Verification and certification report form for GS project activities	
BASIC INFORMATION	
Title and GS reference number of the project activity	West Huaybong 3 wind farm project
Reference Number	GS7746
GS Version	GS4GG
Scale of the project activity	☒ Large-scale ☐ Small-scale
Version number of the verification and certification report	2
Completion date of the verification and certification report	11/03/2025
Monitoring period number and duration of this monitoring period	Monitoring period, no: 2 Duration: 01/11/2021 to 31/12/2023 (both days are included)
Version number of the monitoring report to which this report applies	2
Crediting period of the project activity corresponding to this monitoring period	01/12/2019 - 30/11/2024 (renewable)
Project participants	Kosher Climate India Private Limited
Host Party	Thailand
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	ACM0002: Consolidated baseline methodology for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources, Version 20
Sectoral scopes	Sectoral Scope 01
SDG Impact Certified	SDG 13: Emission reduction – 241,701 tCO2e 2021 – 31,498 tCO2e 2022 – 94,463 tCO2e 2023 – 1,15,740 tCO2e SDG 3: Community development activities undertaken-06 2021 – 0 Nos 2022 – 5 Nos 2023 – 1 Nos SDG 7: Quantity of net electricity supplied to the grid - 424,635 MWh 2021 – 55,338 MWh 2022 – 165,958 MWh 2023 – 203,339 MWh SDG 8: Number of Jobs created – 28 Nos 2021 – 28 Nos

	2022 – 28 Nos (Remain same) 2023 – 28 Nos (Remain same) SDG 8: Number of trainings conducted 10 Nos 2021 – 0 trainings 2022 – 7 trainings 2023 – 3 trainings
Name of the VVB	4K Earth Science Private Limited
Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification and certification report	Chandrakala R  Managing Director (14/03/2025)

SECTION A. Executive summary

4K Earth Science Private Limited (4KES) has been commissioned by "Kosher Climate India Private Limited" to perform an independent verification of its registered GS project "West Huaybong 3 wind farm project", GS Ref No: GS7746 for the reported GHG emission reductions for the given monitoring period 01/11/2021 - 31/12/2023 (both dates included). The GS CDM projects must undergo independent third-party verification and certification of emission reductions as the basis for issuance of Gold Standard Verified Emission Reductions (GS VERs)

The objectives of this verification exercise are, by review of objective evidence, to establish that:

- The project activity has been implemented and operated as per the registered PDD and that all physical features (technology, project equipment, and monitoring and metering equipment) of the project are in place;
- Monitoring report and other supporting documents are complete;
- The actual monitoring systems & procedures and monitoring report conforms with the requirements of the approved monitoring plan and the approved monitoring methodology;
- The data is recorded and stored as per the monitoring methodology and approved monitoring plan.

Scope:

The scope of the verification is the independent and objective review and ex post determination of the monitored reductions in GHG emission by the project activity. The verification is based on review of monitoring report, supporting information and

(a) The registered GS PDD & CDM PDD
(b) The approved methodology mentioned in the GS PDD
(c) GS4GG Transition Annex
(d) The registered monitoring plan
(e) Relevant decisions, clarifications and guidance from the CMP and the CDM Executive Board
(f) Applicable GS4GG guidance
(g) GS/CDM Validation and Verification Standard (VVS)/10/
(h) All information and references relevant to the project activity's resulting in emission reductions
(i) Information related to monitoring of SDG parameters
(j) Gold Standard Validation and Verification Standard/10/

The project is assessed against the requirements of the Gold Standard for Global Goals requirements and related rules and guidance, GS Project Standard for project activities/9/, the gold standard safeguarding principles and requirements, gold standard renewable energy activity requirements and GHG emissions reduction & sequestration product requirements.

4KES has based on the recommendations in the latest version of GS/CDM Validation and Verification Standard/10/ and Gold Standard Validation and Verification Standard/10/, employed a rule-based approach in the verification, focusing on the identification of significant reporting risks and the reliability of project monitoring.

Description of project:
'First Korat Wind Company' the Project Developer has setup up wind power project with a total installed capacity of 103.5 MW in Nakhon Ratchasima Province of Thailand. The project activity generates electricity and sells it to electricity authority. The project activity installation comprises 45 Wind Turbine Generator (WTGs) of 2.30 MW each.

The project activity is commissioned on 14th November 2012. The Verification confirms it by verifying the commissioning certificate/11/. The project activity is also registered under CDM and the registration details are given below: Project title: West Huaybong 3 wind farm project
Reference number: 7474
Registration Date: 29/10/2012
1st Crediting period: 01/12/2012 – 30/11/2019
2nd Crediting period: 01/12/2019 – 30/11/2024

The project activity is claiming GS VER from the 2nd crediting period onwards.

Methodology:

4KES follows a rule-based verification approach, wherein, as a first step, the contract review is undertaken as per latest version of CDM Accreditation Standard. Subsequently, after the contract is signed, the Gold Standard Verification work plan of the project activity is made available at Gold Standard registry in accordance with Gold Standard rules.

A desk review of the project documentation is undertaken, which is followed by site visit and interviews by the members of verification team in accordance with the latest version of CDM/GS AS. The verification protocol is filled by the verification team that is based on standard auditing practices and latest version of CDM VVS/GS VVS to capture the assessment of applicable CDM & GS requirements viz., latest version of CDM/GS Project Standard, applicable GS4GG guidelines, registered GS-PDD, applied methodology/ies and/or tools and recent decisions. The verification protocol provides transparent means to record the observations and compliances by the verification team members and the nonconformities (CARs/CLs), if any. The verification protocol is an internal document, and is available on request. After successful closure of findings (CARs/CLs), the draft verification report is prepared which went through Independent technical review as per 4KES internal procedures and the TR comments were given for any gaps in audit findings. After closure of the TR comments, final verification report is prepared then followed by final approval for the decision made. The approved verification report is given to PP which shall be submitted for request for issuance.

