



**Verified Carbon  
Standard**

# GLOBAL COOKSTOVE PROGRAM (EKI PHASE 11)



EKI Energy Services Limited

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| <b>Project Title</b> | Global Cookstove Program (EKI Phase 11)  |
| <b>Version</b>       | 01   |
| <b>Date of Issue</b> | 31-May-2022  |
| <b>Prepared By</b>   | EKI Energy Services Ltd.   |
| <b>Contact</b>       | Address: Office no. 201, Plot 48, Scheme 78 part 2<br>Vijay Nagar, Near Brilliant Convention Centre<br>Indore - 452010 (M.P, India) Email ID : ramkrishna.patil@enkingint.org<br>Website <a href="http://www.enkingint.org">www.enkingint.org</a><br>Email ID <a href="mailto:registry@enkingint.org">registry@enkingint.org</a> |

# CONTENTS

---

- 1 PROJECT DETAILS..... 4**
  - 1.1 Summary Description of the Project ..... 4
  - 1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type ..... 4
  - 1.3 Project Eligibility ..... 4
  - 1.4 Project Design ..... 5
  - 1.5 Project Proponent ..... 8
  - 1.6 Other Entities Involved in the Project ..... 8
  - 1.7 Ownership..... 9
  - 1.8 Project Start Date ..... 9
  - 1.9 Project Crediting Period ..... 9
  - 1.10 Project Scale and Estimated GHG Emission Reductions or Removals ..... 9
  - 1.11 Description of the Project Activity ..... 10
  - 1.12 Project Location ..... 12
  - 1.13 Conditions Prior to Project Initiation ..... 13
  - 1.14 Compliance with Laws, Statutes and Other Regulatory Frameworks ..... 14
  - 1.15 Participation under Other GHG Programs ..... 14
  - 1.16 Other Forms of Credit..... 14
  - 1.17 Sustainable Development Contributions ..... 14
  - 1.18 Additional Information Relevant to the Project ..... 17
  
- 2 SAFEGUARDS ..... 17**
  - 2.1 No Net Harm ..... 17
  - 2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation ..... 17
  - 2.3 Environmental Impact ..... 18
  - 2.4 Public Comments ..... 18
  - 2.5 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards ..... 18
  
- 3 APPLICATION OF METHODOLOGY..... 18**
  - 3.1 Title and Reference of Methodology ..... 18
  - 3.2 Applicability of Methodology ..... 19
  - 3.3 Project Boundary ..... 22
  - 3.4 Baseline Scenario ..... 23

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 3.5      | Additionality .....  | 24        |
| 3.6      | Methodology Deviations .....                               | 24        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>ESTIMATED GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS.....</b> | <b>25</b> |
| 4.1      | Baseline Emissions .....                                   | 25        |
| 4.2      | Project Emissions .....                                    | 25        |
| 4.3      | Leakage.....   | 25        |
| 4.4      | Estimated Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals.....    | 25        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>MONITORING .....</b>                                    | <b>31</b> |
| 5.1      | Data and Parameters Available at Validation .....          | 31        |
| 5.2      | Data and Parameters Monitored.....                         | 37        |
| 5.3      | Monitoring Plan.....                                       | 41        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>ACHIEVED GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS .....</b> | <b>49</b> |
| 6.1      | Data and Parameters Monitored.....                         | 49        |
| 6.2      | Baseline Emissions .....                                   | 50        |
| 6.3      | Project Emissions .....                                    | 50        |
| 6.4      | Leakage.....   | 50        |
| 6.5      | Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals.....              | 51        |
|          | <b>APPENDIX X: &lt;TITLE OF APPENDIX&gt; .....</b>         | <b>54</b> |

# 1 PROJECT DETAILS

## 1.1 Summary Description of the Project

The main purpose of project is distribution of fuel-efficient improved cook stoves (ICS) in India initially and will expand the same for other Asian and African countries. The ICS disseminated through this project will replace the old low efficient baseline cook stoves.

Through this project, the distribution and installation of ICS will be undertaken for households and communities/non-household. It is intended that under this project high thermal efficient cook stoves will be distributed. The ICS will burn wood more efficiently thereby improving thermal transfer to pots, hence saving fuel and greenhouse gases. Not only will this halt the rapidly progressing deforestation but will also reduce health hazards from indoor smoke pollution and women and children will have to spend less time collecting firewood.

Most often, as the target population cannot afford these stoves, project promoters have to heavily subsidize it or give it off free of cost. The main intention of PP is to distribute the ICS to end users with free of cost. The end user will be informed in advance that the use of ICS generates carbon finance which in turn is used to cover the price of ICS and for recovering project implementation costs.

The project location is entire India initially and will expand the same for other Asian and African countries.

The project location for Initial Project Activity Instances is in India and details are provided in Section 1.12 of this document. The project location details, KML files for other Asian and African countries will be provided in VCS MR at the time of project activity instance inclusion during verification of project activity.

The ICS will substitute the currently common cooking on open fire. The ICS burns wood more efficiently thereby improving thermal transfer to pots, hence saving fuel and lowering greenhouse gas emissions.

The baseline scenario is the continued use of non-renewable wood fuel by the target population to meet similar thermal energy needs as provided by project cookstoves in absence of project activity.

Currently one project activity instance is planned to be included with distribution of 10,000 ICS. The ICS number will vary during actual implementation and same will update during registration and verification of project activity. The average annual GHG emission reduction from the first project activity instance is 50,552 tCO<sub>2</sub>e for 10,000 Cook Stoves.

## 1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type

The sectoral scope and type of project applicable are as below

Sectoral scope: 03 - Energy demand

Type: II – Energy efficiency improvement projects

The project is a grouped project

### 1.3 Project Eligibility

The project involves energy efficient cookstove distribution which falls under the category of efficiency improvements in thermal applications, therefore it is eligible under the scope of VCS Program. As per VCS Standard Version 4.1, efficiency improvements in thermal applications (e.g., cook stoves) are not excluded, hence project is eligible under VCS.

### 1.4 Project Design

The project is a grouped project

#### Eligibility Criteria

For the inclusion of new project activity instances:

| No. | Criterion   | How the new project activity instances to comply  |
|-----|---|---|
| 1   | Meet the applicability conditions set out in the methodology applied to the project   | New project activity instances (Energy Efficient Cook Stoves) will meet the applicability conditions set out in Section 3.2 where the target of the end-user is household and the ICS deployed is at least 25% of thermal efficiency. |
| 2   | Use the technologies or measures specified in the project description.  | The technology used for project activity is energy efficient cook stoves. Only energy efficient cook stoves to be adopted in the project by replacing traditional cook stoves in household.   |
| 3   | Apply the technologies or measures in the same manner as specified in the project description.  | Only energy efficient cook stoves to be adopted in the project by replacing traditional cook stoves in household.   |
| 4   | Are subject to the baseline scenario determined in the project description for the specified project activity and geographic area.                        | The new project activity instances will be installed within India initially and will expand the same for other countries and subject to the same baseline scenario determined in Section 3.4.   |
| 5   | Have characteristics with respect to additionality that are consistent with the initial instances for the specified project activity and geographic area. | All new project activity instances will use the activity method for demonstration of additionality.<br><br>Step 1: Regulatory Surplus   |

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
|   |   | <p>There is no mandated government programme or policy in host country of this project ensuring the distribution of new energy efficient cook stoves for each project activity instances.</p> <p>Step 2: Positive List<br/>The inclusion of new project activity instances will comply with positive list as it satisfies criterion 1 where it meets all the applicability conditions of the methodology.</p> |
| 6 | <p>Where a capacity limit applies to a project activity included in the project, no project activity instance shall exceed such limit. Further, no single cluster of project activity instances shall exceed the capacity limit, determined as follows:</p> <p>Each project activity instance that exceeds one percent of the capacity limit shall be identified.</p> <p>Such instances shall be divided into clusters, whereby each cluster is comprised of any system of instances such that each instance is within one kilometer of at least one other instance in the cluster. Instances that are not within one kilometer of any other instance shall not be assigned to clusters.</p> <p>None of the clusters shall exceed the capacity limit and no further project activity instances shall be added to the project that would cause any of the clusters to exceed the capacity limit.</p> | <p>No project activity instance shall exceed the applicable limit, which is 180 GWhth/y. Thus no divide of project activity instance into clusters is required.</p> <p>There is no any capacity limit exceed for each project activity instances as each project activity instance meets applicable limit, which is 180 GWhth/y.</p>  |

Along with above points, the Inclusion of New Project Activity Instances follows below criteria Grouped projects provide for the inclusion of new project activity instances subsequent to the initial validation of the project.

| No. | Criterion   | How the new project activity instances to comply  |
|-----|---|---|
| 1   | Occur within one of the designated geographic areas | New project activity instances (Energy Efficient Cook Stoves) will be occurred in the designated geographic |

| No. | Criterion   | How the new project activity instances to comply  |
|-----|---|---|
|     | specified in the project description  | areas specified in the project description.   |
| 2   | Comply with at least one complete set of eligibility criteria for the inclusion of new project activity instances. Partial compliance with multiple sets of eligibility criteria is insufficient.   | New project activity instances will comply with complete set of eligibility criteria as mentioned above for the inclusion of new project activity instances.  |
| 3   | Be included in the monitoring report with sufficient technical, financial, geographic and other relevant information to demonstrate compliance with the applicable set of eligibility criteria and enable sampling by the validation/verification body.                             | New project activity instances information be included in the monitoring report with sufficient technical, financial, geographic and other relevant information to demonstrate compliance with the applicable set of eligibility criteria and enable sampling by the validation/verification body |
| 4   | Be validated at the time of verification against the applicable set of eligibility criteria   | New project activity instances should be included by validating eligibility criteria as mentioned in this document  |
| 5   | Have evidence of project ownership, in respect of each project activity instance, held by the project proponent from the respective start date of each project activity instance (i.e., the date upon which the project activity instance began reducing or removing GHG emissions) | New project activity instances ownership need to be evidenced from start date of respective project activity instance.  |
| 6   | Have a start date that is the same as or later than the grouped project start date  | New project activity instances start date should be after the start date of grouped project activity.   |
| 7   | Be eligible for crediting from the start date of the instance through to the end of the project crediting period (only). Note that where a new project activity instance  | New project activity instances is eligible to claim credits from crediting period start date to the end of the project crediting period.  |

| No. | Criterion   | How the new project activity instances to comply |
|-----|---|--|
|     | starts in a previous verification period, no credit may be sought for GHG emission reductions or removals generated during a previous verification period (as set out in Section 3.4.4 of VCS standard version 4.1) and new instances are eligible for crediting from the start of the next verification period |  |

