



WIND BASED POWER GENERATION BY MYTRAH ENERGY (INDIA) LIMITED (EKIESL- VCS-JANUARY-16-01)



By KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd.

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Project Title | Wind Based Power Generation by Mytrah Energy (India) Limited (EKIESL-VCS-January-16-01) |
| Version | 1.0 |
| Report ID | VCS.21.VER.027 (H) |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Report Title | Wind Based Power Generation by Mytrah Energy (India) Limited (EKIESL-VCS-January-16-01) |
| Client | EKI Energy Services Limited |
| Pages | 47 |
| Date of Issue | 01-October-2021 |
| Prepared By | KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Contact | Registered Office: KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. 414-424, Om Shubham Tower, Neelam Bata Road, N.I.T. Faridabad, Haryana-121001, India Tel: +91 129 4034513, 4054513, Website: www.kbscertification.com |
| Approved By | Kaushal Goyal Managing Director |
| Work Carried Out By | Team Leader & Technical Expert (TA 1.2): Mr. Sanjay Kandari Verifier Trainee: Mr. Deepak Pundlik Technical Reviewer: Tushar Chaudhari Manager T & C: Tushar Chaudhari |

Summary:

KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. has been contracted by, “EKI Energy Services LIMITED” to undertake 5th verification and certification for the greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions reported from ‘Wind Based Power Generation by Mytrah Energy (India) Limited (EKIESL-VCS-January-16-01)’ with regard to the relevant requirements of VCS Standard, Version 4.1. The project is registered with VERRA with project ID 1521¹. Current monitoring period is 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days), under the crediting period 21-February-2014 to 20-February-2024.

The project has total installed capacity of 233.1 MW. It involves operation of 156 Wind Turbine Generators (WTGs) with capacity of 0.85 MW each, located in Karnataka state (112 WTGs) and in Andhra Pradesh state (44 WTGs), implemented by Mytrah Vayu Krishna Private Limited and; 67 Wind Turbine Generator (WTGs) of 1.5 MW, implemented by Mytrah Vayu (Manjira) Private Limited in Tamil Nadu state of India. Mytrah Vayu Krishna Private Limited and Mytrah Vayu (Manjira) Private Limited are the subsidiary companies of Mytrah Energy (India) LIMITED. The project is promoted by Mytrah Energy (India) Limited, who is also the project proponent in the project activity.

The Project activity is a greenfield project and the purpose of the project activity is to generate electricity by the utilization of wind velocity. The generated electrical energy from 132.6 MW project implemented by Mytrah Vayu Krishna Private Limited is sold to respective state utilities (Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh) under the National Grid of India. Energy generation from 100.5 MW project

¹ <https://registry.verra.org/app/projectDetail/VCS/1521>

implemented by Mytrah Vayu (Manjira) Private Limited is for captive utilization, in Tamil Nadu state under the same electricity grid of India.

All WTGs in the project has been operational since commissioning. Start date of the project activity is 21-February-2014, on this day 1st WTG of the project was commissioned as per the commissioning certificates/09/.

The verification is based on the VCS PD/3/, Monitoring report (MR)/1.2/, Emission reduction calculation spread sheet (ER sheet)/2.2/, proof of title, proof of right, additional documents related to baseline and monitoring methodology; the subsequent background investigation, follow-up interviews and supporting documents made available to the verification team by project proponent.

This is the 5th monitoring under VCS and covers the activity from 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (inclusive of both dates). The total emission reductions achieved for the project activity during the monitoring period has been 307,153 tCO₂e. The crediting period for VCS began on 21-February-2014 and will end on 20-February-2024. An undertaking from the project participant confirms that project will not claim any other scheme benefits for the concerned monitoring period.

A risk based approach has been followed to perform the verification of the project activity. In the course of verification, 03 Corrective Action Requests (CARs) and 02 Clarification Requests (CLs) have been raised. All the CARs and CLs have been closed out successfully. No FAR has been raised during previous verification.

It is our responsibility to express an independent GHG verification opinion on the GHG emissions and on the calculation of GHG emission reductions from the project for the period 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days) based on the reported emission reductions in the final monitoring report version 02 dated 09-September-2021 for the same period.

Based on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting GHG emissions data and the controls in place to mitigate these, KBS planned and performed our work to obtain the information and explanations that we considered necessary to provide sufficient evidence for us to give reasonable assurance that this reported amount of GHG emission reductions for the period is fairly stated.

As a result of the verification, the verification team confirms that:

- The project fulfils criteria of VCS Standard Version 4.1/4/.
- The project is in line with all relevant VCS requirements as per VCS Programme guide version 4.0/05/
- Relevant decisions, clarifications and guidance from the CMP and the CDM Executive Board and VCS Association;
- All information and references relevant to the project activity resulting in emission reductions;

The monitoring is transparent, adequate and in line with applied baseline and monitoring methodology of ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources — Version 16.0/10/.

Based on the information seen and evaluated we confirm that the implementation of the project has resulted in 307,153 tCO_{2e} (round down value) emission reductions during the monitoring period 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days), under the crediting period 21-February-2014 to 20-February-2024.

Contents

1 Introduction 6

 1.1 Objective 6

 1.2 Scope and Criteria 6

 1.3 Level of Assurance..... 7

 1.4 Summary Description of the Project 9

2 Verification Process..... 10

 2.1 Method and Criteria 10

 2.2 Document Review 10

 2.3 Interviews..... 12

 2.4 Site Inspections 12

 2.5 Resolution of Findings 13

 2.5.1 Forward Action Requests..... 13

 2.6 Eligibility for Validation Activities..... 14

3 Validation Findings 14

 3.1 Participation under Other GHG Programs 14

 3.2 Methodology Deviations 14

 3.3 Project Description Deviations 14

 3.4 Grouped Project 14

4 Verification Findings..... 15

 4.1 Project Implementation Status..... 15

 4.2 Safeguards..... 20

 4.2.1 No Net Harm..... 20

 4.2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation 20

 4.3 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards 20

 4.4 Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations 20

 4.5 Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals 24

 4.6 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis 24

5 Verification conclusion 24

APPENDIX I: REFERENCES 276

APPENDIX II: FINDINGS 28

APPENDIX III: Competence of team members.....31

APPENDIX IV: Commissioning certificates.....34

APPENDIX V: Calibration details..... 44

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. has been contracted by, “EKI ENERGY SERVICES LIMITED” to undertake verification and certification for the greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions reported from ‘Wind Based Power Generation by Mytrah Energy (India) Limited (EKIESL-VCS-January-16-01)’ for the monitoring period 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days), under the crediting period 21-February-2014 to 20-February-2024, in the initial monitoring report/1.1/, with regard to the relevant requirements of VCS Standard Version 4.1. The VCS projects must undergo an independent third-party verification and certification of emission reductions as the basis for issuance of Voluntary Emission Reductions (VERs).

The objectives of this verification exercise are, by review of objective evidence, to establish that:

- The project activity has been implemented and operated as per the project description (PD) and that all physical features (technology, project equipment, and monitoring and metering equipment) of the project are in place;
- Monitoring report and other supporting documents are complete;
- The data is recorded and stored as per the monitoring methodology and approved monitoring plan.
- To confirm that the monitoring system is implemented and fully functional to generate Voluntary Emission Reductions (VERs/VCUs) without any double counting, and
- To establish that the data reported are accurate, complete, consistent, transparent and free of material error or omission by checking the monitoring records and the emissions reduction calculation.

1.2 Scope and Criteria

The verification scope is defined as an independent and objective review of monitoring report, CDM and VCS project description (VCS PD), including the monitored data, and other relevant documents made available to verifier and information collected through performing interviews during Remote assessment (interviews) of the project activity.

The project is assessed against the requirements of VCS standard version 4.1 and related rules and guidance. KBS has, based on the recommendations in the latest version of Verified Carbon standard, and employed a rule-based approach (as criteria) in the verification, focusing on the identification of significant reporting rules and the reliability of project monitoring.

The aspects to be covered under the purview of verification are:

- Ensure that the project activity has been implemented and operated as per the registered VCS PD and that all physical features (technology, project equipment, and monitoring and metering equipment) of the project are in place as per the documents provided by the client and during remote audit;
- Ensure that the monitoring report and other supporting documents provided are complete.
- Ensure that the practiced monitoring system and procedures comply with the monitoring systems and procedures described in the monitoring plan and the approved CDM methodology.
- Evaluate the data recorded and stored are as per the monitoring methodology.

1.3 Level of Assurance

Reasonable level of assurance

The verification is based on the VCS PD, MR, proof of title, proof of right, additional documents related to baseline and monitoring methodology, the subsequent background investigation, monitoring plan, follow-up interviews and supporting documents made available to the verification team by project proponent. The information in these documents is reviewed against the requirements of VCS Standard Version 4.1. KBS has employed a risk-based approach in the verification, focusing on the identification of significant risks for project implementation and the generation of Emission Reductions.

