

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	1784
Project Name	Solar Power Project by Mytrah Energy India Private Limited
Review Type	Verification Approval
Program(s)	VCS Program
Verification Period	01/07/2023 – 31/03/2024
Project Proponent	JSW Neo Energy
Methodology	ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, v19.0.
VVB	LGAI Technological Center S.A.
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.7
Date of First Issue	03/01/2025
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	07 March 2025

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	Projection deviation – Meter change		
	<u>Issue</u> - Meters were changed for the site Mytrah Akshay Energy Private Limited (Raibagh), where new meters were installed, which are different from those in the registered Project Description, but the same has not been captured as part of deviations. <u>Action Required</u> - The VVB must ensure that any changes in meters are captured as project deviations. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.7; Section 3.21.	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> According to the registered Joint PD&MR; Appendix 2, the main meter (serial number 17115537) and check meter (serial number 17115532) were installed. On 05-May-2023, the meter was replaced with a new one. Appendix 3 of the revised monitoring report clearly now specifies the meter change date, along with the details of both the old and new meters separately. Assessment team already verified the meter change certificate and calibration reports. Further, it is to be noted that metering arrangement is clearly defined in the monitoring plan. However, it is very common in industries to change meters due to regulatory requirements or any fault in meter with same specifications or better specifications. PP has added meter change as deviation in revised Monitoring report inline with PRR comments requirements. Assessment team checked the deviation and found same appropriately described. Verification report Section 3.2 & Appendix 7 is also revised accordingly. <u>Verra Response</u> The change of meters has now been captured as project deviation in the revised MR. The VVB has also reviewed the changes and confirmed the changes as correct. The issue as closed.	Closed
2	Inconsistent serial numbers of metering equipment		
	<u>Issue</u> - The standby meter for Substation 132/33 KV Arvapally's serial number is different from the one in the registered Project Description. - The serial numbers for the main meter and standby	<u>Round 1</u> <u>VVB Response</u> The editorial mistake while reporting the standby meter at Substation 132/33 KV Arvapally has been now corrected with accurate serial number of the meter. The	Closed

	meter for Substation 132/33 KV Chegunta are different from those in the registered Project Description. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure that metering equipment used for measuring electricity export and import are the same as those indicated in the registered Project Description. In cases where they are changed, the same should be verified and captured as part of project deviations. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.7; Section 3.21.	meter has not been replaced and is calibrated timely. No deviation required. Assessment team checked the calibration certificates and found correct. Monitoring report's Appendix 3 and Verification report Section 3.2 & Appendix 7 is also revised accordingly. 2. The meter change for main & standby meters is now provided under Appendix 7 of FVR and Appendix 3 of MR. Meter replacement records were checked and found correct. No impact on this verification period as meters were replaced on 27-March-2023. <u>Verra Response</u> 1. The MR has been updated and the editorial error has been rectified. Correct meter serial numbers are now indicated. The issue is closed. 2. Due to the change of meters by the state utility company, the serial numbers of new meters are now indicated in the MR. The new serials differ from the earlier ones indicated in the registered PD. The explanation provided is acceptable. The issue is closed.	
--	---	---	--

3	Inconsistent emission reduction numbers		
	<u>Issue</u> 1. In section 5.4, the total in the column of Reduction VCU's (tCO2e) is 440,173 tCO2e and not 440,137 tCO2e.	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> PP has corrected editorial mistake under section 5.4 of the monitoring report and value is corrected now.	Closed

	<u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must ensure that there is consistency of emission reduction numbers between the Monitoring Report, Emission Reduction Excel sheet and the Verification Report. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.7; Section 2.2.1.	<u>Verra Response</u> The MR has been revised in section 5.4 and corrected the total emission reductions. The issue is closed.	
--	---	--	--

4	Incomplete information in the Verification Report		
	<u>Issue</u> 1. The VVB outlines in the Verification Report in section 2.1 that no sampling was employed, although the VVB decided to visit at least 50% of the installed capacity. However, there is no justification as to why sites in the Karnataka state were never selected. 2. In section 2.3, the verification Report has provided the list of those interviewed without showing the project site for which they work. 3. In section 4.2.2, the Hydro Plant is mentioned. 4. In section 4.2.4, it is not clear how the grievance registers were checked and verified for those sites that were not visited.	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> 1. VVB has applied random sampling only for the site selection to conduct onsite visit. VVB excluded Karnataka site from physical OSV as it was already covered under physical site visit by previous VVB during verification period 01-April-2022 to 30-June-2023. Section 2.3 of the verification report revised now. 2. List under section 2.3 of verification report is revised now for site locations. 3. Editorial mistake under section 4.2.2 is rectified now. 4. Section 4.2.4 is revised now for further assessment.	Closed

	<u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB must provide, in the Verification Report, the justification for not visiting sites in each state and confirm if the approach employed brought any bias in the outcome of the site visit. 2. The VVB must update the Verification Report and indicate the company/project site affiliated with those interviewed. 3. The VVB must update the Verification Report and revise the section where Hydro plant is mentioned. 4. The VVB must provide in the Verification Report how they verified the grievance registered for all sites included in the Monitoring Report. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.7; Section 3.18.4.	<u>Verra Response</u> 1. The VVB has confirmed that random sampling was used to select sites to be visited. The VVB has also provided justification as to why sites in Karnataka were excluded from sampling, considering that they were visited during the previous verification. The justification provided is acceptable. The issue is closed. 2. The Verification report has been revised, and the interviewees and their respective sites have been identified. The issue is closed. 3. The editorial mistake in section 4.2.2 has been corrected, and the reference to the hydro plant has been removed. The issue is closed. 4. The VVB has confirmed how the grievance registers were checked during the verification. The issue is closed.	
--	--	---	--