoices will be kept for 2 years following the end of the crediting period. During site visit and discussion with PP, assessment team confirm that the data will be kept for 2 years following the end of the crediting period. The responsibilities and authorities of project management, data handling and recording, measurement methods and QA/QC procedure have been systematically established and formalized and the same was verified during the site visit by interviewing O&M personnel and checking of records/ log books maintained at site.
Findings	CAR 05 raised on this section and closed successfully. Please refer Appendix 2 for further details

Conclusion	Hence assessment team confirmed that the value of net electricity exported to the grid as used in emission reduction calculation is correct. The validation team therefore is of the opinion that the project participant through the O&M agency is capable of implementing the monitoring plan in the context of the project activity. On-site visit and interview with O&M personnel also confirms that the operational and organizational chart as mentioned in MR is as per the site practice and thus assessment team confirms that the details are correct. The break down log is checked and found that the WTGs undergone scheduled maintenance and break down. No unforced error observed and feeder wise WTG location is also checked and found correct. Calibration and Meter Details provided in Annex. 5 of this report. By comparing the actual ER claimed in this monitoring period with the estimate in the registered VCS PD (266,287 tCO₂e/year), the actual emission reductions 252,640 tCO₂e for the monitoring period) are 18.71 % lower than what is stated in the registered VCS PD. which surely will not lead to the overestimation of VERs.
-------------------	--

4.6 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis

No.	Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements	Assessment of the risk		Response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan
		Risk level	Justification	
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

5 VERIFICATION CONCLUSION

Earthood Services Private Limited (Earthood), contracted by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited has performed the independent verification of the emission reductions for the VCS project activity reference number 1788 “Renewable Power Project by Saipuram Wind Energies Private Limited” in India for the monitoring period 04-Aug -2018 to 03-Oct-2019 reported in the Monitoring Report Version 02 dated 02-March-2020.

It is our responsibility to express an independent verification statement on the reported GHG emission reductions from the project activity.

Earthood commenced the verification on the basis of the baseline and monitoring methodology “ACM0002 - Version 18.1”, the monitoring plan contained in the PD version 03 and VCS guidelines

version 4.0, Monitoring Report Version 02 dated 02-March-2020 as per the process described under Section 2 of this report.

Earthood's verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Earthood planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that Earthood considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions reported for the project activity for the period 04-Aug-2018 to 03-Oct-2019 are fairly stated in the Monitoring Report Version 02 dated 02-March-2020. The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the approved baseline and monitoring methodology "ACM0002 - Version 18.1" and the VCS standard.

Verification period: 04- Aug-2018 to 03-Oct-2019 (inclusive of both days).

Verified GHG emission reductions and removals in the above verification period:

Year	Baseline emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Project emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO ₂ e)
2018	83,596	0	0	83,596
2019	169,044	0	0	169,044
Total	252,640	0	0	252,640

Approved by



Dr. Kaviraj Singh

Managing Director

Earthood Services Privated Limited

Date: 30/04/2020

Place: Gurugram, Haryana

APPENDIX 1: DOCUMENTS REVIEWED OR REFERENCED (VERIFICATION)

S.No	Title of Document	Version	Date
1.	Registered VCS PD	version 03	16/11/2018
2.	VCS Monitoring Report	2	02/03/2020
3.	ER spreadsheet (corresponding to the final monitoring report)	2	02/03/2020
4	Certificates of Calibration for all the meters belongs to project activity	-	27/04/2019
5.	Invoice issued by PP for the duration of monitoring period	-	04/08/2018 to 03/10/2019
6.	Joint Meter Readings for the duration of monitoring period	-	04/08/2018 to 03/10/2019
7.	"Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources", ACM0002,	"ACM0002 - Version 18.1"	-
8.	CO2 Baseline Database for the Indian Power Sector published by the Central Electricity Authority (CEA), Ministry of Power, Govt.	version 13	-
9.	On-site assessment, interviews of plant staff	-	20/02/2020
10.	Commissioning certificate for all the WTGs of the project activity	-	31/03/2017
11.	Power Purchase Agreements	-	22/10/2016
12.	VCS webpage for the project, VCS ID 1788; https://www.vcsprojectdatabase.org/#/project_details/1788	-	Last accessed on 25/03/2020
13.	O&M contract for all project sites with Gamesa Renewable Power Pvt. Ltd.	-	27/09/2016
14.	VCS Standard	Version 4.0	Last accessed on 25/03/2020

S.No	Title of Document	Version	Date
15.	VCS Program Guide	Version 4.0	Last accessed on 25/03/2020
16	Letter of declaration dated from PP regarding not having created or sought any other form of environmental credit for the same period and double counting	-	03/03/2020
17	Google Earth desktop/Mobile application	-	Last accessed on 25/03/2020
18	Technical specification from technology supplier		27/09/2016
19	Meter calibration guidelines: http://cea.nic.in/reports/regulation/CEA_metering_regulation_amendment_2019.pdf		

APPENDIX 2: CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS, CLARIFICATION REQUESTS AND FORWARD ACTION REQUESTS (CAR/CL/FAR)

Table 1. Remaining FAR from previous verification

FAR ID	00	Section no.	Date : 27/02/2020
Description of FAR			
There is no FAR from the validation/previous verifications of the project activity			
Project participant response			Date :DD/MM/YYYY
NA			
Documentation provided by project participant			
NA			
DOE assessment			Date: DD/MM/YYYY
NA			

Table 2. CL from this verification

CL ID	02	Section no.	1.5	Date : 27/02/2020
Description of CL				
In sec. 2.1 of MR, description of solar project provided whereas this project is wind power project. PP shall clarify				
Project participant response				Date :02/03/2020
The type error has been rectified.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
MR V2				
DOE assessment				Date: 25/03/2020
PP has made necessary corrections in sec. 2.1 of revised MR, ver. 2.0 dated 02/03/2020. Comment closed.				