The items covered in the verification are described below:

- Criteria of VCS Version 4.1 (VCS Program guide Version 4.0 & VCS Standard Version 4.1)
- Criteria of CDM approved methodology, ACM0002- Version 16.0
- VCS Monitoring Report
- Monitoring Plan
- Background investigation and follow up interviews
- Stakeholder feedback
- Registered VCS PD and Validation Report
- Project's compliance with other relevant rules, including the project country (India) legislation and assurance to stakeholders of the quality

Furthermore, the verification team used additional documentation by third parties like host party legislation, technical reports referring to the project design or to the basic conditions and technical data available on public domain. A desk review is carried out to assess the following:

- Compliance with relevant law and regulations

- Stakeholder comments (If any)
- Proof of title
- Single line diagram and site location map
- Technical specifications of turbines, meters etc.
- Power Purchase Agreement
- Commissioning Certificate
- Remote auditing (06-July-2021) for verification
- Invoices
- Export/Import data records
- Calibration Certificates

The verification team has checked all the above-mentioned details and confirms that all the information provided is accurate.

Through the remote interviews host country rule and regulations related to project activity, Project description, technological measures, Implementation, Operation, Management of project activity and Training of personnel, Baseline and Monitoring plan, Stakeholder consultation etc. has been checked and found appropriate.

KBS applies the risk-based approach aimed at focusing on high risk issues to the verification results whilst not omitting any part of the mandatory processes. A few discrepancies were found during the verification and the findings were submitted to the project proponent, indicated under the titles corrective action requests (CARs) and clarification requests (CLs). CARs and CLs require the PP to take relevant actions.

Hence the above steps were followed for achieving the level of assurance in verification report. Based on the process and procedures conducted, KBS confirms that the information in the MR:

- is materially correct and is a fair representation of the actual project details, and
- is prepared in accordance with VCS requirements/4//5/ and the applied CDM methodology/10/ for information pertaining to GHG qualification, monitoring and reporting.

The verification work is carried out as per this requirement and the verification opinion is assured, provided the credibility of all above. Details are presented in the Verification statement in section 5 below.

1.4 Summary Description of the Project

The project activity has total installed capacity of 233.1 MW. It involves operation of 156 Wind Turbine Generators (WTGs) with capacity of 0.85 MW each, located in Savalsang, Karnataka state (112 WTGs) and in Burugula, Andhra Pradesh state (44 WTGs), implemented by Mytrah Vayu Krishna Private Limited and; 67 Wind Turbine Generator (WTGs) of 1.5 MW, implemented by Mytrah Vayu (Manjira) Private Limited in Vagarai, Tamil Nadu state of India. Mytrah Vayu Krishna Private Limited and Mytrah Vayu (Manjira) Private Limited are the subsidiary companies of Mytrah Energy (India) Limited. The project is promoted by Mytrah Energy (India) Limited, which is also the project proponent in the project activity.

The Project activity is a Greenfield project and the purpose of the project activity is to generate electricity by the utilization of wind velocity. The generated electrical energy from 132.6 MW project implemented by Mytrah Vayu Krishna Private Limited is sold to respective state utilities (Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh) under the national Grid of India. Energy generation from 100.5 MW project implemented by Mytrah Vayu (Manjira) Private Limited is for captive utilization, in Tamil Nadu state under the same electricity grid of India.

The project activity has been operational since commissioning (21-February-2014) and during the monitoring period i.e. from 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days), it has generated 312,899 MWh net electricity, thereby resulting in emission reduction of 307,153 tCO_{2e}. The monitoring period subject to this monitoring report is inclusive of first and last day of period.

During the remote audit inspection/11/, location (as mentioned in section 1.7 of MR) and all the technical aspects of the project activity (equipment, serial no., type, date of calibration etc.) mentioned in the VCS PD/03/ have been verified. The same was also crosschecked during the desk review of supporting documents like technical specification/06/, single line diagram/07/, PPA/08/ and commissioning certificates/09/.

Project entity information as verified is presented below:

| Item | Data |
|------------------|--|
| Project Entities | Mytrah Energy (India) Limited (Project Owner) |
| | EKI Energy Services Limited (Project consultant) |

2 VERIFICATION PROCESS

2.1 Method and Criteria

The verification process was carried out in line with the requirements of VCS Version 4.1. In addition, the verification team followed the guidelines of the CDM Validation and Verification Standard. Standard auditing techniques and KBS's CDM Procedures were also applied during

the verification. A risk-based approach was followed to carry out verification and access all the factors and concerns that relate to the issuance of emission reductions from a project activity.

They include:

- Identification of all the sources contributing to the project emissions and emission reductions.
- Authenticity of the provided data is checked.
- A risk-based analysis is carried out to ensure a clear and transparent assessment. The risks involved in this process are mainly with the informational flows and data recording.

KBS follows a risk-based verification approach, wherein a desk review of the project documentation is undertaken, which is followed by a remote discussion/video inspection by the members of verification team. The verification protocol is filled by the verification team that is based on standard auditing practices and VCS requirements. The verification protocol provides transparent means to record the observations by the verification team members and the non-conformities, if any. The verification protocol is an internal document, and available on request.

Duration of Verification:

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Verification Contract | 15-May-2021 |
| Remote audit | 06-July-2021 (Justification section 2.4 below) |
| Draft Verification Report | 18-September-2021 |
| Final Verification Report | 01-October-2021 |

2.2 Document Review

A desk review is undertaken, involving but not limited to,

- A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness;
- A review of the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures;
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions.

The list of documents reviewed is included in the section 'References'.

2.3 Interviews

Please refer section 2.4, where complete list of interviewed personnel and key points discussed is provided.

2.4 Site Inspections

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, taking into account the rules of relevant national and local authorities (local to the VVB offices as well as to locality of the site visits), World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations, policies of the VVB, email clarification for Verra guidance on site visits, notification of Covid-19 Travel Guidance for Projects <https://verra.org/covid-19-travel-guidance/> and other relevant travel restrictions and guidance (for example, a requirement to self-isolate upon return from specific countries), the VVB has skipped the on-site visit. Further Email from VERRA dated 24-March-2020 from “Andrew Beauchamp” has been referred as per which *“The VCS Program does not explicitly mandate site visits as part of the validation and verification process, only that VVBs must achieve a reasonable level of assurance on all validations and verifications (per Section 4.1.2 of the VCS Standard, v4.0). Therefore, where a VVB can achieve a reasonable level of assurance without conducting a site visit, or through a remote site visit, this is in conformance with the VCS rules, and no request for an exemption or pre-approval from Verra is required. However, where a validation/verification has been conducted without a site visit, or through a remote site visit, please ensure that the applicable section of the validation/verification report includes a discussion of how a reasonable level of assurance was achieved without an in-person site visit”*.

Hence, the VVB has used other standard auditing techniques for validation or verification as referred to in VCS Rules/requirements, VCS Validation and Verification Manual version 3.2.

Verification team has used the following alternative means for its assessment and to justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of verification. Along with desk review, audit team has conducted remote audit interview as follows:

- A complete desk review of the MR, as well as all applicable country legal requirement and supportive evidences have been checked by the verification team.
- Verification team has performed Zoom Application interview with PP in order to check implementation, project boundary, current situation, monitoring and metering equipment, monitoring procedures, calibration etc.
- Cross-check evaluation, for information received from interviews, under the scope of all information and references provided in MR and supporting documents.
- A check of the monitoring equipment including performance and observations of monitoring practices against the requirements of the registered VCS and the selected methodology.

Details of interviewees, topics covered and additional information are presented below:

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Dates: | 06-July-2021 (Remote audit through Zoom application) | |
| Key points discussed: | Name of person, interviewed | Designation, Organization |
| Operational data, | Siddiq I M A | Seniour Engineer (O & M- |

| | | |
|--|------------|---|
| Calibration, Data collection, QA/QC procedures, Calculation of ERs | | asset Management), Mytrah Energy (INDIA) Ltd. |
| | Anjali Rao | Manager, EKI Energy Services Limited |

2.5 Resolution of Findings

KBS applies the risk-based approach aimed at focusing on high risk issues to the verification results whilst not omitting any part of the mandatory processes. A few discrepancies were found during the verification and the verification report was submitted to the project proponent, indicated under the titles corrective action requests (CARs) and clarification requests (CLs). CARs and CLs require the PP to take relevant actions. Criteria for judging items as CAR or CL are as follows:

Corrective action request (CAR):

- the project participants have made mistakes that will influence the ability of the project activity to achieve real, measurable additional emission reductions
- the Voluntary Carbon Standard's requirements have not been met, or
- there is a risk that emission reductions cannot be monitored or calculated.

Clarification request (CL):

- Information is insufficient or not sufficiently clear to determine whether the applicable VCS requirements have been met.

FAR (Forward Action Request):

FARs is to be raised to highlight issues related to project implementation that require review during the first verification of the project activity. FARs does not relate to VCS requirements for registration.

CARs and CLs are to be resolved or closed out if the PP modifies the project description, rectifies the MR or provides adequate additional explanations or evidence that satisfies the concerns. If this is not completed, the project activity cannot be recommended for issuance under VCS registry.

The monitoring report was revised addressing the CARs & CLs issued by KBS. After reviewing the revised and resubmitted MR/1.2/; resolving the CARs & CLs raised and outstanding concerns, KBS issues this final verification report and opinion.

03 CARs and 02 CLs were found during verification and closed satisfactorily. The list of CARs/CLs raised and the response provided, the means of verification, reasons for their closure and references to correction in the MR are provided in appendix II of this report. The revised MR with changes incorporated as per the issues raised were rechecked with the documentary evidences and found to be inline.

2.5.1 Forward Action Requests

This is 5th verification and no FAR has been raised during previous verification and current verification. Refer to Appendix II for further details.