## 1.5 Project Proponent

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| <b>Organization name</b> | EKI Energy Services Limited  |
| <b>Contact person</b>    | Manish Dabkara   |
| <b>Title</b>             | Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer                                      |
| <b>Address</b>           | Office No 201, Plot No 48, Scheme 78, Vijay Nagar Part- II, Indore 452010, India |
| <b>Telephone</b>         | +91 99075 34900  |
| <b>Email</b>             | manish@enkingint.org and registry@enkingint.org                                  |

## 1.6 Other Entities Involved in the Project

|                            |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| <b>Organization name</b>   | EKI Energy Services Limited  |
| <b>Role in the project</b> | Project Consultant   |
| <b>Contact person</b>      | Ramkrishna Patil , Director- Operations  |
| <b>Title</b>               | Office No 201, Plot No 48, Scheme 78, Vijay Nagar Part- II, Indore 452010, India |
| <b>Address</b>             | +91 9096562065   |
| <b>Telephone</b>           | EKI Energy Services Limited  |
| <b>Email</b>               | ramkrishna.patil@enkingint.org and registry@enkingint.org                        |

## 1.7 Ownership

The project ownership is with EKI Energy Services Limited.

The ICS are distributed to end uses (Housholds) at free of cost. The end user are informed in advance that the use of ICS generates carbon finance which in turn is used to cover the price of ICS and for recovering project implementation costs. The participating household will sign a declaration to transfer the ownership rights of the carbon assets generated from this project to the EKI Energy Services Ltd, Also undertaking is provided by EKI for projects ownership. The undertaking from manufacturer and distributor and end user agreement has been submitted to confirm that cook stove ownership is with EKI Energy Services Limited.

## 1.8 Project Start Date

01-December-2021 (The earliest date of commissioning date of a batch distributed for first project activity instance)

## 1.9 Project Crediting Period

First crediting period: 01- December-2021 to 30- November-2028, seven years renewable crediting period. Being renewable crediting period, total crediting period of grouped project activity is 21 years

## 1.10 Project Scale and Estimated GHG Emission Reductions or Removals

The estimated annual GHG emission reductions/removals of the project are:

- <20,000 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year
- 20,000 – 100,000 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year
- 100,001 – 1,000,000 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year
- >1,000,000 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year

| Project Scale |   |
|---------------|---|
| Project       | X |
| Large project |   |

| Year | Estimated GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) |
|------|--|
|      |  |

|  |                |
|--|----------------|
| Year 1                                 | 61,992         |
| Year 2                                 | 58,013         |
| Year 3                                 | 54,135         |
| Year 4                                 | 50,356         |
| Year 5                                 | 46,675         |
| Year 6                                 | 43,091         |
| Year 7                                 | 39,601         |
| <b>Total estimated ERs</b>             | <b>353,863</b> |
| <b>Total number of crediting years</b> | <b>7</b>       |
| <b>Average annual ERs</b>              | <b>50,552</b>  |

The above ER estimation is with consideration of 10,100 cook stoves and with 365 days per year with conservative 5% annual loss or non-operation of ICS assumption. Based on survey result, annual loss or non-operation of ICS will be considered for actual ER calculations. The average lifetime of cook stove is 7 years, but it may expect that cook stove will be in operation beyond 7 years also. Thus above ER estimation is determined considering the 7 years of operation.

### 1.11 Description of the Project Activity

The project involves distribution of fuel-efficient improved cook stoves (ICS) to replace the baseline traditional cook stoves in households.

The ICS to be deployed under this project is energy efficient which substantially reduces fuel consumption and emissions for conducting cooking and water heating tasks in homes. The ICS improve the efficiency of combustion and thermal transfer to the pot compared with a traditional pot support or three-stone fire by incorporating energy efficient technology which provides a conducive environment for clean and efficient combustion of wood. It substantially reduces wood fuel consumption compared with a less efficient or three-stone fire or traditional pot support.

The project activity cook stoves are with different manufacturers and specifications of cook stoves will be provided during validation/verification or during inclusion of project activity instances.

Technical Specifications of Cook Stoves for initial first project activity instance from Manufacturer Swami Samarth Electronics Pvt. Ltd:

| TECHNICAL DETAILS |                          |                                |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| A)                | Cook Stove Type/Category | AGNEEKAA ECO MINI STOVE MODEL4 |
|                   |                          | Natural Draft                  |
| B)                | Secondary Air Supply     | Through Natural Draft          |

|    |                            |                                       |                              |
|----|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| C) | Stove Material Used        | Body                                  | Galvanized Iron Sheet        |
|    |                            | Body Material Thickness               | 0.6mm                        |
|    |                            | Combustion Chamber                    | Stainless Steel SS 202 grade |
|    |                            | Combustion Chamber Material Thickness | 1 mm SS 202 grade            |
|    |                            | Insulating Material                   | Thermal Wool                 |
|    |                            | Insulating Material Thickness         | 6 to 8mm                     |
|    |                            | Top Plate                             | Stainless Steel SS 202 grade |
|    |                            | Top Plate Material Thickness          | 1 mm                         |
| D) | Physical Structure         | External Dimension                    | Length :- 260mm              |
|    |                            |                                       | Width :- 260mm               |
|    |                            |                                       | Height :- 248mm              |
|    |                            | Combustion Chamber Dimension          | Diameter :- 125mm            |
| E) | Grate Thickness            | 2 mm Material HR sheet                |                              |
| F) | Wight Of the Stove         | 3.8 Kg                                |                              |
| G) | Type of Fuel Wood          | Firewood 30 to 50 mm diameter         |                              |
| H) | Feeding Process            | Continuous Feeding Front Loading      |                              |
| I) | Expected life of stove     | 7 Years                               |                              |
| J) | Guarantee /Warranty Period | 1 Years                               |                              |
| K) | Box Dimension              | Outer Side Box Dimension              | Length :- 300mm              |
|    |                            |                                       | Width :- 300mm               |
|    |                            |                                       | Height :- 270mm              |
| L) | Thermal Efficiency         | 32.19%                                |                              |

The manufacturer may change for future project activity instances and same will be transparently reflect during verification. The details and specifications of respective cook stoves will be considered for ER calculation of project activity instance.

Type of ICS used is based on below thermal efficiency: Tier 2 Thermal Efficiency (%)  $\geq$  25%, Tier 3 Thermal Efficiency  $\geq$  35% and Tier 4 Thermal Efficiency  $\geq$  45%

Data collection of ICS end-user

Project proponent must gather the necessary information to identify households using its ICS during the course of the project. To facilitate this process, each ICS will be assigned a unique serial number. This number will be recorded during the registration process together with the following information (as appropriate and as available):

- Name of ICS user or head of the household
- Address/ Village name of ICS household
- Stove model Distributed
- Date of distribution/installation
- ICS serial number

- Retailer/distributor/Manufacturer information

The information collected will be stored in the electronic database excel sheet which will serve as project database for project monitoring and sampling purposes. The traditional cook stoves were operational and this was the scenario prior to the implementation of project.

## 1.12 Project Location

All the project activity instances in the proposed grouped project activity would be located within geographical boundaries of India initially and will expand the same for other Asian and African countries.

For initial project activity instance, geographical area is in Assam though it will expand in different states of India. Thus the KML file is submitted for India.

The geographical boundary for projects located in India is delineated in the form of extreme geographic coordinates of India as follows:

Latitude - 8° 4' to 37° 6' N

Longitude - 68° 7' to 97° 25' E

Please refer below web link for the range of co-ordinates

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geography\\_of\\_India](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geography_of_India)



### 1.13 Conditions Prior to Project Initiation

The purpose of the project is to reduce the demand for fossil fuels and biomass for household cooking needs. An estimated 50% to 60% of households in rural India still cook using traditional biomass stoves and open fires. The project aims to reduce the environmental and social burdens caused by inefficient biomass cooking by introducing energy efficient wood and charcoal stoves to low-income households. The baseline scenario is the same as the conditions existing prior to the project initiation i.e continue the use of traditional cook stoves.

<sup>1</sup> [https://www.business-standard.com/article/pti-stories/how-to-make-rural-india-switch-to-cleaner-cookstoves-119052100422\\_1.html](https://www.business-standard.com/article/pti-stories/how-to-make-rural-india-switch-to-cleaner-cookstoves-119052100422_1.html)

## 1.14 Compliance with Laws, Statutes and Other Regulatory Frameworks

There are no laws and regulations governing the use of improved cookstoves in India for households. The project is a voluntary effort by the project proponent. Though, the government of India promotes use of clean fuel e.g. LPG, however due to the initial capital cost and operating cost, the usage levels are low and most of the rural households still use traditional stoves for cooking. There is no specific concern made on improved cookstoves project from the above laws and regulations.

## 1.15 Participation under Other GHG Programs

### 1.15.1 Projects Registered (or seeking registration) under Other GHG Program(s)

The project has not been registered, nor is it seeking registration under any other GHG program

### 1.15.2 Projects Rejected by Other GHG Programs

The project has not been rejected by any other GHG program

## 1.16 Other Forms of Credit

### 1.16.1 Emissions Trading Programs and Other Binding Limits

The project is not included in an emissions trading program or any other mechanism that includes GHG allowance trading. The undertaking is submitted for same.

### 1.16.2 Other Forms of Environmental Credit

The project has not sought or received another form of GHG-related environmental credit. The undertaking is submitted for same.

## 1.17 Sustainable Development Contributions

### 1.17.1 Sustainable Development Contributions Activity Description

This section will complete during validation and verification of project activity.

The project contributes to social, environmental, economic and technological benefits which contribute to sustainable development of the local environment and the country as follows:

#### Social benefits

Reduces drudgery to women (due to reduced fuel wood use) who spend long hours and travel long distances to collect fuel wood.