Following are the major milestones for the verification under consideration.

Verification contract	03/07/2023 (Initial Contract) 05/04/2024 (Amendment Contract)
Site visit	19/09/2024
Draft Verification Report	16/12/2024
Final Verification Report	14/03/2025

SECTION B. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Verification team member

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/ Document Review	On-site Inspection	Interviews	Verification findings
1.	Team Leader and Technical Expert (TA 1.2) (GS Approved Auditor)	IR	S R	Anand	4KES Central Office	x	x	x	x
2	Team Member (GS Approved Auditor)	IR	D V	Ganesh	4KES Central Office	x	x	x	x

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification and certification report

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical Reviewer	IR	Puratchikkanal	Ma Paa	4KES Central Office
2	Approver	IR	R	Chandrakala	4KES Central Office

SECTION C. Application of materiality

C.1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

No.	Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements	Assessment of the risk		Response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan
		Risk level	Justification	
1.	Transfer of data from sampling survey sheet to excel ER spreadsheet	Low	Possible human error during transfer of data to ER spreadsheets and MR	Thorough cross-check required on the transfer of data to the ER spreadsheet and MR.
2	Wrong data collection	Low	It's not complicated monitoring process. Appropriate trainings are conducted for the monitoring personnel.	By means of interview with local stakeholders and employees of plant

C.2. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

The prescribed thresholds for materiality, as per as per §326 of VVS for PA, version 03/9/.

Prescribed range of ERs/annum	500,000+	300,000+ to 500,000	300,000	SSC PAs	MSC PAs
Prescribed Threshold	0.5%	1.0%	2.0%	5.0%	10.0%

The identified/selected materiality threshold for the project activity under current monitoring period is 2% as project activity is large scale with annual emission reduction is less than 300,000 VERs per annum.

	MR Version (Draft)	MR Version (Final)
Emission reductions/annum	241,701 tCO_2e	241,701 tCO2e
Identified Threshold	2.0%	2.0%

The impact of errors observed during verification for each monitoring parameter on the emission reduction calculation is provided below:

Parameter	Verification approach	Error identified	Corrected	Within Threshold
$EG_{facility,y}$	Complete data check	No error identified	NA	Yes

SECTION D. Means of verification

D.1. Desk/document review

The verification is performed primarily as a desk review of the documents submitted at various stages of assessments. The review is performed by assessment team using verification protocols (checklists). The assessment team cross-checked the information provided in the MR and information from sources other than those used, if available, and also conducts independent background investigations. 4KES conducted a desk review, involving but not limited to,

- A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness;
- A review of the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures;
- A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission • reductions;
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions. The list of documents reviewed is included in the section ‘Appendix 3’ of this report.

D.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: 19/09/2024				
No.	**Activity performed on-site**	**Site location**	**Date**	**Team member**
1.	Opening Meeting, Office Inspection, Verification of monitoring records, interviews and database inspection	Site office	19/09/2024	Anand S R Ganesh D V
2.	Plant visit and interview with stakeholders	Site		
3	Closing meeting	Site office		

D.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject
	Last name	First name	Affiliation		
1.	Thansirikoon	Nojeng	Site In charge	19/09/2024	- General aspects of the project - Quality management system - Involved personnel and responsibilities - Training and practice of the operational personnel - Implementation of the monitoring plan - Monitoring data management - Data uncertainty and residual risks - Procedural aspects of the Monitoring - Calibration - Data analysis - ER Calculations - MR editorial issues - SDG benefits - Impact of project - Grievances
2	Songpan	Narong	Site O&M Manager		
3	Punmaha	Wissanu	Project Engineer		
4	Hat-Khun-Thot	Aranya	Local stakeholder		
5	Kuthinnok	Chonlaeard	Local stakeholder		

The summary of interviews with local stakeholders are given below:

Name: Aranya Hat-Khun-Thot (Female)
Question: Do you face any shadow flickering or noise from the wind turbines? Answer: The wind mills are located far from the residence and hence the shadow flickering or noise is negligible.
Question: Is there any impact to the agriculture due to the project? Answer: No impact to the agriculture due to this project
Question: Is there any impact to environment due to the project? Answer: The project was implementation is done without much disturbance to the ecology. So, there is no impact to the environment due to this project. Moreover, it produces clean electricity which reduce impact to the environment by avoiding grid electricity.
Question: Is there any employment provided to local people? Answer: Yes, local people got employed in O&M team and by Security agency in the project
Question: Is there any issue of noise or shadow flickering due to the operation of wind turbine? Answer: No, all the wind turbines are located far away from the settlements as you can see. So, there no such issues

Name: Chonlaeard Kuthinnok (Male)
Question: Is there any issue of soil erosion or impact on water bodies due to the project? Answer: There is no issue of soil erosion or water body pollution.
Question: What you like about the project? Answer: The project generates green electricity and provides employment for local people. Also, the community development activities which are very useful for local people.
Question: What you don't like about the project? Answer: None

D.4. Sampling approach

Not applicable

D.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Areas of verification findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form	-	-	
FARs from previous validation/verification	-	1	-
Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered PDD	-	-	-
Post-registration changes	-	-	-
Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodologies including applicable tools and stan dardized baselines	-	-	-
Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan	-	-	-
Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments	-	-	-
Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals	-	-	-
Assessment of reported other SDG benefits	-	1	-
Safeguarding reporting	-	-	-
Stakeholder Inputs & Legal Dispute	-	-	-
Others (Proof, Supporting documents, Excel sheet)	1	2	-
Total	1	4	-

SECTION E. Verification findings

E.1. Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form

Means of verification	PP used GS template, version 1.1 /8/which is a valid version. All the sections of the form were filled as per the GS4GG guidelines and gave all the relevant details.
Findings	No findings raised
Conclusion	The monitoring report is prepared based on the Version 1.1 GS4GG monitoring report template which is valid at the time of assessment. All sections of the MR is filled correctly.

