

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	2002
Project Name	THEPARAK WIND IN THAILAND
Review Type	Verification Approval
Program(s)	VCS Program
Verification Period	01-January-2021 to 31-July-2022
Project Proponent	Theparak Wind Co., Ltd
Methodology	ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources, v19.0
VVB	4K Earth Science Private Limited
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.3
Date of First Issue	10 Nov 2022
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	1 December 2022

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1	Interview Process and Site Visit Sections Missing Required Information		
	<u>Issue</u> Sections 2.3 and 2.4 have not been completed per template requirements and are incomplete. During their on-site visit, the VVB interviewed the same people on the same day for VCS Projects 2002, 2001, 2000, 1999 and 1997. The VVB must clearly explain how it was possible to perform 5 site visits on the same day, and why it was appropriate to interview the same people for 5 different projects. <u>Action Required</u> The VVB must update Section 2.3 to: - describe the interview process, how interviewees were selected, what topics were discussed and what additional information was provided to them by each of the interviewees. - Justify why the same people were interviewed for 5 different VCS projects. - Justify why telephonic interviews were conducted if the VVB performed a site visit (not remote) The VVB must update Section 2.4 to: - Include a detailed description of all project activity locations visited and the physical and organizational aspects of the project inspected. - describe the objectives of the site visit and the methods used during the site visit (beyond cross checking documents). - Justify how it was possible to perform site visits for 5 projects on the same day	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> The section 2.3 & 2.4 are now completed as per the template requirement. The reference to the telephonic interview was mentioned by mistake which is corrected now. It shall be noted that all 5 projects are implemented by SPVs of Wind Energy Holding in same site and having similar monitoring procedure. All the 5 projects are located within 25 km radius and PP have a one common site office at Sap Phlu Noi, Huai Bong, Dan Khun Thot District, Nakhon Ratchasima 30210. Also, the O&M manager is taking care of all 5 sites. Hence, verification team able to complete site visits combinedly on same day & interview same people for all the 5 projects. <u>Verra Response</u> The requested updates were made. This finding is closed, and no further action is required. Round 2 <u>VVB Response</u> <u>Verra Response</u>	Closed

	Justify how a reasonable level of assurance was achieved <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.3 Section 4.1.2, 4.1.11		
--	--	--	--

2 Conflicting Information Provided Regarding Project Description Deviations			
	<u>Issue</u> Section 4.1 of the VR states: "The assessment team found that the project is in line with the registered VCS PD except the deviation described in section 3.3 above, monitoring report, and no other deviation on project design or monitoring plan is observed" "There are some mistakes in the registered PD. The same is corrected in MR and described as project description deviation in the MR./01/" However, no PD deviations are described in the MR or VR. <u>Action Required</u> The VVB must clarify what is meant by these statements and update Section 3.3 to include any PD deviations, if applicable. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Standard v4.3 Section 4.1.11, 3.19	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> There is no deviation involved in this project. The statements in the section 4.1 of the VR has been corrected now. <u>Verra Response</u> The revision is acceptable. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.	Closed