Improves overall health of women and children by reducing smoke in the kitchen, thus reducing health hazards from indoor air pollution.

Better cooking time – the materials used in making the ICS transmit the heat effectively, cooking the food faster.

Better cooking environment due to less smoke and carbon residue in the kitchen.

Better quality of life – the rural communities get family time as the whole family can sit and eat together.

#### Environmental benefits

Improves the local environment by reducing rate of degradation of forests and deforestation in the project area.

Reduce indoor pollution – ICS emits less smoke and reduces morbidity from respiratory diseases and other health hazards, as well as the medical expenditure involved. A resource-poor household would need to spend limited available finances on medicines, further exacerbated by loss of wages from both not being able to work and having to look after the ill-person.

Reduce global and local environmental pollution and environmental degradation by reduction in use of non-renewable biomass thus leading to reduction in GHG emissions.

Less water and effort is needed for cleaning vessels as the cooking process is relatively smoke free.

#### Economic benefits

- Employment opportunities for local communities through the CDM activity.
- Reduces purchase of fuel wood and/or wage equivalent from reduced firewood collection time.

#### Technological benefits:

- Introduction of new technology to the rural communities

- .

### 1.17.2 Sustainable Development Contributions Activity Monitoring

This section will complete during validation and verification of project activity

**Table 1: Sustainable Development Contributions**

**This section will complete during validation and verification of project activity**

| Row number | SDG Target | SDG Indicator | Net Impact on SDG Indicator | Current Project Contributions | Contributions Over Project Lifetime |
|------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1)         |            |               |                             |                               |                                     |

## 1.18 Additional Information Relevant to the Project

### Leakage Management

Not applicable as the project adopts a net gross adjustment factor of 95% to account for leakage.

### Commercially Sensitive Information

No commercially sensitive information has been excluded from the public version of the project description

### Further Information

Not applicable

## 2 SAFEGUARDS

### 2.1 No Net Harm

The project will only bring positive impacts on environmental and socio-economic aspects as elaborated in Section 1.17. No potential negative environmental or socio-economic impacts have been identified for the project, thus this section is not applicable.

### 2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

The details of the Stakeholder Meetings will be included during registration of project activity.

**The brief process to be followed for local stakeholder consultation is as below.** The villages will be identified for baseline survey and details of few villagers, village representatives like village heads, sarpanch were taken survey. The public notice is to be placed as common places of villages and village heads will be invited telephonically to consult their feedback.

In the introductory speech, the representative of EKI Energy Services Limited (Project Investor), will welcome the gathering and will give a brief about the climate mitigation project activity. Subsequent to the introductory speech, stakeholders will be explained about the purpose of distribution of high efficient cook stoves which will burn wood more efficiently thereby improving thermal transfer to pots, hence saving fuel. Project also reduce the rapidly progressing deforestation but will also reduce health hazards from indoor smoke pollution and women and children will have to spend less time collecting contributing to reduction in GHG emissions. The Project will also assist to the local population by providing employment opportunities to both skilled & unskilled labours.

The Minutes of meeting with stakeholders queries, invitation letter will be submitted to the DOE.

Meeting started with opening speech by representative of project participant. He introduced all guests on dais. The representative of project participant explained Technical aspects of project to stakeholders. He also explained about social, environmental & economical benefits of the project. He also elaborated about carbon mechanism & its requirement for the current project. After the detailed discussions, the session was open for questions from stakeholders.

The question raised by the villagers will be summarised in the VCS PD and it will be ensured that stakeholders are satisfied due to implementation of project activity and there are no any negative comments from stakeholders.

As a part of on-going communication with local stakeholders, end users will be informed about grievance register. The distributors will have the responsibility to take grievances regarding the project activity and same will be conveyed to PP during operation of project activity. Thus ongoing communication of stakeholders will be followed through grievance mechanism. If any concerns received during operation of project activity, same will be addressed if relevant to project activity.

## 2.3 Environmental Impact

No negative environmental impacts have been identified from the project and environmental impact assessment (EIA) is not required for the project. In fact project activity supports positive environmental impacts.

## 2.4 Public Comments

This section will be completed after 30 days public comment period. It will be checked if there are any comments received during 30 days public comment period.

## 2.5 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

This section is not applicable as the project is a non-AFOLU project

# 3 APPLICATION OF METHODOLOGY

## 3.1 Title and Reference of Methodology

VCS Methodology: VMR0006: Methodology for Installation of High Efficiency Firewood Cookstoves, Version 1.1 dated 22 July 2021, Sectoral scope 3  
<https://verra.org/methodology/vmr0006-methodology-for-installation-of-high-efficiency-firewood-cookstoves/>

This methodology also refers the latest version of AMS II.G version 11.1 - Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass  
<https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/10PELMPDW951SVSW1B2NRCQEBAX96C>

For calculation of fraction of non-renewable biomass, the below tool is used  
 “TOOL30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass”  
<https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAMethodologies/tools/am-tool-30-v3.0.pdf>

### 3.2 Applicability of Methodology

The applicability of methodology is justified as below

| Applicability criterion  | How the project complies  |
|--|---|
| Project activities shall be implemented in domestic premises or in community-based kitchen   | The proposed project involves deployment of ICS only in households i.e domestic premises or in community-based kitchen. For first project activity instance, project activity is implemented in households.   |
| The project stove shall have specified high-power thermal efficiency of at least 25% per the manufacturer’s specifications and shall exclusively use woody biomass and can be single pot or multi-pot;                               | Energy Efficient stoves planned to be installed under this project are single pot or multi pot portable or an in-situ wood cook stoves that have an efficiency more than 25%.<br>For first project activity instance, efficiency of 32.19% cook stoves installed as per the manufacturer’s specifications. For future project activity instances, Manufacturer may change and that cook stove efficiency should be more than 25% as mentioned in methodology based on manufacturer specification. . |
| Both ‘Projects’ and ‘Large Projects’ can use the methodology   | Each project activity instance will be Projects   |
| Non-renewable biomass has been used in the project region since 31 December 1989, using survey methods or referring to published literature, official reports or statistics;   | Non-renewable biomass has been used since 31 December 1989 in India as demonstrated at below by referring to published literature, official reports or statistics; For project activity instance to be located in other country, the same will be justified at the time of project activity instance inclusion.   |
| For the specific case of biomass residues processed as a fuel (e.g. briquettes, wood chips), it shall be demonstrated that:<br><br>a. It is produced using exclusively renewable biomass (more than one type of biomass may be used) | Not applicable as project activity uses non-renewable biomass as a fuel. The ICS is introduced as energy efficiency measure to replace baseline stoves and reduce the use of non-renewable biomass for combustion.  |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>b. The consumption of the fuel should be monitored during the crediting period</p> <p>c. Energy use for renewable biomass processing (e.g. shredding and compacting in the case of briquetting)</p>  |  |
| <p>The VCS PD shall explain the proposed method for distribution of project devices including the method to avoid double counting of emission reductions such as unique identifications of product and end-user locations (e.g. programme logo)</p> <p>The above criteria is as per below VCS meth requirement and para 8 of latest version of meth AMS II.G version 11.1 is followed. (Additionally, applicability criteria numbers 8 and 9 set out in Section 2.2 of AMS II.G, version 11.1 shall apply)</p>                  | <p>Each ICS have unique serial number, thus unique identification of product and end user is followed. The distributor has identified village representative to distribute the cook stove, make the installation data for baseline scenario and project activity details.</p> <p>The Project Owner have provided the undertaking that no double counting of emission reductions occurred due to unique identifications of product and end-user location.</p> |
| <p>The VCS PD shall also explain how the proposed procedures prevent double counting of emission reductions, for example to avoid that project stove manufacturers, wholesale providers or others claim credit for emission reductions from the project devices.</p> <p>The above criteria is as per below VCS meth requirement and para 9 of latest version of meth AMS II.G version 11.1 is followed. (Additionally, applicability criteria numbers 8 and 9 set out in Section 2.2 of AMS II.G, version 11.1 shall apply)</p> | <p>Manufacturers/ cook stove distributors undertaking to be provided that there is no any double accounting for carbon emissions associated with ICS supplied to households under the project activity having Project Owner (EKI Energy Services Ltd.) . EKI will be owner of that carbon credits and Manufacturers/ cook stove distributors will not claim any credits for such cook stoves.</p>  |

As per applicability of tool 30 -Methodological tool Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass, Version 03.0 option (b) has been followed by PP from below 2 options.

*This tool may be used by: (a) DNAs to submit region- or country-specific default fNRB values, following the procedures for development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines (SB procedures); or (b) project participants<sup>1</sup> to calculate project- or PoA-specific fNRB values.*

Project participants are able to show that non-renewable biomass has been used since 31 December 1989, using survey methods or referring to published literature, official reports or statistics.

Justification: Use of non-renewable biomass is established through demonstration of gap between the demand of fire wood and sculturally permissible production of biomass from forest and tree outside forest. The fact is established using published literature referring to the following studies:

Food and Agricultural Organization of United Nation<sup>2</sup> Regional Wood Energy Development Programme in Asia

The literature highlights gap in demand and supply of fuel wood across the country as well as across the state of Maharashtra. In accordance to the study, fuel wood consumption in real terms is much higher than the recorded production of about 30%; thus, leaving a wide gap leading towards unsustainable extraction of fire wood. Moreover, on account of population pressure, demand for firewood has outstripped natural regeneration and planting, so much so that in some areas there is food to eat but not enough wood is available to cook it (Mathur, 1987)<sup>3</sup>. The report also highlight the statistics from Forest Survey of India (FSI1988:46) which estimated a gap of 130 million tonne between the demand and internal production of firewood in the country in 1987.

State of Forest Report 19873, MoEF, Govt. of India

The report highlights gap between the demand and production of fire wood as major cause of deforestation. The reported consumption and production across the country within silviculturally permissible limit across the following years is indicated as follows –

| Year    | Consumption in million tonnes | Recorded Production in million tonnes               |
|---------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1953-54 | 86.3                          | 6.49  |
| 1960-61 | 99.6                          | 8.15  |
| 1965-66 | 109.3                         | 9.16  |
| 1970-71 | 117.9                         | 11.62   |
| 1975-76 | 133.1                         | 19m. from forest and 30 m. from tree outside forest |

In accordance the gap between demand and production is met through pilferage leading to continuous depletion of forest land.