E.2. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications

Means of verification	This is the 2nd verification of the project activity. Verification team checked the following reports for any pending issues from validation and first verification: • GS Previous Verification report/4/ • GS design review report/5/ • GS performance review report of first verification/5/ From above mentioned documents the verification team confirms that no Forward Action Requests from previous verification.
Findings	CAR 02 was raised and closed successfully
Conclusion	There is no FAR in this verification

E.3. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document

Means of verification	The verification team determined the conformity of the actual project activity and its operation with the validated project design document. Verification team has, by means of a desk review and an on-site visit, assessed that all physical features of the GS project activity proposed in the registered PDD/3/ are in place, and that the project participants have operated the GS project activity as per the registered PDD/3/. The verification team has checked the information in the monitoring report and compared against the registered PDD. During the onsite inspection, the verification team has checked the project locations, implementation, technology applied, project equipment, and monitoring system against the information in the registered PDD. Interviews with operational personnel and stakeholders have been carried out. The project is registered under CDM (CDM 7650) and now transiting to GS4GG. PP confirmed they will not claim the CERs for the same monitoring period under CDM. PP also confirmed the project is neither registered as VPA under CDM PoA nor registered under any other carbon mechanism. The verification team confirmed the same through verification of the following registries: • CDM (https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/projsearch.html) • Gold Standard (https://registry.goldstandard.org/) • VCS (https://registry.verra.org/) • GCC (https://projects.globalcarboncouncil.com/) The verification also checked the following REC registries and confirmed the project is not registered as REC project: • International REC Registry (https://evident.global/device-register) Also, the project developer provide a declaration letter/22/ that the project is registered nowhere other than Gold Standard registry. Hence, verification team conclude that there are no possibilities of double counting of credits generated from this project.
Findings	No findings

Conclusion	The verification team concludes that the project activity was implemented and operated as per registered PDD. The verification team, based on the site visit and document review, was able to conclude that the project activity has been commissioned and implemented as per the registered PDD/3/ and that all physical features of the project are in place.

E.4. Post-registration changes

E.4.1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents[1]

No temporary deviation from the registered monitoring plan is sought in this verification.

E.4.2. Corrections

No correction is sought in this verification.

E.4.3. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

The start date of crediting period has been changed from 01/02/2018 to 01/12/2019.

E.4.4. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Monitoring plan was already included in the approved PDD. Hence, not applicable.

E.4.5. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

No permanent changes or deviation in the registered monitoring plan is sought

E.4.6. Changes to the project design

No change in project design is sought in this monitoring

E.4.7. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Not applicable

E.5. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with applied methodologies, applied standardized baselines, and other applied methodological regulatory documents

Means of verification	The verification team checked compliance of project monitoring plan with the applied methodology (ACM002, version 20)/6/ and including applicable tools.
Findings	No findings
Conclusion	All parameters stated in the monitoring plan and the applied methodology has been fulfilled in the current monitoring report. All baseline emission parameters have been verified and found satisfactory. The discussion regarding each parameter has been elaborated in the further sections of this report. The monitoring plan as mentioned in the registered PDD is in accordance with the applied methodology. In the opinion of the verification team the monitoring report complies with the requirement of the registered PDD/3/ and applied methodology /6/ in the context of the project activity. Thus, it conforms to the requirement of VVS for PA, version 03.

E.6. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

E.6.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

[1] Other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the applied(selected) methodologies are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents).

Means of verification	The verification team has checked the ex-ante parameters and data stated in Section D.1 of MR and compared with section B.6.2 of the registered PDD/3/ whether all parameters fixed ex-ante for the crediting period have been applied correctly. **Ex-ante Parameter** | **Value** | **Consistent with the PDD/3/ & the source mentioned in it** $EF_{grid, CM, y}$ | 0.5692 tCO_2/MWh | Yes $EF_{grid, BM, y}$ | 0.5609 tCO_2/MWh | Yes $EF_{grid, OM, y}$ | 0.5719 tCO_2/MWh | Yes
Findings	No finding
Conclusion	The values of ex ante fixed parameters have been verified from the registered PDD/3/. Same has been crosschecked with the source mentioned in the PDD and found to be consistent. The verification team confirms that the values used/applied are correct and justified. Also, the ex-ante values have been correctly applied in the calculation of emission reductions.

E.6.2. Data and parameters monitored

Means of verification	The verification team has determined whether the registered monitoring plan has been properly implemented and followed by the PP that the monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the registered monitoring plan; and determined whether all parameters including project emission parameters, baseline emission parameters and leakage parameters used for emission reduction calculation stated in the registered monitoring plan are monitored or used appropriately as per the registered PDD. During the verification all monitoring parameters listed in Section D.2 of MR were compared with section B.7.1 of the registered PDD have been verified with regard to the: (i) appropriateness of the applied measurement / determination method, (ii) the correctness of the values applied for ER calculation, (iii) the accuracy, and applied QA/QC measures. The monitored values are assessed as follows: $EG_{facility,l,y}$: The parameter "Quantity of net electricity supplied by the project plant to the grid" is calculated based on the export & import reading which measured through export & import meter continuously (and recorded monthly) has been verified against monthly EGAT statement and PEA bills respectively/12/ and the export reading is crosschecked with the monthly electricity sale invoices/12/. No discrepancy found in any of the records. Hence, the value considered in the MR is correct. Please refer section E.9 for assessment of SDG parameter monitoring.
Findings	No findings
Conclusion	Corresponding to the VVS for PA V03/9/, the team confirm that the monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the registered PDD/3/. The monitoring system is in compliance with the information flow for the parameters as mentioned in monitoring plan in registered PDD/3/.

