

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

Project ID	1439
Project Name	Urla Wind Power Project
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	27 May 2016 – 28 February 2021 (Delete row for validations/CPRs only)
Project Proponent	Egenda Ege Enerji Üretim A.Ş.
Methodology	ACM0002 - Large scale Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources” version 16.0.0
Sectoral Scope(s)	Project category is included in the sectoral scope 1 Energy Industry Renewable Sources
Validation/Verification Body (VVB)	Re Carbon Gözetim Denetim ve Belgelendirme Ltd. Şti.
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.1, VCS Monitoring Report Template 4.1 and VCS Verification Report Template 4.1.
Date of First Issue	14 December 2021
Date of Final Issue	4 January 2022

Summary:

An accuracy review of the *Urla Wind Power Project* verification approval request has been conducted by Verra in accordance with Section 4.3 of the *Registration and Issuance Process*.

The accuracy review has raised one assessment, detailed below. The VVB, in coordination with the project proponent, is hereby required to provide a response to the assessment findings presented in Section 1. The *four* assessment findings must be addressed to the satisfaction of Verra. The VVB need not address the minor findings during this review. Please note, however, that where Verra finds consistent minor findings by the VVB in future reviews, minor findings shall be escalated to assessment findings.

This project review report will be made publicly available. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

1. ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

Finding 1

Section 2.3 of the *VCS Verification Report Template v4.0* states “Describe the interview process and identify personnel, including their roles, who were interviewed and/or provided information additional to that provided in the project description, monitoring report and any supporting documents”

It was noticed that VCS project 1438 and 1439 have the same project proponent with the same VVB group. While such a situation is not a problem. However, Section 2.3 of the verification report of project 1439 has five same stakeholders that are being interviewed with project 1438. Furthermore, the stakeholders that were interviewed have essential roles in managing the powerplants. These roles are Plant Manager, Plant Chief, Electricity Trading Manager, Operator, and Consultant.

The VVB is requested to explain how they identified the stakeholders for project 1439 and provide a justification if these stakeholders are relevant to the project and were appropriate for the interview, given these stakeholders were already interviewed for project 1438.

VVB Response:

It is quite normal to have the common interviewed stakeholders since both mentioned projects have the same the PP and same employees. Therefore, the Plant Manager, Plant Chief, Electricity Trading Manager, Operator and Consultant have been interviewed to discuss about the issues identified in the Table 2.3 of the verification report as the representatives of PP and the other entity involved in the project as carbon consultant. Besides that, the local stakeholders were also interviewed and those were different in the mentioned projects since the closest settlements are different and the interviewed ones were the ones available at the time of online (remote) site visit. That means, the PP and other entity involved in the project (carbon consultant) and available local stakeholders were interviewed by VVB as detailed in the Section 2.3 and 2.4 of the verification report and as in many recently approved and issued projects by Verra.

Verra Response:

While an entity can become a project proponent of multiple projects, For Consultant, we think that it is appropriate that the same people can become a consultant for two different powerplants. However, the role of Plant Manager, Plant Chief, and Electricity Trading Manager are roles that are suited for one person in one individual power plant. It is rather unlikely that such important roles are conducted by the same three individuals for two different power plants.

Because of this issue, the VVB is further requested to explain and justify how the same three individuals can operate as Plant Manager, Plant Chief, and Electricity Trading Manager in two separate power plants. Knowing how crucial such roles are to be conducted.

VVB Response:

Please see the confirmation email by PP about working of these individuals as Plant Manager, Plant Chief, and Electricity Trading Manager in two separate power plants and these individuals were interviewed during the verification process. Besides that, this is also beyond of the control of VVB to decide about organizational structure of PP like which individuals will work for which power plants, allocation of responsibilities by PP etc.

Verra Response:

The project proponent has justified the role of the employees between the two plants. The finding is now closed.

2. MINOR FINDINGS

3. ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

On December 14, 2021, Verra conducted a review of the verification approval request for project ID 1439, Urla Wind Power Project, the results of which can be found above. The project review report was sent to Egenda Ege Enerji Üretim A.Ş (the project proponent) and Re Carbon Gözetim Denetim ve Belgelendirme Ltd. Şti (the VVB) with one assessment finding and no minor findings.

On December 16, 2021, the VVB send the Findings to Verra.

On December 17, 2021, Verra has responded to the VVB's response, there is currently one assessment finding.

On January 4, 2022, Verra has closed the remaining finding.