



Gold Standard[®]
for the **Global Goals**

**VERIFICATION AND CERTIFICATION
REPORT OF
SOMA WIND POWER PLANT
(GS655)**



Prepared by BUREAU VERITAS (INDIA) PVT. LTD.

MONITORING PERIOD: 13/08/2024 to 31/08/2025

Title of the Project Activity	Soma Wind Power Plant
GS Reference Number of the Project Activity	GS655
Version Number of the Verification Report	03
Completion Date of the Verification Report	09/12/2025
Monitoring Period Number	5 th MP (1 st MP of the 3 rd CP)
Duration of the Monitoring Period	13/08/2024 - 31/08/2025
Current Monitoring Report Version	v01
Project Participant(s)	Bilgin Güc Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.S.
Host Party	Turkey
Sectoral Scope(s)	01
Applied Methodology(ies)	ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 21.0
Verified Emission Reductions	210,648 tCO _{2e}
Name of the GS VVB	Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd.
Team Leader of the Verification	Ms. Öykü YAKUPOĞLU
Independent Technical Reviewer of the Verification	Mr. Sanjay PATANKAR Mr. Samuel ONSONGO – ITR 2 (Final)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Objective	4
1.2	Scope.....	4
1.3	Verification Stages	5
1.4	Description of the Project Activity	7
2	Verification Process.....	12
2.1	Appointment of the Verification Team.....	12
2.2	List of Documents Reviewed.....	14
2.3	Site Visits	16
2.4	Verification Protocol	19
2.5	Remaining Forward Action Requests (FARs)	20
2.6	Internal Quality Control	20
3	VERIFICATION Findings.....	20
3.1	Project Implementation	21
3.2	Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Parameters.....	22
3.3	Calculation of GHG Emission Reductions	30
3.4	Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC Procedures).....	32
3.5	Post Registration Changes	32
4	Verification OPINION.....	33
	APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL.....	36

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. has been appointed by “Bilgin Güc Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” to perform the 5th verification process (1st MP of the 3rd CP) of “Soma Wind Power Plant”. The objective of this verification activity is to assess, with objective evidences:

- Whether the implementation and operation of the project activity is compatible with the information provided in the registered GS4GG PDD (v07, dated 16/12/2024)
- Whether all physical features of the project activity indicated in the registered GS4GG PDD (v07, dated 16/12/2024) (e.g. installed technology, monitoring equipment and so on) are in place
- Whether the quantifications of the emission reductions and supporting documents are accurate, complete, transparent, and free of material error and consistent with the project activity’s monitoring plan and the requirements set out in the applied methodology, the applied methodological tools, and Gold Standard for Global Goals (GS4GG) Principles and Requirements, v2.1.
- Whether the monitoring systems and processes in place are in accordance with the monitoring systems and procedures outlined in the approved methodology and the monitoring plan in the registered GS4GG PDD (v07, dated 16/12/2024)
- Whether the necessary ex-ante and ex-post data are chosen correctly and monitored in accordance with the applied methodology, tools and GS requirements

1.2 Scope

The scope of this verification activity which conducted by Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. is the independent and objective review of the project activity and relevant achieved GHG emission reductions. In this regard, the scope is set by:

- GS4GG Principles and Requirements, v2.1

-
- GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard, v2.0
 - GS4GG Safeguarding Principles and Requirements, v2.1
 - Requirements of GS4GG-MR-FORM, v.1.1
 - ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 21.0
 - All associated methodological tools
 - Environmental and social issues related to the Sectoral Scope 01: Energy industries (renewable -/ non renewable sources)
 - ISO 14064-3:2019 Greenhouse Gases – Part 3: Specification with guidance for the verification validation of greenhouse gas statements

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. cannot be held liable by any party for decisions made or not made based on the verification, beyond the purpose of the issuance process of the project activity as part of the GS4GG project cycle.

1.3 Verification Stages

The verification process included the following independent and objective activities:

- Select a verification team. The verification team was selected according to Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd.'s GHG Verification Policies & Procedures to ensure team members are qualified to perform verification activities pertaining to the Project. The verification team consisted of the following individuals:

Team Leader: Ms. Öykü YAKUPOĞLU

Trainee Verifier: Ms. Dilay DURMUŞ

Trainee Verifier: Ms. Özge ŞAHİNKAYA

- Perform a conflict of interest assessment. There were no conflicts of interest identified between Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. and Bilgin Güc Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş.

-
- Conduct a kick-off meeting with Bilgin Güc Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş. to introduce the Bilgin Güc Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş. and Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. teams, review the verification objectives, process, GS requirements, and confirm the auditing schedule
 - Develop a verification plan to be used throughout the verification process.
 - Review the current MR (v01, dated 15/09/2025) against GS Standard requirements and applied Methodology requirements. Information in the current MR (v01, dated 15/09/2025) was the primary focus of the verification process. Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. cross-checked information in the MR against supporting evidence and documents to confirm the project start date, location, selection and continuity of baseline scenario, ownership, and monitoring plan.
 - Conduct a physical site visit (dated 11/09/2025) to selected project activity instances. Details about the selection of project activity instances selected and the site visit are discussed in more detail in section 2.4 of this Verification report below.
 - Review the accuracy and reasonableness of the achieved emission reductions for the 5th Monitoring Period (1st Monitoring Period of the 3rd Crediting Period).
 - Issue corrective action requests (CARs), clarification requests (CLs), and forward action requests (FARs) as necessary
 - Issue a Verification report
 - Hold an exit meeting with Bilgin Güc Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş.

The key milestones of the verification activity are as follows:

Verification Milestone	Date
Kick-off Meeting	05/09/2025
Desk Review	05/09/2025 – 21/09/2025
On-site Visit	11/09/2025
Preparation of the Draft Verification Report	21/09/2025
Independent Technical Review	25/09/2025
Preparation of the Final Verification Report	27/09/2025

1.4 Description of the Project Activity

“Soma Wind Power Plant” project activity has been firstly implemented by “Bilgin Rüzgar Santrali Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” as per the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines. As per the Official Gazette dated 12/03/2019, “Bilgin Rüzgar Santrali Enerji Üretim A.Ş.” was merged into “Bilgin Güç Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş.”. Following this merger, the registered legal entity name of the Project Owner is “Bilgin Güç Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş.”. The official gazette has been provided to the VVB.

The purpose of the project is to produce renewable electricity and to contribute to Turkey’s growing electricity demand through a sustainable and low carbon technology. The project activity is located in Soma District, Manisa Province, Turkey. An important point to note is that while the current installed capacity of the project is 120 MWe, the GS-registered capacity is 90 MWe, and emission reductions are claimed only for this capacity in line with the approved PDD.

The commissioning dates of the wind turbines have been confirmed by the verification team via the commissioning certificates of the turbines. As per these documents, the commissioning dates, installed capacities and types of the turbines are as follows:

Wind Turbines	Commissioning Dates	Installed Capacities	Turbine Type
T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T11, T12, T13	13/08/2010	13 x 2.5 MW = 32.5 MW	N90/2500
T14, T15, T16, T17, T18, T19, T20, T21, T22, T23, T24	23/09/2010	11 x 2.5 MW = 27.5 MW	N90/2500
T25, T26, T27, T28, T29, T30, T31, T32, T33, T34, T35, T36	11/11/2010	12 x 2.5 MW = 30 MW	N90/2500
T38, T39, T40, T43, T44, T45, T46 (not included in the GS4GG project)	05/11/2016	7 x 3 MW = 21 MW	N117/3000
T37, T41, T42 (not included in the GS4GG project)	16/12/2016	3 x 3 MW = 9 MW	N117/3000

Since the capacity of the project activity approved by GS4GG is 90 MWe, newly added turbines (30 MWe) have not been taken into account for emission reduction calculations in the current monitoring period.

The project coordinates of the wind turbines have been confirmed via the generation license. Also, the KMZ file of the wind turbines were provided by the project proponent.

As per this document, the project coordinates of the wind turbines are as follows:

Wind Turbine No.	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	Wind Turbine No.	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
1	39°15'53.68"	27°36'47.51"	24	39°17'58.61"	27°42'12.87"
2	39°16'0.35"	27°36'56.62"	25	39°18'8.45"	27°50'39.71"
3	39°16'5.84"	27°37'7.73"	26	39°18'14.15"	27°50'49.34"
4	39°16'6.65"	27°37'25.39"	27	39°18'20.03"	27°51'6.74"
5	39°16'13.09"	27°37'34.80"	28	39°18'28.59"	27°51'15.99"
6	39°17'4.28"	27°38'10.31"	29	39°18'37.01"	27°51'26.82"
7	39°17'20.60"	27°38'26.70"	30	39°18'51.74"	27°51'38.86"
8	39°17'24.20"	27°38'38.71"	31	39°18'42.98"	27°51'52.45"
9	39°17'47.01"	27°39'12.07"	32	39°18'17.62"	27°52'30.80"
10	39°17'47.44"	27°39'23.35"	33	39°18'13.83"	27°52'20.65"
11	39°17'44.49"	27°39'34.42"	34	39°18'9.40"	27°52'10.95"