Wood Fuel Trade in India: Food and Agricultural Organisation of United Nation:

The report outlines demand- supply gap which has referred the expert committee report of MoEF dated 30.01.1998 as below –

| <i>In million cubic metre</i> |             |                        |       |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------|
| Year                          | Consumption | Sustainable Production | Gap   |
| 1953-54                       | 115.0       | 8.8                    | 106.2 |
| 1965-66                       | 163.9       | 13.7                   | 150.2 |
| 1975-76                       | 199.6       | 28.5                   | 171.4 |
| 1987-88                       | 235.0       | 40.0                   | 195.0 |


<sup>2</sup> Regional Wood Energy Development Programme In Asia GCP/RAS/154/NET, RWEDP Report No 57, The Wood Fuel Scenario and Policy issues in India. Published by the FAO Regional Wood Energy Development Programme in Asia, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>3</sup> [https://fsi.nic.in/documents/sfr\\_1987\\_hindi.pdf](https://fsi.nic.in/documents/sfr_1987_hindi.pdf) ( refer page 44 of document, section 3.4 of Chapter III Demand On Forests”

**Rural Energy data sources and estimations in India – TERI<sup>4</sup>**

The report refers to gap between consumption and recorded production of fuel-wood has however, increasing, indicating seriousness of the fuel-wood scarcity in India.

**Fuel wood consumption and sustainable production since 1947**



**Conclusion:**

Therefore, it is established from the above studies that, a staggering gap exists between the demand and potential for sustainably extractable fuel wood from forest land. While the first three studies refer to the fuel wood supply scenario and the gap that were precedent before 1989; the study by TERI highlight the widening of gap between the demand and supply of biomass after 1989. The widening of the gap has been both due to the increased population pressure as well as the conversion of dense forest to medium dense, scrub and open type forest land due to unsustainable extraction even after the government’s initiative towards promotion of afforestation. Thus, it can therefore be concluded that the gap exists from and before 1989 and continued thereafter, forcing the population in using biomass extracted in un- sustainable manner which is identified as non-renewable component.


**3.3 Project Boundary**

| Source   | Gas             | Included? | Justification/Explanation |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Baseline | CO <sub>2</sub> | Yes       | Major source              |

<sup>4</sup> <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.581.9840&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

| Source   | Gas              | Included? | Justification/Explanation  |
|--|------------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Emission from use of non-renewable biomass/Fossil fuel | CH <sub>4</sub>  | Yes       | Major source               |
|  | N <sub>2</sub> O | Yes       | Major source               |
|  | Other            | No        | No other source identified |
| Project<br>Source 1                                    | CO <sub>2</sub>  | Yes       | Major source               |
|  | CH <sub>4</sub>  | Yes       | Major source               |
|  | N <sub>2</sub> O | Yes       | Major source               |
|  | Other            | No        | No other source identified |

A representation of the baseline boundary and project boundary are given as below



### 3.4 Baseline Scenario

As per methodology “The baseline scenario is the continued use of non-renewable wood fuel (firewood/charcoal) or fossil fuel (coal/kerosene) by the target population to meet similar thermal energy needs as provided by project cook stoves in absence of project activity.”

The project activity instances to be included in this grouped project activity should meet the baseline scenario as mentioned above.

For first project activity instance, the baseline scenario is the continued use of non-renewable wood fuel (firewood) by the target population to meet similar thermal energy needs as provided by project cookstoves in absence of project activity

### 3.5 Additionality

The methodology uses activity method for the demonstration of additionality.

#### Activity Method

##### Step 1: Regulatory Surplus

There is no mandated government programme or policy in host country of this project ensuring the distribution of domestic fuel-efficient cookstoves. The project is not mandated by any law, statute or other regulatory framework, or for UNFCCC non-Annex I countries, any systematically enforced law, statute or other regulatory framework.

Households may only participate voluntarily in this project. It is hereby confirmed that the proposed project is a voluntary coordinated action by EKI.

##### Step 2: Positive List

As per Section 3.2, the project meets the applicability conditions of the methodology which represent the positive list.

The project installs the ICS at zero cost to the household and has no other source of revenue other than the sale of GHG credits.

The project is not implemented as part of government schemes or supported by multilateral funds.

Conclusion: As the project fulfills the conditions above, it is deemed additional.

If any future new project activity instance does not follow the positive list as stipulated by methodology, then additionality need to demonstrate as per tool requirement for the Demonstration and Assessment of Additionality included in latest version of AMS-II.G.

### 3.6 Methodology Deviations

The project did not apply any methodology deviations

## 4 ESTIMATED GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

### 4.1 Baseline Emissions

The methodology does not account for baseline emissions separately, but instead quantifies net emission reductions achieved by the project. Please refer to Section 4.4.

### 4.2 Project Emissions

The methodology does not account for baseline emissions separately, but instead quantifies net emission reductions achieved by the project. Please refer to Section 4.4

### 4.3 Leakage

Leakage shall be considered as default 0.95 in accordance with methodology

### 4.4 Estimated Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

The improved cookstove is introduced as energy efficiency measure in the project, therefore equations 1 and 2 of the methodology will be applied to calculate the net GHG emission reductions.

$$ER_y = \sum_i \sum_j ER_{y,i,j}$$

Where:

|              |   |  |
|--------------|---|--|
| $i$          | = | Indices for the situation where more than one type/model of improved cookstove is introduced to replace three-stone fire. Same model will be used in each instance |
| $j$          | = | Indices for the situation where there is more than one batch of improved cookstove of type $i$ . Single batch stove will be used in each instance                  |
| $ER_y$       | = | Emission reductions during year $y$ in t CO <sub>2</sub> e   |
| $ER_{y,i,j}$ | = | Emission reductions by improved cookstove of type $i$ and batch $j$ during year $y$ in t CO <sub>2</sub> e   |

$$ER_{y,i,j} = B_{y,savings,i,j} \times NCV_{wood\ fuel} \times f_{NRB,y} \times (EF_{wf,CO2} + EF_{wf,non\ CO2}) \times N_{y,i,j} \times 0.95$$

Where:

|                     |   |   |
|---------------------|---|---|
| $B_{y,savings,i,j}$ | = | Quantity of woody biomass that is saved in tonnes per improved cookstove of type $i$ and batch $j$ during year $y$                                      |
| $f_{NRB,y}$         | = | Fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable biomass (fNRB) <sup>5</sup>  |
| $NCV_{wood\ fuel}$  | = | Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.0156 TJ/tonne) <sup>6</sup>        |
| $EF_{wf,CO2}$       | = | CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario (IPCC default for wood fuel, 112 tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ) <sup>7</sup>       |
| $EF_{wf,non\ CO2}$  | = | Non-CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario (IPCC default for wood fuel, 26.23 tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ) <sup>8</sup> |
| $N_{y,i,j}$         | = | Number of improved cookstoves of type $i$ and batch $j$ operating during year $y$   |
| 0.95                | = | Discount factor to account for leakage  |

The quantify of woody biomass saved due to implementation of improved cookstoves to be estimated using equation below (Equation 4 of methodology)

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{y=1,new,i,survey} \times \left( \frac{\eta_{new,i,j}}{\eta_{old}} - 1 \right)$$

where

|                          |   |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| $\eta_{old}$             | = | Efficiency of baseline cookstove   |
| $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$       | = | Efficiency of the improved cookstove type $i$ and batch $j$ determined through water boiling test (WBT) during year $y$<br>Alternatively, efficiency may be determined using below Equation              |
| $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$ | = | Annual quantity of woody biomass used by improved cookstoves in tonnes per device of type $i$ and batch $j$ , determined in the first year of the implementation of the project through a sample survey. |

$$\eta_{new,i,y} = \eta_p \times (DF_n)^{y-1} \times 0.94$$

where

|                |   |   |
|----------------|---|---|
| $\eta_p$       | = | Efficiency of project stove (fraction) at the start of project activity.  |
| $(DF_n)^{y-1}$ | = | Discount factor to account for efficiency loss of project cookstove per year of operation (fraction). This value may be based on actual monitoring or based on manufacturer's declaration on expected loss in efficiency or through publicly available literature on relevant industry standards. Alternatively default value of 0.99 efficiency loss per year can be considered. |
| 0.94           | = | Adjustment factor to account for uncertainty related to project cookstove efficiency test.  |

**ER Estimation for Project Activity Instance 1:**

For ex-ante calculation purpose, the assumption below is applied for first project activity instance.

- 1) The first project activity instance install ICS 10,000 ICS.
- 2) The end date of installation of project activity instance is considered as operational date of that project activity instance.
- 3) The life span of ICS is 7 years; thus the operational lifetime of each project activity instance is taken as 7 years. It will extend 10 years or beyond 7 years after proper repair and maintenance. The end users can repair the ICS through the service providers (PP representative) who will repair the cook stove for further use. After 7 years of lifetime, a report from Maintenance Company will be provided to confirm the extended life of cook stove. The project will claim credits beyond 7 years only for balanced year till cook stove is replaced by new cook stoves. Once project activity cook stoves are replaced by new cook stoves, the project activity will claim carbon credits within applicable crediting period.
- 4) The expected operational lifetime of each project activity instance will determine based on end date of commissioning of last ICS. If project activity includes, project activity instance wise installation, then ER should be estimated project activity instance wise. The ex-ante calculation will be performed for first project activity instances.
- 5) Annual stove loss rate is estimated at 5%. This is assumed as conservative. During actual ER calculation, this value is varies. This value will vary during actual verification based on survey results.
- 6)  $B_{y=1, new, i, survey}$ , is assumed as 1.825 tonnes / device / year. This is considered as 5 Kg of biomass is required per device and 365 days of operations. This is based on survey results

Determination of number of ICS operating during year y

$$N_{y,ij} = 10,000 \times [1 - (y-1) \times 5\%]$$

Example of calculation:

If y = 2,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{y,ij} &= 10,000 \times [1 - (2-1) \times 5\%] \\ &= 9,500 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the number of ICS operating during year y is as below:

| Year (y) | $N_{y,ij}$ |
|----------|------------|
| 1        | 10,000     |
| 2        | 9,500      |
| 3        | 9,000      |