2.6 Eligibility for Validation Activities

KBS conducted the verification activity; the validation was performed by the other VVB. KBS has a valid UNFCCC accreditation in the Sectoral scope from UNFCCC.

3 VALIDATION FINDINGS

3.1 Participation under Other GHG Programs

The project activity has not availed GHG emissions reductions from any other GHG program and there will not be any double accounting for the same except 45 MW (30 WTGs x 1.5 MW) from Tamil Nadu state (Vagarai).

The electricity generated from these WTGs is claimed under REC component of Tamil Nadu and hence not included for VCU calculations to avoid any double counting. The HTSC numbers DRA 01, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 31, 32, 33, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 54 (TOTAL 30 WTGs of 1.5 MW from Tamil Nadu) are availing REC. It has been verified from the REC website². Thus electricity generated from these WTGs having REC component is not considered for VCU calculations for current complete monitoring period.

3.2 Methodology Deviations

The verification team confirms that the registered PDD complies with the requirements in the applied monitoring methodology ACM0002 version 16.0.

The following tools have been used for the project activity under consideration –

- Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system
Reference: Version 05.0³
- Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality
Reference: Version 07.0.0⁴

Therefore, no methodology deviations are applied during the monitoring period.

3.3 Project Description Deviations

PP has requested deviation in the cross check mechanism of the net electricity export to grid for the Tamil Nadu state WTGs, as these are under group captive since commissioning. To meet

² https://www.recregistryindia.nic.in/index.php/publics/registered_regens

³ <https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAMethodologies/tools/am-tool-07-v5.0.pdf>

⁴ <https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAMethodologies/tools/am-tool-01-v7.0.0.pdf>

group captive consumers annual energy requirement, banking is done during high wind season ((i.e., from June to October) and then those units will get utilized in low wind season (i.e. from November to March). Due to this process, electricity in invoice is different than JMR and cannot be consistent and not appropriate to compare or to take lower values as conservative approach. Also Tami Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited (TANGEDCO) will deduct Transmission & Distribution loss at generating end while doing the energy allocation. This T&D loss will vary based on consumer drawn voltage. Due to this reason, the comparison of JMR and invoices value for Tamil Nadu site (Vagarai) is not appropriate as, there is adjustment of electricity due to banking as explained above. Thus PP is considering the JMR value for net electricity supplied to the grid and for emission reduction calculations. There is no crosscheck possible due to difference in JMR and invoice values due to banking for Tamil Nadu site (Vagarai), hence only JMR value is considered for ER calculations. State electricity board is doing adjustment in invoice based on JMR value. Hence month wise JMR and invoice is not matching.

Since JMRs are issued by state electricity board and authentic, JMR values considered for ER calculations are considered appropriate by verification team. There is no material impact on ER calculations as primary source of data are correctly applied for ER calculations.

This deviation has already been approved during previous verification.

3.4 Grouped Project

The project is not a grouped project. Therefore, this section is not applicable.

4 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

4.1 Project Implementation Status

The project activity is in operation stage as evidenced by the remote site inspection. All the physical components and project boundary are in conformity with the description in registered VCS PD. The project locations and project equipment capacities have been confirmed during the remote inspection, also through the technical specifications/06/ and found in-compliance with the registered VCS PD. The 1st WTG of the project activity was commissioned on 21-February-2014 as per commissioning certificates/09/. The commissioning dates of all WTGs are provided in Appendix IV. Verification team has checked the commissioning certificates and confirms that the commissioning dates for the WTGs are correct.

On the basis of the remote inspection and the reviewed project documentation like the technical specifications, photographs of energy meters and wind turbines/06/, single line diagram/07/, power purchase agreement and O&M Contract/08/, commissioning certificates /09/, calibration certificates of energy meters/13/ and invoices/14/ etc. the verification team

confirms that the project was implemented and operated as described in the registered VCS PD. Further, the verification team confirms that-

- There is no any material discrepancy between project implementation and the project description in the registered VCS PD.
- The monitoring plan is completely implemented and is suitable with actual monitoring system (i.e., process and schedule for obtaining, recording, compiling and analysing the monitored data and parameters)
- The project activity is availing GHG emission reductions for only one program and there will not be any double accounting for the same.
- There is no methodology deviation applied to this project.

The total generation capacity of the power plant with (156 WTGS of 0.85 MW and 67 WTGs of 1.5 MW) is 233.1 MW which was verified during the remote audit.

Further it was noticed that during the monitoring period the project activity operated under normal conditions and no significant event that may have impact on monitoring of GHG emission reductions was observed. Same has been checked from the operational data during remote auditing.

Ownership and other programs:

PP has declared that the project is registered in VCS, and will avail GHG emissions reductions for only one program and there will not be any double accounting for the same; thus emission reductions generated by project will be solely claimed by PP and PP has the right of use, which is acceptable. Net GHG emission reductions or removals generated by this project will not be used for compliance with an emissions trading program or to meet binding limits on GHG emissions as the host country i.e. India is not a participant in any emission trading programs or nor does it have any binding limits.

PP will not claim any other environmental/carbon credits, under any other GHG emission reduction scheme, for the crediting period under VCS except for 45 MW WTGs, (30 * 1.5 MW) from Tamil Nadu site. These WTGs (The HTSC numbers - DRA 01,03,04,05,06,07,08,09,12,13,15,17,18,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,31,32,33,43,44,46,47,48, 49,54) are availing REC. Thus, electricity generated from these WTGs having REC component is not considered for VCU calculation of current monitoring period. Hence, there is no possibility of double counting.

Sustainable Development Contributions:

As confirmed during the remote audit, the project displaces electricity produced in fossil fuel based power plants, by using clean renewable source to generate electricity and thereby contributes to sustainable development through reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. It has also been confirmed that the project implementation has led in significant environmental and socio-economic benefits (as stated in section 1.11 of the submitted MR) and contributes to the social, economic, technological and environmental well-being. The project supplies clean, affordable and renewable energy (312,899 MWh net electricity under the monitoring period)

without reliance on fossil fuels for generation of electricity to meet the growing demands in the region. Also, the project implementation is providing employment to the locals with a decent and secure work environment by reducing emissions otherwise generated by the operation of fossil fuel based power plants and would lead to promotion of wind based power generation and to encourage other entrepreneurs to participate in similar projects as well.

Management and operational system:

Verification team was able to verify that authorities and responsibilities/15/ for monitoring and reporting of all data related to the emission reductions were clearly defined for the monitoring period from 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days).

The allocation of the responsibilities is documented in a written form and is followed as described in the registered VCS PD. Routines for the archiving of data are defined and documented.

The monitoring plan described in the section 4.3 of the MR/1.2/ is confirmed to be correct. All the parameters of the monitoring plan are monitored using appropriate metering system.

The verification team has interviewed the plant personnels/11/ who are involved in the monitoring of the parameters that are used to determine the emission reductions of the VCS project. It is confirmed based on the interviews that the plant team is competent enough to monitor the parameters as described in the monitoring plan.

As discussed above, the verification team concludes that management and operational system of the project is implemented and operated well. Thus, it ensures the quality of data which is required in calculating the emission reductions.

Implementation status of the monitoring plan:

Verification team confirms through remote inspection and from the document review like PPAs, Commissioning certificates, calibration certificates, Invoices that, the actual monitoring system complies with the monitoring plan mentioned in the registered VCS PD/3/ and there is no deviation in monitoring plan, procedures, and the equipments.

During the verification, all relevant monitoring parameters of the registered monitoring plan have been verified with regard to the appropriateness of the verification method; the correctness of the values applied for ER calculation, the accuracy and applied QA/QC measures. All monitoring parameters have been measured / determined without material misstatements and are in line with all applicable standards and relevant requirements. It is confirmed that the monitoring mechanism is effective and reliable.

Therefore, from the document review and remote inspection, it is confirmed that all the parameters were monitored in accordance with the registered monitoring plan during the monitoring period. Following are the details of monitoring in accordance with the monitoring plan of the registered VCS PD:

| | |
|------------------|--------------------|
| Data - Parameter | EG _{PJ,y} |
| Data unit | MWh |

| | |
|---|--|
| Description | Quantity of net electricity generation supplied by the project (Wind) plant/unit to the grid in year y |
| Source of data | Verification team confirms that the data has been measured directly from energy meters and recorded on Joint Meter Reading (JMR) / form B / Credit Note generated by State electricity utility/12/ which contains export, import and transmission losses (in case of Karnataka state site). The value was crosschecked with the invoices raise by PP to the respective state utilities for the complete monitoring period. |
| Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied | <p>During the remote inspection and through document review, it has been confirmed that the $EG_{PJ,y}$ (net electricity supplied to grid) was calculated as;</p> $EG_{PJ,y} = EG_{BLKNy} + EG_{BLTNy} + EG_{BLAPy}$ <p>Where,</p> $EG_{BLKNy} = EG_{Export,KN} - 115\% * EG_{Import} - \text{Transmission Loss } (T_{E,KN})$ $EG_{BLTNy} = EG_{Export} - EG_{Import}$ $EG_{BLAPy} = EG_{Export} - EG_{Import}$ <p>It was confirmed that, monthly meter readings are taken from the main and check meters installed at the substation and certified by the representatives of SEB Officials and the representatives of the project proponent.</p> |
| Frequency of monitoring-recording | Continuous monitoring, hourly measurement and at least monthly recording as verified by the verification team through remote assessment. |
| Value monitored | 312,899 MWh |
| Monitoring equipment | During the remote inspection and through document review, it has been confirmed that the data has been monitored continuously by electronic tri-vector meters with accuracy class 0.2s (for both – main and check). These meters measure both, export and import of electricity at the State Electricity Board (SEB) substation. One set of main and check meter provided at each feeder measures electricity delivered by the Project Activity. |