E.6.2.1. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	No sampling involved in monitoring. Hence not applicable
Findings	NA
Conclusion	NA

E.7. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

<table>
<tr><td>Means of verification</td><td>The calibration details/13/ such as make, accuracy class serial number is as per the meter available onsite which was shared by PP and checked by verification team and found the details in line with approved PDD. The calibration details/13/ are provided below:

<table>
<tr><th>METRE</th><th>FREQUENCY</th><th>CALIBRATION DATE</th><th>DUE DATE</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="3">96499384
96499385</td><td rowspan="3">2 Years</td><td>11 Jul 2018</td><td>10 Jul 2020</td></tr>
<tr><td>24 Jul 2019</td><td>23 Jul 2021</td></tr>
<tr><td>21 Oct 2021</td><td>20 Oct 2023</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td>12 Dec 2022</td><td>11 Dec 2024</td></tr>
</table>
The metering system complies with the PPA/23/, with the export meter maintaining an accuracy of +/- 0.2%, and the import meter at +/- 0.5%, both in line with manufacturer specifications. Calibration is conducted within the required two-year frequency, with the export meters calibrated regularly, and the import meter calibration under the control of PEA, although calibration reports/13/ are not available to PD. As a conservative measure, PD applies a 0.5% error adjustment to the import electricity values to account for this lack of calibration data.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Findings</td><td>No findings</td></tr>
<tr><td>Conclusion</td><td>The verification team confirms that the calibration has been conducted at the required frequency in accordance with the relevant industry standards, as specified in the methodology and the monitoring plan in the registered PDD. This conclusion is based on the verification of calibration details /13/ provided by the PP, which were found to be accurate..</td></tr>
</table>

E.8. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals

E.8.1. Calculation of baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification	As per the methodology the emission reduction is directly calculated. Baseline & Project emissions are not calculated separately. The verification team has checked whether calculations of GHG emission reduction calculation have been carried out in accordance with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan. In detail the following has been verified: <u>Transparency:</u> It has been checked whether the calculation of baseline emissions is fully traceable and, where used, the Excel calculation provides all calculation formulae. <u>Parameter consistency:</u> It has been checked whether all internal and external parameters and data used for the calculation are applied consistently in the monitoring report and the calculation spreadsheet. <u>Correctness</u>: It has been checked whether the applied formulae and methods for calculating baseline emissions are in accordance with the monitoring plan and the approved methodology. <u>Completeness:</u> It has been checked whether all calculations are complete and without omissions PP has submitted the calculation in the excel sheet/2/. The baseline calculation in the excel sheet is checked whether the calculation is in accordance with the formula given in the approved PDD/3/ and the selected methodologies/6/.

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>After verifying the reported figures with the raw data sources, it's confirmed that the values of the parameters from the raw data sources are consistent with those quoted in the Monitoring Report Version 02 and corresponding ER calculation spreadsheets. The verification process for the same has been clearly described in above section of the report. See below for the detailed data:

Baseline Emissions for the amount of electricity supplied by project activity, BE_y is calculated as:

$BEy = EG_{PJ,y} * EF_{grid,CM,y}$

BE_y = Baseline emissions in year y (tCO_2/yr)

$EG_{PJ,y}$ = Quantity of net electricity generation that is produced and fed into the grid as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y (MWh/yr).
$EF_{grid,CM,y}$ =Combined margin CO2 emission factor for grid connected power generation in year y calculated using the latest version of the "Tool to calculate the Emission Factor for an electricity system".

As per para 41 of ACM0002, version 20/6/
when the project activity is installation of Greenfield power plant, then:

$EG_{PJ,y} = EG_{facility,y}$

Were,

$EG_{facility,y}$ = Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project plant/unit to the grid in year y (MWh/yr).

<table><tr><th>Symbol</th><th>Unit</th><th>01/11/2021 – 31/12/2021</th><th>01/01/2022 – 31/12/2022</th><th>01/01/2023 – 31/12/2023</th></tr><tr><td>EGPJ,grid,y</td><td>MWh</td><td>55,338</td><td>165,958</td><td>203,339</td></tr><tr><td>EFgrid,CM,y</td><td>tCO2/MWh</td><td colspan="3">0.5692</td></tr><tr><td>BEy</td><td>tCO2</td><td>31,498</td><td>94,463</td><td>115,740</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>tCO2</td><td colspan="3">241,701</td></tr></table>
*Rounded down values</td></tr>
<tr><td>Findings</td><td>No findings</td></tr>
<tr><td>Conclusion</td><td>The verification team confirms the following:
The calculations of baseline GHG emissions have been carried out in accordance with the equations and methods described in the registered monitoring plan and applied methodology. The verification team is able to confirm that the parameters are in line with the VVS for PA, Version 03 para 373
The emission factor applied is an ex-ante value valid for the fixed crediting period.
Any assumptions used in emission or removal calculations have been justified.
Appropriate emission factor and other reference values have been correctly.
Hence the baseline emission calculated for the monitoring period (ie, 241,701tCO2e) is correct.</td></tr>
</table>

E.8.2. Calculation of project GHG emissions or actual net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification	The project is a wind power generation project which does not involve any project emissions from fossil fuel, operation of dry, flash steam or binary geothermal power plants, and from water reservoirs of hydro power plants. Hence the $PE_y = 0$
Findings	No findings
Conclusion	As per the approved PDD, the project emission is considered as zero which is found to be correct.

E.8.3. Calculation of leakage GHG emissions

Means of verification	As per the applied methodology, for wind project there is no leakage emission involved. Hence $LE_y = 0$
Findings	No finding
Conclusion	The leakage emission is considered as zero which is found to be appropriate for the wind project.