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| 4 | 8,500 |
| 5 | 8,000 |
| 6 | 7,500 |
| 7 | 7,000 |

Determination of efficiency of ICS during year y

$$\eta_{new,,,} = \eta_p \times (DFn)^{y-1} \times 0.94$$

Where

$$\eta_p = 32.19\%$$

$$DFn = 0.99$$

Example of calculation:

If y= 2

$$\eta_{new,,,} = 32.19\% \times (0.99)^{2-1} \times 0.94$$

$$= 29.96\%$$

Hence the efficiency of ICS during year y is as below:

| Year (y) | $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$ |
|----------|--------------------|
| 1        | 30.26%             |
| 2        | 29.96%             |
| 3        | 29.66%             |
| 4        | 29.36%             |
| 5        | 29.07%             |
| 6        | 28.78%             |
| 7        | 28.49%             |

Determination of quantity of woody biomass that is saved in tonnes per ICS during year y

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{y=1,new,i,survey} \times \left( \frac{\eta_{new,i,j}}{\eta_{old}} - 1 \right)$$

Example of calculation: If y= 2,

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = 1.825 \times [(0.2996/0.1) - 1]$$

= 3.64 tonnes

| Year (y) | $B_{y=1,new,i,survey}$ | $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$ | $\eta_{old}$ | $B_{y,savings,i,j}$ |
|----------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1        | 1.825                  | 30.26%             | 0.1          | 3.70                |
| 2        | 1.825                  | 29.96%             | 0.1          | 3.64                |
| 3        | 1.825                  | 29.66%             | 0.1          | 3.59                |
| 4        | 1.825                  | 29.36%             | 0.1          | 3.53                |
| 5        | 1.825                  | 29.07%             | 0.1          | 3.48                |
| 6        | 1.825                  | 28.78%             | 0.1          | 3.43                |
| 7        | 1.825                  | 28.49%             | 0.1          | 3.37                |

Note - The lifespan of cook stove is 7 years, however with proper repair and maintenance, the lifespan can be extended beyond 7 years, and hence ER estimation is determined for 7 years. After 7 years of lifetime, a report from Maintenance Company will be provided to confirm the extended life of cook stove. The project will claim credits beyond 7 years only for balanced year till cook stove is replaced by new cook stoves. Once project activity cook stoves are replaced by new cook stoves, the project activity will claim carbon credits within applicable crediting period.

#### Determination of emission reductions by ICS of batch 1 during year y

$$ER_{y,i,j} = B_{y,savings,i,j} \times NCV_{wood\ fuel} \times f_{NRB,y} \times (EF_{wf,CO2} + EF_{wf,non\ CO2}) \times N_{y,i,j} \times 0.95$$

Where

$$NCV_{wood\ fuel} = 0.0156 \text{ TJ/tonne}$$

$f_{NRB,y} = 0.8185$ . As per calculation of methodological tool, the fraction is determined and same is considered for ER estimation. The calculations are done as per tool.

$$EF_{wf,CO2} + EF_{wf,non\ CO2} = 112 + 26.23 = 138.23 \text{ tCO}_2/\text{TJ}$$

Example of calculation:

If  $y=2$ ,

$$ER_{y,,} = 3.64 \times 0.0156 \times 0.8185 \times 138.23 \times 9,500 \times 0.95$$

$$= 58,013 \text{ tCO}_2$$

Thus 7 years estimation for Project Activity Instance 1 is as below

**ER estimation is done for Project Activity Instance 1**

| Year | $B_{y,savings,i,j}$ | $NCV_{wood\ fuel}$ | $f_{NRB,y}$ | $EF_{wf,CO2}$<br>+ $EF_{wf,non\ CO2}$ | $N_{y,i,j}$           | $ER_{y,i,j}$   |
|------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 1    | 3.70                | 0.0156             | 0.8185      | 138.23                                | 10,000                | 61,992         |
| 2    | 3.64                | 0.0156             | 0.8185      | 138.23                                | 9,500                 | 58,013         |
| 3    | 3.59                | 0.0156             | 0.8185      | 138.23                                | 9,000                 | 54,135         |
| 4    | 3.53                | 0.0156             | 0.8185      | 138.23                                | 8,500                 | 50,356         |
| 5    | 3.48                | 0.0156             | 0.8185      | 138.23                                | 8,000                 | 46,675         |
| 6    | 3.43                | 0.0156             | 0.8185      | 138.23                                | 7,500                 | 43,091         |
| 7    | 3.37                | 0.0156             | 0.8185      | 138.23                                | 7,000                 | 39,601         |
|      |                     |                    |             |                                       | <b>Total</b>          | <b>353,863</b> |
|      |                     |                    |             |                                       | <b>Annual Average</b> | <b>50,552</b>  |

The total ER estimation combined for Project activity Instance 1 and Project Activity Instance 2 are as below

| Year         | Estimated baseline emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Estimated project emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Estimated leakage emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Estimated net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| Year 1       | 61,992  | 0  | 0  | 61,992   |
| Year 2       | 58,013  | 0  | 0  | 58,013   |
| Year 3       | 54,135  | 0  | 0  | 54,135   |
| Year 4       | 50,356  | 0  | 0  | 50,356   |
| Year 5       | 46,675  | 0  | 0  | 46,675   |
| Year 6       | 43,091  | 0  | 0  | 43,091   |
| Year 7       | 39,601  | 0  | 0  | 39,601   |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>353,863</b>  | <b>0</b>   | <b>0</b>   | <b>353,863</b>   |

The annual average of 7 years period for both project activity instance is 50,552 tCO<sub>2e</sub>

The above ER estimation is with consideration of 10,000 cook stoves. The average lifetime of cook stove is 7 years, but it may expect that cook stove will be in operation more than 7 years also with proper repair and maintenance of cook stoves. Thus above ER estimation is determined considering the 7 years of operation. After 7 years of lifetime, a report from Maintenance Company will be provided to confirm the extended life of cook stove. The project will claim credits beyond 7 years only for balanced year till cook stove is replaced by new cook stoves. Once project activity cook stoves are replaced by new cook stoves, the project activity will claim carbon credits within applicable crediting period.

## 5 MONITORING

### 5.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Data / Parameter</b>   | $f_{NRB,y}$   |
| <b>Data unit</b>  | Fraction  |
| <b>Description</b>  | Fraction of woody biomass saved by the project activity during year y that can be established as non-renewable biomass  |
| <b>Source of data</b>   | Use a default value of 0.3 as per Tool 30 - Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass. Or Calculate fNRB by determining the share of renewable and non-renewable woody biomass in the total quantity of woody biomass consumption for the country/region |
| <b>Value applied:</b>   | 0.8185 ( calculated as per Meth tool)   |
| <b>Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied</b> | This parameter shall be determined ex-ante. Default value as per Methodological tool : Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass. As per of methodological tool, value is calculated as per following Equation (1),<br>$f_{NRBy} = NRB/(NRB+DRB)$        |
| <b>Purpose of Data</b>  | Calculation of baseline emission  |

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| <b>Comments</b> | <a href="https://fsi.nic.in/cover_2011/assam.pdf">https://fsi.nic.in/cover_2011/assam.pdf</a><br>State of Forest report (Forest Survey of India Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, GoI, 2019) |
|-----------------|--|

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Data / Parameter</b>   | $NCV_{wood\ fuel}$  |
| <b>Data unit</b>  | TJ/tonne  |
| <b>Description</b>  | Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted or reduced                 |
| <b>Source of data</b>   | 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Volume 2 Energy, Chapter 1 Introduction |
| <b>Value applied:</b>   | 0.0156  |
| <b>Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied</b> | IPCC default value  |
| <b>Purpose of Data</b>  | Calculation of baseline   |
| <b>Comments</b>   | -   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Data / Parameter</b>                                  | $EF_{wf,CO2}$  |
| <b>Data unit</b>   | tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ   |
| <b>Description</b>                                       | CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario                                  |
| <b>Source of data</b>                                    | 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Volume 2 Energy, Chapter 2 Stationary Combustion |
| <b>Value applied:</b>                                    | 112  |
| <b>Justification of choice of data or description of</b> | IPCC default value   |

|  |                                    |
|--|------------------------------------|
| measurement methods and procedures applied |                                    |
| Purpose of Data                            | Calculation of emission reductions |
| Comments                                   | -                                  |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Data / Parameter   | $EF_{wf,non\ CO2}$   |
| Data unit  | tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ   |
| Description  | Non-CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario                              |
| Source of data   | 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Volume 2 Energy, Chapter 2 Stationary Combustion |
| Value applied:   | 26.23  |
| Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied | IPCC default value   |
| Purpose of Data  | Calculation of emission reductions   |
| Comments   | -  |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Data / Parameter                                  | $\eta_{old}$  |
| Data unit   | Fraction  |
| Description                                       | Efficiency of baseline cookstove  |
| Source of data                                    | Methodological default value  |
| Value applied:                                    | 0.1   |
| Justification of choice of data or description of | A default value of 0.1 shall be used if baseline device is a three-stone fire using firewood (not charcoal), or a conventional device with no improved combustion air supply or flue gas ventilation, |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| measurement methods and procedures applied | that is without a grate or a chimney. During baseline survey, it is observed that baseline device was a three- stone fire using firewood (not charcoal), or a conventional device with no improved combustion air supply or flue gas ventilation, that is without a grate or a chimney, hence default value of 0.1 is considered for Efficiency of baseline cook stove. |
| Purpose of Data                            | Calculation of emission reductions  |
| Comments                                   |   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Data / Parameter   | $\eta_p$   |
| Data unit  | Percentage   |
| Description  | Efficiency of project stove at the start of project activity.  |
| Source of data   | Manufacturer's specification   |
| Value applied:   | 32.19  |
| Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied | This parameter shall be determined ex-ante   |
| Purpose of Data  | Calculation of $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$  |
| Comments   | This efficiency is for the cook stove model considered for initial project activity instances. If manufacturer or cook stove model changes, the respective model efficiency will be considered for ER calculations |

