

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	1830
Project Name	Wind power project at Theni by Powerica Limited
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	01-January-2021 to 31-December-2021
Project Proponent	Powerica Limited
Methodology	AMS I.D, Grid connected renewable electricity generation, Version 18.0
VVB	RINA SpA
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard, v4.2, VCS Standard V4.1 AMS-I.D, v18.0
Date of First Issue	13-October-2022
Review Conclusion	Closed
Date of Final Issue	13-December - 2022

FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
<u>1</u>	<p>Incorrect project title</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Project title mentioned on the title page of the VR is incorrect.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that the correct project title is stated in the title page of the VR.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.4.3, VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 1.9</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: Project title have been corrected in the VR.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	Closed
<u>2</u>	<p>Latest PD not referred</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The list of documents mentioned in section 2.2 of the VR does not provide any reference to the latest approved version of the PD after the renewal of crediting period.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must describe how was the project verification performed without referring to the latest version of the PD.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: The RCP VCS PD have been included in the document list of section 2.2 of the VR.</p> <p>Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	Closed

<p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 2.2</p>			
<p>3</p>	<p>Inconsistent accuracy class of meters</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 3.1 of the MR states the accuracy class of meters to be 0.5s. Whereas section 4.1 of MR and other relevant sections of the VR indicate the accuracy class as 0.2s.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>The VVB must ensure that correct accuracy class of the meters is reported across all project documents.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 3.1</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1,</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: The accuracy class of the meters are 0.5s and the same has been corrected and revised in section 4.1 of MR by PP and the same has been corrected in VR by VVB.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>4</p>	<p>Missing details of GHG credits issued under other GHG programs</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 1.9 of the MR does not include details of the credits issued under CDM. • Section 3.1 of the VR does not include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The name of the approved GHG program, and registration number and details of the project. ○ A description of the steps taken to assess whether the project is eligible to participate under the VCS Program. 	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: The details of credits under CDM have been elaborated by the PP in MR.</p> <p>Section 3.1 of the VR have been updated. The project activity has also been registered with the approved CDM UNFCCC program and the registration reference number is 4572.</p> <p>Steps considered by VVB to assess the eligibility to participate under the VCS program are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The PP has claimed CDM benefits till Dec. 2012 and claimed VCS benefits onwards till Dec. 2020 this was confirmed through CDM and VCS website and the same was confirmed from PP. b) As the PP is not claiming credits under CDM scheme from 2013, thus the project is eligible under the VCS program and this was confirmed by PP in self-declaration letter submitted to VVB. 	<p>Closed</p>

<p><u>Action item</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The VVB must ensure that section 1.9 of the MR includes the details of the credits issued under the CDM. • The VVB must ensure that section 3.1 of the VR includes information required as per the applied VCS VR template. <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p><i>VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.20</i></p> <p><i>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 1.9</i></p> <p><i>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 3.1</i></p>	<p>Verra Review:</p> <p>No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>
<p><u>5</u> Applicability of the project deviation</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The project deviation described in section 3.2.2 was approved during the renewal of crediting period.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The VVB must is required to explain the need for the project activity deviation as described in Section 3.2.2 of the MR. 2. The VVB shall also ensure that Section 3.2.2 of the MR is consistent with the contents of Section 3.3 of the VR. <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p><i>VCS Standard v.4.2, Section 3.19</i></p> <p><i>VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 3.2.2</i></p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: The project deviation was approved during renewal of crediting period (Monitoring period from 01-January-2020 to 31-December-2020).</p> <p>The same is revised and updated in the MR. Also, the section 3.3 of the VR is revised and corrected.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>

Closed

<p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 3.3</p>			
<p>6</p>	<p>Instructional text not removed</p> <p><u>Issue.</u> Instructional text is not removed.</p> <p><u>Action item</u> The VVB must ensure that template instructional text is removed from section 5.4 of the MR.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u> VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 5.4</p>	<p>Round1: VVB Response: PP has revised the MR and removed the instructional text from the section 5.4 of the MR. Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>7</p>	<p>Missing details on grievance records</p> <p><u>Issue</u> Section 2.2 of the VR does not state grievance register as one of the documents reviewed.</p> <p><u>Action item</u> The VVB must describe how was it verified that no comments/grievance were recorded during the current verification period.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u> VCS Standard v4.2, Section 3.17.18 VCS Monitoring Report Template v4.1, Section 2.2</p>	<p>Round1: VVB Response: VVB have revised the document review list and included the Public grievance as document number 23 in the list. Verra Review: No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>8</p>	<p>Incorrect connotation for parameter EG_y</p> <p><u>Issue</u></p>	<p>Round1: VVB Response: VVB have revised the VR and included the correct connotation as parameter EG_y in section 4.4 of the VR.</p>	<p>Closed</p>