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | <p>The meters are monitored on continuous basis and cumulative readings are taken on an agreed date once in a month through Joint Meter Reading procedure. All the energy meters are under control of respective state utilities and sealed by them.</p> <p>The calibration details of energy meters are provided in Appendix V. As per the PPA, the meters are calibrated once in three years by the State electricity utility. It is found to be in line with the registered VCS PD. Meters are calibrated by the state meter testing division of the state utility in the presence of O & M contractor/PP representative and the state utilities officials to ensure the working of meter within permissible error. The calculation of net electricity supplied to grid is under purview of state electricity board and PP does not have control on it. Calibration details of the monitoring meters checked with calibration certificates provided by PP and found that calibration frequency of once in 5 years is complied.</p> <p>Both the meters are in compliance with the host country calibration regulations and is confirmed that they have valid calibrations during the entire monitoring period.</p> |
| QA-QC procedures to be applied | <p>The generation values has been cross-checked with the invoices/14/ and were found to be consistent. It was confirmed through remote inspection that calibration at required intervals is followed and faulty meters are replaced immediately.</p> |
| Purpose of the data | <p>Calculation of baseline emissions</p> |
| Calculation method | <p>It was confirmed that, the value of net electricity generation supplied to the grid is directly sourced from the monthly electricity form B (JMRs) which contains the export, import and transmission losses (in case of Karnataka state project) which are recorded through dedicated SEB energy meter installed at the delivery point.</p> |
| Comments | <p>As confirmed during the remote inspection, the electricity generation is measured at sub-station end as net electricity supplied to the grid, available through</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Joint Meter Reading (JMR) reports and can be cross-checked through invoices raised to respective state utilities and adjustment reports in case of captive consumption (in case of Tamil Nadu). In case of Tamil Nadu state WTGs, being group captive consumption, banking of electricity is done, hence JMR value is considered for ER calculations as per deviation request mentioned in section 2.3.2 of MR and which is approved in the earlier verification. |
|--|---|

Finding: CL 01, CL 02 and CAR 01 were raised and successfully closed. Refer to appendix II for further details.

Opinion:

The verification team confirms that

- The project activity has been implemented and operated as per the registered VCS PD and that all physical features (technology, project equipment, and monitoring and metering equipment) of the project are in place, as per the documents provided by the PP and remote audit;
- The monitoring complies with the requirement of the applied methodology;
- The information inflow (from data generation, aggregation, to recording, calculation and reporting) is included above under each parameter and confirms to the requirement of the registered VCS PD;
- The values included in the monitoring report/1.2/ and corresponding emission reduction sheets/2.2/ are verified and included under each monitoring parameter.

4.2 Safeguards

4.2.1 No Net Harm

The project activity is grid connected wind power plant and does not involve any negative impact. Verification team confirms it based on its local and sectoral expertise.

4.2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

The local stakeholder consultation meeting for the project activity was conducted at Project location sites as below:

| Tamil Nadu (Mytrah Vayu (Manjira) Private Limited) | |
|--|-----------------|
| Date of Invitation | Meeting date |
| 10-October-2012 | 23-October-2012 |
| | 24-October-2012 |
| | 25-October-2012 |
| Andhra Pradesh (Mytrah Vayu Krishna Private Limited) | |
| Date of Invitation | Meeting date |
| 12-April-2013 | 22-April-2013 |
| Karnataka (Mytrah Vayu Krishna Private Limited) | |
| Date of Invitation | Meeting date |
| 11-April-2013 | 21-April-2013 |

The stakeholder consultation process was validated during the registration of project activity in VCS. VCS validation report/3/ was verified to confirm the same. Also, PP has placed grievance/feedback register at the project site to get feedback on the project from stakeholders. Assessment team checked documentary evidence/17/ and confirms that there is no any grievance of stakeholders during the current monitoring period.

4.3 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

This is not an AFOLU project.

4.4 Accuracy of GHG Emission Reduction and Removal Calculations

The verification team has reviewed the emission reduction (ER) spread sheet and checked all the formulae and verified them to be correct and in line with the monitoring plan of the registered VCS PD and the applied monitoring methodology.

All the monitored parameters are described above in section 4.1. All the ex-ante parameters which are used in the calculation of emission reduction are presented in section 4.1 of the MR transparently. It is confirmed that all the ex-ante parameters have been correctly used in the emission reduction calculation.

Baseline emissions:

The baseline emissions (BE_y) are calculated based on the following formula:

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} * EF_{grid,CM,y}$$

Where:

BE_y = Baseline emissions in year y (tCO_2/yr)

$EG_{PJ,y}$ = Net Electricity exported to grid (MWh)

$EF_{grid,CM,y}$ = Baseline Emission Factor (Combined margin CO_2 emission factor for grid)

The baseline emission factor in year y (tCO_2/MWh), is fixed ex-ante for the duration of the crediting period, and is $0.9817 tCO_{2e}/MWh$.

Therefore,

$$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} * EF_{grid,CM,y}$$

$$BE_y = 312,899 \times 0.9817$$

$$BE_y = 307,153 tCO_{2e} \text{ (round down value)}$$

It is noted that the formula and calculation used for baseline emission calculation in the monitoring report and ER sheet is in compliance with the registered VCS PD. The default values and data used in the monitoring report/1.2/ is in-line with the registered VCS PD/3/. Hence, it is acceptable to the verification team.

Project Emissions:

As per the applied methodology, the PE_y in case of a wind power project is considered zero. Hence, $PE_y = 0$ is acceptable to the verification team.

Leakage:

As per the applied methodology and as defined in the registered VCS PD, no leakage is considered in the project activity and the same is followed in this monitoring period also. Thus, it is in compliance with the VCS PD.

The following are the ex-ante parameters used in the ER calculation which are in compliance with registered VCS PD:

| Parameter | Description | Source/Justification |
|--|--|--|
| EF _{grid,OM,y} tCO ₂ /MWh | Operating margin CO ₂ emission factor for National grid in the year y | <p>Calculated as per ACM0002 with 3 years vintages (2011-12,2012-13,2013-14) data obtained from “CO₂ Baseline Database for Indian Power Sector” version 10.0 published by Central Electricity Authority, Ministry of Power, Government of India; which is based on “ Tool to calculate emission factor for an electricity system, version 05.0”.</p> <p>The value 0.9887 is used for the calculation of the Baseline Emission and is found to be consistent with the registered VCS PD/03/.</p> |
| EF _{grid,BM,y} tCO ₂ /MWh | This is the build margin for the National grid of India | <p>Calculated as per ACM0002, for year 2013-14 data obtained from “CO₂ Baseline Database for Indian Power Sector” version 10.0 published by Central Electricity Authority, Ministry of Power, Government of India; which is based on “ Tool to calculate emission factor for an electricity system, version 05.0”.</p> <p>The value 0.9609 is used for the calculation of the Baseline Emission and is found to be consistent with the registered VCS PD/03/.</p> |
| EF _{grid,CM,y} tCO ₂ /MWh | This is the combined margin for the National grid of India | <p>Combined margin emission factor has been calculated by the Central Electricity Authority in accordance with CDM methodology: ACM0002 and tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system</p> <p>The value 0.9817 is used for the calculation of the Baseline Emission and is found to be consistent with the registered VCS PD/03/.</p> |

According to the applied methodology, the conservativeness of the achieved emission reduction was checked and the detailed emission reduction calculation has been transparently provided in the ER sheet. All the formulae and the calculation procedure were checked by the verification team. In the opinion of verification team, the assumptions, emission factors and default values that were applied in the calculations have been justified. Also, the verification team confirms that there were no manual transposition errors between the data sets in the ER Sheet/2.2/ during the current monitoring period. It is confirmed that the data has been measured directly from meters and it was cross checked from the joint meter readings and the invoices raised to state electricity utilities and was able to verify the same.

Finding: CAR 2 and CAR 3 were raised and successfully closed. Refer to appendix II for further details.

Opinion: The verification team confirms;

- The monitoring plan has been implemented as per the registered VCS PD/03/;
- The monitoring complies with the requirement of the applied methodology/10/;
- The information inflow (from data generation, aggregation, to recording, calculation and reporting) is included above under each parameter and confirms to the requirement of registered VCS PD;
- The values included in the monitoring report/1.2/ and corresponding emission reduction sheet/2.2/ are verified and included under each monitoring parameter, wherever appropriate.

4.5 Quality of Evidence to Determine GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

All relevant documents were checked to assess the correctness and quality of data submitted by the project participants, which are used to determine emission reductions.