12	39°17'44.42"	27°39'46.11"	35	39°18'3.16"	27°52'3.02"
13	39°17'46.92"	27°40'2.96"	36	39°17'56.33"	27°52'10.20"
14	39°17'51.90"	27°40'12.36"	37	39°18'9.76"	27°52'53.20"
15	39°17'55.38"	27°40'27.55"	38	39°15'39.13"	27°36'38.70"
16	39°18'0.96"	27°40'37.33"	39	39°16'8.68"	27°37'45.40"
17	39°18'4.95"	27°40'48.93"	40	39°17'39.05"	27°38'57.89"
18	39°18'0.57"	27°41'0.12"	41	39°18'9.19"	27°52'38.33"
19	39°17'24.03"	27°41'29.57"	42	39°15'31.81"	27°36'29.50"
20	39°17'13.99"	27°41'32.48"	43	39°18'0.03"	27°42'25.79"
21	39°17'10.75"	27°41'43.55"	44	39°17'30.93"	27°39'17.81"
22	39°17'26.47"	27°42'1.03"	45	39°18'1.37"	27°39'32.12"
23	39°18'5.44"	27°42'5.59"	46	39°17'32.15"	27°41'17.04"

The chronology timeline of the project activity is as follows:

Date	Milestone
03/06/2008	Investment decision Date
17/07/2008	License Date
08/10/2008	Signing of ERPA
10/11/2008	LSC Meeting
07/04/2009	Last Modification to license
06/07/2009	Turbine Supply and Installation Agreement
21/05/2009	DOE Agreement
20/08/2009	Construction/ Recruitment Start Date
25/08/2009	DOE Site Visit
22/01/2009	LSC Report Uploaded to APX/GS Registry
18/09/2009	LSC Feedback report uploaded
13/08/2010	Partial Commissioning Date (first 32.5 MW –T1-T13)
13/08/2010	First Crediting Period Start Date

Date	Milestone
13/08/2010	First Monitoring Period Start Date
23/09/2010	Partial Commissioning Date (next 27.5 MW T14-T24)
11/11/2010	Partial Commissioning Date (next 30 MW T25-T36)
23/01/2012	Registration Date under GS
30/06/2012	First Monitoring Period End Date
01/07/2012	Second Monitoring Period Start Date
31/12/2012	Second Monitoring Period End Date
05/11/2016	Partial Commissioning Date (next 21 MW T38, T39, T40, T43, T44, T45 and T46)
16/12/2016	Partial Commissioning Date (next 9 MW T37, T41 and T42)
12/08/2017	First Crediting Period End Date
13/08/2017	Second Crediting Period Start Date (Previous start date before the delay)
18/04/2019	Generation License amendment date
04/07/2022	Delayed Start Date of the Second Crediting Period
04/07/2022	First Monitoring Period of Second Crediting Period Start Date
30/06/2023	First Monitoring Period of Second Crediting Period End Date
01/07/2023	Second Monitoring Period of Second Crediting Period Start Date
12/08/2024	Second Monitoring Period of Second Crediting Period End Date
12/08/2024	Second Crediting Period End Date
13/08/2024	Third Crediting Period Start Date
13/08/2024	First Monitoring Period of Third Crediting Period Start Date
31/08/2025	First Monitoring Period of Third Crediting Period End Date
12/08/2031	Third Crediting Period End Date

The relevant official documents of the project activity were provided by the project proponent during this verification process.

The key parameters about the technical design of the wind turbines are as follows:

Parameter	Unit	Nordex N90/2500	Nordex N117/3000
Rated Power	kW	2,500	3,000
Rotor Diameter	m	90	116,8
Hub Height	m	80	91
Num. of Blades	-	3	3
Swept Area	m ²	6,362	10,715
Cut-out wind speed	m/s	25	25

The technical details have been confirmed by the VVB via the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines and on-site visit observations.

This is the third crediting period of the project activity. The current monitoring period is the first monitoring period (fifth monitoring period in total) of the third crediting period and the period is between 13/08/2024 - 31/08/2025 (both days included). The achieved total electricity generation of this monitoring period is 331,993.14 MWh (with considering 90 MWe registered installed capacity) and the achieved total emission reduction of this monitoring period is 210,648 tCO₂e.

The vintage values of the emission reductions of this monitoring period are as follows:

13/08/2024 – 31/12/2024	72,808 tCO ₂ e
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	137,840 tCO ₂ e

2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

2.1 Appointment of the Verification Team

The appointment process of the verification team takes into account the technical area(s), sectoral scope(s), and relevant host country experience required amongst team members for the verification of the emission reductions achieved by the project activity in the relevant monitoring period for this verification. The relevant GS verification and previous ITR experiences are also assessed during the selection of the team members and Independent Technical Reviewer (ITR), respectively.

The members of the verification process and their roles are as follows:

Name	Role	Involvement
Öykü YAKUPOĞLU	Team Leader	Desk Review, On-site Visit, Reporting
Dilay DURMUŞ	Trainee Verifier	Desk Review, Reporting
Özge ŞAHİNKAYA	Trainee Verifier	Desk Review, Reporting
Mr. Sanjay PATANKAR – ITR 1 Mr. Samuel ONSONGO- ITR 2	Independent Technical Reviewer	Independent Technical Review

The competence of team members and technical reviewer is as follows:

- Ms. Öykü Yakupoğlu is qualified Team Leader and auditor for scope 1.2 and 13.1-2 for validation and verification of GHG projects. She is actively working in the climate change domain for about 4 years and involved in validation/ verification of around 180 projects under Gold Standard/ VERRA/ GCC and ICR. Ms. Öykü is graduate in Environmental Engineering from Middle East Technical University and in Chemistry (M.Sc.) from Gazi University and currently pursuing her PhD in Energy Systems Engineering from Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University.
- Mr. Sanjay Patankar B.E. (Mech.) M.E. (Mech.). He has over 30 years of experience in various fields such as engineering manufacturing industry covering various functions like enterprise management, product design, engineering, tool & die design, improvements in the production shop, quality assurance & control and systems planning and implementation, including ISO 9001 based quality management systems. He has conducted numerous verification assignments in carbon accounting, CDM and Sustainability and certification. He has worked for 15+ years in Bureau Veritas Certification (India) Pvt. Ltd. in various functions such as Lead Auditor for ISO 9001, ISO 50001, ISO 14064-1 standards and was responsible for technical reviews, accreditation and quality management of sustainability schemes such as ISO 50001, GHG and CDM within Bureau Veritas India.
- ITR 2: Samuel Onsongo
He holds a B.Sc. in Physics, with over 16 years of experience in renewable energy, energy efficiency and climate change. He has been trained on ISO 14064, CDM verification, ISO 9001 and ISO 14001, as Lead auditor. He has been involved in validation and verification of CDM and Gold Standard projects covering scopes in renewable energy (T.A 1.2), energy efficiency (T.A 3.1) and energy distribution (T.A 2.1).

2.2 List of Documents Reviewed

The following actions were involved in the desk review:

- A review of the data and information presented to verify completeness
- A review of the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions

The list of documents which were reviewed during the verification process are as follows:

Document Name	Version	Date
Registered PDD	07	16/12/2024
ACM0002	21.0	02/11/2022
Monitoring Report	v00	26/08/2025
Monitoring Report	v01	15/09/2025
ER Calculation Excel Sheet	v00	26/08/2025
ER Calculation Excel Sheet	v01	15/09/2025
GS4GG Principles and Requirements	2.1	31/01/2025
GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard	2.0	12/11/2024
GS4GG Safeguarding Principles and Requirements	2.1	19/06/2023
Requirements of GS4GG-MR-FORM	1.1	14/10/2020
EPIAS Screenshots	-	08/2024 – 08/2025
SCADA data	-	08/2024 – 08/2025
Social Security Records of employees	-	2025
Training Records	-	05/03/2024 17/10/2024 02-03- 04/04/2025
Hazardous Waste Disposal Records	-	2024 2025
First Index Protocols of the Current Electricity Meters	-	14-15/10/2019
Electricity Meter Tests	-	12/11/2023 28/05/2025

Photographic Evidences of the Grievance Book	-	-
Evidence Documents of Donations	-	2024 2025
Generation License (Last Amendment)	-	18/04/2019
Official Trading Gazette (related to the change of the PO)	-	12/03/2019
Commissioning Certificates	-	13/08/2010 23/09/2010 11/11/2010 05/11/2016 16/12/2016
GS4GG Design Renewal Review	-	-
KMZ file of the Project Activity	-	-
EIA Not Required Decision	-	08/02/2008
Signed and Sealed Declaration by the PP about double counting and legal contest		06/07/2023
CDM Tool 07	07.0	31/08/2018
CDM Tool 01	07.0	23/11/2012
CDM Tool 10	01	16/10/2009
CDM Tool 11	03.0.1	02/03/2012

2.3 Site Visits

During the verification period, follow-up interviews were performed by the VVB to further analyse the correctness and accurateness of the information provided. The main topics covered during the interview are as follows:

- Installed technology and monitoring equipment (i.e. electricity meters) of the project activity

- Project implementation and operation
- Assessment of baseline scenario
- Staff training procedures
- Calibration procedures of the monitoring equipment
- Monitoring system
- Data collection, recording and reporting procedure
- QA/QC procedures
- Eligibility criteria of GS4GG
- Emission reduction calculations
- Evaluation of values of ex-post parameters
- Comments from local stakeholders about the implementation of the project activity

The list of people who were interviewed during the physical verification site visit handled on 11/09/2025:

Reference Number	Means of Interview	Full Name	Title	Organization	Team Member
I01	Site Visit	Koray Özulukale	Business Manager	Bilgin Güç	Öykü Yakupoğlu
I02	Site Visit	Havva Öztürk	Carbon Portfolio Manager	Bilgin Güç	Öykü Yakupoğlu
I03	Site Visit	Bahri Yılmaz	Mukhtar	Göktaş Village	Öykü Yakupoğlu
I04	Site Visit	Tuncay Şen	Local Stakeholder	Heciz Village	Öykü Yakupoğlu
I05	Site Visit	Atike Şen	Local Stakeholder	Heciz Village	Öykü Yakupoğlu

Subject	Source of Information
Checking technical specifications of the Installed Technology and Monitoring Equipment	Physical site visit observations and interview with the business manager
Assessing continuity of Baseline Scenario	Physical site visit observations, interview with the local stakeholders
Location of the Wind Turbines	Physical site visit observations and GPS
Assessing monitoring approaches (e.g. SCADA system)	Physical site visit observations, interview with the business manager and the employees
Receiving comments from Local Stakeholders	Interviews with the local stakeholders
Review of information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters	Document review, physical site visit observations, interviews with the project proponent representatives
Cross-check between information provided in the MR and data from other sources such as plant log books, purchase records or similar data sources	Physical site visit observations, document review
Identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters	Document review, physical site visit observations, interviews with the project proponent representatives

All the monitoring parameters furnished by the project proponent have been cross-checked with the electronic records and operational logbooks.