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| Data / Parameter | $(DF_n)^{y-1}$  |
| Data unit        | Fraction  |
| Description      | Discount factor to account for efficiency loss of project cookstove per year of operation (fraction). |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Source of data   | As per methodology, default value of 0.99 efficiency loss per year |
| Value applied:   | 0.99   |
| Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied | This parameter shall be determined ex-ante                         |
| Purpose of Data  | Calculation of $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$                                  |
| Comments   | -  |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Data / Parameter   | Life Span  |
| Data unit  | Years  |
| Description  | Operating lifetime of project device for projects opting Equation 5 for determining project stove efficiency |
| Source of data   | Manufacturer's specification   |
| Value applied:   | 7  |
| Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied | This parameter will be monitored Once at the time of Project stove installation                              |
| Purpose of Data  | Calculation of $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$  |
| Comments   | -  |

| <b>Data / Parameter</b>   | $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
|---|---|----------|--------------------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|
| <b>Data unit</b>  | Fraction  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| <b>Description</b>  | Efficiency of the improved cook stove type i and batch j determined as per equation 5 of methodology during year y  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| <b>Source of data</b>   | Calculation   |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| <b>Value applied:</b>   | <p>For ex-ante calculation, the value below is applied</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year (y)</th> <th><math>\eta_{new,y,i,j}</math></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>30.26%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>29.96%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>29.66%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>29.36%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>29.07%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>28.78%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>28.49%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>  | Year (y) | $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$ | 1 | 30.26% | 2 | 29.96% | 3 | 29.66% | 4 | 29.36% | 5 | 29.07% | 6 | 28.78% | 7 | 28.49% |
| Year (y)  | $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| 1   | 30.26%  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| 2   | 29.96%  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| 3   | 29.66%  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| 4   | 29.36%  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| 5   | 29.07%  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| 6   | 28.78%  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| 7   | 28.49%  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| <b>Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied</b> | <p>This parameter shall be determined ex-ante. The average lifetime of cook stove is 7 years, but it may expect that cook stove will be in operation beyond 7 years also with proper maintenance of cook stoves. This should be checked during survey. After 7 years of lifetime, a report from Maintenance Company will be provided to confirm the extended life of cook stove. The project will claim credits beyond 7 years only for balanced year till cook stove is replaced by new cook stoves. Once project activity cook stoves are replaced by new cook stoves, the project activity will claim carbon credits within applicable crediting period.</p> |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| <b>Purpose of Data</b>  | Used for calculation of quantify of woody biomass saved   |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |
| <b>Comments</b>   | <p>Calculation to be performed using equation below:</p> $\eta_{new,y,i,j} = \eta p \times (DFn)^{y-1} \times 0.94$ <p>Monitoring frequency is at the start of project activity instance</p>  |          |                    |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |   |        |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | <p>(The project opts to determine the efficiency using the equation 5 given by methodology, therefore it is not required to monitor this parameter via Sampling survey.)</p> <p>Default value of 0.99 efficiency loss per year can be considered In case of ICS model changes for new project activity instances, the efficiency of new ICS model should be determined during verification.</p> |
|--|---|

## 5.2 Data and Parameters Monitored

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Data / Parameter  | $N_{y,i,j}$  |
| Data unit   | Number   |
| Description   | Number of project devices of type I and batch j operating during year y  |
| Source of data  | Monitoring   |
| Description of measurement methods and procedures applied | Measured directly or based on a representative sample. As per methodology, minimum sample size determine in which case compliance with 90/10 confidence precision is not obligatory. 100 Sample size is selected being target population is above 1000 |
| Frequency of monitoring/recording                         | At least once every two years  |
| Value applied:  | For ex-ante emission reduction calculation, it is assumed that the project will distribute up to 10,000 ICS for first project activity instance and the installation/ distribution of ICS to be implemented in batches                                 |
| Monitoring equipment                                      | Monitoring survey  |
| QA/QC procedures applied                                  | -  |
| Purpose of data   | Calculation of emission reductions   |
| Calculation method  | Proportion of operational stoves obtained from the survey is multiplied by the total commissioned stoves to arrive at this value   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Comments</b>  | PP has distributed one ICS per households and same is followed for each project activity instances.  |
| <b>Data / Parameter</b>  | $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$   |
| <b>Data unit</b>   | Tonnes   |
| <b>Description</b>   | Annual quantity of woody biomass used by improved cook stoves in tonnes per device of type i and batch j, determined in the first year of the implementation of the project through a sample survey  |
| <b>Source of data</b>  | Monitoring survey  |
| <b>Description of measurement methods and procedures applied</b> | <p>Minimum sample size of each type i and batch j should be in line with the latest version of Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities or guidelines provided in methodology Section 8.4 option (b).</p> <p>Determined in the first year of the introduction of the devices (e.g. during the first year of the crediting period, <math>y=1</math>) through measurement campaigns at representative households and/or sample survey. Sample surveys to estimate this parameter, that are solely based on questionnaires or interviews (i.e. that do not implement measurement campaigns) may only be used if the following conditions are satisfied. (i) Baseline cookstoves have been completely decommissioned and only improved cookstoves are exclusively used in the project households; (ii) If multiple devices are used in the project, it is possible from the results of the survey questions to clearly differentiate the quantity of firewood being used by each device. In other words, if more than one device, or another device that consumes firewood, are in use in project households, then the sample survey needs to distinguish the quantity of firewood used by the project device and the other devices that use firewood.</p> |
| <b>Frequency of monitoring/recording</b>                         | Determined in the first year of project implementation   |
| <b>Value applied:</b>  | For ex-ante calculation, the value is assumed as 5 kg/device/day or equal to 1.825 tonnes/device/year. This value varies based on survey results.  |
| <b>Monitoring equipment</b>                                      | Monitoring survey  |

|                          |                                    |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| QA/QC procedures applied | --                                 |
| Purpose of data          | Calculation of emission reductions |
| Calculation method       | --                                 |
| Comments                 | --                                 |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Data / Parameter  | Date of commissioning of batch <i>j</i>   |
| Data unit   | Date  |
| Description   | To establish the date of commissioning, the Project Participant may opt to group the devices in “batches/project activity instances” and the latest date of commissioning of a device within the batch shall be used as the date of commissioning for the entire batch. |
| Source of data  | Project database  |
| Description of measurement methods and procedures applied | --  |
| Frequency of monitoring/recording                         | Fixed and recorded at the time of commissioning/distribution of the last project device in the batch  |
| Value applied:  | 01/12/2021 ( last date of batch of ICS commissioned in the project activity instance )<br><br>The start date of crediting period is considered from 01/12/2021 onwards  |
| Monitoring equipment                                      | --  |
| QA/QC procedures applied                                  | --  |

|                    |                                    |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Purpose of data    | Calculation of emission reductions |
| Calculation method | --                                 |
| Comments           | --                                 |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Data / Parameter  | <b>Date of commissioning of project device i</b>   |
| Data unit   | Date   |
| Description   | Actual date of commissioning of the project device ( each ICS)                                     |
| Source of data  | Project database   |
| Description of measurement methods and procedures applied | --   |
| Frequency of monitoring/recording                         | Fixed and recorded at the time of commissioning/distribution                                       |
| Value applied:  | The ICS commissioning has been started from 01/12/2021 onwards for both project activity instances |
| Monitoring equipment                                      | --   |
| QA/QC procedures applied                                  | --   |
| Purpose of data   | Calculation of emission reductions   |
| Calculation method  | --   |

Comments

--

### 5.3 Monitoring Plan

Measurement/monitoring of few parameters based on a representative sample. Sampling standard shall be used for determining the sample size to achieve 90/10 confidence precision according to the latest version of Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities. Alternately, simplified approach proposed in option (b) under Section 8.4 of methodology may be used for determining the minimum sample size in which case compliance with 90/10 confidence precision is not obligatory.

100 Sample size is selected being target population is above 1000 as per below requirement of methodology-

*Alternatively, the project participant may follow the simple random sampling approach and the minimum sample size should be determined as per the following guidelines:*

- *Project target population < 300: Minimum sample size 30*
- *Project target population 300 – 1000: Minimum sample size 10% of group size*
- *Project target population > 1000: Minimum sample size 100*

*This simplified approach may also be used for determining minimum sample size for parameters listed under Sections 9.1 and 9.2 of the methodology in which case it is not requisite for the sample size to meet confidence/precision requirements.*

#### Monitored Parameters

The project involves Introduction of improved cook stove as energy efficiency measures:

| No. | Monitoring Parameters  | Sampling parameters                        | Parameter type | Monitoring frequency                                   |
|-----|--|--|----------------|--|
| 1   | $N_{y,i,j}$<br>Number of project devices of type $i$ and batch $j$ operating during year $y$                                   | Proportion of ICS still in operation       | Proportion     | Biennially (once in two year)                          |
| 2   | $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$<br>Quantity of woody biomass used by project devices in tonnes per device of type $i$ . and batch $j$ | Daily consumption of woody biomass per ICS | Mean value     | Determined in the first year of project implementation |

|    |   |  |   |  |
|----|---|--|---|--|
| 3. | Date of commissioning of batch j or Project activity instance | Date of commissioning in a batch or project activity instance. | The latest date of commissioning of a device within the batch shall be used as the date of commissioning for the entire batch | During each project activity instance. |
| 4. | Date of commissioning of project device                       | Actual date of commissioning of the project device (each ICS)  | Actual date   | During each project activity instance. |

### Target Population

The target population will be the complete set of appliances (ICS) deployed under the project.

### Sampling Method

The project involves distribution of ICS throughout the project area thereby replacing traditional cooking devices. The population is heterogeneous in nature i.e. common technology with similar operating characteristics but dispersed i.e. distribution of ICS is spread across different states/province of the country. The population consists of sub-populations which are homogeneous called as Strata. The characteristics of population (for example quantity of biomass consumed) are more similar within the stratum (ICS of same type, vintage and zone in which they are operating) than across the strata. Therefore, Stratified Sampling technique will be used to conduct sampling survey among ICS batches.

The populations of each batch will be combined together, the sample size is determined, and a single survey will be undertaken to collect data. To ensure the survey result is representative of the entire population, the dissimilarity (such as ICS type, vintage and zone in which they are operating) within the included project activity instance will be taken into account in the sample size calculation. The ICS of same type, vintage and zone in which they are operating will be grouped in the same strata. Samples will be drawn by using the random number generator.