All records needed for monitoring are archived in line with the requirements of the registered monitoring plan. No significant lack of evidence and missing data were detected during remote audit discussion and video inspection/11/. Hence, the verification team confirms that the monitoring system ensures required quality of the monitoring system to ensure the quality of the monitored data. All internal data are subjected to QA/QC measures. The monitoring parameters have been measured / determined without material mis-statements and is in line with all applicable standards and relevant requirements. The information inflow (from data generation, aggregation, to recording, calculation and reporting) is included in section 4.1 under each parameter and confirms to the requirement of the registered VCS PD. The export and import data is measured by the electricity meters, recorded continuously and the invoices are generated monthly. The data is then reported annually through VCS Monitoring Report as verified by the verification team through remote assessment.

It was also verified through remote audit inspection that the plant's team involved in the monitoring of project activity is well experienced. Hence, the verification team concludes that competent staff is employed by the project proponent to carry out the relevant tasks with sufficient accuracy. Furthermore, it was confirmed during remote audit discussion that internal training program for the monitoring staff is conducted on regular basis.

4.6 Non-Permanence Risk Analysis

Not applicable to the project activity.

5 VERIFICATION CONCLUSION

KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd. has been commissioned by 'EKI ENERGY SERVICES LIMITED' to perform verification of its registered VCS project 'WIND BASED POWER

GENERATION BY MYTRAH ENERGY (INDIA) LIMITED (EKIESL-VCS-JANUARY-16-01)', for the monitoring period 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days), under the crediting period 21-February-2014 to 20-February-2024, with regard to the relevant requirements of VCS Standard Version 4.1.

The management of the 'EKI ENERGY SERVICES LIMITED' is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions on the basis set out within the project final Monitoring Report version 02 dated 9-September-2021. The calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project is the responsibility of the management of the 'EKI ENERGY SERVICES LIMITED'. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures are in accordance with the Monitoring Report version 02 dated 9-September-2021.

It is our responsibility to express an independent GHG verification opinion on the GHG emissions and on the calculation of GHG emission reductions from the project for the period 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days) based on the reported emission reductions in the final Monitoring Report version 02 dated 9-September-2021 for the same period.

As a result of the verification, the verification team confirms that:

- All operations of the project are implemented and installed as planned and described in the project description.
- The monitoring system is in place and functional.
- The installed equipment essential for generating emission reductions runs reliably.
- The GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements in a conservative and appropriate manner.

Based on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting GHG emissions data and the controls in place to mitigate these, KBS planned and performed our work to obtain the information and explanations that we considered necessary to provide sufficient evidence for us to give reasonable assurance that this reported amount of GHG emission reductions for the period is fairly stated.

Verification period: From 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 (Inclusive of both days)

Verified GHG emission reductions and removals in the above verification period:

| Year | Baseline emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e) | Project emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e) | Leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e) | Net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO ₂ e) |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 01-April-2020 to 31-December-2020 | 227,014 | 0 | 0 | 227,014 |
| 01-January-2021 to 31-May-2021 | 80,139 | 0 | 0 | 80,139 |
| Total | 307,153 | 0 | 0 | 307,153 |

Location: Faridabad

Date: 01-October-2021



Authorized Signatory: Kaushal Goyal

Designation: Managing Director

KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd.

APPENDIX I: REFERENCES

| | |
|------|---|
| /1/ | /1.1/ Monitoring Report, version 01, dated 10-June-2021 (Initial Version) /1.2/ Monitoring Report, version 02 dated 9-September-2021 (Final Version) |
| /2/ | /2.1/ Emission Reduction calculation sheet, version 01 dated 10-June-2021 (corresponding to initial Version of VCS MR) /2.2/ Emissions Reduction calculation Sheet, version 02 dated 9-September-2021 (corresponding to final Version of VCS MR) |
| /3/ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Registered VCS-PD version 02 dated 10-February-2016 • Validation report version 01 dated 12-February-2016 |
| /4/ | VCS Standard version 4.1 |
| /5/ | VCS Programme guide version 4.0 |
| /6/ | Technical specifications/photographs of turbines, energy meters etc. |
| /7/ | Single line diagram and meter locations / Layout |
| /8/ | Power purchase agreement for the WTGs Operation and maintenance contracts |
| /9/ | Commissioning Certificates for the WTGs installed |
| /10/ | ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources - Version 16.0 |
| /11/ | Remote auditing (06-July-2021) for verification of measuring and monitoring procedure, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Video recordings & snapshots of the project site/equipment's • Interviews and data/log review |
| /12/ | Joint Meter Readings/Form B/ Credit notes for the entire monitoring period 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 |
| /13/ | Calibration Certificates for main meters and check meters |
| /14/ | Invoices raised during the monitoring period 01-April-2020 to 31-May-2021 |
| /15/ | Organization structure/chart |
| /16/ | Supportive for avoidance of double counting dated 06-August-2021 |
| /17/ | Grievance registers at the project locations |

APPENDIX II: FINDINGS

| | | | |
|---------------------|-----|----|-----|
| Summary of findings | CAR | CL | FAR |
| | 03 | 02 | 00 |

Table 1. Remaining FAR from validation and/or previous verifications

| Date | Type & Number | Raised by | Reference |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| XX/XX/2021 | FAR | | NA |
| Non-conformities raised | | | |
| No pending/open FAR identified from validation/previous verifications. | | | |
| Project Participant's response | | Date: | |
| | | | |
| Documentation Provided as Evidence by Project Participant | | | |
| | | | |
| Information Verified by Team Leader | | Date of review: | |
| NA | | | |
| Reasoning for not acceptance or close-out | | | |
| NA | | | |
| Date of acceptance or non-acceptance | | Date: 12/02/2021 | Status: Closed |

Table 2. CAR from this verification

| Date | Type & Number | Raised by | Reference |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 23/06/2021 | CAR 1 | Assessment Team | Verification Checklist |
| Non-conformities raised | | | |
| 'VCS-Monitoring-Report-Template-V4.0' filling guidelines has not been followed, following departures noticed by verification team; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide Appendix number in Roman script 2. Provide page numbers in the MR and kindly update 'content' page in line with the same. 3. Correct the font and font size of the sentence in section 1.9 and 'value monitored' in section 4.2 | | | |
| Project Participant's response | | Date: 09/09/2021 | |

| | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Below mentioned inconsistencies is being rectified as per VCS_Monitoring-Report-Template-V4.0 filling guidelines:- | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appendix number is now being mentioned in Roman script 2. Page numbers in MR are now being updated and accordingly content page has been revised. 3. The font and font size of the sentence in section 1.9 and 'value monitored' in section 4.2 is now being corrected | |
| Documentation Provided as Evidence by Project Participant | |
| VCS MR v02 | |
| Information Verified by Team Leader | Date of review: 15/09/2021 |
| PP has revised MR to include the corrections asked as per 'VCS_Monitoring-Report-Template-V4.0 filling guidelines' which were checked and accepted. Hence this CAR is closed. | |

| Date | Type & Number | Raised by | Reference |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 23/06/2021 | CAR 02 | Assessment Team | Verification Checklist |
| Non conformities raised | | | |
| In section 5.4, table for emission reductions following findings observed.; | | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 'Baseline emissions or removals and year mentioned is inconsistent with ER sheet. 2. 'Net GHG emission reductions or removals' to be corrected based on 'Baseline emissions or removals'. | | | |
| Project Participant's response | | Date: 09/09/2021 | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 'Baseline emissions or removals and year mentioned are now being made consistent with ER sheet. 2. 'Net GHG emission reductions or removals' is being corrected in this submission based on 'Baseline emissions or removals'. | | | |
| Documentation Provided as Evidence by Project Participant | | | |
| ER calculation sheet v02 and VCS MR v02 | | | |
| Information Verified by Team Leader | | Date of review: 15/09/2021 | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Baseline emissions or removals are now consistent with ER sheet however, years mentioned are incorrect and not as per the template filling guidelines. Hence this part of CAR is open. 2. Net GHG emission reductions or removals are corrected which are checked and confirmed. Hence, this part of CAR is closed. | | | |
| Reasoning for not acceptance or close out | | | |
| PP need to update values for the years mentioned as per the monitoring period and also follow template filling guidelines. | | | |
| Date of acceptance or non- acceptance | | Date: 15/09/2021 | Status: OPEN |
| Project Participant's response | | | Date: 15/09/2021 |
| Values of years mentioned is now being updated as per the monitoring period in this submission. | | | |
| Documentation Provided as Evidence by Project Participant | | | |
| VCS MR V02 | | | |
| Information Verified by Team Leader | | | Date of review: 15/09/2021 |
| The revised MR is checked and confirmed to include correct information. Hence this CAR is closed. | | | |

| Date | Type & Number | Raised by | Reference |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 23/06/2021 | CAR 03 | Assessment Team | Verification Checklist |
| Non conformities raised | | | |

| | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Following findings observed in ER sheet | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In 'ER calculation' worksheet, value calculated in cell 'C4' is incorrect. 2. Kindly clarify significance of cell 'AI22' in 'Savalsang_(Karnataka)' as same was not found used in the ER calculation. 3. 'Export in kWh' in cell D9 of 'Burgula_(AP)' worksheet is inconsistent with the supporting document. Kindly clarify. 4. PP is requested to use 'conservative' principle and round down values of emission reduction on monthly basis instead of 'vintage wise' as mentioned in the excel sheets. | |
| Project Participant's response | Date: 09/09/2021 |
| Following corrections have been made in this submission: | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Value calculated in Cell C4 is being rectified in this submission. 2. Cell AI22 is now being removed in this submission as that part was not relevant to this monitoring period. 3. The export value mentioned in cell D9 of Burgulla (AP) is now being corrected. 4. In this submission, round down is being applied on monthly values instead of "Vintage wise" values inorder to follow conservative approach. | |
| Documentation Provided as Evidence by Project Participant | |
| ER Calculation sheet v02. | |
| Information Verified by Team Leader | Date of review: 15/09/2021 |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Value in cell 'C4' is now correctly calculated which is checked and accepted. Hence this part of CAR is closed. 2. Value in cell 'AI22' in 'Savalsang_(Karnataka)' sheet is now removed which is checked and accepted. Hence this part of CAR is closed. 3. 'Export in kWh' in cell D9 of 'Burgula_(AP)' worksheet is now inconsistent with the supporting document. Hence this part of CAR is closed. 4. PP has rounded down values of emission reduction on monthly basis instead of 'vintage wise' which is checked and confirmed. Hence this part of CAR is closed. | |