During the on-site visit, local stakeholders confirmed that they were informed about the project activity before the implementation.

Furthermore, there had not been any complaint raised by the interviewed local stakeholders during the on-site visit dated 11/09/2025. The local stakeholders as stated above were interviewed about the following issues and there had not been any complaint by the interviewed local stakeholders during the on-site visit:

- Noise and shadow problems due to the project activity
- Methods of on-going communication with the employees
- Sufficiency of local employment (The interviewed local stakeholders were pleased about the provided local employment opportunities by the PP)

It was also concluded that the grievance mechanism is in place and this was also confirmed by the interviewed local stakeholders during the on-site visit dated 11/09/2025. Also, the photographic evidences of the grievance book were provided to the VVB. So far, no complaints have been recorded in the grievance book.

2.4 Verification Protocol

The verification process of this GS project activity includes the following steps:

- Assessment of the conformity of the actual project activity and its operation with the GS4GG MR version 01 and dated 15/09/2025
- A site visit was conducted on 11/09/2025 to assess that all physical features of the project activity are in place and that the project participants has operated the project activity as per the registered PDD (v07, dated 16/12/2024) and current MR (v01, dated 15/09/2025)
- Assessment of the compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology ACM0002, v21.0
- Assessment of the compliance of monitoring with the monitoring plan
- Assessment of data and calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions
- Issuance of the Verification Report
- Independent technical review
- Approval of the Verification Report and Request of Issuance

- To ensure transparency a verification protocol, which shows in a transparent manner criteria (requirements), means of verification and VVB findings and final VVB conclusions, is customised for the project activity. All findings and outstanding issues, identified during the desk review and discussed during the site observation, are included in a list of CARs, CLs and FARs.
- During this verification activity, 16 Corrective Action Requests (CARs), and 04 Clarification Request (CL) were raised all of which were successfully closed. All CARs/CLs which are organized in Appendix A, have been resolved by the project proponent via additional supporting evidence and appropriate revision of the GS4GG MR. No FAR has been issued during this verification process. For the purpose of completeness, the completed Verification Protocol is also enclosed to the Verification Report in Appendix A.

2.5 Remaining Forward Action Requests (FARs)

There has not been any FARs issued in the revalidation review.

During the current verification activity, also no FAR has been issued.

2.6 Internal Quality Control

As the final verification step, the comprehensive documentation, including the verification report and its appendixes, undergoes internal quality control through the Independent Technical Review process. Once the Team Leader completes the Verification Report, it is forwarded to an Independent Technical Reviewer. At this stage, not only the report but also all supporting documents, such as emission reduction calculations and relevant excel sheets, undergo a thorough review. The Independent Technical Reviewer may issue Corrective Action Requests (CARs) and Clarification Requests (CLs) as needed for further clarification.

Upon closure of all CARs and CLs, the request for issuance, aligned with the positive verification opinion and accompanied by all relevant documents, is submitted to GS4GG.

3 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

3.1 Project Implementation

The registered capacity as the GS project activity is 90 MWe for “Soma Wind Power Plant” project activity. The current electricity meters measure the electricity generated from 120 MWe capacity. The electricity generation and the emission reduction of the last added 10 turbines (i.e. 30 MWe) are ignored since the registered capacity of this GS project activity is 90 MWe. The following method is followed to find the amount of electricity generation of 90 MWe capacity out of the total 120 MWe capacity:

$$\text{Adjusted net electricity supplied to the grid} = EG_{PJ, \text{facility}, y} - \text{Generation of Added Capacity taken from the SCADA System}$$

The project has been successfully executed the 46 wind turbines with the total capacity of 120 MWe in alignment with the specifications outlined in the registered PDD. However, as stated above, only the electricity which produced from 90 MWe capacity has been considered for the current monitoring period. All physical components of the project have been implemented during the on-site visit, dated 11/09/2025. Additionally, electricity meters were observed during the on-site visit.

The commissioning dates of the wind turbines have been confirmed by the verification team via the commissioning certificates of the turbines. As per these documents, the commissioning dates, installed capacities and types of the turbines are as follows:

Wind Turbines	Commissioning Dates	Installed Capacities	Turbine Type
T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T11, T12, T13	13/08/2010	13 x 2.5 MW = 32.5 MW	N90/2500
T14, T15, T16, T17, T18, T19, T20, T21, T22, T23, T24	23/09/2010	11 x 2.5 MW = 27.5 MW	N90/2500
T25, T26, T27, T28, T29, T30, T31, T32, T33, T34, T35, T36	11/11/2010	12 x 2.5 MW = 30 MW	N90/2500
T38, T39, T40, T43, T44, T45, T46 (not included in the GS4GG project)	05/11/2016	7 x 3 MW = 21 MW	N117/3000
T37, T41, T42 (not included in the GS4GG project)	16/12/2016	3 x 3 MW = 9 MW	N117/3000

As per the registered PDD (v07, dated 16/12/2024), the estimated annual emission reduction is 195,108 tCO₂e, with a corresponding total estimated amount of 205,263 tCO₂e for the current monitoring period. The achieved values for the current monitoring period is 210,648 tCO₂e. This reflects an actual emission reduction that is 2.62% higher than the estimated amount. This marginal spread from the estimation is probably due to weather conditions. This difference is considered acceptable. The variance in the values does not result in a significant increase in the Emission Reduction (ER) during this period when compared to the estimates provided in the registered PDD.

3.2 Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Parameters

The monitoring plan is in accordance with the registered monitoring plan indicated in the registered PDD (v07 dated 16/12/2024) applied methodology “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, version 21.0. According to the methodology and the registered monitoring plan, the parameters to be monitored are “emission reductions”, “amount of CO, NMVOC and NO_x emissions”, “quantity of net electricity generation that is produced and fed into the grid as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y”, “making various contributions to the poorest people in the vicinity of the project area”, “quantitative employment and income generation”, “quality of employment”, “avoidance wastewater discharge to the environment”, “taking precautions to protect public from any potential high voltage hazard”, “solid household waste and waste oil”, “noise resulted from the turbines’ rotations”, “planting of trees to remediate soil movements as a result of the construction of the project” and “bird strikes to the turbines”. All monitoring parameters have been included in the monitoring plan for the current monitoring period.

The continuous measurement of net electricity is conducted through the main electricity meter at the grid interface, with monthly recording. A backup meter is also in place. The latest meter test dates of the meters are 12/11/2023 and 28/05/2025. These meter test documents have been provided to the VVB. The technical details of the electricity meters have been confirmed by the verification team via the calibration documents of the meters. Also, during the on-site visit dated 11/09/2025, the current electricity meters were observed.

The technical details of the current electricity meters (available during the current monitoring period) are as follows:

	Main Meter 1	Main Meter 2	Back-up Meter 1	Back-up Meter 2
Brand	EMH	EMH	EMH	EMH
Type	LZQJ-XC	LZQJ-XC	LZQJ-XC	LZQJ-XC
Serial Number	8923689	8923691	8923690	8923692
Accuracy Class	0.2S	0.2S	0.2S	0.2S

The grid owner has been responsible for the monitoring and maintenance of the electricity meters. The calculation of net electricity delivered to the grid relies on records provided by TEIAS through the EPIAS system, the financial settlement center of TEIAS. All readings and billings are processed via the EPIAS system, a legal database of the Ministry.

The periodical calibration or maintenance is under the responsibility of TEIAS and is fixed as once in 10 years¹. Since TEIAS meters are sealed by TEIAS, the project proponent cannot intervene with the devices. The meter tests are carried out every two years. According to these periods, there was no delay in the calibration or testing period during the current monitoring period. The latest meter test dates of the meters are 12/11/2023 and 28/05/2025. According to these tests, the meters work within their accuracy limits. No error was specified in the meter test document.

Internal reviews of the metered data are consistently performed. A SCADA system is in place, generating daily reports. The data collected daily is stored in the plant manager's computer and backed up. SCADA values were reviewed, revealing no discrepancies in the data.

All data collected as part of monitoring will be archived electronically by the project owner and be kept at least for 2 years after the end of the last crediting period.