To determine the parameters, sampling will involve the following approaches (outcome in brackets):

$N_{y,i,j}$  Visual inspection of the premises to see if ICS is operational and in use.  
Interview with end user if required to verify that ICS is still in use (Yes/No).

$B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$  Interview with end user and estimate the daily consumption of woody biomass of ICS (Daily consumption of woody biomass)

### Sample Size:

This simplified approach may also be used for determining minimum sample size for parameters listed under Sections 9.1 and 9.2 of the methodology in which case it is not requisite for the sample size to meet confidence/precision requirements.

The project proponent may choose to use the same sample to monitor more than one parameter. According to the Standard for sampling and surveys for VCS project activities and programme of activities, if there is more than one parameter to be estimated, then a sample size calculation should be done for each of them. Then either the largest number for the sample size is chosen as sampling effort with one common survey, or separate sampling efforts and surveys are undertaken for each parameter. For instance, the project proponent may sample separately  $N_{y,i,j}$  and  $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$  –or a combination of two parameters in the same sample. Sampling more than one parameter within the same sample (household) helps reduce travel needs for monitoring and the associated costs. At the same time this approach ensures the random selection of samples for every parameter.

Oversampling is strongly encouraged, not only to compensate for any attrition, outliers or non- response associated with the sample, but also to prevent a situation at the analysis stage where the required reliability is not achieved and additional sampling efforts would be required. The sample size shown above will be adjusted upwards to account for non-responses, Project proponent shall determine the appropriate non-responses rate based on previous experience.

### Sampling Frame

Separate samples shall be taken for each project activity instance. The sampling frames shall be defined as per below. In overall, a batch of ICS will have same group of end users which is household users, thus it is expected that the geographical locations do not have influence on the parameter of interest. Therefore, all monitoring parameters can be assumed to be highly homogeneous for each ICS model regardless of how the end user group and distribution/installation location is defined.

To formulate sample frame, all ICS operating under the project activity instance will be combined together. The number of project devices operating may vary with ICS type and zone where they are located & efficiency of the ICS depends on the ICS type and ICS age group. Therefore, ICS can be sub- grouped into strata based on ICS batch, ICS type, ICS age group and zone where they are located.

Data to be collected:

Field Measurement:

The table below summarizes field measurement data requirements:

| Parameter                | Timing (indicative)   | Frequency as required by methodology | Methods to be applied  | Comments on seasonal fluctuation     |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| $N_{y,i,j}$              | Monitoring will likely occur every 12 to 24 months                    | Biennially                           | Visits to the premises, visual inspection and interview with ICS end-user. | Not due to any seasonal fluctuation. |
| $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$ | Monitoring will likely occur within the first year after installation | First year of installation           | Visits to the premises, visual inspection and interview with ICS end-user. | Not due to any seasonal fluctuation. |

soft copies of the surveys will be kept and the database will have back up. Original stove purchase contracts, information collected from the registration or other means of acceptance by the users will be stored in the project office. A back-up of the project database will also be stored on an electric medium. All data monitored and required for verification and issuance will be kept for two years after the end of the crediting period or the last issuance of credits for the project activity, whichever is later.

(i) Quality Assurance/Quality Control

The project proponent will apply measures to ensure the required confidence/precision for each sampled parameter is met, allowing for non-response and the possible removal of outliers from the sample, as part of a Quality Control/Quality Assurance system. The choice of measure applied to each parameter will depend on the cost of each data collection approach and logistics required. The project proponent will determine the most effective measure for each parameter from the following list:

- Oversampling: Randomly draw a sample more than the calculated number (say 20%) and collect data from each
- Buffer Group: Randomly draw additional samples (say 20%) and collect data from only for minimum numbers of ICS as per sample size calculation. If this would not result in the required sample size data would be collected from the additional ICS that were selected in the sample.
- If precision required is not achieved by reliability check, use the lower bound or upper bound of estimates of the parameter.

The sampling plan has the following procedures in place to ensure good quality data. The project proponent will ensure that field personnel have reviewed, understand and have agreed to follow the monitoring plan procedures, including provisions for maximizing response rates, documenting out-of-population cases, refusals and other sources of non-response. A quality control and assurance strategy will be documented. Quality control and assurance strategies include addressing non-sampling errors, such as non-response

or bias from interviewer. The project proponent or a competent third party designated by the project proponent with the proper skills will train the monitoring personnel on how to properly survey households to prevent bias from interviewer. In the case a household refuses to participate, another household will be chosen at random. To reduce interviewer bias, good questionnaire design and well-tested questionnaires will be used.

The sample data for mean value parameter is continuous and therefore the presence of outliers is possible. To identify and address outliers for the parameter, outliers will be defined as those data points with values greater than three standard deviations from the mean of the sample. Data points identified as outliers will be examined further to correct for possible transcription and data entry errors but will be omitted from the analysis if no such administrative errors exist.

(ii) Analysis

The project proponent will manage a project database that includes the following data that can be directly attributable to each batch within the project, thereby allowing unambiguous determination of the emission reductions attributable to each project:

- A list of households participating in each batch / project, including name, community/location, distribution/installation date and unique serial number;
- Testing to ensure that the stoves are still operating above the minimum 25% efficiency required by the methodology, by the project proponent or a third party certified by a national standards body or an appropriate certifying agency recognized by it.
- Where replacements are made, assurance that the efficiency of the new ICS is similar to the specified.

Data obtained from the samples will be used to estimate proportions and mean values for the parameters described above. The values will then be factored into the emissions reduction calculations and result in the request for issuance of VERs. The parameters are applied for emission reduction calculations. The stoves that are not in use will be excluded from emissions reductions calculations and will not be counted towards the total number of ICS in operation during the monitoring period.

**(b) Implementation**

The project participant may follow the simple random sampling approach and the minimum sample size should be determined as per the following guidelines:

- Project target population < 300: Minimum sample size 30
- Project target population 300 – 1000: Minimum sample size 10% of group size
- Project target population > 1000: Minimum sample size 100

The simplified approach are used for determining minimum sample size of 100 for parameters available at validation and parameter to be monitored in which case it is not requisite for the sample compliance with 90/10 confidence precision is not obligatory.

These parameters are as below

Number of project devices of type i and batch j operating during year y

Quantity of woody biomass used by project devices in tonnes per device of type i.

Sampling for the purpose of emission reduction calculation and elaboration of the monitoring report will occur at the end of each monitoring period. This sampling will be conducted by trained personal from project proponent or an experienced third-party entity. The credentials and/or training materials for the sampling personal will be provided to the VVB at verification. The maximum length of one monitoring period will be two years (duration, not calendar years), with option for annual or bi-annual monitoring. The project proponent will be responsible for managing household data collection and entry into the project database. Field personnel will receive training on how to properly deal with surveying techniques and reduce errors and sign a document certifying that there is no conflict of interest of those involved in data collection and analysis. If there is conflict of interest, the personnel will not be allowed to participate in data collection and analysis. The project database will record the start and end dates of each monitoring period and record the emission reductions attributable to each monitoring period.

Appropriate record keeping procedures will be implemented to ensure that each monitoring period data set can be transparently attributed to its corresponding batch/project, preventing any occurrences of double counting. An internal review of the project database will be able to determine the current status of each batch – the duration of previous monitoring periods, the households delivering monitoring data, and current verification activities.

(i) Assessment for Leakage

The methodology provides a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95 to account for leakages, hence the surveys are not required to determine leakage.

The other source of leakage occurs if equipment currently being utilised is transferred from outside the boundary to the project activity. All ICS in the project are newly manufactured/assembled or newly installed, thus no leakage is applicable.

(ii) Disposal of Low Efficiency Appliances and Use of Baseline Stoves

When an ICS is installed, the end user receives information explaining that conventional open fire appliance must no longer be used. Follow-up meetings with end users will ensure that those who have received an ICS are using it properly and that the conventional open fire is no longer in use. If it is determined that the conventional open fire is still in use along with the operating ICS, a survey will be conducted, and discount will be applied to ER formulae for emission reduction adjustment.

(iii) Monitoring Reporting

The project proponent will assess all monitoring data and produce a monitoring report for the VVB to verify corresponding to the preceding monitoring period. This report will present the data relating to the emission reductions generated by those project activity instances during the monitoring period.

The purpose of the monitoring plan is to ensure successful monitoring of the emission reductions of the proposed project during its crediting period. The overall monitoring will be managed by the project implementer EKI Energy Services Ltd.(EKIESL)

Activities and performance related to emissions reduction are monitored by EKIESL. The PP proposed the following roles and responsibilities for data monitoring, collection, data archiving and calibration of equipments for these project activity instances. The team comprise will follow the below participators.

The monitoring activities will involve data collection during distribution as well as usage information post distribution. The data collected during distribution also involves information about the stove, the end user and location to enable one to uniquely identify each ICS unit and avoid double counting.

Repair and Maintenance - EKIESL/it,s representative will establish service center at project location where end users can repair the cook stoves and can use further. The repair and maintenance will be followed as per manufacturer recommendation. This will ensure that cook stove will operate for longer period. After 7 years of lifetime, a report from Maintenance Company will be provided to confirm the extended life of cook stove. The project will claim credits beyond 7 years only for balanced year till cook stove is replaced by new cook stoves. Once project activity cook stoves are replaced by new cook stoves, the project activity will claim carbon credits within applicable crediting period.

EKIESL/it,s representative will distribute the stoves, as well as carry out the monitoring activities that occur during the distribution of stoves. EKIESL or through their associates will ensure that the distributor is trained on how to capture the end user data. The project activity implementer is fully responsible to ensure the correct distribution process and data gathering is followed.

The following information will be recorded at the time of distribution of ICS to the user:

- Name of the User
- Total Family Members
- Location/Address
- Installation Date
- Unique ID

Once ICS are distributed, monitoring activities will involve selecting a sample of stove from the distribution record and visiting the premises where these stoves are located to monitor key parameters pertinent in ER Calculation. The individuals carrying out the monitoring activities will follow instructions provided during training, to check and record the following key parameters:

- Check if project stoves are operational and in use
- Check if there is any on-going use of replaced stoves.