E.8.4. Summary calculation of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification

MR demonstrates the summary of GHG emission reductions for the monitoring period and calculated according to the applied methodologies as follows:

$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y$

Period	BEy (tCO_2)	PEy (tCO_2)	LEy (tCO_2)	ERy (tCO_2)
01/11/2021 – 31/12/2021	31,498	0	0	31,498
01/01/2022 – 31/12/2022	94,463	0	0	94,463
01/01/2023 – 31/12/2023	1,15,740	0	0	1,15,740
TOTAL	**241,701**	0	0	**241,701**

The ER calculation sheet and monitoring report is verified to check the calculation.

Findings	CL01 is raised and closed satisfactorily
Conclusion	The verification team confirms the following: • The emission reduction value reported is verified to be correct. • The summary table in the MR has been filled correctly and the values are in line with the related emissions reduction spreadsheet. • The verification team is able to confirm that the parameters are in line with the VVS Version 03 section 373.

E.8.5. Comparison of actual GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks with estimates in registered PDD

<table>
<tr><td>Means of verification</td><td>The verification team has checked whether the MR includes a comparison of actual values of the monitoring period with the estimations in the registered PDD/3/. Section E.5 of the MR includes a comparison of the calculated actual emission reductions with the ex-ante calculated values in the registered PDD
<table>
<tr><td>Emission reduction estimated as per the approved PDD/3/</td><td>Actual emission reduction achieved as per Monitoring report/1/</td></tr>
<tr><td>241,701 tCO2e</td><td>241,701 t CO2e</td></tr>
</table>
The actual emission reduction achieved during the monitoring period is less than the estimation in the PDD.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Findings</td><td>No finding</td></tr>
<tr><td>Conclusion</td><td>According to the registered PDD/3/, the estimated annual emission reduction was 286,795 t CO2e. During this monitoring period, the project activity reduced carbon emissions over a total of 791 days. When calculating the estimated emission reduction based on the total number of project activity days, the result came to 241,701 t CO2e. This value has been deemed acceptable by the Verification team. The estimated emission reduction as per PDD and the actual emission reduction achieved for the monitoring period are correctly reported in the section E.5 of MR. Since the actual ER is less that estimated ER, hence no justification is required.</td></tr>
</table>

E.8.6. Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered PDD

Means of verification	The verification team has determined the GS VER achieved during this monitoring period with the estimated value and reason for increase if any.
Findings	No findings
Conclusion	The actual achieved emission reduction is less than the PDD estimation due to lesser PLF achieved during the monitoring period. Hence no justification is required.

E.9. Assessment of reported other SDG benefits

<table>
<tr><td>Relevant SDG</td><td>SDG 13</td></tr>
<tr><td>Parameter description</td><td>GHG Emission Reduction</td></tr>
<tr><td>Source</td><td>ER Calculation sheet</td></tr>
<tr><td>Monitored Value</td><td>241,701 tCO_2</td></tr>
<tr><td>Means of verification</td><td>Refer section E.8</td></tr>
<tr><td>Findings</td><td>CAR 03 was raised and closed successfully</td></tr>
<tr><td>Conclusion</td><td>The parameter is monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan. All the monitored parameter values reported in the MR are found to be correct.
<table>
<tr><th>Parameter</th><th>vintage</th><th>Baseline value</th><th>Project Value</th><th>Net Benefit</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4">GHG Emission Reduction</td><td>01/11/2021 to 31/12/2021</td><td>0</td><td>31,498</td><td>31,498</td></tr>
<tr><td>01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022</td><td>0</td><td>94,463</td><td>94,463</td></tr>
<tr><td>01/01/2023 to 31/12/2023</td><td>0</td><td>115,740</td><td>115,740</td></tr>
<tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>0</td><td>241,701</td><td>241,701</td></tr>
</table></td></tr>
</table>

	The actual emission reduction achieved during the current monitoring period is less than the estimated value as per PDD (i.e., 241,701 t CO_2e) due to the lower PLF achieved during the current monitoring period. This is found to be acceptable.

Relevant SDG	SDG 3
Parameter description	Community Development Activities undertaken
Source	Community Development Activity records and photographic evidence
Monitored Value	06 activities
Means of verification	The community development activity records including photographic evidence/16/ are verified and found that the number of community development activities reported in MR is correct.
Findings	CAR 03 was raised and closed successfully
Conclusion	The parameter is monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan. All the monitored parameter values reported in the MR are found to be correct.

Parameter	Vintage	Baseline value	Project Value	Net Benefit
Community development activities undertaken	01/11/2021 to 31/12/2021	0	0	0
	01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022	0	5	5
	01/01/2023 to 31/12/2023	0	1	1
	Total	0	6	6

The actual number community development activities conducted during monitoring period is higher than the number of community development activities estimated in the PDD (ie, 2). This is due to conservative ex-ante estimation at the time of registration. However, the actual number of community development activities higher than estimated value as PP undertook more community development activities during the monitoring period. This is found to be acceptable.

Relevant SDG	SDG 7
Parameter	**$EG_{facility,y}$:** Quantity of net electricity supplied by the project plant to the grid
Source	Monitored at the project activity site with electricity meters and calculated by subtracting imported electricity from exported electricity.
Monitored Value	424,635 MWh (As recorded)
Means of verification	The parameter “Quantity of net electricity supplied by the project plant to the grid” is calculated based on the export & import reading which measured through export & import meter continuously (and recorded monthly) has been verified against monthly EGAT statement and PEA bills respectively/12/ and the export reading is crosschecked with the monthly electricity sale invoices/12/. No discrepancy found in any of the records. Hence, the value considered in the MR is correct.
Findings	CAR 03 was raised and closed successfully
Conclusion	The parameter is monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan. All the monitored parameter values reported in the MR are found to be correct.