Maintenance and downtime management are essential for ensuring the efficient and continuous operation of a wind power plant. Maintenance tasks include periodic planned maintenance to sustain turbine performance over the long term and reactive maintenance to address potential faults. Planned maintenance helps reduce unexpected downtimes by

¹ <https://www.resmigazete.gov.tr/eskiler/2016/06/20160629-22.htm>

proactively addressing potential issues. Downtime may result from predictable factors like equipment replacement, adverse weather conditions, or temporary technical issues. During the physical site visit, it was learned that to mitigate the impact of such outages, plant management implements measures such as regular monitoring, early warning systems, and rapid response teams to ensure prompt action and minimize disruptions.

The registered capacity as the GS project activity is 90 MWe for “Soma Wind Power Plant” project activity. The current electricity meters measure the electricity generated from 120 MWe capacity. The electricity generation and the emission reduction of the last added 10 turbines (i.e. 30 MWe) are ignored since the registered capacity of this GS project activity is 90 MWe. The following method is followed to find the amount of electricity generation of 90 MWe capacity out of the total 120 MWe capacity:

$$\text{Adjusted net electricity supplied to the grid} = \text{EG}_{\text{PJ, facility, y}} - \text{Generation of Added Capacity taken from the SCADA System}$$

The monitoring parameters are selected in line with the applied methodology and the registered PDD (v07, dated 16/12/2024):

- ER_y : emission reductions (tons of CO_2e)
- Air Quality (emissions other than GHGs): amount of CO, NMVOC and NO_x emissions (tons/GWh)
- $EG_{\text{PJ, y}}$: quantity of net electricity generation that is produced and fed into the grid as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y (MWh)
- Livelihood of the poor: making various contributions to the poorest people in the vicinity of the project area
- Quantitative employment and income generation: Number of employments provided
- Quality of employment: Health & Safety trainings
- Water Quality and Quantity: Avoidance wastewater discharge to the environment
- Public Health and Safety: Taking precautions to protect public from any potential high voltage hazard
- Solid waste: Solid household waste and waste oil
- Noise Pollution: Noise resulted from the turbines’ rotations
- Soil Condition: Planting of trees to remediate soil movements as a result of the construction of the project
- Biodiversity: Bird strikes to the turbines

The applied methodology and tools refer these monitoring parameters. Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. has checked Data Unit, Description, Source of Data, Description of the Measurement Method, Frequency of Monitoring, Value Applied, Monitoring Equipment, QA/QC Procedures, and Calculation Method of these parameters in the applied methodology and tools. All information for the monitoring parameters has been indicated correctly in the GS4GG MR, version 01 dated 15/09/2025:

- For $EG_{PJ,y}$ monitoring parameter, there are four electricity meters at the project site. The electricity meters were checked during the on-site visit dated 11/09/2025. Two of them are the main meters and the other ones are the back-up meters. The electricity generation/consumption values read on the meters constitute the EPIAS records. EPIAS records have been used as the main source. All EPIAS records between 08/2024 – 08/2025 were provided to the VVB at the time of the verification. For the cross-check purposes SCADA data have been used. The periodical calibration or maintenance is under the responsibility of TEIAS and is fixed as once in 10 years. The meter tests are carried out every two years. The latest meter test dates of the meters are 12/11/2023 and 28/05/2025. The relevant documents were provided to the VVB.
- For Air Quality (emissions other than GHGs) monitoring parameter, national emission intensities are applied to the net electricity supplied to the grid, yielding avoidance values of 29.72 tCO₂, 2.68 tNMVOC, and 363.66 tNO_x for the monitoring period. The underlying generation data (331,993.14 MWh) is robustly monitored through EPIAS records with TEIAS-sealed meters.
- For ER_y monitoring parameter, the electricity generation values are multiplied by the registered emission factor indicated in the registered PDD (v07 dated 16/12/2024). For the electricity generation values, EPIAS records and SCADA records have been checked by the verification team.
- For Livelihood of the poor monitoring parameter, the project reports direct community contributions in the form of food supply or the financial needs of the village coffee house. These contributions are simple but tangible, aligning with the indicator's purpose of demonstrating social co-benefits beyond employment creation. Monitoring is carried out with donation records presented to the VVB as verification evidence.
- For Number of Employment monitoring parameter, social security records are used to monitor the number of employees. Social security records were provided by the project owner.

- For Number of Trainings monitoring parameter, training records are used to monitor the contribution of the project to quality of employment. All training records which were carried out during the current monitoring period were provided to the VVB. The details of the trainings are as follows:

Date	Training	Number of Participants
2024	Occupational Health and Safety ²	40 employees
2025	Occupational Health and Safety	40 employees

Occupational health and safety is taken by all employees in accordance with the “Regulation on the Procedures and Principles of Occupational Health and Safety Training of Employees”³.

- For Water Quality and Quantity monitoring parameter, the project reports avoidance of approximately 8,580.52 x1000 m³ wastewater discharge during the monitoring period, derived by applying national thermal-power wastewater intensity factors to the net electricity generated (331,993.14 MWh), using EPIAS monthly settlement data as the primary source. As the project is a wind farm, no wastewater is directly generated from operations apart from small volumes of domestic effluent, which are managed via septic tanks and municipal removal, ensuring that the net avoidance value remains valid. Relevant waste water disposal records were provided to the VVB.
- For Public Health and Safety monitoring parameter, during the site visit, the VVB observed and verified physical measures including fencing around all turbines, grounding of fences, restricted access to the control chamber and substation, and 24/7 site security. These measures are consistent with the monitoring plan, which requires annual checks to ensure that the project does not pose risks to public health and safety.
- For Solid Waste monitoring parameter, during the monitoring period, household waste was properly collected in designated bins, with disposal confirmed through on-site inspection by the VVB. Hazardous wastes such as gearbox oil, oil filters, and other waste oils were stored securely and removed by licensed contractors in

² The “Occupational Health and Safety” training was carried out at the power plant site.

³ <https://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/mevzuat?MevzuatNo=18371&MevzuatTur=7&MevzuatTertip=5>

line with national regulations, with disposal records from 2024, and 2025 provided to the VVB as supporting evidence.

- For Noise Pollution monitoring parameter, monitoring was conducted through direct stakeholder interviews with residents in nearby villages during the site visit, and no negative feedback or complaints were reported regarding turbine noise during the monitoring period.
- For Soil Condition monitoring parameter, the project has taken measures to mitigate soil movement risks caused by construction activities through afforestation efforts. Evidence in the form of payment documents and photographs of saplings planted was reviewed by the VVB, confirming that tree planting and remediation actions were implemented as committed in the Environmental Impact Statement.
- For Biodiversity monitoring parameter, monitoring is carried out by appointed on-site personnel who records observations at the end of each monitoring period, complemented by stakeholder interviews during the site visit. Both the staff statements and stakeholder feedback indicated that no bird strikes or traces of collisions were observed around the project site during the monitoring period.

VVB also confirms that the grid emission factor taken is 0.6345 tCO₂e/MWh and the value is same as fixed ex-ante in the registered PDD (v07, dated 16/12/2024). This grid emission factor has been taken from the most recent publicly available combined margin grid emission factor for Turkey published on Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources website⁴ at the time of re-validation of the project activity. The verification team confirmed that the emission factor has been selected appropriately.

There are no data which were not available during the current monitoring period.

The project makes a positive impact on SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy) through the generation of 331,993.14 MWh (with considering 90 MWe registered installed capacity) of net electricity. It also contributes to SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) by employing a total of 40 staff members during the most recent year of operation. Furthermore, the project supports SDG 13 (Climate Action) by achieving an emission reduction of 210,648 tCO₂e throughout the monitoring period.

⁴ https://enerji.gov.tr/Media/Dizin/BHIM/tr/Duyurular//Bilgi_Formu_Web_Sitesi_2019_202110071443.pdf

A signed declaration dated 06/07/2023 was provided by the project proponent to the VVB about double counting. Also, a signed declaration dated 06/07/2023 about legal contest was provided. Moreover, the verification team also checked the I-REC Registry (<https://evident.services/device-register>) and this project is not available within I-REC Registry database. Similarly, VCS project database (<http://vcsprojectdatabase.org/#/home>), ICR project database (<https://www.carbonregistry.com/explore/projects>), BCR project database (<https://biocarbonregistry.com/en/projects/>) and GCC project database (https://projects.globalcarboncouncil.com/pages/submitted_projects) were checked and this project is not available within VCS, ICR, BCR and GCC projects' databases, either. The 30 MW capacity-addition component falls under the GCC scheme (with the Project ID: S00162). However, this portion does not carry any risk of double counting, as it is not registered under this GS project.

Given that CDM projects are not applicable in Turkey and the registered GS project does not appear on domestic REC scheme, I-REC, VCS, ICR, BCR and GCC registries, it could be confirmed that no RECs and other VER carbon credits are being issued for the project at the time of this verification.

In summary, Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. confirms that all necessary monitoring parameters are monitored in line with the registered Monitoring Plan and the applied methodology.

The VVB checked all data set (EPIAS records from 13/08/2024 to 31/08/2025) and each day of production is included in these readings. These readings are exact and are the basis for billing. They are recorded and saved automatically by the relevant government authority and there is no base for any option of material information.

Level of materiality is ensured by application of "GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard" version 02.0. As per para. 9.6.3 of this document:

An omission, misstatement, or erroneous reporting of information is material if it might lead, at an aggregated level, to an overestimation of the total emission reductions/removals achieved by a registered project equal to or higher than the following thresholds:

a. 0.5 per cent of the emission reductions/removals for project achieving a total emission reduction equal to or more than 500,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year

- b. 1 per cent of the emission reductions/removals for projects achieving a total emission reduction between 300,000 and 500,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year
- c. 2 per cent of the emission reductions/removals for large-scale project activities achieving a total emission reduction of 300,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year or less
- d. 10 per cent of the emission reductions/removals for microscale projects

The vintage values of the emission reductions of this monitoring period are as follows:

13/08/2024 – 31/12/2024	72,808 tCO ₂ e
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	137,840 tCO ₂ e

Therefore, the project activity is a large-scale project activity achieving a total emission reduction of 300,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year or less (option c). The materiality threshold is 2%.

