

PROJECT REVIEW REPORT

This document tracks the findings raised in Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered by Verra for approval. The document will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided as separate attachments.

Review Type	Verification
Project ID	0583
Project Name	Yazi 1.13 MW HEPP
Program(s)	VCS
Verification Period	02 October 2009 – 30 September 2019
Project Proponent	Elestas Elektrik Uretim A.S
Methodology	AMS-I.D. Grid connected renewable electricity generation, v15
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.
Assessment Criteria	VCS Version 4.2
Date of First Issue	6 December 2022
Review Conclusion	Approved
Date of Final Issue	30/01/2023

FINDINGS			
#	Description	Response	Status
1	<p><u>Impact and duration of main meter malfunction not included</u></p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>Section 4.2 of the monitoring report states that the main electricity meter was replaced as it malfunctioned. It is unclear what the results of the calibration were or if the malfunctioning meter impacted the quantification of the emission reductions or removals.</p> <p><u>Action item</u></p> <p>1. The VVB must ensure the Section 4.2 of the monitoring report is updated to clarify the results of testing the meter and how the malfunction impacted the quantification.</p> <p>2. The VVB must clarify how it assessed how the meter malfunction impacted the quantification of the emission reductions or removals.</p> <p><u>Program rule(s) or methodology section</u></p> <p>VCS Standard, v4.2, Section 3.15.5</p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The malfunction is due to a cross connection in the main meter; however, the generation readings were correctly recorded despite the cross connection. When the ten-year calibration cycle is completed, the main meter is replaced with a new one, and a cross-connection is repaired. In case of any implication, the readings from the spare meter were used instead of the readings from the main meter for 11/2018. According to the data is provided by PP to VVB, the main meter reads 211.2 MWh and the spare meter reads 226.13 MWh in November. Even though the difference is less than 10%, the spare meter was used for this month's calculation to be safe. The same is clarified in section 4.2 of MR and assessed by VVB in section 4.1 of VR.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>The VVB response ifs found to be sufficient to close the finding</p> <p>Round 2:</p>	Closed
2	<p><u>Incorrect dates in table of emission reductions or removals</u></p> <p><u>Issue</u></p> <p>The tables in Section 5.4 of the monitoring report include several years where emission</p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The dates were typo error and fixed throughout the MR.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>Corrected accordingly</p>	Closed

<p>information is only indicated for December (2010 – 2014).</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must ensure that the tables in Section 5.4 of the monitoring report are updated to confirm the dates of the emission reductions and removals.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Monitoring Report, v4.1, Section 5.4</p>	<p>Round 2:</p>
<p>3 <u>Project title in project documents does not match Registry</u></p> <p>Issue</p> <p>The project title in the Verra registry is Yazı 1.13 MW HEPP. The project title in the project documents is Yazı Hes 1.13 MW Hydro Power Plant.</p> <p>Action item</p> <p>The VVB must confirm the title of the project.</p> <p>Program rule(s) or methodology section</p> <p>VCS Verification Report, v4.1</p>	<p>Round 1:</p> <p>VVB Response:</p> <p>The title is corrected as “Yazı 1.13 MW HEPP” in MR and VR.</p> <p>Verra Review:</p> <p>Revised accordingly</p> <p>Round 2:</p>

Closed