Parameter	Vintage	Baseline Estimate	Project Estimate	Net Benefit

	$EG_{facility,y}$: Quantity of net electricity supplied by the project plant to the grid	01/11/2021 to 31/12/2021	**0**	55,338	55,338
		01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022	**0**	165,958	165,958
		01/01/2023 to 31/12/2023	**0**	203,339	203,339
		TOTAL	**0**	424,635	424,635

The actual net electricity supplied to grid achieved during the current monitoring period is less than the estimated net electricity supplied to grid as per PDD (ie, 424,635 MWh) due to the lower PLF achieved during the current monitoring period. This is not in the control of PP. Hence, this is found to be acceptable.

Relevant SDG	SDG 8
Parameter description	Total employment generated due to the implementation of project activity and number of trainings conducted
Source	Plant employment records & Training records
Monitored Value	Employment generated – 28 Number training conducted - 10
Means of verification	The employment records of PP/18/ are verified and found that the number of jobs reported in MR is correct. The training records of O&M Team/17/ are verified and found that the data reported in MR is correct. It is also confirmed through interview with employees during the site visit.
Findings	CAR 03 was raised and closed successfully
Conclusion	The parameter is monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan. All the monitored parameter values reported in the MR are found to be correct.

Parameter	Vintage	Baseline value	Project Value	Net Benefit
Employment generation	01/11/2021 to 31/12/2021	0	28	28
	01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022	0	28 (employment remained same)	28 (employment remained same)
	01/01/2023 to 31/12/2023	0	28 (employment remained same)	28 (employment remained same)
	TOTAL	0	28	28

Parameter	Vintage	Baseline value	Project Value	Net Benefit
Number of trainings	01/11/2021 to 31/12/2021	0	0	0

	conducted (Nos)	01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022	0	7	7
		01/01/2023 to 31/12/2023	0	3	3
		TOTAL	0	10	10

The number of employment generation achieved during the monitoring period is less than the estimate value in the PDD (i.e., 40 Nos). As per PD, the job requirement is reduced after the plant is stabilized. The justification provided by PD is found to be appropriate.
The actual number of trainings conducted during the monitoring period is higher than the estimated value in the PDD (i.e. 4 Nos). This is due to conservative ex-ante estimation at the time of registration. However, the actual number of trainings conducted higher than estimated value as PD conducted more training program during the monitoring period. This is found to be acceptable.

E.10. Safeguards reporting

Safeguarding Principle	8.2: Erosion and/or Water Body Instability
Parameter	Soil Erosion mitigation measures
Mitigation Measures followed	Construction phase: • Fast-growing and earth-bounding plants such as vetiver grass should be planted in the construction area of the project's road in order to prevent the collapse of soil layers • Stone structure examination and soil test will be conducted in the project's construction area or wind turbine installation area in order to prevent the collapse of soil layers efficiently • Avoid the construction during the rain in order to prevent the soil washed down in the project area Operational phase: Fast-growing and earth-bounding plants should be planted in the area of the project's road in order to prevent the collapse of soil layers
Means of verification	Through site visit observation, interview with site in-charge and verification of construction photographs it is confirmed that the mitigation measures mentioned in PDD are followed during the construction & operation of the plant.
Findings	No findings
Conclusion	PP followed all mitigation measures during construction and operation of the plant.

Safeguarding Principle	**9.5: Hazardous and Non-hazardous Waste**
Parameter	Hazardous waste management
Mitigation Measures followed	The following management measures shall be followed: • Provision of proper temporary storage for hazardous waste • Waste segregation • Waste disposal by an appointed/accredited waste disposer company
Means of verification	Through site visit observation and interview with site in-charge it is confirmed that the Hazardous waste generated at site are managed properly. Also, the waste generation log records have been verified/14/.
Findings	No Findings
Conclusion	PP followed all mitigation measures during construction and operation of the plant.

E.11. Stakeholder Inputs & Legal Dispute

Means of verification	Verification team checked the complaints register and confirmed that no grievances received/15/ during the monitoring period. Verification team checked with PP whether any legal consent or dispute arise during the monitoring period and PPs also confirmed that there are such no legal contests or dispute that has arisen with the project during the monitoring period. Verification team also interviewed stakeholders and independently checked though Google to check any legal dispute ongoing with PP and found no such legal dispute is pending. The Local stakeholder Consultation report/20/ has been checked and verified by PP and found to be correct
Findings	No Findings
Conclusion	The verification team confirms the following: • No grievances received during the current or previous monitoring period • No legal consent or dispute arise during the monitoring period. • Stakeholder feedback report/21/ has been reviewed and find there were no negative comments has been raised.

SECTION F. Internal quality control

The draft verification report prepared by team leader is reviewed by an independent technical reviewer (having competence of relevant technical area himself/herself or through an independent technical area expert) to confirm the internal procedures established by 4KES are duly followed and the verification report/opinion is reached in an objective manner and complies with the applicable Gold Standard & CDM requirements.

The technical review team is collectively required to possess the technical expertise of all the technical area/sectoral scope the project activity relates to. All team members of technical review team are independent of the verification team. The independent technical reviewer(s) may approve or reject the draft verification report. The findings may be identified even at this stage, which needs to be satisfactorily resolved, before submit final report to Client/Gold Standard. The final approval decision is taken by the Head of DOE/Managing Director.

The final decision is authorized by the Managing Director, 4KES, once the report is finalized by the Head of DOE/ DOE Manager.

SECTION G. Verification opinion

The verification team confirms that the evidence is of sufficient quantity, appropriate quality and reliable. The reported values, notation, units and sources in the monitoring report for all the monitoring parameters have been cross checked with the emission reduction sheet and monitoring report. During the course of verification, the data submitted by PP was cross verified with the values mentioned in the emission reduction sheet/2/ and monitoring report/1/. The procedure for data monitoring, recording, transfer and compilation was also verified and found in compliance with the monitoring plan as mentioned in the approved revised PDD/3/.