The relevant data set (EPIAS records from 13/08/2024 to 31/08/2025) has been checked by the verification team. These readings are exact and are the basis for billing. They are recorded and saved automatically by the relevant government authority and there is no base for any option of material information. Similarly, there have not been any observed omission, misstatement, or material errors among the reviewed documents as checked and confirmed by the verification team and applied materiality threshold is 2% in line with vintage values of the emission reductions during the monitoring period.

Level of materiality is ensured by application of “Guideline on the Application of Materiality in Verifications” version 02 and GS Validation and Verification Standard version 02.0. To guarantee this level of assurance all data that is used in the GHG emission reduction calculations have been reviewed without any sampling. Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. hereby confirms that the level of assurance of this verification report is reasonable, with respect to material errors, omissions and misrepresentations.

3.3 Calculation of GHG Emission Reductions

The project owner provided the EPIAS records for every month throughout the monitoring period (13/08/2024 - 31/08/2025). The emission reductions values have been verified against the EPIAS records, with EPIAS serving as the primary data source. SCADA values have been applied as an additional data source for cross-checking purposes.

The registered capacity as the GS project activity is 90 MWe for “Soma Wind Power Plant” project activity. The current electricity meters measure the electricity generated from 120 MWe capacity. The electricity generation and the emission reduction of the last added 10 turbines (i.e. 30 MWe) are ignored since the registered capacity of this GS project activity is 90 MWe. The following method is followed to find the amount of electricity generation of 90 MWe capacity out of the total 120 MWe capacity:

$$\text{Adjusted net electricity supplied to the grid} = \text{EG}_{\text{PJ, facility, y}} - \text{Generation of Added Capacity taken from the SCADA System}$$

As per the EPIAS records, the electricity generation values of the current monitoring period are as follows:

Period	Export Electricity to the Grid (MWh)	Import Electricity from the Grid (MWh)	Net Electricity Generation Supplied to the Grid (120 MWe) (MWh)	Sum of the Electricity Generation provided by the additional turbines (30 MWe) (MWh)	Adjusted Net Electricity Generation Supplied to the Grid (90 MWe) (MWh)
13/08/2024 – 31/12/2024	156,627.00	105.14	156,521.86	41,771.83	114,750.03
01/01/2024 – 31/08/2025	294,900.07	190.19	294,709.88	77,466.77	217,243.11
Total (13/08/2024 – 31/08/2025)	451,527.06	295.33	451,231.74	119,238.60	331,993.14

The net electricity generation is multiplied with the grid emission factor (i.e. 0.6345 tCO₂e/MWh) to obtain the baseline emissions:

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ, facility, y} * EF_{grid, CM, y}$$

Period	Baseline Emissions (tCO₂e)
13/08/2024 – 31/12/2024	72,808
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	137,840
Total (13/08/2024 – 31/08/2025)	210,648

As per the applied methodology, projects emissions and leakage emissions are taken as zero for the project activity. Since,

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y = BE_y$$

The emission reduction values of the current monitoring period are as follows:

Period	Emission Reduction Value (tCO₂e)
13/08/2024 – 31/12/2024	72,808
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	137,840
Total (13/08/2024 – 31/08/2025)	210,648

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. confirms that electricity generation values are stated correctly in the GS4GG MR and in the ER Calculation Excel sheet as per the EPIAS records and calculations to obtain the emission reductions are applied correctly.

3.4 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC Procedures)

The wind power plant is equipped with four electricity meters, two of them serving as the main meter and the others as a back-up, to measure the generated electricity. These meters, installed in the power house, adhere to the requirements set by the Energy Market Regulatory Authority (EMRA) for electricity meters. All meters are designed to measure energy in two directions—consumption and production. If a measuring difference arises between these meters and either TEIAS or the Project Proponent requests calibration, the meters will undergo calibration promptly without waiting for the regular check (i.e. 10 years). The calibration process is conducted by an accredited entity under the supervision of TEIAS, and the PO is not responsible for the calibration of meters in Turkey, following local standards and requirements.

3.5 Post Registration Changes

- There are no project or methodology deviations applied during this monitoring period.
- There are no corrections during this monitoring period.
- There are no changes applied to the start date of the crediting period during this monitoring period.
- There are no permanent changes from the Design Certified monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline
- There are no changes to project design of approved project.

4 VERIFICATION OPINION

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. has performed the verification activity of “Soma Wind Power Plant” which is a GS4GG project with the reference number “GS655” for the 5th verification (1st verification of the 3rd crediting period) between 13/08/2024 - 31/08/2025 (both days included). The scope of the activities covers the verification and certification of GHG emissions reductions reported in the GS4GG MR version 01 dated 15/09/2025.

Bilgin Güc Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş. is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final GS4GG MR, version 01 dated 15/09/2025. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the Responsibility Party. The development and maintenance of the records and the related monitoring procedures are in accordance with the GS4GG MR, version 01 dated 15/09/2025.

A signed declaration dated 06/07/2023 was provided by the project proponent to the VVB about double counting. Also, a signed declaration dated 06/07/2023 about legal contest was provided. Moreover, the verification team also checked the I-REC Registry (<https://evident.services/device-register>) and this project is not available within I-REC Registry database. Similarly, VCS project database (<http://vcsprojectdatabase.org/#/home>), ICR project database (<https://www.carbonregistry.com/explore/projects>), BCR project database (<https://biocarbonregistry.com/en/projects/>) and GCC project database (https://projects.globalcarboncouncil.com/pages/submitted_projects) were checked and this project is not available within VCS, ICR, BCR and GCC projects’ databases, either. The 30 MW capacity-addition component falls under the GCC scheme (with the Project ID: S00162). However, this portion does not carry any risk of double counting, as it is not registered under this GS project.

Given that CDM projects are not applicable in Turkey and the registered GS project does not appear on domestic REC scheme, I-REC, VCS, ICR, BCR and GCC registries, it could be confirmed that no RECs and other VER carbon credits are being issued for the project at the time of this verification.

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. concludes that the GHG assertion is free of material misstatement. Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. hereby confirms that the level of

assurance of this verification report is reasonable, with respect to material errors, omissions and misrepresentations.

The emission reductions calculated can be considered in conformance with the:

- GS4GG Principles and Requirements, v2.1
- GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard, v2.0
- GS4GG Safeguarding Principles and Requirements, v2.1
- Requirements of GS4GG-MR-FORM, v.1.1
- ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, v21.0
- All associated methodological tools
- Environmental and social issues related to the Sectoral Scope 01: Energy industries (renewable -/ non renewable sources)
- ISO 14064-3:2019 Greenhouse Gases – Part 3: Specification with guidance for the verification validation of greenhouse gas statements

The verification has been performed by a verification team consisting of “Öykü YAKUPOĞLU” as the Team Leader, “Dilay DURMUŞ” as the Trainee Verifier and “Özge ŞAHİNKAYA” as the Trainee Verifier. The Independent Technical Review was performed by “Sanjay PATANKAR” – ITR 1 and Samuel ONSONGO – ITR 2 and the project activity was checked against the applicable rules and regulations of GS4GG Principles and Requirements, v2.1.

The verification activity can confirm that:

- the project is implemented and operated as per the registered capacity (i.e. 90 MWe) indicated in the PDD (version 07 dated 16/12/2024)
- the monitoring complies with the monitoring plan in the registered PDD (version 07 dated 16/12/2024)
- the GS4GG MR (version 01 dated 15/09/2025) and other supporting documents provided are complete and verifiable and in accordance with the applicable GS4GG requirements
- the installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately
- the monitoring system is in place and generates GHG emission reductions data

- the emission factor is taken correctly as per the registered PDD (v07 dated 16/12/2024)
- the GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements

Bureau Veritas (India) Pvt. Ltd. hereby confirms that the project activity “Soma Wind Power Plant” in Turkey, is implemented as per the registered capacity (i.e. 90 MWe) indicated in the PDD version 07 dated 16/12/2024. The monitoring system is in place and the emission reductions are achieved without material misstatements as per the applied approved methodology, which is “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, v21.0.

Period	Baseline Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Project Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Leakage Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Net GHG Emission Reductions (tCO ₂ e)
13/08/2024 – 31/12/2024	72,808	0	0	72,808
01/01/2025 – 31/08/2025	137,840	0	0	137,840
Total (13/08/2024 – 31/08/2025)	210,648	0	0	210,648

Therefore, during this current monitoring period (13/08/2024 - 31/08/2025), 210,648 GS VERs are calculated to be certified.

