

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra's review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

Project ID	1480
Project Name	Wind power project in Maharashtra, India – Andhra Lake Phase - I
Review Type	Verification
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	01-10-2022 to 31-12-2023
Project Proponent	Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited
Methodology	ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources; Version 21.0
VVB	EcoLance Private Limited
Assessment Criteria	VCS Standard Version: 4.7
Date of First Issue	19-10-2024
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	11-11-2024

FINDINGS

#	Finding Description	VVB Response	Status
1.	Need Further clarity on SDG 8		
	<u>Issue</u> As per the Monitoring Report (Version 1.1, most recent date of issue: August 2, 2024), the PP has considered SDG 8.6.1 and mentioned the training organized for the staff. However, according to the VR, Section 4: Verification Findings, on page 19, the VVB has mentioned employment and training. In contrast, in VR Section Appendix 3, Table 2, the VVB raised a finding regarding SDG 8.5. In response, the PP stated that the Monitoring Report has been updated per SDG 8.5. The VVB subsequently provided its assessment on SDG 8.6, and this situation remains unclear. <u>Action Required</u> 1. The VVB shall clarify the discrepancies between SDG 8.5 and SDG 8.6 references and assessments. 2. VVB shall ensure that all findings, actions, and responses are clearly documented and included in the next version of the Verification Report for transparency. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Monitoring Report Template, v4.4 Section 3.17 of VCS Standard Version 4.7 Section 2.2 of VCS Standard Version 4.7	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> VVB acknowledge the editorial error in the clarification raised. The finding was related to SDG 8.6 which has been appropriately addressed in the updated MR and therefore the assessment correctly mention SDG 8.6. The verification report is now corrected accordingly. <u>Verra Response</u> VR has been revised. This finding is closed.	Closed
2.	Further information on level of assurance of the audit		
	<u>Issue</u> As per Section 2.3 of the VR, no local stakeholders were interviewed during the audit. <u>Action item</u>	Round 1 <u>VVB Response</u> VVB did interview some relevant stakeholders which were missed out to list in section 2.3 of the MR. The same is now included in the updated VR.	Closed

	The VVB must further elaborate on how a reasonable level of assurance of the audit has been achieved, considering that no local stakeholders have been interviewed as per Section 2.3 of the VR. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> VCS Verification Report Template v4.3, Section 1.3		
		<u>Verra Response</u> Ok ,this finding is closed.	

3. Need further clarity on project crediting period			
	<u>Issue</u> As per the Joint J-PD&MR (Version 1.1, Date of Issue: July 13, 2023) and the MR for the current monitoring period, the project has stated "other" for the crediting period category, a maximum crediting period of 10 years, which may be renewed up to two times. However, according to VR Appendix 3, CAR ID 02, the PP has stated that the crediting period is renewable for 7 years, and the VVB has provided the same assessment. <u>Action Required</u> - VVB shall clarify the discrepancy between the stated maximum crediting period of 10 years and the mentioned renewable period of 7 years in the VR. - VVB shall review and confirm all relevant documentation, ensuring consistency in the description of the crediting period across the Joint Project Description, Monitoring Report, and Verification Report. <u>Program Rule(s)</u> Section 2.2 of VCS Standard Version 4.7 VCS Verification Report Template, v4.4	Round 1	Closed
		<u>VVB Response</u> VVB acknowledge the editorial error in the assessment of the CAR and its closure. However, the MR was accepted as per provisions of VCS rule. The necessary corrections are done in the updated VR.	
		<u>Verra Response</u> Ok ,this finding is closed.	