CL ID	03	Section no.	1.9	Date : 27/02/2020
Description of CL				
PP shall provide an undertaking regarding no double counting of emission reductions claimed for this monitoring period.				
Project participant response				Date :02/03/2020
No double counting declaration has been provided.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
Double Counting Declaration				
DOE assessment				Date: 25/03/2020
PP has provided an undertaking regarding participation in other GHG mechanism which is found to be in line and verification team can confirm that PP has not claimed emission reductions in any other GHG mechanism for this monitoring period. Comment closed.				

CL ID	04	Section no.	4.4	Date : 27/02/2020
Description of CL				
PP shall provide ER sheet for this monitoring period along with copies of JMR and invoices				
Project participant response				Date : 02/03/2020
JMR and ER V2 is being submitted				
Documentation provided by project participant				
JMR and Invoice copies and ER version 2.				
DOE assessment				Date: 25/03/2020
PP has provided revised ER sheet, ver. 2 dated 02/03/2020 along with JMRs and invoices for entire monitoring period. Comment closed.				

Table 3. CAR from this verification

CAR ID	05	Section no.	3.2	Date : 23/02/2020
PP shall provide calibration certificates and breakdown details of this monitoring period				
Project participant response				Date: 02/03/2020
<i>Breakdown details and the calibration details have been updated in the MR V2</i>				
Documentation provided by project participant				

<i>MR V2, calibration record and the breakdown sheet.</i>	
DOE assessment	Date: 25/03/2020
PP has provided calibration certification of energy meters by Yathva Energy solutions Pvt. Ltd. Which is an accredited lab under NABL. Break down details also provided in appendix 2 of revised MR, Ver. 02 dated 02/03/2020. Comment closed.	

CAR ID	06	Section no.	1.11	Date : 23/02/2020
In sec. 1.11, name of host country DNA incorrectly mentioned. Corrective action required				
Project participant response				Date : 02/03/2020
<i>DNA name has been updated over section 1.11.</i>				
Documentation provided by project participant				
<i>MR V2</i>				
DOE assessment				Date: 25/03/2020
Name of host country DNA now corrected in sec. 1.11 of revised MR, ver. 2.0 dated 02/03/2020. Comment closed.				

CAR ID	07	Section no.	2.1	Date : 23/02/2020
In sec. 3.1, description of project implementation status not provided. Corrective action required.				
Project participant response				Date : 02/03/2020
<i>The implementation status has been updated over the section 3.1 of the MR V2.</i>				
Documentation provided by project participant				
<i>MR V2</i>				
DOE assessment				Date: 25/03/2020
PP has now provided implementation status of the project in sec. 3.1 of revised MR, ver. 02 dated 02/03/2020. Comment closed.				

Table 4. FAR from this verification

FAR ID	Nil	Section no.		Date : 23/02/2020
Description of FAR				
Project participant response				Date : DD/MM/YYYY
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				Date: DD/MM/YYYY

APPENDIX 3: COMPETENCE OF TEAM MEMBERS AND TECHNICAL REVIEWERS

Competence Statement			
Name	Pankaj Kumar		
Education	M.Sc. in Environment Management Post Graduate Diploma in Environment Law B.Sc. (Hons.) Environment and Water Management		
Experience	15 Years		
Field	Climate Change/Waste Management/EIA		
Approved Roles			
Team Leader	YES		
Validator	YES		
Verifier	YES		
Methodology Expert	YES (AMS I.D, ACM0002)		
Local expert	YES (India)		
Financial Expert	NO		
Technical Reviewer	NO		
TA Expert (1.2)	YES		
Reviewed by	Shreya Garg	Date	01/11/2019
Approved by	Anshika Gupta	Date	01/11/2019

Competence Statement	
Name	Shreya Garg
Country	India
Education	M.Sc. (Climate Science & Policy), TERI University
Experience	7 Years +
Field	Climate Change
Approved Roles	
Team Leader	YES
Validator	YES
Verifier	YES
Methodology Expert	AMS.I.A., AMS.I.C., AMS.I.D., AMS.I.F., AMS.II.D., AMS.II.G., AMS.II.J., AMS.III.AV., ACM0002, ACM0012

Local expert	YES (India)		
Financial Expert	NO		
Technical Reviewer	YES		
TA Expert	YES (TA 1.2, TA 3.1)		
Reviewed by	Abhishek Mahawar	Date	01/03/2018
Approved by	Ashok Gautam	Date	01/03/2018

APPENDIX 4: ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations	Full texts
BM	Build Margin
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CER	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CEA	Central Electricity Authority
CL	Clarification request
CM	Combined Margin
CMS	Central Monitoring system
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DNA	Designated National Authority
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
DR	Document Review
EF	Emission Factor
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Greenhouse gas(es)
GWP	Global Warming potential

OM	Operating Margin
PLF	Plant Load Factor
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement

APPENDIX 5: METER CALIBRATION DETAILS

Calibration details of meter as checked during site visit and certificates submitted by PP are as follows:

Meter Details	Main Meter	Check Meter
Meter Serial Number	18054216	16196382
Meter Make	L & T	L & T
Accuracy Class	0.2s	0.2s
Date of Calibration	08 March 2017	08 March 2017
Date of Calibration	27 April 2019	27 April 2019
Due date of Calibration	26 April 2024	26 April 2024