Evidences (Documents/interview/site visit) referred for verification of individual monitoring parameter and fixed parameters are defined in section E.6 above. It is confirmed by the assessment team that the reported emission reductions have been conservatively calculated. A list of referred documents for verification is also included in Appendix 3 of this report.

Based on the information seen and evaluated we confirm that the implementation of the project has resulted in 241,701 tCO2e emission reductions during period 01/11/2021 to 31/12/2023.

SECTION H. Certification statement

4K Earth Science Private Limited has been contracted by “Kosher Climate India Private Limited” to undertake independent verification and certification for the greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions reported and the contribution to sustainable development indicators from the GS Project activity “West Huaybong 3 wind farm project” and GS Ref# GS7746 for the monitoring period 01/11/2021 to 31/12/2023 (including both dates) in the GS Monitoring Report Version 01 (first version) dated 10/05/2024/1/.

The verification is based on the revised GS PDD and the GS monitoring report for this project. Our verification approach was based on the requirements as defined under the Kyoto Protocol/7/, Marrakech accord, as well as those defined by the Gold Standard Board.

The management of the ‘Kosher Climate India Private Limited’ is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions &monitoring of SDG parameters on the basis set out within the project Final GS Monitoring Report Version 2 dated 30/12/2024/1/. The calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project is the responsibility of the management of the ‘Kosher Climate India Private Limited’. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures are in accordance with the GS Monitoring Report Version 2, dated 30/12/2024/1/

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions reported for the project activity are fairly stated in the GS Monitoring Report (final) Version 2, dated 30/12/2024/1/. 4KES based on outcome of verification activities, certifies in writing that, during the monitoring period 01/11/2021 to 31/12/2023 (including both days), the registered GS project activity “West Huaybong 3 wind farm project” in the registered GS PA achieved the verified amount of 241,701 tCO2e reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would not have occurred in the absence of the PA

The Verified and certified emission reduction during the monitoring period 01/11/2021 to 31/12/2023 (including both dates) is stated below:

Vintage	Duration	Gold Standard Certified emission reductions (tCO_2e)
2021	01/11/2021 - 31/12/2021	31,498
2022	01/01/2022 - 31/12/2022	94,463
2023	01/01/2023 - 31/12/2023	1,15,740
Total	**01/11/2021 - 31/12/2023**	**241,701**

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
4KES	4K Earth Science Private Limited
BE	Baseline Emissions
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CDM EB	CDM Executive Board
CH_4	Methane
CL	Clarification Request
CO_2e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DOE	Designated Operating Entity
EF	Emission Factor
ERs	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
FT	Field Test
GHGs	Greenhouse Gas(es)
GS	Gold Standard
GWP	Global Warming Potential
HH	Household
ISO	International Organization of Standardization
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
KP	Kyoto Protocol
KPT	Kitchen Performance Test
LE	Leakage Emissions
MDG	Millennium Development Goal
MP	Monitoring Plan
MR	Monitoring Report
NCV	Net Calorific Value
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NRB	Non-Renewable Biomass
PE	Project Emissions
PDD	Project Design Document
PS	Project Standard
PCIA	Partnership for Clean Indoor Air
PCP	Project Cycle Procedure
SD	Sustainable Development
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
SHG	Self Help Group
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VER	Verified Emission Reduction
VVB	Validation and Verification Body
VVS	Validation & Verification Standard

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

Certificate of Competence							
Name	☒ Mr. ☐ Ms.	**Anand S R**					
Qualification Procedure		*Fulfils the requirement as per the appointment of personnel procedure of 4KES for Validation and Verification of CDM/VCS/GS/GCC/GHG Projects.*					
Appointed to work as:							
	Validator/ Verifier	**Team Leader**	**Trainee**	**Technical Expert**	**Technical Reviewer**	**Financial Expert**	**Approver**
Appointed	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Appointed Date	15-07-2023						
Authorized to work as Technical Expert for:							
Authorized Technical Area	**Sectoral Scope**		**TA Code**		**Technical Area within the scope**		
	Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)		1.2		Renewables		
Authorized to work as Local Expert for:							
Country/Countries	India						
Compliance check by:		M P Kanal					

Certificate of Competence							
Name	☒ Mr. ☐ Ms.	**Ganesh Jithamanyu D V**					
Qualification Procedure		*Fulfils the requirement as per the appointment of personnel procedure of 4KES for Validation and Verification of CDM/VCS/GS/GCC/GHG Projects.*					
Appointed to work as:							
	Validator/ Verifier	**Team Leader**	**Trainee**	**Technical Expert**	**Technical Reviewer**	**Financial Expert**	**Approver**
Appointed	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Appointed Date	15-07-2023						
Authorized to work as Technical Expert for:							
Authorized Technical Area	**Sectoral Scope**		**TA Code**		**Technical Area within the scope**		
	Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)		1.2		Renewables		
Authorized to work as Local Expert for:							
Country/Countries	India						
Compliance check by:		Anand S R					

Certificate of Competence

Name	☒ Mr. ☐ Ms.	**Ma Paa Puratchikkanal**
Qualification Procedure		*Fulfils the requirement as per the appointment of personnel procedure of 4KES for Validation and Verification of CDM/VCS/GS/GCC/GHG Projects.*

Appointed to work as:

	Validator/ Verifier	**Team Leader**	**Trainee**	**Technical Expert**	**Technical Reviewer**	**Financial Expert**	**Approver**
Appointed	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Appointed Date	15-07-2023						

Authorized to work as Technical Expert for:

	Sectoral Scope	**TA Code**	**Technical Area within the scope**
Authorized Technical Area	Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)	1.1	Thermal energy generation
	Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)	1.2	Renewables
	Energy demand	3.1	Energy demand
	Construction	6.1	Construction
	Waste handling and disposal	13.1	Solid waste and wastewater
	Waste handling and disposal	13.2	Manure
	Agriculture	15.1	Agriculture

Authorized to work as Local Expert for:

Country/Countries	India and Sri Lanka

Compliance check by:	Anand S. R.