Team Leader Signature

Ms. Öykü YAKUPOĞLU



Independent Technical Reviewer Signature

Mr. Sanjay PATANKAR

Mr. Samuel ONSONGO



APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL

Table 1. Verification requirements based on GS4GG Principles and Requirements (v2.1), GS4GG Validation and Verification Standard (v2.0) and GS4GG Safeguarding Principles and Requirements (v2.1) and Requirements of GS4GG-MR-FORM (v.1.1)

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
Part I Cover Page					
(a) Is the GS ID of Project provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “GS655”.	OK	OK
(b) Is the title of the Project provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “Soma Wind Power Plant”.	OK	OK
(c) Is the version number of the PDD applicable to this monitoring report provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please correct the version of the applied PDD on the cover page.	CAR-1	OK
(d) Is the version number of the monitoring period provided?	GS4G G-MR-		This is available as “00”.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1				
(e) Is the completion date of the monitoring report provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “26/08/2025” for the first submission.	OK	OK
(f) Is the date of the Project Design Certification provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “23/01/2012 (CP1-First Crediting Period), 12/07/2022 for Design Renewal Certification and 08/02/2024 for CPIII”.	OK	OK
(g) Is the date of the last Annual Report provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		As per the GS Impact Registry, the last annual date is 16/12/2024. Therefore, please revise this date on the cover page.	CAR-2	OK
(h) Is the Monitoring Period number provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please include the total Monitoring Period number as well in the “Monitoring period number” row of the cover page (i.e. 5 th in total).	CL-1	OK
(i) Is the duration of the Monitoring Period provided?	GS4G G-MR-		From 13/08/2024 to 31/08/2025	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1				
(j) Are the Project Representatives provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		As per the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines, the Project Owner is “Bilgin Rüzgar Santrali Enerji Üretimi A.Ş.”. Please explain this situation as a footnote in the report.	CL-2	OK
(k) Is the Host Country provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “Turkey”.	OK	OK
(l) Are Activity Requirements applied indicated correctly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is available as “Renewable Energy Activities”.	OK	OK
(m) Are the applied methodology and its version chosen correctly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The applied methodology is “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources --- Version 21.0”	OK	OK
(n) Are Product Requirements applied indicated correctly?	GS4G G-MR-		This is available as “GHG Emissions Reduction & Sequestration”.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1				
(o) Are Sustainable Development Contributions Achieved provided in Table-1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) In the ER Excel sheet, the “Avoidance of wastewater” amount for the current monitoring period is stated as 6,717.45 x 1000 m³. However, in Table 1 on the cover page of the MR, the value is indicated as 6,717.72 x1000 m³. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>b) Please remove future tense sentences from the MR since this process is a verification (i.e. One should always proceed based on events that have already occurred.)</p>	CAR-3	OK
(p) Are the Product Vintages provided in Table-2?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The vintage values are included in Table 2 on the cover page.	OK	OK
Part II MR					
A. Description of Project					
A.1 General Description of Project					

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
A.1.1 Is a brief description of the project activity provided, including a summary of the scope of activities/ measures that are to be implemented within the project activity?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) As per the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines, the Project Owner is “Bilgin Rüzgar Santrali Enerji Üretimi A.Ş.”. However, in Section A.1, the PO is stated as “Bilgin Güc Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.S.”. Please explain this situation as a footnote in Section A.1.</p> <p>b) Since emission reductions of 36 MWe are calculated while there are currently 46 MW, please specify the calculation approach in Section A.1 (and in Section B.1.).</p> <p>c) Please include the achieved electricity generation and emission reduction of the current monitoring period in Section A.1 with indicating the start and end dates of the period.</p>	CAR-4	OK
A.1.2 Does it explain how the project activity reduces GHG emissions or increase GHG removals?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		It is explained how the project reduces GHG emissions in Section A.1.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
A.1.3 Is the estimated/achieved of annual average and total GHG emission reductions for the chosen crediting period provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-4.	CAR-4	OK
A.1.4 Is the purpose of the Project Activity provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The purpose of the project is provided in Section A.1.	OK	OK
A.1.5 Is the installed technology of the Project Activity briefly explained?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The installed technology is briefly explained in Section A.1.	OK	OK
A.1.6 Are the milestones of the Project Activity included?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The milestones are included.	OK	OK
A.1.7 If the proposed GS project activity involves the alteration of an existing installation or process, does the project description state the differences resulting from the project activity compared to the pre-project situation?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
A.2 Location of project					
A.2.1 Is the host party(ies) indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Turkey	OK	OK
A.2.2 Is region/state/province etc. indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please include the province and the district of the project in Section A.2.	CAR-5	OK
A.2.3 Is City/Town/Community etc. indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-5.	CAR-5	OK
A.2.4 Are the details of physical location of the project activity provided?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The coordinates of the turbines are included in Section A.2.	OK	OK
A.3 Reference of applied methodology					
A.3.1 Are a complete reference of the methodology and applied tools including the version numbers and titles provided in Section A.3	GS4G G-MR-		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Please correct the version of Tool 01 in Section A.3. b) Please correct the reference link 	CAR-6	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1		in footnote 4 in Section A.3.		
A.4 Crediting period of project					
A.4.1 Are crediting period start and end dates, and the duration of the crediting period provided correctly in Section A.4?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) Please correct the statement “This is the 2nd crediting period start on 13/08/2017...” in Section A.4.</p> <p>b) Please correct the statement “Therefore, the 2nd crediting period is from 04/07/2022 to 12/08/2024 (Both dates are included)” in Section A.4.</p>	CAR-7	OK
B. Implementation of project					
B.1 Description of implemented project					
B.1.1 Are the installed technology, equipment, diagrams, where appropriate, provided in Section B.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The installed technology is included in Section B.1.	OK	OK
B.1.2 Are the milestones of the project activity provided in Section B.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The milestones of the project activity are included in Section A.1.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
B.1.3 Do the actual project and its implementation comply with the registered PDD?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The actual project and its implementation comply with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
B.1.4 Is the lifetime of the project activity included in Section B.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please include the lifetime of the project activity in Section B.1.	CL-3	OK
B.1.5 Are there any remaining FARs from the validation and/or previous verification activities? If yes, are they included in Section B.1.1 and are their current status indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) Please be sure that the FARs included in Section B.1.1 are related to the 3rd CP.</p> <p>b) Please also provide the Design Renewal Review for the 3rd CP.</p>	CL-4	OK
B.2 Post-Design Certification changes					
B.2.1 Is it indicated whether any temporary deviations have been applied during this monitoring period?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) Please include the title “B.2 Post-Design Certification changes” to the MR.</p> <p>b) Since the correction related to the site visit has been made during the verification process of the 2nd CP, please remove it from Section B.2.2.</p>	CAR-8	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
B.2.2 Has it been indicated whether there are any corrections related to project information or parameters fixed at the registration?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-8.	CAR-8	OK
B.2.3 Is it indicated whether any changes to the start date of the crediting period?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
B.2.4 Is it indicated whether any permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan or applied methodologies?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
B.2.5 Are there proposed or actual changes to the project design of the approved project?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
C. Description of monitoring system applied by the project					
C.1 Is a description of the monitoring plan provided in Section C and does it comply with the registered PDD?	GS4G G-MR-		a) Please correct the statement “Four calibrated meters back-up each other.” in Section C. It is not a right approach.	CAR-9	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
	FORM v1.1		b) Please provide the meter test dated 28/05/2025. Also, meter tests are done in every 2 years. Therefore, please indicate the relevant test date for year 2023 and provide the test document as well.		
C.2 Are the information flow including data aggregation, data recording, data calculation, and data reporting provided in Section C?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The information flow including data aggregation, data recording, data calculation, and data reporting are provided in Section C.	OK	OK
C.3 Are the organizational structure and the roles and responsibilities of the employees provided in Section C?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The organizational structure and roles and responsibilities are included in Section C.	OK	OK
C.4 Are the QA/QC procedures included in Section C?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		QA/QC procedures are included in Section C.	OK	OK
C.5 Are all parameters indicated in the monitoring plan sufficiently monitored?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All monitoring parameters are monitored sufficiently.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
C.6 Are monitored data properly recorded and stored?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		The monitored data are properly recorded and stored.	OK	OK
D. Data and Parameters					
D.1 Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period					
D.1.1 Are all the data that is determined only once for the crediting period but are used after registration of the project, listed in Section D.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All data that is determined only once for the crediting period but are used after registration of the project are listed in Section D.1.	OK	OK
D.1.2 Does the listed data include all the parameters used to calculate baseline, project and leakage emissions as well as other relevant parameters required by the approved methodology and the monitoring plan?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.3 Is each SDG Indicator given in Section D.1 for the ex-ante parameters in accordance with the approved PDD?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.1.4 For each data is the name of data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.5 For each data is the unit of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.6 For each data is the description of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.7 For each data is the source of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.8 For each data are the values applied of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.1.9 For each data is it indicated what measurement methods and procedures have been used?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.1.10 For each data is it indicated what the data/parameters are used for (baseline/project/leakage emission calculations)?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		This is in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.2 Data and parameters monitored					
D.2.1 Are all the data that are monitored listed under section D.2 of the MR?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) In the “Source of Data” row of the “EG_{PJ,y}”, it is stated that “Monthly electricity meter readings”. However, these readings are indicated as the cross-checked method in Section C. This information shall be revised in Section D.2 and also, since this is a correction as per the registered PDD, this information shall be included in Section B.2.2 of the MR.</p> <p>b) Latest test protocol date is not “19/09/2021” for this</p>	CAR- 10	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
			<p>monitoring period. Please correct the date in Section D.2.</p> <p>c) Details of trainings (i.e. topics and dates) which were conducted during the current monitoring period shall be included in the “Value(s) applied” row of the “Quality of employment” monitoring parameter in Section D.2. Also, the dates indicated in this row are not related to the current monitoring period.</p> <p>d) Please correct the “Value(s) applied” of the “Water Quality and Quantity” in Section D.2.</p>		
D.2.2 For each data is the name of data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All monitoring parameters are included in Section D.2.	OK	OK
D.2.3 For each data is the unit of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All units are in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.2.4 For each data is the description of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All descriptions are in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.2.5 For each data is the source of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-10.	CAR- 10	OK
D.2.6 For each data are the values applied of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-10.	CAR- 10	OK
D.2.7 For each data are the QA/QC procedures applied of the data/parameters given in accordance with the approved PDD and the applied approved methodology?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All QA/QC procedures are in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.2.8 For each data has it been indicated what types of equipment are used to monitor each parameter?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-10.	CAR- 10	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.2.9 For each data is the measurement and recording frequency indicated?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All measurement and recording frequencies are in line with the registered PDD.	OK	OK
D.2.10 Is the calibration frequency for measuring equipment specified in the monitoring methodology or in the monitoring plan?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		10 years	OK	OK
D.2.11 Does the listed data include all parameters used to calculate baseline, project and leakage emissions as well as other relevant parameters required by the approved methodology and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline and the monitoring plan?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		All monitoring parameters are included in Section D.2.	OK	OK
D.3 Comparison of monitored parameters with last monitoring period					
D.3.1 In case of community service activities, are the monitored parameters stated and compared with the previous monitoring period?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
D.4 Implementation of sampling plan					