Table 3. CL from this verification

| Date | Type & Number | Raised by | Reference |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 23/06/2021 | CL 01 | Assessment Team | Verification Checklist |
| Non conformities raised | | | |
| Section 1.10 of VCS PD states, 'The electricity generated from 45 MW REC component of Tamil Nadu are not included for VCU calculations to avoid any double accounting' PP shall clarify why this doesn't fall under deviation from project implementation? | | | |
| Project Participant's response | | Date: 09/09/2021 | |
| Out of 100.5 MW of Tamil Nadu plant 45 MW is claiming REC and the same has been taken into consideration during first verification of this project activity. That is why deviation is not being requested during current verification. Verification report is also being attached in this submission to justify it. | | | |
| Documentation Provided as Evidence by Project Participant | | | |
| VCS Verification report. | | | |
| Information Verified by Team Leader | | Date of review: 15/09/2021 | |
| Section 3.1 of VCS verification report dated 26/05/2017 was checked to confirm that PP has not considered 45 MW (30 WTGs of 1.5 MW) for VCS credit during earlier monitoring period. This is accepted to assessment team and CL is closed. | | | |

| Date | Type & Number | Raised by | Reference |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 23/06/2021 | CL 02 | Assessment Team | Verification Checklist |
| Non conformities raised | | | |
| a) Provide commissioning certificates for each site b) Provide calibration certificates pertaining to the monitoring period for each energy meter. | | | |
| Project Participant's response | | Date: 09/09/2021 | |
| a) Commissioning certificates for each site are being attached in this submission. b) Meter calibration certificates are being submitted pertaining complete monitoring period. | | | |
| Documentation Provided as Evidence by Project Participant | | | |
| Commissioning certificates and meter calibration certificates. | | | |
| Information Verified by Team Leader | | Date of review: 15/09/2021 | |
| a) Submitted commissioning certificates were checked for each site and confirmed. Hence this part of CL is closed. b) Submitted calibration certificates of main and check meters for all project sites were checked and confirmed that meters are calibrated. Hence, this part of CL is closed. | | | |

Table 4.FAR from this verification

No FAR raised during current verification.

| Date | Type & Number | Raised by | Reference |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| DD/MM/YYYY | FAR | | NA |
| Non conformities raised | | | |
| No FAR identified during the current verifications. | | | |
| Project Participant's response | | Date: DD/MM/YYYY | |
| Documentation Provided as Evidence by Project Participant | | | |
| | | | |
| Information Verified by Team Leader | | Date of review: | |
| NA | | | |
| Reasoning for not acceptance or close out | | | |
| NA | | | |
| Date of acceptance or non-acceptance | | Date: | Status: Closed |

APPENDIX III: COMPETENCE OF TEAM MEMBERS

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Personnel Name: | | Deepak Pundlik | |
| Qualified to work as: | | | |
| Team Leader | <input type="checkbox"/> | Technical Expert | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Verifier trainee | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Financial Expert | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical Reviewer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Local Expert | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Area(s) of Technical Expertise | | | |
| Sectoral Scope | | Technical Area | |
| Energy industries (renewable/non-renewable sources) | | TA 1.2: Energy generation from renewable energy sources | |
| Approved by (Manager C & T) | | Shikha Sharma | |
| Approval date: | | 07/07/2021 | |

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Personnel Name: | | Sanjay Kandari | |
| Qualified to work as: | | | |
| Team Leader | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Technical Expert | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Validator/Verifier | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Financial Expert | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical Reviewer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Local Expert (India) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Area(s) of Technical Expertise | | | |
| Sectoral Scope | | Technical Area | |
| Energy Industries (renewable/non-renewable sources) | | TA 1.1: Thermal energy generation from fossil fuels and biomass including thermal electricity from solar | |
| Energy industries (renewable/non-renewable sources) | | TA 1.2: Energy generation from renewable energy sources | |
| Energy demand | | TA 3.1. Energy Demand | |
| Waste Handling and Disposal | | TA 13.1 Waste Handling and Disposal | |

| | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | TA 13.2 Manure |
| Approved by (Manager C & T) | Akhilesh Joshi |
| Approval date: | 11/12/2015 |

| | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Personnel Name: | | Tushar Chaudhari | |
| Qualified to work as: | | | |
| Team Leader | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Technical Expert | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Validator/Verifier | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Financial Expert | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical Reviewer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Local Expert (India) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Area(s) of Technical Expertise | | | |
| Sectoral Scope | Technical Area | | |
| Energy Industries (renewable/non-renewable sources) | TA 1.1: Thermal energy generation from fossil fuels and biomass including thermal electricity from solar | | |
| Energy industries (renewable/non-renewable sources) | TA 1.2: Energy generation from renewable energy sources | | |
| Energy demand | TA 3.1. Energy Demand | | |
| Waste Handling and Disposal | TA 13.1 Waste Handling and Disposal | | |
| Approved by | Manager Competency & Training | | |
| Approval date: | 02/09/2020 | | |

APPENDIX IV: COMMISSIONING DATES

Date of commissioning of WTG at Karnataka:

| Savalsang@ Karnataka - 95.20 MW (0.85*112) | | |
|--|------------|------------------|
| Gamesa Make WTG model G53/850 kW | | |
| Sr. No. | Machine ID | DOC ⁵ |
| 1 | MVKPL-1-03 | 29-April-2014 |
| 2 | MVKPL-1-04 | 2-June-2014 |
| 3 | MVKPL-1-05 | 2-June-2014 |
| 4 | MVKPL-1-06 | 29-April-2014 |
| 5 | MVKPL-1-07 | 29-April-2014 |
| 6 | MVKPL-1-08 | 29-April-2014 |
| 7 | MVKPL-1-09 | 29-April-2014 |
| 8 | MVKPL-1-10 | 29-April-2014 |
| 9 | MVKPL-1-11 | 29-April-2014 |
| 10 | MVKPL-1-12 | 29-April-2014 |
| 11 | MVKPL-1-13 | 29-April-2014 |
| 12 | MVKPL-1-14 | 29-April-2014 |
| 13 | MVKPL-1-15 | 29-April-2014 |
| 14 | MVKPL-2-01 | 29-April-2014 |
| 15 | MVKPL-2-02 | 29-April-2014 |
| 16 | MVKPL-2-03 | 29-April-2014 |
| 17 | MVKPL-2-04 | 29-April-2014 |
| 18 | MVKPL-2-05 | 29-April-2014 |
| 19 | MVKPL-2-06 | 29-April-2014 |

⁵Date Of commissioning

| | | |
|----|------------|---------------|
| 20 | MVKPL-2-07 | 29-April-2014 |
| 21 | MVKPL-2-08 | 29-April-2014 |
| 22 | MVKPL-2-09 | 29-April-2014 |
| 23 | MVKPL-2-10 | 29-April-2014 |
| 24 | MVKPL-2-11 | 29-April-2014 |
| 25 | MVKPL-2-12 | 29-April-2014 |
| 26 | MVKPL-2-13 | 29-April-2014 |
| 27 | MVKPL-2-14 | 29-April-2014 |
| 28 | MVKPL-2-15 | 29-April-2014 |
| 29 | MVKPL-2-16 | 29-April-2014 |
| 30 | MVKPL-2-17 | 29-April-2014 |
| 31 | MVKPL-2-18 | 29-April-2014 |
| 32 | MVKPL-2-19 | 29-April-2014 |
| 33 | MVKPL-2-20 | 29-April-2014 |
| 34 | MVKPL-3-01 | 29-April-2014 |
| 35 | MVKPL-3-02 | 29-April-2014 |
| 36 | MVKPL-3-03 | 29-April-2014 |
| 37 | MVKPL-3-04 | 29-April-2014 |
| 38 | MVKPL-3-05 | 29-April-2014 |
| 39 | MVKPL-3-06 | 29-April-2014 |
| 40 | MVKPL-3-07 | 29-April-2014 |
| 41 | MVKPL-3-08 | 29-April-2014 |
| 42 | MVKPL-3-09 | 29-April-2014 |
| 43 | MVKPL-3-10 | 29-April-2014 |
| 44 | MVKPL-3-11 | 29-April-2014 |
| 45 | MVKPL-3-12 | 29-April-2014 |
| 46 | MVKPL-3-13 | 29-April-2014 |