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	Kosher Climate	Monitoring Report	Version 1.0, dated 10/05/2024	Kosher Climate
		Monitoring Report	Version 2.0, dated 30/12/2024	Kosher Climate
2	Kosher Climate	ER Calculation Sheet	Version 1.0, dated 10/05/2024	Kosher Climate
	Kosher Climate	ER Calculation Sheet	Version 2.0, dated 30/12/2024	Kosher Climate
3	Kosher Climate	Approved GS PDD	Version 5, dated 15/05/2021	Publicly available
4	GS	Previous Verification Report	Version 2.1, dated 08/08/2022	Publicly available
5	GS	Design Review report	-	Publicly available
6	UNFCCC	ACM0002: Consolidated baseline methodology for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources,	Version 20	Publicly available
7	UNFCCC	Kyoto Protocol (1997)	Web Link	Publicly available
8	UNFCCC	Gold Standard Monitoring report template & MR filling instruction	Version 1.1	Publicly available
9	UNFCCC	GS Project Standard for project activities	Version 03	Publicly available
10	UNFCCC	GS Validation and Verification Standard for project activities	Version 03	Publicly available
11	EGAT	Commissioning certificates	-	Project Participant
12	EGAT	Monthly Energy meter statement	-	Project Participant
	Project Participant	Electricity sale invoices	-	Project Participant
	PEA	Import electricity bills	-	Project Participant
13	Project Participant	Calibration Certificates	-	Project Participant
14	Project Participant	Waste generation log records	-	Project Participant
15	Project Participant	Grievance register	-	Project Participant
16	Project Participant	CSR Records	-	Project Participant
17	Project Participant	Training Records	-	Project Participant
18	Project Participant	Employment Records	-	Project Participant

19	Project Participant	GS Stakeholder Consultation Report LSC supporting documents: • Invitation copies • Photographs • Attendance sheet • Feedback forms	13/11/2022	Project Participant
20	Project Participant	• GS performance review report of first verification		Publicly available
21	Project Participant	• SFR invitation and Report		Project Participant
22	Project Participant	Double Counting Declaration	-	Project Participant
23	Project Participant	Power Purchase Agreement		Project Participant

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. Remaining FAR from validation and/or previous verifications

~~**FAR ID**~~	~~01~~	~~**Section no.**~~		~~**Date:**~~
~~**Description of FAR**~~				
~~**Project Developer's response**~~				~~**Date:**~~
~~**Documentation provided by Project Developer's**~~				
~~**VVB assessment**~~				~~**Date:** DD/MM/YYYY~~

Table 2. CLs from this Verification:

CL ID	01	**Section no.**	Others	**Date: 16/12/2024**
Description of CL				
PP needs to submit the following documents. 1. Commissioning Certificates 2. Power Purchase Agreements. 3. Local Stakeholder Invitations, Photographs and Minutes of Meeting.				
Project participant response				**Date:** 30/12/2024
Uploaded to the folder				
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				**Date:**
The aforementioned documents have been provided by the PP, verified, checked, and confirmed to be accurate and appropriate. CL is closed.				

Table 3. CARs from this Verification:

CAR ID	01	**Section no.**	Others	**Date: 16/12/2024**
Description of CAR				
1. The technical specifications of the turbine should be presented in a table, and supporting proof for the have to be provided. 2. The design of the WTG model must be included.				
Project participant response				**Date:** 30/12/2024
Updated a table with technical specification and Model Number of the turbine				
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				**Date:**
The mentioned statements have been corrected in the revised Monitoring Report and confirmed to be accurate and appropriate. CAR 01 is closed				

CAR ID	02	Section no.	E.2	Date: 16/12/2024
Description of CAR				
The statement which is given by PP in the section B.1.1 of Monitoring Report has to be revise.				
Project participant response				**Date:** 30/12/2024
Revised				
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				**Date:**
The statement has been revised in the updated Monitoring Report and deemed appropriate. CAR 02 is now closed.				

CAR ID	03	Section no.	E.9	Date: 16/12/2024
Description of CAR				
PP needs to submit the proof for the following, 1. Electricity Generation and Invoices for the months Covered by this Monitoring Report. 2. Photographs and letter from beneficiary for SDG 3. 3. The employment records (employment agreement/attendance sheet/any relevant document) for SDG 8. 4. The training records (attendance sheet, photograph etc) for SDG 8.				
Project participant response				**Date:** 30/12/2024
Uploaded to the supporting documents folder				
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				**Date:**
The aforementioned documents have been provided by the PP, verified, checked, and confirmed to be accurate and appropriate. CAR 03 is closed.				

CAR ID	04	Section no.	Others	Date: 16/12/2024
Description of CAR				
In the ER sheet for Ex-ante Estimation, the value listed for SDG 8 in cell E7 is inconsistent. PP needs to address and correct this discrepancy.				
Project participant response				**Date:** 30/12/2024
As this is the job created during the monitoring period in actual. It would not vary with the number of days.				
Documentation provided by project participant				
DOE assessment				**Date:**
The justification provided by PP has been deemed acceptable and correct. CAR 05 is now closed.				

Table 4. FARs from this Verification:

~~**FAR ID**~~	~~01~~	~~**Section no.**~~		~~**Date:**~~
~~**Description of FAR**~~				
~~**Project Developer's response**~~				~~**Date:**~~
~~**Documentation provided by Project Developer's**~~				
~~**VVB assessment**~~				~~**Date:** DD/MM/YYYY~~