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
D.4.1 If data and parameters monitored described in section D.2 of the MR are determined by a sampling approach, is the description on how PPs implemented the sampling for those data and parameters according to the sampling plan provided in Section D.4?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		N/A	OK	OK
E. Calculation of SDG Impacts					
E.1 Calculation of baseline value or estimation of baseline situation of each SDG Impact					
E.1.1 Are all necessary equations used to calculate the baseline emissions provided in Section E.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) Baseline_{wastewater} is indicated as 0 in Section E.1. However, “Net Amount of Avoided Wastewater Discharge by Project Activity” is monitored. Therefore, in fact, the avoided wastewater amount is actually baseline wastewater amount. Therefore, please correct the value in Section E.1 of the MR.</p> <p>b) In the ER Excel sheet, the “Avoidance of wastewater” amount for the current monitoring period is stated as 6,717.45 x 1000 m³. However, in Table 1 on the cover page of the MR, the value is indicated as 6,717.72 x1000 m³. Please</p>	CAR- 11	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
			correct the contradiction (Same is available in Sections E.4 and E.6 as well.)		
E.1.2 Are sample calculations for the equations provided in Section E.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-11.	CAR- 11	OK
E.1.3 Are all necessary electronic spreadsheets provided properly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-11.	CAR- 11	OK
E.2 Calculation of project value or estimation of project situation of each SDG Impact					
E.2.1 Are all necessary equations used to calculate the project emissions provided in Section E.2?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Project emissions are taken into consideration as 0 according to the applied methodology.	OK	OK
E.2.2 Are sample calculations for the equations provided in Section E.2?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Project emissions are taken into consideration as 0 according to the applied methodology.	OK	OK

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
E.2.3 Are all necessary electronic spreadsheets provided properly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		ER Calculation Excel sheet is provided.	OK	OK
E.3 Calculation of leakage					
E.3.1 Are all necessary equations used to calculate the leakage emissions provided in Section E.3?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Leakage emissions are taken into consideration as 0 according to the applied methodology.	OK	OK
E.3.2 Are sample calculations for the equations provided in Section E.3?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Leakage emissions are taken into consideration as 0 according to the applied methodology.	OK	OK
E.3.3 Are all necessary electronic spreadsheets provided properly?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		ER Calculation Excel sheet is provided.	OK	OK
E.4 Calculation of net benefits or direct calculation for each SDG Impact					

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
E.4.1 Are all SDG indicators, SDG impacts, baseline estimates, project estimates and net benefits provided correctly in Section E.4?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) Please include avoided CO, NMVOC and NO_x emissions in Section E.4.</p> <p>b) It is indicated that “Trainings at least once in two years.” in Sections E.4 and E.5. However, in Section E.2, it is indicated as three years. Please correct the contradiction.</p>	CAR- 12	OK
E.5 Comparison of actual SDG Impacts with estimates in approved PDD					
E.5.1 Is a comparison of actual values of the SDG impact of the project achieved during the monitoring period with the estimations in the approved PDD given in Section E.5?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please indicate the estimated emission reduction value as an integer value in Section E.5. This is also available in Section E.5.1. All CO ₂ emission reduction values shall be indicated as integer values throughout the MR.	CAR- 13	OK
E.5.2 Is an explanation of calculation of value estimated ex ante calculation of approved PDD for this monitoring period provided in Section E.5.1?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please refer to CAR-13.	CAR- 13	OK
E.6 Remarks on increase in achieved SDG Impacts from estimated value in approved PDD					

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
E.6.1 Is an explanation of the cause of any increase in the actual values achieved during the current monitoring period, including all information that is different from that stated in the approved PDD, provided in Section E.6?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) The calculation for the percentage differences is applied wrongly in Cells “K12, K13 and K14” in the “Monitoring Plan” Excel sheet. Please correct the calculation.</p> <p>b) Please correct the achieved electricity generation value of the current monitoring period in Section E.6.</p>	CAR- 14	OK
F. Safeguards Reporting					
F.1.1 Is the report on the safeguarding principles that were available the in monitoring plan provided including the following?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		<p>a) Please provide the waste water disposal records for the current monitoring period.</p> <p>b) Please provide payment documents and photos of the afforestation studies for the current monitoring period.</p>	CAR- 15	OK
G. Stakeholder Inputs and Legal Disputes					
G.1 List all Inputs and Grievances which have been received via the Continuous Input and Grievance Mechanism together with their respective responses/mitigations					

CHECKLIST QUESTION	Ref.	§	COMMENTS	Draft Concl	Final Concl
G.1.1 Is the list of all inputs/grievances which have been received for the project during the monitoring period together with their respective answers/actions provided in section G.1 of the MR?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Please include the date of the stakeholder consultation in Section G.1.	CAR- 16	OK
G.2 Report on any stakeholder mitigations that were agreed to be monitored					
G.2.1 If there any remaining inputs/grievances from previous monitoring period where follow up action/mitigation measure is to be verified in this monitoring period from the validation and/or previous verification activities, are the PPs addressed these in section G.2 of the MR?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Section G.2 is completed.	OK	OK
G.3 Provide details of any legal contest that has arisen with the project during the monitoring period					
G.3.1 Is there any legal contest or dispute that has arisen with the project during the monitoring period and are such details and resolution provided in section G.3 of the MR?	GS4G G-MR- FORM v1.1		Section G.3 is completed.	OK	OK

Table 2 – Resolution of Corrective Action Requests (CARs), Clarification Requests (CLs) and Forward Action Requests (FARs)

Number of CARs, CLs and FARs	Description of CARs, CLs and FARs	Relevant Sections of the Protocol	Response-1 of the Project Developer	Review-1 of the Lead Verifier	Response-2 of the Project Developer	Final Review of the Lead Verifier
CAR-1	Please correct the version of the applied PDD on the cover page.	(c)	The version of the applied PDD on the cover page has been corrected.	Ok Closed (The version of the applied PDD was corrected on the cover page.)		
CAR-2	As per the GS Impact Registry, the last annual date is 16/12/2024. Therefore, please revise this date on the cover page.	(g)	The last annual date has been revised as 16/12/2024 on the cover page.	Ok Closed (The date of the last annual report was corrected on the cover page.)		
CAR-3	a) In the ER Excel sheet, the “Avoidance of wastewater” amount for the current	(o)	a) The inconsistency regarding the avoided wastewater values has been	a) Ok Closed (The values are now consistent		

	<p>monitoring period is stated as 6,717.45 x 1000 m³. However, in Table 1 on the cover page of the MR, the value is indicated as 6,717.72 x1000 m³. Please correct the contradiction.</p> <p>b) Please remove future tense sentences from the MR since this process is a verification (i.e. One should always proceed based on events that have already occurred.)</p>		<p>corrected; the same figure is used consistently in the ER Excel sheet and the MR.</p> <p>b) All future tense sentences have been removed. The MR is now written entirely in past tense.</p>	<p>across the documents.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (Future tense sentences were removed from the MR.)</p>		
CAR-4	<p>a) As per the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines, the Project Owner is “Bilgin Rüzgar</p>	A.1.1	<p>a) A footnote has been added in Section A.1 explaining that the Project Owner was “Bilgin Rüzgar</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The explanation was included in Section A.1.)</p>		

	<p>Santrali Enerji Üretimi A.Ş.”. However, in Section A.1, the PO is stated as “Bilgin Güç Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.S.”. Please explain this situation as a footnote in Section A.1.</p> <p>b) Since emission reductions of 36 MWe are calculated while there are currently 46 MW, please specify the calculation approach in Section A.1 (and in Section B.1.).</p> <p>c) Please include the achieved electricity generation and emission reduction of the current</p>		<p>Santrali Enerji Üretimi A.Ş.” according to commissioning certificates, later merged into “Bilgin Güç Santralleri Enerji Üretim A.Ş.”. The Official Gazette evidencing this has been shared with the VVB.</p> <p>b) The calculation approach has been clarified in Section A.1 and B.1, stating that emission reductions are calculated only for the registered 36 turbines (90 MW) and that the 10 additional turbines (30 MW) are excluded using</p>	<p>b) Ok Closed (The calculation approach was included in Section A.1.)</p> <p>c) Ok Closed (The achieved values were included in Section A.1.)</p>		
--	--	--	--	---	--	--

	monitoring period in Section A.1 with indicating the start and end dates of the period.		SCADA records. c) The achieved electricity generation (331,993.14 MWh) and emission reduction (210,648 tCO₂e) of the current monitoring period (13/08/2024–31/08/2025) have been included in Section A.1.			
CAR-5	Please include the province and the district of the project in Section A.2.	A.2.2	Section A.2 now states the province/district: Soma and Kırkağaç, Manisa Province, Turkey.	Ok Closed (The location was included in Section A.2.)		
CAR-6	a) Please correct the version of Tool 01 in Section A.3. b) Please correct the reference link in	A.3.1	a) Tool 01 version corrected per methodology reference: Version 07.0.0	a) Ok Closed (The version of Tool 01 was corrected in Section A.3.)		