| | | |
|----|------------|---------------|
| 47 | MVKPL-3-14 | 29-April-2014 |
| 48 | MVKPL-3-15 | 29-April-2014 |
| 49 | MVKPL-3-16 | 29-April-2014 |
| 50 | MVKPL-3-17 | 29-April-2014 |
| 51 | MVKPL-3-18 | 2-June-2014 |
| 52 | MVKPL-3-19 | 29-April-2014 |
| 53 | MVKPL-4-01 | 2-June-2014 |
| 54 | MVKPL-4-02 | 29-April-2014 |
| 55 | MVKPL-4-03 | 29-April-2014 |
| 56 | MVKPL-4-04 | 29-April-2014 |
| 57 | MVKPL-4-05 | 29-April-2014 |
| 58 | MVKPL-4-06 | 02-June-2014 |
| 59 | MVKPL-4-07 | 29-April-2014 |
| 60 | MVKPL-4-08 | 29-April-2014 |
| 61 | MVKPL-4-09 | 2-June-2014 |
| 62 | MVKPL-4-10 | 29-April-2014 |
| 63 | MVKPL-4-11 | 29-April-2014 |
| 64 | MVKPL-4-12 | 29-April-2014 |
| 65 | MVKPL-4-13 | 29-April-2014 |
| 66 | MVKPL-4-14 | 29-April-2014 |
| 67 | MVKPL-4-15 | 29-April-2014 |
| 68 | MVKPL-4-16 | 02-June-2014 |
| 69 | MVKPL-4-17 | 02-June-2014 |
| 70 | MVKPL-4-18 | 29-April-2014 |
| 71 | MVKPL-4-19 | 29-April-2014 |
| 72 | MVKPL-4-20 | 29-April-2014 |
| 73 | MVKPL-4-21 | 29-April-2014 |

| | | |
|-----|------------|---------------|
| 74 | MVKPL-5-01 | 29-April-2014 |
| 75 | MVKPL-5-02 | 29-April-2014 |
| 76 | MVKPL-5-03 | 29-April-2014 |
| 77 | MVKPL-5-04 | 29-April-2014 |
| 78 | MVKPL-5-05 | 29-April-2014 |
| 79 | MVKPL-5-06 | 29-April-2014 |
| 80 | MVKPL-5-07 | 29-April-2014 |
| 81 | MVKPL-5-08 | 29-April-2014 |
| 82 | MVKPL-5-09 | 29-April-2014 |
| 83 | MVKPL-5-10 | 29-April-2014 |
| 84 | MVKPL-5-11 | 29-April-2014 |
| 85 | MVKPL-5-12 | 29-April-2014 |
| 86 | MVKPL-5-13 | 29-April-2014 |
| 87 | MVKPL-5-14 | 02-June-2014 |
| 88 | MVKPL-5-15 | 02-June-2014 |
| 89 | MVKPL-5-16 | 02-June-2014 |
| 90 | MVKPL-5-17 | 02-June-2014 |
| 91 | MVKPL-5-18 | 02-June-2014 |
| 92 | MVKPL-6-01 | 26-July-2014 |
| 93 | MVKPL-6-02 | 26-July-2014 |
| 94 | MVKPL-6-03 | 26-July-2014 |
| 95 | MVKPL-6-04 | 26-July-2014 |
| 96 | MVKPL-6-05 | 26-July-2014 |
| 97 | MVKPL-6-06 | 26-July-2014 |
| 98 | MVKPL-6-07 | 26-July-2014 |
| 99 | MVKPL-6-08 | 26-July-2014 |
| 100 | MVKPL-6-09 | 26-July-2014 |

| | | |
|-----|------------|-----------------|
| 101 | MVKPL-6-10 | 26-July-2014 |
| 102 | MVKPL-6-11 | 23-January-2015 |
| 103 | MVKPL-6-12 | 26-July-2014 |
| 104 | MVKPL-6-13 | 26-July-2014 |
| 105 | MVKPL-6-14 | 26-July-2014 |
| 106 | MVKPL-6-15 | 26-July-2014 |
| 107 | MVKPL-6-16 | 23-January-2015 |
| 108 | MVKPL-6-17 | 26-July-2014 |
| 109 | MVKPL-6-18 | 26-July-2014 |
| 110 | MVKPL-6-19 | 26-July-2014 |
| 111 | MVKPL-6-20 | 23-January-2015 |
| 112 | MVKPL-6-21 | 23-January-2015 |

Date of Commissioning at Andhra Pradesh :

| Burugula @ Andhra Pradesh - 37.40MW (0.85* 44) | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| Gamesa Make WTG model G53/850 kW | | |
| S. No. | Machine ID | DOC⁶ |
| 1 | Location No. 508 | 21-February-2014 |
| 2 | Location No. 509 | 21-February-2014 |
| 3 | Location No. 510 | 21-February-2014 |
| 4 | Location No. 511 | 21-February-2014 |
| 5 | Location No. 512 | 21-February-2014 |
| 6 | Location No. 513 | 21-February-2014 |
| 7 | Location No. 514 | 21-February-2014 |
| 8 | Location No. 515 | 21-February-2014 |
| 9 | Location No. 516 | 21-February-2014 |
| 10 | Location No. 517 | 21-February-2014 |
| 11 | Location No. 518 | 21-February-2014 |
| 12 | Location No. 519 | 21-February-2014 |
| 13 | Location No. 520 | 21-February-2014 |
| 14 | Location No. 521 | 21-February-2014 |
| 15 | Location No. 522 | 21-February-2014 |
| 16 | Location No. 523 | 21-February-2014 |
| 17 | Location No. 524 | 21-February-2014 |
| 18 | Location No. 525 | 21-February-2014 |
| 19 | Location No. 526 | 21-February-2014 |
| 20 | Location No. 527 | 21-February-2014 |
| 21 | Location No. 528 | 21-February-2014 |

⁶Date Of commissioning

| | | |
|----|------------------|------------------|
| 22 | Location No. 529 | 21-February-2014 |
| 23 | Location No. 530 | 21-February-2014 |
| 24 | Location No. 531 | 21-February-2014 |
| 25 | Location No. 550 | 21-February-2014 |
| 26 | Location No. 551 | 21-February-2014 |
| 27 | Location No. 532 | 15-March-2014 |
| 28 | Location No. 533 | 15-March-2014 |
| 29 | Location No. 534 | 15-March-2014 |
| 30 | Location No. 535 | 15-March-2014 |
| 31 | Location No. 536 | 15-March-2014 |
| 32 | Location No. 537 | 15-March-2014 |
| 33 | Location No. 538 | 15-March-2014 |
| 34 | Location No. 539 | 15-March-2014 |
| 35 | Location No. 540 | 15-March-2014 |
| 36 | Location No. 541 | 15-March-2014 |
| 37 | Location No. 542 | 15-March-2014 |
| 38 | Location No. 543 | 15-March-2014 |
| 39 | Location No. 544 | 15-March-2014 |
| 40 | Location No. 545 | 15-March-2014 |
| 41 | Location No. 546 | 15-March-2014 |
| 42 | Location No. 547 | 15-March-2014 |
| 43 | Location No. 548 | 15-March-2014 |
| 44 | Location No. 549 | 15-March-2014 |

Date of Commissioning of WTGs for Tamil Nadu:

| Vagarai@ Tamil Nadu - 100.5 MW(1.5 * 67) | | | |
|--|------------|----------|------------------|
| ReGen make Vensys - 87 1.5 MW WTG of model | | | |
| S. No. | Machine ID | HTSC No. | DOC ⁷ |
| 1 | KOO - 518 | DRA 001 | 1-June-2014 |
| 2 | KOO - 1359 | DRA 003 | 1-June-2014 |
| 3 | APY - 241 | DRA 004 | 1-June-2014 |
| 4 | APY - 416 | DRA 005 | 1-June-2014 |
| 5 | PAR - 9 | DRA 006 | 1-June-2014 |
| 6 | PON - 534 | DRA 008 | 1-June-2014 |
| 7 | PON - 1043 | DRA 009 | 1-June-2014 |
| 8 | NAL-119 | DRA 012 | 1-June-2014 |
| 9 | NAL - 81 | DRA 013 | 1-June-2014 |
| 10 | NAL - 57 | DRA 015 | 1-June-2014 |
| 11 | MAN - 210 | DRA 017 | 1-June-2014 |
| 12 | MAN - 898 | DRA 018 | 1-June-2014 |
| 13 | MAN - 802 | DRA 021 | 1-June-2014 |
| 14 | KON - 556 | DRA 022 | 1-June-2014 |
| 15 | KON - 563 | DRA 023 | 1-June-2014 |
| 16 | KON - 590 | DRA 024 | 1-June-2014 |
| 17 | KON - 640 | DRA 025 | 1-June-2014 |
| 18 | KON - 658 | DRA 026 | 1-June-2014 |
| 19 | KON - 621 | DRA 027 | 1-June-2014 |
| 20 | KON - 501 | DRA 031 | 1-June-2014 |
| 21 | ALA - 1639 | DRA 043 | 23-June-2014 |