<p>The monitored parameter assessed in section 4.4 of the VR is stated as EG_{BL} whereas this parameter is EG_y.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must describe the correct connotation of parameter in section 4.4 of the VR.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 4.4</p>	<p>Verra Review:</p> <p>No further action is required.</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	
<p>9</p> <p>Missing details on interview process</p> <p>Issue</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No local stakeholders were interviewed during the interview process. The topics discussed does not include 'impact of the project on local stakeholder consultation. <p>Action item</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The VVB must describe the date and mode of interview in this section. The VVB must describe how a reasonable level of assurance regarding the impact of the project on local stakeholders would be attained without interviewing the local stakeholders and not even discussing the same during the interview process. <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Verification Report Template v4.1 Section 2.3</p>	<p>Round1:</p> <p>VVB Response: As this was remote site audit and due to COVID pandemic restrictions, we could approach and interviewed the site persons through video conferencing and it was confirmed by the WTG site team that local people were already in connection with the site team and there was no negative impact from the WTG project.</p> <p>Also, this was confirmed from the grievance register and found that there is no negative feedback from the local stakeholders.</p> <p>Also as per guidelines on Environmental Impact Assessment have been published by Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF), Government of India (GOI) under Environmental Impact Assessment notification 14/09/2006 and 14/07/2018 projects falling under Category 'A' in the Schedule and at State level the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) for matters falling under Category 'B', shall require prior environmental clearance from the concerned regulatory authority</p> <p>As the wind power generation projects are not listed in any of the categories of the schedule, it does not require Environmental Impact Assessment.</p> <p>VVB during the remote audit confirmed from the PP about the complaint in past years to assess that there was no negative feedback and complaint received from any of the local stakeholders.</p> <p>Also, the engagement and involvement of the local people is done through CSR activity conducted at the project site.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p>	<p>Closed</p>

		No further action is required.	
		Round 2:	
10	Missing details on remote site-visit	Round1:	Closed
	Issue	VVB Response: All the six WTGs were remotely audited during the site audit through video conferencing. Photos and videos of all the WTGs have been provided by the PP.	
	Section 2.4 of the VR does not include details of the WTGs visited. The VVB does not describe if all WTGs in the bundle were visited during the remote audit.	Verra Review: No further action is required.	
	Action item	Round 2:	
	The VVB must describe the details of the WTGs visited.		
	Program rule(s) or methodology section		
	VCS Verification Report Template v4.1, Section 2.4		
11	Inconsistent project details in VR	Round1:	Closed
	Issue	VVB Response: This is a typo error, there are 6 WTGs and all were operational.	
	Section 4.1 of the VR states that:	The VR has been revised and updated.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only 5 WTGs were operation whereas, the project includes 6 WTGs. This is not the 4th but 5th verification. 	This is 5 th verification and the typo error have been corrected in the VR.	
	Section 4.4 of the VR states that:	The typo error in monitoring period have been corrected in the section 4.4 of the VR.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This monitoring period is 01/06/2021 to 31/12/2021 whereas, this MP is from 01/01/2021 to 31/12/2021. ERs are more than the estimated ERs whereas it is vice versa. 	Regarding the ERs section 4.4 of the VR has been revised and corrected.	
		Section 2.5.1. of the VR have corrected regarding the sequence number of verification.	
		Verra Review: No further action is required.	
		Round 2:	

Section 2.5.1 of the VR states that this is the third verification whereas, this is actually the 5th verification.

Action item

The VVB must ensure that correct information is stated throughout the VR and also provide an assessment on the same.

Program rule(s) or methodology section

*VCS Verification Report Template v4.1,
Section 4.1,4.4*