Check biomass consumption of new cookstove  
Data collecting & handling is conducted in a transparent way to secure high quality of recording and storing of data. Data collected and monitored are stored electronically in a secure and retrievable manner for at least two years after the end of the project crediting period. Uncertainty related to data handling (if any) would be rectified, if necessary by revising

monitoring procedures. The changes would be approved by a verifier (e.g. Designated Operational Entity)

Roles and responsibilities of different participators are proposed to be as follows:

| Entity/Person   | Roles & Responsibilities   |
|---|--|
| EKIESL  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ VCS documentation development</li> <li>✓ Communicate with VERRA for all VCS related matters</li> <li>✓ Communicate with DOEs for validation &amp; verification</li> <li>✓ Identify local partners for local representation and support</li> <li>✓ Identity suitable ICS manufacturers</li> <li>✓ Design the distribution plan for on ground implementation of ICS</li> <li>✓ Design the monitoring system (including data collection in an electronic database)</li> <li>✓ Training and development of local resources (as may be required)</li> <li>✓ Regular Monitoring and quality assurance of data</li> <li>✓ Monitor &amp; Supervise on-ground distribution, etc.</li> <li>✓ Annual Review meeting with Local Teams and other associated entity in order to ensure continuous improvements of the project activity</li> </ul>   |
| Distributor / Operational Team (Local Representative) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ To support &amp; assist EKIESL in achieving the stated goal of the project activity</li> <li>✓ Assist and facilitate EKIESL in baseline data collection, efficiency testing, data of biomass consumption for new ICS through sampling survey etc.</li> <li>✓ To be the local representative and communicate, act and engage in implementation activities of project activity</li> <li>✓ To identify and deploy suitable local team and resources for ICS distribution, data collection, regular spot-check at households etc.</li> <li>✓ Assist and facilitate EKIESL during training of the Operational Team and capacity building across the end users.</li> <li>✓ To bridge any gap in between end users of ICS and EKIESL in order to ensure smooth operation of the program to achieve overall objective of the project activity</li> </ul> <p>ICS Distributor(s) – who will be responsible for deploying the ICS at household and register the end user in the digital tool. They will also be responsible for giving demonstration of ICS use, discussing with the end users on its benefits and educate them on continued use of ICS and discontinuation of the use of conventional/traditional open firing practice. They will also conduct periodic check at households to gather feedback, address to any technical concerns, collect necessary data and transmit the information.</p> |
| ICS Manufacturer or Supplier                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ To design and develop ICS as per required standard</li> <li>✓ To provide technical parameters and manufacturer’s efficiency etc. at the time of supply</li> <li>✓ To educate and train EKIESL team on the installation (delivery), uses, handling etc. of the ICS at households.</li> </ul>   |

## 6 ACHIEVED GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

### 6.1 Data and Parameters Monitored

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| <b>Data / Parameter</b> | $N_{y,i,j}$  |
| <b>Data unit</b>        | Number   |
| <b>Description</b>      | Number of project devices of type I and batch j operating during year y  |
| <b>Value applied:</b>   | For first project activity instance, 10,000 ICS to be distributed. The ICS operational status based on survey results will be considered for second year of operation.   |
| <b>Comments</b>         | Proportion of operational stoves obtained from the survey is multiplied by the total commissioned stoves to arrive at this value.<br>The survey will be conducted at least once every two years basis after implementation of project activity instance. |

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| <b>Data / Parameter</b> | $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$   |
| <b>Data unit</b>        | Tonnes   |
| <b>Description</b>      | Annual quantity of woody biomass used by improved cookstoves in tonnes per device of type i and batch j, determined in the first year of the implementation of the project through a sample survey |
| <b>Value applied:</b>   | Based on survey result, the value will be calculated. For estimation of ER, 5 kg/device/day or equal to 1.825 tonnes/device/year is considered.  |
| <b>Comments</b>         | The survey is conducted in Determined in the first year of project implementation.   |

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| <b>Data / Parameter</b> | Date of commissioning of batch j   |
| <b>Data unit</b>        | Date   |
| <b>Description</b>      | To establish the date of commissioning, the Project Participant may opt to group the devices in “batches” and the latest date of commissioning of a device within the batch shall be used as the date of commissioning for the entire batch. |
| <b>Value applied:</b>   | 01/12/2021 (last date of batch of ICS commissioned in the project activity instance 1). The start date of crediting period is considered from 01/12/2021 onwards   |
| <b>Comments</b>         | -  |

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| <b>Data / Parameter</b> | <b>Date of commissioning of project device i</b>                             |
| <b>Data unit</b>        | Date   |
| <b>Description</b>      | Actual date of commissioning of the project device                           |
| <b>Value applied:</b>   | 01/12/2021 ( start date of commissioning for both project activity instance) |
| <b>Comments</b>         | -  |

## 6.2 Baseline Emissions

The methodology does not account for baseline emissions separately, but instead quantifies net emission reductions achieved by the project. Please refer to Section 4.4.

## 6.3 Project Emissions

The methodology does not account for baseline emissions separately, but instead quantifies net emission reductions achieved by the project. Please refer to Section 4.4

## 6.4 Leakage

Leakage shall be considered as default 0.95 in accordance with methodology

## 6.5 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

The below calculations are represented for project activity instance 1 - The improved cookstove is introduced as energy efficiency measure in the project, therefore equations 1 and 2 of the methodology will be applied to calculate the net GHG emission reductions

$$ER_y = \sum_i \sum_j ER_{y,i,j}$$

Where:

- $i$  = Indices for the situation where more than one type/model of improved cookstove is introduced to replace three-stone fire
- $J$  = Indices for the situation where there is more than one batch of improved cookstove of type  $i$
- $ER_y$  = Emission reductions during year  $y$  in t CO<sub>2</sub>e
- $ER_{y,i,j}$  = Emission reductions by improved cookstove of type  $i$  and batch  $j$  during year  $y$  in t CO<sub>2</sub>e

$$ER_{y,i,j} = B_{y,savings,i,j} \times NCV_{wood\ fuel} \times f_{NRB,y} \times (EF_{wf,CO_2} + EF_{wf,non\ CO_2}) \times N_{y,i,j} \times 0.95$$

Where:

- $B_{y,savings,i,j}$  = Quantity of woody biomass that is saved in tonnes per improved cookstove of type  $i$  and batch  $j$  during year  $y$
- $f_{NRB,y}$  = Fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable biomass (fNRB)<sup>5</sup>
- $NCV_{wood\ fuel}$  = Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.0156 TJ/tonne)<sup>6</sup>
- $EF_{wf,CO_2}$  = CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario (IPCC default for wood fuel, 112 tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ)<sup>7</sup>
- $EF_{wf,non\ CO_2}$  = Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario (IPCC default for wood fuel, 26.23 tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ)<sup>8</sup>
- $N_{y,i,j}$  = Number of improved cookstoves of type  $i$  and batch  $j$  operating during year  $y$
- 0.95 = Discount factor to account for leakage

The quantify of woody biomass saved due to implementation of improved cookstoves to be estimated using equation below (Equation 4 of methodology)

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{y=1,new,i,survey} \times \left( \frac{\eta_{new,i,j}}{\eta_{old}} - 1 \right)$$

where

- $\eta_{old}$  = Efficiency of baseline cookstove
- $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$  = Efficiency of the improved cookstove type  $i$  and batch  $j$  determined through water boiling test (WBT) during year  $y$   
Alternatively, efficiency may be determined using below Equation
- $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$  = Annual quantity of woody biomass used by improved cookstoves in tonnes per device of type  $i$  and batch  $j$ , determined in the first year of the implementation of the project through a sample survey.

$$\eta_{new,i,y} = \eta_p \times (DF_n)^{y-1} \times 0.94$$

where

- $\eta_p$  = Efficiency of project stove (fraction) at the start of project activity.  
Discount factor to account for efficiency loss of project cookstove per year of operation (fraction). This value may be based on actual monitoring or based on manufacturer's declaration on expected loss in efficiency or through publicly available literature on relevant industry standards. Alternatively default value of 0.99 efficiency loss per year can be considered.
- $(DF_n)^{y-1}$  =
- 0.94 = Adjustment factor to account for uncertainty related to project cookstove efficiency test.

For emission reduction calculation purpose, the below data is applied for first project activity instance.

- 1) The first project activity instance is planned to install ICS up to 10,000 ICS.
- 2) Though ICS installation, end date of installation of project activity instance is considered as operational date of that project activity instance.
- 3) The life span of ICS is 7 years; thus the operational lifetime of each project activity instance is taken as 7 years. This life may extend beyond 7 years with proper repair and maintenance. After 7 years of lifetime, a report from Maintenance Company will be provided to confirm the extended life of cook stove. The project will claim credits beyond 7 years only for balanced year till cook stove is replaced by new cook stoves. Once project activity cook stoves are replaced by new cook stoves, the project activity will claim carbon credits within applicable crediting period.
- 4) The expected operational lifetime of each project activity instance will determine based on end date of commissioning of last ICS. If project activity includes, project activity instance wise installation, then ER should be estimated project activity instance wise.
- 5) Annual stove loss rate will be taken as per survey result.
- 6)  $B_{y=1, new,i, survey}$ , is will be taken as per survey result .

The Actual ER calculations will be done during Verification stage.

The Vintage wise summary for project activity instance 1 will be calculated during verification stage, thus below table is kept blank.

| Year         | Baseline emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Project emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Leakage emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| Year A       |   |  |  |  |
| Year...      |   |  |  |  |
| <b>Total</b> |   |  |  |  |

There is no any separate calculations for baseline emissions, project emissions and leakage emissions, and methodology directly calculates emission reductions. Hence same emission reductions are based as baseline emissions in above table.

*For AFOLU projects, use the following table:*

| Year         | Baseline emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Project emissions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Leakage emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO <sub>2</sub> e) | Buffer pool allocation | VCUs eligible for Issuance |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Year A       |   |  |  |  |                        |                            |
| Year...      |   |  |  |  |                        |                            |
| <b>Total</b> |   |  |  |  |                        |                            |

## APPENDIX X: <TITLE OF APPENDIX>

*Use appendices for supporting information. Delete this appendix (title and instructions) where no appendix is required.*