⁷Date Of commissioning

| | | | |
|----|---------------|---------|--------------|
| 22 | ALA - 1946 | DRA 044 | 23-June-2014 |
| 23 | NAL - 434 | DRA 049 | 23-June-2014 |
| 24 | KON - 234 | DRA 054 | 14-July-2014 |
| 25 | PAR - 50 | DRA 007 | 1-June-2014 |
| 26 | MAN - 625 | DRA 032 | 1-June-2014 |
| 27 | MAN - 604 | DRA 033 | 1-June-2014 |
| 28 | ALA-2301/2304 | DRA 046 | 23-June-2014 |
| 29 | ALA - 1569 | DRA 047 | 23-June-2014 |
| 30 | ALA-2352 | DRA 048 | 23-June-2014 |
| 31 | KOO - 1157 | DRA 002 | 1-June-2014 |
| 32 | PON - 1081 | DRA 010 | 1-June-2014 |
| 33 | MAN - 940 | DRA 019 | 1-June-2014 |
| 34 | PON - 1565 | DRA 037 | 23-June-2014 |
| 35 | PON - 1568 | DRA 038 | 23-June-2014 |
| 36 | VEL - 1936 | DRA 039 | 23-June-2014 |
| 37 | ALA - 1618 | DRA 042 | 23-June-2014 |
| 38 | PON - 908 | DRA 050 | 23-June-2014 |
| 39 | PON - 1203 | DRA 052 | 23-June-2014 |
| 40 | MAN - 963 | DRA 055 | 16-July-2014 |
| 41 | PON - 1021 | DRA 011 | 1-June-2014 |
| 42 | KUL - 652 | DRA 014 | 1-June-2014 |
| 43 | PON - 4 | DRA 016 | 1-June-2014 |
| 44 | MAN-828 | DRA 020 | 1-June-2014 |
| 45 | KON - 618 | DRA 028 | 1-June-2014 |
| 46 | KON-395 | DRA 029 | 1-June-2014 |
| 47 | KON-451 | DRA 030 | 1-June-2014 |
| 48 | APA-84 | DRA 034 | 1-June-2014 |

| | | | |
|----|------------|---------|------------------|
| 49 | PUN-270 | DRA 035 | 1-June-2014 |
| 50 | PUN - 34 | DRA 036 | 4-June-2014 |
| 51 | VEL-1702 | DRA 040 | 23-June-2014 |
| 52 | KAL-93 | DRA 041 | 23-June-2014 |
| 53 | ALA-2290 | DRA 045 | 23-June-2014 |
| 54 | PON - 775 | DRA 051 | 23-June-2014 |
| 55 | ALA - 2260 | DRA 053 | 23-June-2014 |
| 56 | MET 1664 | DRA 065 | 09-January-2015 |
| 57 | NAL - 445 | DRA 061 | 03-December-2014 |
| 58 | KOO-1036 | DRA 056 | 31-October-2014 |
| 59 | VEL-1540 | DRA 060 | 03-December-2014 |
| 60 | KOO-1174 | DRA 058 | 31-October-2014 |
| 61 | KOO-1000 | DRA 057 | 31-October-2014 |
| 62 | PON-1304 | DRA 059 | 31-October-2014 |
| 63 | APY-247 | DRA 064 | 06-January-2015 |
| 64 | KON-411 | DRA 67 | 26-February-2015 |
| 65 | KOO - 581 | DRA 062 | 18-December-2014 |
| 66 | VEL - 2119 | DRA 063 | 24-December-2014 |
| 67 | ALA 1385 | DRA 066 | 04-February-2015 |

APPENDIX V: CALIBRATION DETAILS

Calibration details for Karnataka site WTGs - 95.2 MW (112*0.85 MW)

| Location | Meter Type | Meter Serial Number | Make | Accuracy Class | Calibration Date | Due date of Calibration |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Feeder 1 | Main Meter | 13191120 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| | Check Meter | 13191121 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| Feeder 2 | Main Meter | 13191094 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| | Check Meter | 13191095 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| Feeder 3 | Main Meter | 13191100 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| | Check Meter | 13191104 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| Feeder 4 | Main Meter | 13191096 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| | Check Meter | 13191097 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| Feeder 5 | Main Meter | 13191114 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| | Check Meter | 13191122 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| Feeder 6 | Main Meter | 13191159 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| | Check Meter | 13191380 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| Sub Station | Main Meter | 13194679 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |
| | Check Meter | 13194671 | L & T | 0.2s | 20-May-2017 | 19-May-2022 |

Calibration details for Andhra Pradesh site WTGs - 37.4 MW (44*0.85 MW)

| Meter Type | Meter Serial No. | Make | Accuracy Class | Calibration Date | Due Date |
|---------------|------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|--------------|
| Main Meter | APX01701 | Secure | 0.2s | 28-July-2017 | 27-July-2022 |
| Check Meter | APX01702 | Secure | 0.2s | 28-July-2017 | 27-July-2022 |
| Standby Meter | APX01703 | Secure | 0.2s | 28-July-2017 | 27-July-2022 |

Calibration details for Tamil Nadu site WTGs - 55.5 MW (37*1.5 MW)⁸

| S. No. | HTSC No. | Old meter S. No. | Meter change date | New meter S. No. | Due date of calibration |
|--------|----------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. | DRA 002 | 12092331 | 25-May-2017 | 4321945 | 24-May-2022 |
| 2. | DRA 010 | 14190323 | 23-May-2017 | 4322515 | 22-May-2022 |
| 3. | DRA 019 | 12091451 | 22-May-2017 | 4322252 | 21-May-2022 |
| 4. | DRA 037 | 12091997 | 20-May-2017 | 4322069 | 19-May-2022 |
| 5. | DRA 038 | 12092349 | 20-May-2017 | 4321884 | 19-May-2022 |
| 6. | DRA 039 | 12092267 | 05-May-2017 | 4322063 | 04-May-2022 |
| 7. | DRA 042 | 12092317 | 20-May-2017 | 4321949 | 19-May-2022 |
| 8. | DRA 050 | 12092323 | 20-May-2017 | 4322064 | 19-May-2022 |
| 9. | DRA 052 | 14190336 | 20-May-2017 | 4321888 | 19-May-2022 |
| 10. | DRA 055 | 14190278 | 22-May-2017 | 4322067 | 21-May-2022 |
| 11. | DRA 011 | 14190249 | 23-May-2017 | 4322519 | 22-May-2022 |
| 12. | DRA 014 | 14190299 | 23-May-2017 | 4322517 | 22-May-2022 |
| 13. | DRA 016 | 14190312 | 23-May-2017 | 4322521 | 22-May-2022 |
| 14. | DRA 020 | 14190301 | 23-May-2017 | 4322433 | 22-May-2022 |
| 15. | DRA 028 | 14190333 | 22-May-2017 | 4322566 | 21-May-2022 |
| 16. | DRA 029 | 14190313 | 22-May-2017 | 4322574 | 21-May-2022 |
| 17. | DRA 030 | 14190294 | 22-May-2017 | 4322374 | 21-May-2022 |
| 18. | DRA 034 | 14190337 | 23-May-2017 | 4321977 | 22-May-2022 |
| 19. | DRA 035 | 14190331 | 22- May- 2017 | 4322581 | 21-May-2022 |
| 20. | DRA 036 | 14190316 | 22- May- | 4322582 | 21- May -2022 |

⁸PP is claiming VCS benefits for only 37 WTGs out of 67 WTGs. Rest 30 WTGs are availing Renewable Energy Certificate (REC) benefits. Meter calibration of the 37 WTGs claiming VCS benefits was done at the date of commissioning. Later on these meters were changed in the year 2017 (respective dates are mentioned in the table above). The calibration records of newly installed meters have been checked by the verification team.

| | | | | | |
|-----|---------|----------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| | | | 2017 | | |
| 21. | DRA 040 | 14190292 | 25- May-2017 | 4322160 | 24- May -2022 |
| 22. | DRA 041 | 14190257 | 25- May -2017 | 4321952 | 24- May -2022 |
| 23. | DRA 045 | 14190319 | 20- May -2017 | 4321943 | 19- May -2022 |
| 24. | DRA 051 | 14190325 | 20- May -2017 | 4322065 | 19- May -2022 |
| 25. | DRA 053 | 14190267 | 20- May -2017 | 4321944 | 19- May -2022 |
| 26. | DRA 065 | 14190268 | 22- May -2017 | 4322579 | 21- May -2022 |
| 27. | DRA 061 | 13197046 | 20- May -2017 | 4322154 | 19- May -2022 |
| 28. | DRA 056 | 14190263 | 25- May -2017 | 4321973 | 24- May -2022 |
| 29. | DRA 060 | 14190320 | 20- May -2017 | 4321948 | 19- May -2022 |
| 30. | DRA 058 | 14190273 | 23- May -2017 | 4322514 | 22- May -2022 |
| 31. | DRA 057 | 14190341 | 23- May -2017 | 4322513 | 22- May -2022 |
| 32. | DRA 059 | 14190315 | 20- May -2017 | 4322068 | 19- May -2022 |
| 33. | DRA 064 | 14190279 | 20- May -2017 | 4322066 | 19- May -2022 |
| 34. | DRA 067 | 14190276 | 22- May -2017 | 4322573 | 21- May -2022 |
| 35. | DRA 062 | 14190280 | 25- May -2017 | 4321892 | 24- May -2022 |
| 36. | DRA 063 | 14190338 | 20- May -2017 | 4322158 | 19- May -2022 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|---------|----------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| 37. | DRA 066 | 14190269 | 20- May 2017 | 4321947 | 19- May -2022 |
|-----|---------|----------|--------------|---------|---------------|

Old meter numbers are of make L&T with accuracy 0.2s while the new meters are of make-Genus with accuracy class 0.2s.