

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification Approval
Project ID	1103
Project Name	Upgrade of Dominican Power Partner’s Los Mina power station from open cycle to combined cycle power generation
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	01 April 2017 – 31 October 2021
Project Proponent	Dominican Power Partners, LCD
Methodology	ACM0007, Conversion from single cycle to combined cycle power generation, v6.1.0
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A. (Applus+ Certification)
Assessment Criteria	VCS Version 4.2
Date of First Issue	9 August 2022
Review Conclusion	Closed
Date of Final Issue	6 December 2022

FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p><u>Implementation status not described</u></p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 3.1 of the monitoring report does not include a description of the implementation status of the project, the operation during the monitoring period, or if there have been any events that may impact the GHG emission reductions or removals.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>1.The VVB must ensure the project proponent updates Section 3.1 monitoring report to include a description of the implementation status of the project during the current monitoring period.</p> <p>2. The VVB must clarify how they assessed the implementation status of the project during the current monitoring period.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0, Section 3.1</p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The description of the implementation status of the project, the operation during the monitoring period has been added in the section 3.1 of the MR. Same has been verified and found to be correct. 2. The FVR has been updated and provide assessment of the implementation status of the project during the current monitoring period in section 4.1 of the FVR. <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>Section 3.1 of the monitoring report has been updated to include a description of the implementation status of the project. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.</p>	Closed
2	<p><u>Methodology deviation status not provided</u></p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 3.2 of the verification report states that no methodology deviation has been identified during registration or the current monitoring period, whereas Section 2.6 of</p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There was no deviation observed during the current monitoring period. However, the section 3.2 of the FVR is corrected now as per PD. 2. Section 3.2.1 of the MR updated by the PP. Accordingly, the section 3.2 of the FVR is updated. 	Closed

<p>the project description (version 4) does describe a methodology deviation.</p> <p>Action item</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The VVB must clarify the status of the methodology deviation described in the project description. 2. The VVB must clarify how it assessed if methodology deviations were applied to the project. <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template, v4.1, Section 3.2; VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.18.3</p>	<p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The monitoring report and the verification report have been updated to clarify the description of the methodology deviation. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.</p>
<p>3 <u>Impact of project description deviation not described</u></p> <p>Issue</p> <p>Section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report does not identify whether the EF grid project description deviation impacts the applicability of the methodology, additionality or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario.</p> <p>Action item</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent updates Section 3.2.2 of the monitoring report to identify the impacts of the project description deviation. 2. The VVB must describe what process it used to assess the impact of the project description deviation on the project in Section 3.3 of the verification report. <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.0, Section 3.2.2; VCS Verification Report</p>	<p>Round 1: Closed</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the project description, EF grid is one of the monitored parameter. However, as per the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” (Version 02.2.0), the ex-ante method was chosen. Therefore, the calculated value of EF grid should be fixed for the whole crediting period and not be calculated annually. The value is used for calculation and fixed for the whole crediting period is 0.6765 tCO₂/MWh. As constant value is considered for whole crediting period as per the tool, this change does not impact the applicability of the methodology, additionality or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario. 2. FVR section 3.3 has been updated. <p>Verra Review: The verification report and the monitoring report have been updated to address the impact of the project description deviation. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.</p>

Template, v4.1, Section 3.3; VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.19.3

<p>4 <u>Incorrect GWP value applied for methane</u></p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 4.1 of the monitoring report applies a value of 21 as the GWP for methane, rather than the values from AR4 or AR5 as required by the <i>VCS Standard, v4.2</i>.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent updates the value of the GWP for methane and the associated calculations. 2. The VVB must assess the updated monitoring report and update the verification report, as needed. <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p><i>VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.14.4</i></p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MR section 4.1 has been corrected. Same has been found to be correct. 2. The section 4.5 of the FVR has been updated accordingly. <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The reports have been updated to use the GWP value of 28, consistent with AR5. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>5 <u>Calibration delay not described in monitoring report</u></p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The reasons for the delay in calibration and the results of the calibration are not described in the monitoring report.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent updates Section 3.1 of the monitoring report to describe the reasons 	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reason for calibration delay has been added in the section 3.1 of the MR by the PP and same has been found to be correct. 2. The section 4.5 of the FVR has been updated about calibration delay. <p>Verra Review: The monitoring report and verification report have been updated to address the calibration delay and to confirm that the meters were operating properly. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.</p>	<p>Closed</p>

for the delay in calibration and the results of the calibration.

2. The VVB must clarify the value of the maximum permissible error applied for the delay period in Section 4.5 of the verification report.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.15.5

6 Comparison with ex-ante emissions not included

Issue

The monitoring report and verification report do not describe how achieved emission reductions compare with estimated emission reductions or the cause of any discrepancy.

Action item

1. The VVB must ensure that the project proponent updates Section 5.4 of the monitoring report to clarify the difference between estimated and achieved emission reductions.

2. The VVB must revise the conclusion in Section 5 of the verification report to clarify how it assessed any differences between estimated and achieved emissions reductions.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Verification Report Template, v4.1, Section 5

Round 1:

WB Response:

1. Section 5.4 of the MR is updated for comparing ex ante and current emission reductions and explanations.

2. Section 5 of the FVR has been updated for differences between estimated and achieved emissions reductions.

Verra Review:

The monitoring report and verification report have been updated to confirm that actual emission reductions are 27.52% lower than estimated. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.

Closed

<p>7 <u>Start date discrepancy between verification report and monitoring report</u></p> <p>Issue</p> <p>Section 1.5 of the monitoring report states that the project start date has changed to 01/04/2017, whereas Section 1.4 of the verification report states that the project start date is 01/01/2016.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must clarify the project start date in Section 1.4 of the verification report.</p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>WB Response: The project start date is corrected in section 1.4 of the FVR.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The verification report has been updated to confirm the start date of the project. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>8 <u>Data and parameters not identified in verification report</u></p> <p>Issue</p> <p>Section 4.4 of the verification report does not identify the data and parameters used to calculate the GHG emission reductions and removals and does not describe the steps of assessment.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must revise section 4.4 of the verification report to identify the data and parameters and describe the steps taken to assess the accuracy, methods, and appropriateness of the data and formulas.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template, v4.1, Section 4.4</p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>WB Response: The section 4.4 of FVR is updated now.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The verification report has been updated to identify the data and parameters. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.</p>	<p>Closed</p>

9 Verification report does not specify assessed parameter

Issue

Section 4.5 of the verification report describes how a parameter measured by the project has been verified; however, it is not clear which parameter that is.

Action item

The VVB must revise Section 4.5 of the verification report to clarify which parameter is being discussed.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

VCS Verification Report Template, v4.1, Section 4.5

Round 1:

WVB Response: The section 4.5 of FVR is updated now.

Verra Review: The verification report has been updated to clarify the parameters. This finding is closed, and no further action is required.

Closed