	footnote 4 in Section A.3.		b) Footnote link in Section A.3 has been corrected .	b) Ok Closed (The reference link was corrected in Section A.3.)		
CAR-7	<p>a) Please correct the statement “This is the 2nd crediting period start on 13/08/2017...” in Section A.4.</p> <p>b) Please correct the statement “Therefore, the 2nd crediting period is from 04/07/2022 to 12/08/2024 (Both dates are included)” in Section A.4.</p>	A.4.1	<p>a) Wording in Section A.4 has been corrected to reflect the 3rd Crediting Period start: 13/08/2024.</p> <p>b) The 3rd CP duration is 13/08/2024–12/08/2031 (both included); the 1st MP of 3rd CP is 13/08/2024–31/08/2025.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The relevant statement was corrected in Section A.4.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (The relevant statement was corrected in Section A.4.)</p>		
CAR-8	a) Please include the title “B.2 Post-Design Certification changes” to the MR.	B.2.1	a) The title “ B.2 Post-Design Certification changes ” has been added .	a) Ok Closed (The title was included in Section B.2.)		

	<p>b) Since the correction related to the site visit has been made during the verification process of the 2nd CP, please remove it from Section B.2.2.</p>		<p>b) The site-visit correction from the 2nd CP verification has been removed from Section B.2.2; B.2.2 now records only the EPIAŞ/SCADA data-source correction and the annual HSE training requirement.</p>	<p>b) Ok Closed (Section B.2.2 was revised accordingly.)</p>		
CAR-9	<p>a) Please correct the statement “Four calibrated meters back-up each other.” in Section C. It is not a right approach.</p> <p>b) Please provide the meter test dated 28/05/2025. Also, meter tests are done in every 2 years. Therefore, please indicate the relevant</p>	C.1	<p>a) The sentence has been corrected to: “There are four calibrated meters; two are main and two are backup.”</p> <p>b) The meter test dated 28/05/2025 is shown for both Soma I and Soma II; 2023 test dates are also indicated in the meter table.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The statement was corrected in Section C.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (Meter test documents were provided.)</p>		

	test date for year 2023 and provide the test document as well.		Evidence shared with VVB.			
CAR-10	<p>a) In the “Source of Data” row of the “EGPJ,y”, it is stated that “Monthly electricity meter readings”. However, these readings are indicated as the cross-checked method in Section C. This information shall be revised in Section D.2 and also, since this is a correction as per the registered PDD, this information shall be included in Section B.2.2 of the MR.</p> <p>b) Latest test protocol date is not “19/09/2021” for this</p>	D.2.1	<p>a) Source of Data revised in D.2: EPIAŞ is the primary source; SCADA/on-site meter readings are used for cross-check. This correction is also recorded in Section B.2.2 as a post-design correction in line with the registered PDD.</p> <p>b) The latest test protocol date has been corrected (28/05/2025).</p> <p>c) Training details (years and participant counts) have been</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The source was corrected in Section D.2.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (The latest test date was corrected in Section D.2.)</p> <p>c) Ok Closed (Details of the trainings were included in Section D.2.)</p> <p>d) Ok Closed (The relevant value was corrected in Section D.2.)</p>		

	<p>monitoring period. Please correct the date in Section D.2.</p> <p>c) Details of trainings (i.e. topics and dates) which were conducted during the current monitoring period shall be included in the “Value(s) applied” row of the “Quality of employment” monitoring parameter in Section D.2. Also, the dates indicated in this row are not related to the current monitoring period.</p> <p>d) Please correct the “Value(s) applied” of the “Water Quality and Quantity” in Section D.2.</p>		<p>entered in D.2; plant-wide HSE trainings are evidenced in the training records list.</p> <p>d) The “Water Quality and Quantity” parameter in D.2 has been corrected per the applied calculation and aligned with Section E.</p>			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

<p>CAR-11</p>	<p>a) Baseline wastewater is indicated as 0 in Section E.1. However, “Net Amount of Avoided Wastewater Discharge by Project Activity” is monitored. Therefore, in fact, the avoided wastewater amount is actually baseline wastewater amount. Therefore, please correct the value in Section E.1 of the MR.</p> <p>b) In the ER Excel sheet, the “Avoidance of wastewater” amount for the current monitoring period is stated as 6,717.45 x 1000 m³. However, in</p>	<p>E.1.1</p>	<p>a) Section E.1 corrected: avoided wastewater equals baseline wastewater (value aligned).</p> <p>b) The 8,580.52 × 1000 m³ figure is now used consistently across the cover page, E.4 and E.6.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The baseline value was included in Section E.1.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (The amount of avoidance of wastewater is now in line across the documents.)</p>		
---------------	---	--------------	---	--	--	--

	<p>Table 1 on the cover page of the MR, the value is indicated as 6,717.72 x1000 m³. Please correct the contradiction (Same is available in Sections E.4 and E.6 as well.)</p>					
CAR-12	<p>a) Please include avoided CO, NMVOC and NO_x emissions in Section E.4.</p> <p>b) It is indicated that “Trainings at least once in two years.” in Sections E.4 and E.5. However, in Section E.2, it is indicated as three years. Please correct the contradiction.</p>	E.4.1	<p>a) Avoided CO, NMVOC, NO_x are explicitly included in Section E.4 (with values).</p> <p>b) The training frequency contradiction has been resolved; MR content is aligned and supported by B.2.2 (annual HSE requirement) and D.2 records.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The avoided emissions were included in Section E.4.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (The frequency of trainings was corrected in the MR.)</p>		

CAR-13	Please indicate the estimated emission reduction value as an integer value in Section E.5. This is also available in Section E.5.1. All CO ₂ emission reduction values shall be indicated as integer values throughout the MR.	E.5.1	All CO ₂ ER values appear as integers (e.g., 210,648 tCO₂).	Ok Closed (Notations of the emission reductions were corrected in Sections E.5 and E.5.1.)		
CAR-14	<p>a) The calculation for the percentage differences is applied wrongly in Cells “K12, K13 and K14” in the “Monitoring Plan” Excel sheet. Please correct the calculation.</p> <p>b) Please correct the achieved electricity generation value of</p>	E.6.1	<p>a) Percentage-difference formulas in the Monitoring Plan workbook have been corrected.</p> <p>b) Section E.6 reflects the achieved generation of 331,993.14 MWh for this MP.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (The calculation was corrected in the ER Excel sheet.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (The achieved electricity generation value was corrected in Section E.6.)</p>		

	the current monitoring period in Section E.6.					
CAR-15	<p>a) Please provide the waste water disposal records for the current monitoring period.</p> <p>b) Please provide payment documents and photos of the afforestation studies for the current monitoring period.</p>	F.1.1	<p>a) Wastewater disposal records for the current MP have been provided (Safeguards section references invoices).</p> <p>b) Afforestation payment documents and photos for the current MP have been provided/examined.</p>	<p>a) Ok Closed (Waste water disposal records were provided.)</p> <p>b) Ok Closed (Payment documents were provided.)</p>		
CAR-16	Please include the date of the stakeholder consultation in Section G.1.	G.1.1	The stakeholder consultation date has been included in Section G.1.	Ok Closed (The stakeholder consultation date was included in Section G.1.)		
CL-1	Please include the total Monitoring	(h)	The cover page now includes the total	Ok Closed (The total number of		

	Period number as well in the “Monitoring period number” row of the cover page (i.e. 5 th in total).		MP number: “5th Monitoring Period – 3rd CP 1st MP.”	the MP was included on the cover page.)		
CL-2	As per the commissioning certificates of the wind turbines, the Project Owner is “Bilgin Rüzgar Santrali Enerji Üretimi A.Ş.”. Please explain this situation as a footnote in the report.	(j)	A footnote explains the Project Owner naming in commissioning certificates and the current legal entity; Official Gazette evidence has been shared with the VVB.	Ok Closed (The explanation was included in Section A.1.)		
CL-3	Please include the lifetime of the project activity in Section B.1.	B.1.4	Project lifetime has been included in Section B.1 as 25 years .	Ok Closed (The lifetime was provided in Section B.1.)		
CL-4	a) Please be sure that the FARs included in Section B.1.1 are related to the 3 rd CP.	B.1.5	a) FARs under Section B.1.1 have been checked to	a) Ok Closed (Section B.1.1 was revised accordingly.)		

	<p>b) Please also provide the Design Renewal Review for the 3rd CP.</p>		<p>relate to the 3rd CP (N/A for this MR). b) The Design Renewal Review for the 3rd CP has been referenced (cover info lists CPIII details).</p>	<p>b) Ok Closed (The Design Renewal Review was provided.)</p>		
--	--	--	--	---	--	--